1

CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC Form 10-O September 08, 2006

## **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

#### **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR** 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2006

OR

#### 0

х

#### **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR** 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission file number 000-23211

# CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
----------

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

25 Greens Hill Lane, Rutland, Vermont

(Address of principal executive offices) Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (802) 775-0325

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer X Non-accelerated filer O Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of August 31, 2006:

Class A Common Stock	24,273,330
Class B Common Stock	988,200

03-0338873 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> 05701 (Zip Code)

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

#### (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands)

	April 2006	,	July 2006	,					
ASSETS									
CURRENT ASSETS:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	7,429	\$	6.667					
Restricted cash	72	.,,	72	-,					
Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$661 and \$1,060	56,20	69	61,3	68					
Notes receivable - officers/employees	87		87						
Refundable income taxes			911						
Prepaid expenses	5,120	6	5,71	9					
Inventory	2,97	5	2,999						
Deferred income taxes	5,034	4	4,988						
Other current assets	1,982	2	1,952						
Total current assets	78,9′	74	84,763						
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$388,808 and \$405,203	481.2	284	494.	951					
Goodwill	171,2	-	171,602						
Intangible assets, net	2,762		2,570						
Restricted cash	17,8	87	13,686						
Notes receivable - officers/employees	916		916						
Investments in unconsolidated entities	44,49	91	45,2	.62					
Net assets under contractual obligation	937		319						
Other non-current assets	12,60	02	12,8	15					
	732,	137	742,	,121					
	\$	811,111	\$	826,884					
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.									

#### **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)**

#### (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands, except for share and per share data)

	April 30, 2006	July 31, 2006
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 527	\$ 1.412
Current maturities of capital lease obligations	1.061	1.080
Accounts payable	46.364	46,309
Accrued payroll and related expenses	6,818	5,208
Accrued interest	6.650	12,405
Accrued income taxes	200	12,100
Current accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs	4,771	3,135
Other accrued liabilities	28,374	31,256
Total current liabilities	94,765	100,805
	51,705	100,005
Long-term debt, less current maturities	452,720	460,512
Capital lease obligations, less current maturities	1,747	1,477
Accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs, less current portion	23,245	24,933
Deferred income taxes	6,957	6,244
Other long-term liabilities	11,757	11,595
	,	,-,-
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock - Authorized - 55,750 shares, issued and outstanding		
- 53,000 as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus		
accrued but unpaid dividends	70,430	71,311
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Class A common stock - Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding -		
24,185,000 and 24,273,000 shares as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, respectively	242	243
Class B common stock - Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued		
and outstanding - 988,000 shares	10	10
Accumulated other comprehensive income	159	97
Additional paid-in capital	274,297	274,928
Accumulated deficit	(125,218	) (125,271
Total stockholders equity	149,490	150,007
	\$ 811,111	\$ 826,884
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financia	I statements.	

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

#### (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended July 31, 2005	2006
Revenues	\$ 132,000	\$ 143,519
Operating expenses:		
Cost of operations	85,686	95,735
General and administration	17,218	21,179
Depreciation and amortization	16,134	17,942
	119,038	134,856
Operating income	12,962	8,663
Other expense/(income), net:		
Interest income	(167 )	(329)
Interest expense	7,517	9,833
(Income) loss from equity method investments	70	(123)
Other income	(48 )	(55))
Other expense, net	7,372	9,326
(Loss) income before income taxes	5,590	(663)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	2,483	(610)
Nat (loss) incomo	2 107	(52)
Net (loss) income Preferred stock dividend	3,107 850	(53) 881
Net (loss) income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,257	\$ (934 )
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these co	+ _,_+ .	φ (934 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)

#### (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands, except for per share data)

	Thr July 2009	,	2006	ó	
Earnings Per Share:					
Basic:					
Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders	\$	0.09	\$	(0.04	)
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	24,8	352	25,236		
Diluted:					
Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders	\$	0.09	\$	(0.04	)
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	25,2	218	25,2	236	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated finance	cial sta	atements.	,		

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

#### (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands)

	Three Months July 31, 2005	Ended	2006	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				
Net (loss) income	\$ 3,107		\$ (53	)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities -				
Depreciation and amortization	16,134		17,942	
Depletion of landfill operating lease obligations	1,428		1,924	
(Income) loss from equity method investments	70		(123	)
(Gain) loss on sale of equipment	99		(256	)
Stock-based compensation			134	
Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options			(141	)
Deferred income taxes	1,721		(1,135	)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures -				
Accounts receivable	(4,924	)	(4,922	)
Accounts payable	1,997		(55	)
Other assets and liabilities	2,989		5,078	
	19,514		18,446	
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	22,621		18,393	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:				
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,044	)	(632	)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth	(14,941	)	(8,487	)
- maintenance	(19,675	)	(23,783	)
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts	(428	)	(618	)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	324		459	
Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance			4,419	
Investment in unconsolidated entities			(621	)
Proceeds from assets under contractual obligation	314		618	
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(35,450	)	(28,645	)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:				
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	35,955		139,200	
Principal payments on long-term debt	(24,931	)	(130,751	)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options			900	
Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options			141	
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	11,024		9,490	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(1,805	)	(762	)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,578		7,429	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated	\$ 6,773 I financial statements		\$ 6,667	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

#### (Unaudited)

#### (in thousands)

	Thr July 200:	,	Ended	2006					
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:									
Cash paid during the period for -									
Interest	\$	276		\$	3,631				
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$	528		\$	656				
Supplemental Disclosures of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:									
Summary of entities acquired in purchase business combinations -									
Fair value of assets acquired	\$	1,129		\$	699				
Cash paid, net	(1,0	44	)	(632	1	)			
Liabilities assumed and holdbacks to seller	\$	85		\$	67				
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.									

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands, except for per share data)

#### 1. ORGANIZATION

The consolidated balance sheets of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the Parent ) and Subsidiaries (collectively, the Company ) as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, the consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 are unaudited. In the opinion of management, such financial statements include all adjustments (which include normal recurring and nonrecurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. The consolidated financial statements presented herein should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the twelve months ended April 30, 2006 included as part of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 (the Annual Report ). The results for the three months ended July 31, 2006 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2007.

#### 2. RECLASSIFICATION

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company began recording (gain) loss on sale of equipment as a component of cost of operations. Previously this had been recorded as a component of other income. Accordingly, (gain) loss on sale of equipment for the three months ended July 31, 2005 has been reclassified to conform to current year reporting.

#### 3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

During the three months ended July 31, 2006, the Company acquired five solid waste hauling operations. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$699 including \$632 in cash and \$67 in liabilities assumed. During the three months ended July 31, 2005, the Company acquired three solid waste hauling operations and also recorded additional expenditures related to landfill development for a landfill closure project acquired in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$1,062 including \$1,044 in cash and \$18 in liabilities assumed. The operating results of these businesses are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the dates of acquisition. The purchase prices have been allocated to the net assets acquired based on their fair values at the dates of acquisition including the value of non-compete agreements with the residual amounts allocated to goodwill.

The following unaudited pro forma combined information shows the results of the Company s operations as though each of the acquisitions made in the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 had been completed as of May 1, 2005.

	July	e Months Ended 31,		
Revenue	2005 \$	132.354	2006 ¢	143.568
	<b>.</b>	- )	<b>.</b>	- )
Net (loss) income	\$	3,144	\$	(47)
Diluted net income per common share	\$	0.12	\$	0.00

The foregoing pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the acquisitions taken place as of May 1, 2005 or the results

of future operations of the Company. Furthermore, such pro forma results do not give effect to all cost savings or incremental costs that may occur as a result of the integration and consolidation of the completed acquisitions.

#### 4. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows the activity and balances related to goodwill from April 30, 2006 through July 31, 2006:

	Ea	North Eastern Region		South Eastern Region		Central Region		Western Region		R cycling	Tot	al
Balance, April 30, 2006	\$	25,327	\$	31,645	\$	31,106	\$	55,696	\$	27,484	\$	171,258
Acquisitions					236		104	4	4		344	
Balance, July 31, 2006	\$	25,327	\$	31,645	\$	31,342	\$	55,800	\$	27,488	\$	171,602

Intangible assets at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006 consist of the following:

			Lice	nsing				
com	pete		Agre	ements		Total		
\$	16,654		\$	920		\$	17,574	
(14,	(14,771		) (41		41 ) (14,812		,812	
\$	1,883		\$	879		\$	2,762	
\$	16,737		\$	920		\$	17,657	
(15,0	037	)	(50		)	(15,	,087	
\$	1,700		\$	870		\$	2,570	
	not t comj \$ (14,' \$ (15,'	(14,771 \$ 1,883 \$ 16,737 (15,037	not to compete    \$ 16,654    (14,771)    \$ 1,883    \$ 16,737    (15,037)	not to compete  Licent Agree    \$ 16,654  \$ (14,771    \$ 1,883  \$    \$ 16,737  \$ (15,037    \$ (50)	not to compete  Licensing Agreements    \$ 16,654  \$ 920    (14,771  )  (41    \$ 1,883  \$ 879    \$  16,737  \$ 920    (15,037  )  (50	not to compete  Licensing Agreements    \$ 16,654  \$ 920    (14,771  )    \$ 1,883  \$ 879    \$ 16,737  \$ 920    (15,037  )  (50	not to compete  Licensing Agreements  Tot:    \$ 16,654  \$ 920  \$ (14,771  \$ (41  ) (14, \$ (14, \$    \$ 16,634  \$ 920  \$ (41  \$ (14, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$  \$ (15, \$ <td< td=""><td>not to compete  Licensing Agreements  Total    \$ 16,654  \$ 920  \$ 17,574    (14,771  ) (41  ) (14,812    \$ 1,883  \$ 879  \$ 2,762   </td></td<>	not to compete  Licensing Agreements  Total    \$ 16,654  \$ 920  \$ 17,574    (14,771  ) (41  ) (14,812    \$ 1,883  \$ 879  \$ 2,762

Intangible amortization expense for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 was \$323 and \$278, respectively. The intangible amortization expense estimated as of July 31, 2006, for the five years following fiscal year 2006 is as follows:

	2007		2008		2009		2010		2011	
	\$	834	\$	560	\$	377	\$	261	\$	170
5.	NF	W ACCOUNTING	<b>J STAN</b>	DARDS						

# In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* (SFAS No. 154) which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* (APB No. 20), and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements* An Amendment of *APB Opinion No. 28*. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. Specifically, this statement requires retrospective application of the direct effect for a voluntary change in accounting principle to prior periods financial statements, if it is practicable to do so. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB No. 20, which requires that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative

effect of changing to the new accounting principle. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 effective May 1, 2006 had no impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN No. 48.) FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 requires a company to evaluate whether the tax positions taken by a company will more likely than not be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on how a company should measure the amount of benefit that the company is to recognize in its financial statements. Under FIN No. 48, a company should also classify a liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current to the extent the company anticipates making a payment within one year. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

#### 6. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the Superior Court ) ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the Town) could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company's landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. ( NCES ), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES s 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate Stage II, Phase II of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the Supreme Court ) denied the Town s appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court s 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town s height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company s petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town s 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town s height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town s right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town s Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town s ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court s ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court s opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town s 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between users of land rather than uses of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town s ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town s regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005

amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town s cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

On March 2, 2005, the Company s subsidiary Casella Waste Management of Pennsylvania, Inc. ( CWMPA ) was issued an Administrative Order by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) revoking CWMPA s transfer station permit for its 75-ton-per-day transfer station located in Wellsboro, Pennsylvania and ordering that the site be closed. The DEP based its decision on certain alleged violations related to recordkeeping and site management over a five-year period. On March 10, 2005, CWMPA appealed the Order to the State s Environmental Hearing Board (EHB). The Pennsylvania Attorney General s Office also conducted a criminal investigation of the allegations. On March 17, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP mutually agreed to a Supersedeas Order approved by the EHB which superseded the March 2, 2005 DEP Order, stating that CWMPA agreed to (i) voluntarily cease operations at the transfer station until May 16, 2005; (ii) relocate its hauling company before May 16, 2005; and (iii) develop a Management and Operation Plan for the transfer station by May 16, 2005. On May 17, 2005, the EHB judge extended the Supersedeas Order until June 10, 2005 and authorized the transfer station to resume operations upon completion of the relocation of the hauling company and receipt of a permit modification related to the weighing of bag waste from individual customers. CWMPA satisfied the conditions and recommenced operations at the transfer station on May 20, 2005. On June 9, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP filed a stipulation with the EHB withdrawing and voiding the March 2, 2005 Order revoking the permit, while reserving the DEP s right to seek civil penalties and the Company s right to defend against any such penalties. On March 9, 2006, the Company reached an agreement with the Attorney General s Office that resolved its investigation with a misdemeanor fine in the amount of \$35,000 plus a \$15,000 contribution to a non-profit environmental organization. The Company also reached a settlement with the DEP whereby the Company agreed to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$400,000. The Company paid this amount in July 2006.

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### 7. ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES

The Company is subject to liability for any environmental damage, including personal injury and property damage, that its solid waste, recycling and power generation facilities may cause to neighboring property owners, particularly as a result of the contamination of drinking water sources or soil, possibly including damage resulting from conditions existing before the Company acquired the facilities. The Company may also be subject to liability for similar claims arising from off-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances if the Company or its predecessors arrange to transport, treat or dispose of

those materials. Any substantial liability incurred by the Company arising from environmental damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations. The Company is not presently aware of any situations that it expects would have a material adverse impact on its results of operations or financial condition.

#### 8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the numerator and denominator used in the computation of earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended July 31, 2005		2006	
Numerator:				
Net (loss) income	\$ 3,107		\$ (53	)
Less: preferred stock dividends	(850	)	(881	)
Net (loss) income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,257		\$ (934	)
Denominator:				
Number of shares outstanding, end of period:				
Class A common stock	23,873		24,273	
Class B common stock	988		988	
Effect of weighted average shares outstanding during period	(9	)	(25	)
Weighted average number of common shares used in basic EPS	24,852		25,236	
Impact of potentially dilutive securities:				
Dilutive effect of options and contingent stock	366			
Weighted average number of common shares used in diluted EPS	25,218		25,236	

For the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006, 6,843 and 8,934 common stock equivalents related to options and redeemable convertible preferred stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of dilutive shares since the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

#### 9. LONG TERM DEBT

On July 25, 2006, the Company amended its existing senior credit facility utilizing the accordion feature and borrowed an additional \$100,000 in the form of an increase of \$10,000 in the revolving facility, under terms consistent with the existing credit facility, and a senior secured term B loan in the principal amount of \$90,000. The proceeds from the issuance of the term B loan were utilized to repay outstanding revolver borrowings under the credit facility. The term B loan matures on April 28, 2010 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with annual principal payments of \$900 for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity. The interest rate drops to LIBOR plus 1.75% after the first six months, as long as the consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio is below 4.75 times.

#### **10.** COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is defined as the change in net assets of a business enterprise during a period from transactions generated from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Accumulated other comprehensive income included in the accompanying balance sheets consists of changes in the fair value of the Company s interest rate swap and commodity hedge agreements. Also included in accumulated other comprehensive income is the change in fair value of certain securities classified as available for sale as well as the

Company s portion of the change in the fair value of commodity hedge agreements of the Company s equity method investment, US GreenFiber, LLC (GreenFiber).

Comprehensive (loss) income for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 is as follows:

	Three Mon July 31,	Three Months Ended July 31,						
	2005		2006					
Net (loss) income	\$ 3,10	)7	\$	(53	)			
Other comprehensive loss	(26	)	(62		)			
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ 3.08	31	\$	(115	)			

The components of other comprehensive (loss) for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 are shown as follows:

	Three Mo	nths l	Ende	ed July	31,											
	2005 Gross		Ta: effe			Ne Ta	t of x		2006 Gross		Ta eff	x ect		Ne Ta	t of x	
Changes in fair value of marketable securities during																
the period	\$ (49	)	\$	(17	)	\$	(32	)	\$ 17		\$	6		\$	11	
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps and commodity hedges during period	227		84			14	3		8		3			5		
Reclassification to earnings for interest rate swap	227		01			11.	5		0		5			5		
contracts	(137	)				(13	37	)	(130	)	(52	2	)	(78	3	
	\$ 41		\$	67		\$	(26	)	\$ (10)	5)	\$	(43	)	\$	(62	2

#### 11. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company s strategy to hedge against fluctuations in the commodity prices of recycled paper is to enter into hedges to mitigate the variability in cash flows generated from the sales of recycled paper at floating prices, resulting in a fixed price being received from these sales. The Company is party to thirty-four commodity hedge contracts as of July 31, 2006. These contracts expire between August 2006 and November 2008. The Company has evaluated these hedges and believes that these instruments qualify for hedge accounting pursuant to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended. As of July 31, 2006 the fair value of these hedges was an obligation of \$921, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$373) recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive income.

The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75,000, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the Company s term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133. As of July 31, 2006, the fair value of these swaps was \$1,090, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$441) recorded as an unrealized gain in accumulated other comprehensive income.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars for a notional amount of \$80,000. The collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and will be effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements will be specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility and will be accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

#### 12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123(R)). SFAS No. 123(R) establishes accounting for stock based awards exchanged for employee services. The Company previously accounted for these awards under the recognition and

measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) and related interpretations and disclosure requirements established by SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.

Under APB 25, no expense was recorded in the income statement for the Company s stock options granted at fair market value. The pro forma effects on income for stock options and the Company s employee stock purchase plan were instead disclosed in a footnote to the financial statements.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. Under this method, all share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the specified vesting period. Prior periods are not restated. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair-value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock options and the employee stock purchase program prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

		Months I July 31,
Net income available to common stockholders, as reported	\$	2,257
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair		
value based method, net	368	
Net income available to common stockholders, pro forma	\$	1,889
Basic income per common share:		
As reported	\$	0.09
Pro forma	\$	0.08
Diluted income per common share:		
As reported	\$	0.09
Pro forma	\$	0.07

Effective March 2, 2006, the Company accelerated the vesting of all unvested stock options. As a result, stock-based compensation in periods subsequent to the acceleration is significantly reduced. The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense totaling \$39 (\$24 net of tax) related to the accelerated vesting of options previously awarded. This expense was included in general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for fiscal year 2006.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the three months ended July 31, 2006 totaled approximately \$134, or approximately a \$0.01 per share decrease to basic and diluted net income per common share. \$110 was recorded with respect to stock options issued and \$24 was recorded with respect to the Company s employee stock purchase plan. This expense is included in general and administration expenses in the consolidated statements of operations. The total compensation cost at July 31, 2006 related to unvested stock options was \$2,217 and that future expense will be recognized over the vesting periods of the stock options. The weighted average remaining vesting period of those awards is approximately 3.8 years.

The total tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options was approximately \$141 during the three months ended July 31, 2006. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits net of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as an operating cash flow, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-15, *Classification in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Income Tax Benefit Received by a Company upon Exercise of a Nonqualified Employee Stock Option*. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the Company to reflect the tax savings resulting from tax deductions in excess of expense reflected in its financial statements as a financing cash flow.

The Company s calculations of stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 were made using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of the Company s stock option grants was estimated assuming no expected dividend yield and the following weighted average assumptions for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006, as follows:

	Three months ended July 31, 2005	2006
Stock Options:		
Expected life	5 years	6 years
Risk-free interest rate	3.63% - 3.76%	5.14%
Expected volatility	40.35%	31.02%
Stock Purchase Plan:		
Expected life	.5 years	.5 years
Risk-free interest rate	4.30% 4.40%	5.31%
Expected volatility	40.35%	30.42%

Expected life is calculated based on the weighted average historical life of the vested stock options giving consideration to vesting schedules and historical exercise patterns. Risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasury yield curve for the period of the expected life of the stock option. Expected volatility is calculated using the average of weekly historical volatility over the last one, three and six years. One and three year historical volatility is based on the weekly price changes of the Company s Class A Common Stock. The six year historical volatility is based on peer group volatility and the weekly price changes of the common stock of various other publicly traded solid waste companies.

The Black-Scholes valuation model requires extensive use of accounting judgment and financial estimation, including estimates of the expected term option holders will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of the Company s common stock price over the expected term, and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In January 1998, the Company implemented its Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under this plan, qualified employees may purchase shares of Class A Common Stock by payroll deduction at a 15% discount from the market price. 600 shares of Class A Common Stock have been reserved for this purpose. As of July 31, 2006, 397 shares of Class A Common Stock were available for distribution under this plan.

During 1996, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Option Plan) provided for the issuance of a maximum of 918 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006, a total of 167 options to purchase Class A Common Stock were outstanding at a weighted average exercise price of \$14.30. As of July 31, 2006, a total of 166 options to purchase Class A common Stock were outstanding at an average exercise price of \$14.32. No further options may be granted under this plan.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The Board of Directors has the authority to select the optionees and determine the terms of the options granted. The 1997 Stock Option Plan (the 1997 Option Plan ) provides for the issuance of 5,328 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options, which includes all authorized, but unissued options under previous plans. As of April 30, 2006, options to purchase 3,056 shares of Class A Common Stock at an average exercise price of \$13.12 were outstanding under the 1997 Option Plan. As of July 31, 2006, options to purchase 3,430 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$13.19 were

outstanding under the 1997 Option Plan. As of July 31, 2006, 436 options were available for future grant under the 1997 Option Plan.

Additionally, options outstanding under the assumed KTI Stock Option Plan totaled 20 at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, at a weighted average exercise price of \$18.62. Upon assumption of this plan, options under the KTI plan became exercisable for an equal number of shares of the Company s stock. The exercise price of the converted options was increased by 96.1% based on relative fair values of the underlying stock at the date of the KTI acquisition.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for non-employee directors of the Company. The 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan provides for the issuance of a maximum of 200 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, options to purchase 189 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.87 respectively, were outstanding. As of April 30, 2006, 9 options were available for future grant under the 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan.

Options generally vest over a one to four year period from the date of grant and are granted at prices at least equal to the prevailing fair market value at the issue date. In general, options are issued with a life not to exceed ten years. Shares issued by the Company upon exercise of stock options are issued from the pool of authorized shares of Class A Common Stock.

A summary of options outstanding as of April 30, 2006, and changes during the three months ended July 31, 2006, is presented below:

	Unvested Shares	Vested Shares	Total Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of Vested Options	Weighted Average Remaining Term (Years)
Outstanding, April 30,						
2006		3,431,474	3,431,474	\$ 13.14	\$ 8,270	5.2
Granted	445,650	10,000	455,650	13.17		
Forfeited		(334)	(334)	4.61		
Exercised		(71,934)	(71,934)	10.18		
Outstanding, July 31, 2006	445,650	3,369,206	3,814,856	13.20		5.5
Exercisable, July 31, 2006		3,369,206	3,369,206	\$ 13.21	\$	5.0

The weighted average grant date fair value per share for the stock options granted during the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 was \$4.51 and \$5.08, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three month period ending July 31, 2006 was \$371. The total fair value of the 10 stock options vested during the three month period ending March 31, 2006 was \$64.

#### **13. SEGMENT REPORTING**

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in financial statements. In general, SFAS No. 131 requires that business entities report selected information about operating segments in a manner consistent with that used for internal management reporting.

The Company classifies its operations into North Eastern, South Eastern, Central, Western and FCR Recycling. The Company s revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western segments are derived mainly from one industry segment, which includes the collection, transfer, recycling and disposal of non-hazardous solid waste. The North Eastern region also includes Maine Energy, which generates electricity from non-hazardous solid waste. The Company s revenues in the FCR Recycling segment are

derived from integrated waste handling services, including processing and recycling of paper, metals, aluminum, plastics and glass. Included in Other are ancillary operations, mainly major customer accounts as well as Parent Company assets.

	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
Three Months Ended July 31, 2005 (1)					
Outside revenues	\$ 27,596	\$ 24,397	\$ 29,511	\$ 25,522	\$ 20,499
Depreciation and amortization	4,740	2,910	3,745	3,217	1,088
Operating income	1,742	522	4,682	3,387	3,023
Total assets	\$ 177,078	\$ 139,203	\$ 128,812	\$ 154,108	\$ 65,676

	Other			Total	
Three Months Ended July 31, 2005 (1)					
Outside revenues	\$	4,475		\$	132,000
Depreciation and amortization	434			16,134	Ļ
Operating income	(394		)	12,962	2
Total assets	\$	64,979		\$	729,856

	North Eastern Region	South Eastern Region	Central Region	Western Region	FCR Recycling
Three Months Ended July 31, 2006					
Outside revenues	\$ 29,509	\$ 21,054	\$ 34,644	\$ 29,103	\$ 23,315
Depreciation and amortization	4,795	1,929	5,321	3,996	1,412
Operating income	1,167	(1,134	3,875	2,889	2,573
Total assets	\$ 184,712	\$ 153,382	\$ 151,109	\$ 167,057	\$ 89,595

	Other			Total	
Three Months Ended July 31, 2006					
Outside revenues	\$	5,894		\$	143,519
Depreciation and amortization	489			17,94	2
Operating income	(707		)	8,663	
Total assets	\$	81,029		\$	826,884

(1) Certain items have been reclassified for the three months ended July 31, 2005 to conform to current year reporting.

Amounts of the Company s total revenue attributable to services provided are as follows:

	Three Months Ende July 31,	
	2005	2006
Collection	\$ 65,267	\$ 68,497
Landfill/disposal facilities	23,263	28,376
Transfer	11,649	12,309
Recycling	31,821	34,337
Total revenues	\$ 132,000	\$ 143,519
17		

#### 14. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company entered into an agreement in July 2000 with Louisiana-Pacific to combine their respective cellulose insulation businesses into a single operating entity, GreenFiber, under a joint venture agreement effective August 1, 2000. The Company s investment in GreenFiber amounted to \$30,899 and \$31,184 at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, respectively. The Company accounts for its 50% ownership in GreenFiber under the equity method of accounting.

Summarized financial information for the Company s equity method investments is as follows:

	April 30, 2006	July 31, 2006
Current assets	\$ 31,592	\$ 27,973
Noncurrent assets	72,021	76,482
Current liabilities	23,662	22,786
Noncurrent liabilities	\$ 13,296	\$ 14,379

	Three months ended July 31,							
	2005 2006							
Revenue	\$	31,599		\$	44,396			
Gross profit	6,23	1		10,9	95			
Net income (loss)	\$	(140	)	\$	515			

The Company purchased interests, representing a 24.11% interest, in RecycleBank LLC (RecycleBank), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. This investment is accounted for as an equity method investment.

#### 15. NET ASSETS UNDER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION

Effective June 30, 2003, the Company transferred its domestic brokerage operations as well as a commercial recycling business to former employees who had been responsible for managing those businesses.

Consideration for the transaction was in the form of two notes receivable amounting up to \$6,925. These notes are payable within twelve years of the anniversary date of the transaction to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company transferred a certain Canadian recycling operation to a former employee who had been responsible for managing that business. Consideration for this transaction was in the form of a note receivable amounting up to \$1,313 which is payable within six years of the anniversary date of the transaction to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

The Company has not accounted for these transactions as sales based on an assessment that the risks and other incidents of ownership have not sufficiently transferred to the buyers. The net assets of the operations are disclosed in the balance sheet as net assets under contractual obligation, and are being reduced as payments are made.

Net assets under contractual obligation amounted to \$937 and \$319 at April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, respectively.

#### 16. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company s senior subordinated notes due 2013 are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and

unconditionally by the Company s significant wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Parent is the issuer and non-guarantor of the senior subordinated notes. The information which follows presents the condensed consolidating financial position as of April 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, and the condensed consolidating results of operations for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 and the condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the three months ended July 31, 2005 and 2006 of (a) the Parent company only, (b) the combined guarantors (the Guarantors), each of which is 100% wholly-owned by the Parent, (c) the combined non-guarantors (the Non-Guarantors), (d) eliminating entries and (e) the Company on a consolidated basis.

#### CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

#### **AS OF APRIL 30, 2006**

#### (In thousands, except for share and per share data)

Pare	nt	Guarantors		Non-Guarantors		Elimination		Consolidated	
\$	(3,840)	\$	10,747	\$	522	\$		\$	5 7,429
35		55,64	1	620		(27	7	) 5	6,269
4,029	)			1,005	5			5	5,034
2,456	5	7,863	3			(77	7	) 1	0,242
2,680	)	74,25	51	2,14	7	(10	)4	) 7	8,974
3,252	2	478,7	/25	(693		)		4	81,284
		171,2	258					1	71,258
5,469	)	3		12,4	15			1	7,887
1,189	)					(1,	189	)	
		937						9	037
27,46	57	37,56	53	120		(4,	379	) 6	60,771
37,37	7	688,4	186	11,84	42	(5,	568	) 7	/32,137
656,6	523	(657,	153 )	(3,84	9	) 4,3	379		
			,						
\$	696,680	\$	105,584	\$	10,140	\$	(1,293	) §	8 811,111
	\$ 35 4,029 2,456 2,680 3,252 5,469 1,189 27,46 37,37 656,6	\$ (3,840 )) 35 4,029 2,456 2,680 3,252 5,469 1,189 27,467 37,377 656,623	\$ (3,840 ) \$ 35 55,64 4,029 2,456 7,863 2,680 74,25 3,252 478,7 171,2 5,469 3 1,189 937 27,467 37,56 37,377 688,4 656,623 (657,	\$ (3,840 ) \$ 10,747 35 55,641 4,029 2,456 7,863 2,680 74,251 3,252 478,725 171,258 5,469 3 1,189 937 27,467 37,563 37,377 688,486 656,623 (657,153 )	\$ (3,840 ) \$ 10,747 \$ 35 55,641 620 4,029 1,002 2,456 7,863 2,680 74,251 2,147 3,252 478,725 (693 171,258 5,469 3 12,4 1,189 937 27,467 37,563 120 37,377 688,486 11,84 656,623 (657,153 ) (3,84	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	Parent	Guarantors	Non - Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
LIABILITIES AND					
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY					
CURRENT LIABILITIES:					
Current maturities of long term debt	\$	\$ 527	\$	\$	\$ 527
Current maturities of capital lease					
obligations	121	940			1,061
Accounts payable	2,227	43,996	245	(104	) 46,364
Accrued payroll and related expenses	1,413	5,376	29		6,818
Accrued interest	6,648	2			6,650
Accrued income taxes	200				200
Other current liabilities	5,688	13,612	13,845		33,145
Total current liabilities	16,297	64,453	14,119	(104	) 94,765
Long-term debt, less current maturities	451,824	896			452,720
Deferred income taxes	6,957				6,957
Other long-term liabilities	1,682	33,372	1,695		36,749
COMMITMENTS AND					
CONTINGENCIES					

70,430

70,430

Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock, authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding - 53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends															
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:															
Class A common stock - Authorized -															
100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,185,000															
shares	242			101			100			(201		)	242		
Class B common stock - Authorized -				101			100			(201		,	2.2		
1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10															
votes per share, issued and															
outstanding - 988,000 shares	10												10		
Accumulated other comprehensive															
income	159			91			(122		)	31			159		
Additional paid-in capital	274,2	297		48,36	50		2,743			(51,1	103	)	274,2	.97	
Accumulated deficit	(125	,218	)	(41,6	89	)	(8,395	5	)	50,0	84		(125,	218	)
Total stockholders equity	149,4	490		6,863	3		(5,674	4	)	(1,18	89	)	149,4	90	
	\$	696,680		\$	105,584		\$	10,140		\$	(1,293	)	\$	811,111	
19															

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

#### AS OF JULY 31, 2006

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands, except for share and per share data)

	Pare	nt	Guarantors		Noi	Non-Guarantors		Elimination		onsolidated
ASSETS										
CURRENT ASSETS:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	(4,099	) \$	10,226	\$	540	\$		\$	6,667
Accounts receivable - trade, net of										
allowance for doubtful accounts	426		60	,289	653				61	,368
Refundable income taxes	911								91	1
Other current assets	6,534	ŀ	8,	284	999				15	,817
Total current assets	3,772	2	78	,799	2,19	92			84	,763
Property, plant and equipment, net										
of accumulated depreciation and										
amortization	3,522	2	49	1,749	(32	)	)		49	4,951
Goodwill			17	1,602					17	1,602
Investment in subsidiaries	2,668	3					(2,6	68	)	
Assets under contractual obligation			31	9					31	9
Other non-current assets	28,99	95	37	,946	12,6	687	(4,3	79	) 75	,249
	35,18	35	70	1,616	12,3	367	(7,0	47	) 74	2,121
Intercompany receivable	672,3	95	(6	73,021	) (3,7	53	) 4,37	79		
	\$	711,352	\$	107,394	\$	10,806	\$	(2,668	) \$	826,884

	Parent	Guarantors	Non - Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated
LIABILITIES AND					
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY					
CURRENT LIABILITIES:	<b>*</b> 000			<b>^</b>	<b>* 1 1 1 2</b>
Current maturities of long term debt	\$ 900	\$ 512	\$	\$	\$ 1,412
Accounts payable	1,794	44,306	209		46,309
Accrued interest	12,403	2			12,405
Accrued closure and post-closure		1.072	2.072		2.125
costs, current portion	7.150	1,073	2,062		3,135
Other current liabilities	7,159	18,161	12,224		37,544
Total current liabilities	22,256	64,054	14,495		100,805
Long-term debt, less current	150.000	(20)			160 510
maturities	459,883	629	1 510		460,512
Other long-term liabilities	7,895	34,641	1,713		44,249
COMMITMENTS AND					
CONTINGENCIES					
Series A redeemable, convertible					
preferred stock, authorized - 55,750,					
issued and outstanding - 53,000,					
liquidation preference of \$1,000 per					
share plus accrued but unpaid	71 211				71 211
dividends	71,311				71,311
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:					
Class A common stock - Authorized -	243	101	100	(201	) 243
100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value;	243	101	100	(201	) 245
issued and outstanding - 24,185,000					
issued and outstanding - 24,183,000					

shares														
Class B common stock - Authorized -														
1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10														
votes per share, issued and														
outstanding - 988,000 shares	10											10		
Accumulated other comprehensive														
income	97		10	8		(111		) 3	3			97		
Additional paid-in capital	274,	,928	47	,189		3,328	3	(	(50,5	517	)	274,9	928	
Accumulated deficit	(125	5,271	) (39	9,328	)	(8,71	9	) 4	18,0	47		(125,	,271	)
Total stockholders equity	150,	,007	8,0	070		(5,40	2	) (	2,66	68	)	150,0	007	
	\$	711,352	\$	107,394		\$	10,806	5	\$	(2,668	)	\$	826,884	
20														

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

#### THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2005

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non - Guarantors	Elimination	Consolidated						
Revenues	\$	\$ 131,112	\$ 3,073	\$ (2,185	) \$ 132,000						
-											
Operating expenses:											
Cost of operations	3	85,296	2,572	(2,185	) 85,686						
General and administration	(84	) 17,051	251		17,218						
Depreciation and amortization	370	15,644	120		16,134						
	289	117,991	2,943	(2,185	) 119,038						
Operating income (loss)	(289	) 13,121	130		12,962						
Other expense/(income), net:											
Interest income	(7,612	) (60	(106	) 7,611	(167)						
Interest expense	7,931	7,173	24	(7,611	) 7,517						
Loss (income) from equity method											
investments	(6,178	) 70		6,178	70						
Other expense/(income), net:	(13	) (35	)		(48))						
Other expense/(income), net	(5,872	) 7,148	(82	) 6,178	7,372						
1	× /		```	· ·	, ,						
Income (loss) before income taxes	5,583	5,973	212	(6,178	) 5,590						
Provision for income taxes	2,476		7		2,483						
	_,				_,						
Net income (loss)	3,107	5,973	205	(6,178	) 3,107						
Preferred stock dividend	850	- ,			850						
Net income (loss) available to											
common stockholders	\$ 2,257	\$ 5,973	\$ 205	\$ (6,178	) \$ 2,257						
	CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES										

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

#### THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2006

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non - Guaranto	ors Elimination	Consolidated
Revenues	\$	\$ 143,510	\$ 1,936	\$ (1,927	) \$ 143,519
Operating expenses:					
Cost of operations	6	95,429	2,227	(1,927	) 95,735
General and administration	171	20,866	142		21,179
Depreciation and amortization	425	17,516	1		17,942
-	602	133,811	2,370	(1,927	) 134,856
Operating income (loss)	(602	) 9,699	(434	)	8,663
Other expense/(income), net:					
Interest income	(8,983	) (122	) (140	) 8,916	(329
Interest expense	10,392	8,337	20	(8,916	) 9,833
(Income) loss from equity method					
investments	(1,318	) (258	)	1,453	(123

Other (income)/expense, net:	(20	) (35	)		(55	)
Other expense/(income), net	71	7,922	(120	) 1,453	9,326	
Income (loss) before income taxes	(673	) 1,777	(314	) (1,453	) (663	)
Provision for income taxes	(620	)	10		(610	)
Net income (loss)	(53	) 1,777	(324	) (1,453	) (53	)
Preferred stock dividend	881				881	
Net income (loss) available to						
common stockholders	\$ (934	) \$ 1,777	\$ (324	) \$ (1,453	) \$ (934	)
21						

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

#### THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2005

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands)

	Parer	nt	Gua	rantors	Non	-Guarantors	Elimination	Con	solidated
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	5,505	\$	16,632	\$	484	\$	\$	22,621
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:									
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired			(1,04	4	)			(1,04	4
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth			(14,9	41	)			(14,9	41
- maintenance	(324		) (19,1	10	) (241	l	)	(19,6	575
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts			(428		)			(428	
Other			638					638	
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(324		) (34,8	85	) (241	l	)	(35,4	50
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:									
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	35,95	5						35,95	55
Principal payments on long-term debt	(24,74	47	) (184		)			(24,9	31
Intercompany borrowings	(16,02	25	) 16,62	28	(603	3	)		
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(4,817	7	) 16,44	14	(603	3	)	11,02	24
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	364		(1,80	19	) (360	)	)	(1,80	15
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	(2,383	3	) 10,14	46	815			8,578	3
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	(2,019	)\$	8,337	\$	455	\$	\$	6,773

#### CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

#### **THREE MONTHS ENDED JULY 31, 2006**

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands)

	Pare	nt	Gua	rantors	Noi	n-Guarantoi	rs Elimination	Cons	olidated
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities	\$	5,937	\$	12,553	\$	(97	)\$	\$	18,393
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:									
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired			(632		)			(632	)
Additions to property, plant and equipment - growth			(8,48	7	)			(8,48	7)
- maintenance	(694		) (22,7	16	) (37.	3	)	(23,7	83 )
Payments on landfill operating lease contracts			(618		)			(618	)
Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance	4,419	)						4,419	)
Other	(621		) 1,077	7				456	
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	3,104	ļ	(31,3	76	) (37.	3	)	(28,6	45 )
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:									
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	139,2	200						139,2	200
Principal payments on long-term debt	(130,	257	) (494		)			(130	751 )
Other	1,041	l						1,041	l
Intercompany borrowings	(19,2	84	) 18,79	96	488				
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(9,30	0	) 18,30	)2	488			9,490	)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(259		) (521		) 18			(762	)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	(3,84	0	) 10,74	17	522			7,429	)
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	(4,099	)\$	10,226	\$	540	\$	\$	6,667

#### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included under Item 1. In addition, reference should be made to the Company s audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto and related Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006.

#### **Company Overview**

Casella Waste Systems, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, is a vertically integrated regional solid waste services company that provides collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services to residential, industrial and commercial customers, primarily throughout the eastern region of the United States. As of August 31, 2006, the Company owned and/or operated nine Subtitle D landfills, two landfills permitted to accept construction and demolition materials, 39 solid waste collection operations, 33 transfer stations, 39 recycling facilities and one waste-to-energy facility, as well as a 50% interest in a joint venture that manufactures, markets and sells cellulose insulation made from recycled fiber.

The Company s revenues increased from \$132.0 million for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 to \$143.5 million for the quarter ended July 31, 2006. From May 1, 2002 through April 30, 2006, the Company acquired 29 solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling operations. Between May 1, 2006 and July 31, 2006 the Company acquired five solid waste hauling operations. Under the rules of purchase accounting, the acquired companies revenues and results of operations have been included from the date of acquisition and affect the period-to-period comparisons of the Company s historical results of operations.

#### **Forward Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and, in particular, this management discussion and analysis contain or incorporate a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, including statements regarding:

• expected future revenues, operations, expenditures and cash needs;

• fluctuations in the commodity pricing of the Company s recyclables, increases in landfill tipping fees and fuel costs, and general economic and weather conditions;

• projected future obligations related to capping, closure and post-closure costs of the Company s existing landfills and any disposal facilities which the Company may own or operate in the future;

• the projected development of additional disposal capacity;

• estimates of the potential markets for the Company s products and services, including the anticipated drivers for future growth;

- sales and marketing plans;
- potential mergers or acquisitions; and

• projected improvements to the Company s infrastructure and impact of such improvements on the Company s business and operations.

In addition, any statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this report that are not statements of historical fact should be considered forward-looking statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of the words believes , expects , anticipates , plans , may , will , would , intends , estimates and other similar expressions, whether in the negative or affirmative. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry and markets in which the Company operates and management s beliefs and assumptions, and should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements included in this report. The Company cannot guarantee that the Company actually will achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements made. There are a number of important risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, those detailed in Item 1A, Risk Factors in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006. The Company does not intend to update publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### General

#### Revenues

The Company s revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western regions are attributable primarily to fees charged to customers for solid waste disposal and collection, landfill, waste-to-energy, transfer and recycling services. The Company derives a substantial portion of its collection revenues from commercial, industrial and municipal services that are generally performed under service agreements or pursuant to contracts with municipalities. The majority of the Company s residential collection services are performed on a subscription basis with individual households. Landfill, waste-to-energy facility and transfer customers are charged a tipping fee on a per ton basis for disposing of their solid waste at the Company s disposal facilities and transfer stations. The majority of the Company s disposal and transfer customers are under one to ten year disposal contracts, with most having clauses for annual cost of living increases. Recycling revenues, which are included in FCR and the Central and Western regions, consist of revenues from the sale of recyclable commodities and operations and maintenance contracts of recycling facilities for municipal customers.

In the Other segment, the Company has ancillary revenues comprising major customer accounts and earnings from equity method investees. The Company s cellulose insulation business is conducted through a 50/50 joint venture with Louisiana-Pacific, and accordingly, it recognizes half of the joint venture s net income on the equity method in its results of operations. The Company purchased interests, representing a 24.11% interest, in RecycleBank LLC (RecycleBank), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. This investment is accounted for as an equity method investment.

The Company s revenues are shown net of inter-company eliminations. The Company typically establishes its inter-company transfer pricing based upon prevailing market rates. The table below shows, for the periods indicated, the percentages and dollars of revenue attributable to services provided. Despite an increase in the absolute dollar amounts, collection revenues as a percentage of total revenues in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 were lower compared to the prior year, mainly because of the increase in landfill revenue dollars. Overall, collection volume and price, including the positive impact of acquisitions in the Central and Western regions, increased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006. The South Eastern region collection volumes declined year over year, due primarily to historically high levels of rainfall which slowed seasonal construction activity. Landfill/disposal revenues as a percentage of total revenues increased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 primarily due to higher landfill volumes in the Central, Western and North Eastern

regions, and the effect of the addition of the Chemung landfill in the Western region and the Colebrook closure project in the Central region. Landfill volumes in the South Eastern region decreased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 due to the completion of the Brockton closure project and lower volumes for the Worcester closure project. Landfill average pricing was up slightly in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 due to price increases in the North Eastern Region, which were partially offset by lower average prices in the balance of the solid waste regions, due mainly to higher volumes of lower priced BUD (Beneficial Use Determination) material in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year. Recycling revenues increased in absolute dollar amounts yet remained constant as a percentage of total revenue in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year, mainly because of the increase in landfill revenue dollars. The increase in recycling revenue dollars is primarily attributable to higher volumes from the Company s existing facilities as well as the acquisition of Blue Mountain Recycling in the FCR region. Partially offsetting this increase, average commodity prices decreased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 due to an approximate 25% decline in plastics prices, compared to the prior year comparable period.

	Three Months Ended July 31,									
	2005		2006							
Collection	\$	65,267	49.5	%	\$	68,497	47.7	%		
Landfill/disposal facilities	23,20	53	17.6		28,37	6	19.7			
Transfer	11,64	49	8.8		12,30	19	8.6			
Recycling	31,82	21	24.1		34,33	7	24.0			
Total revenues	\$	132,000	100.0	%	\$	143,519	100.0	%		

#### **Operating Expenses**

Cost of operations includes labor, tipping fees paid to third-party disposal facilities, fuel, maintenance and repair of vehicles and equipment, worker s compensation and vehicle insurance, the cost of purchasing materials to be recycled, third party transportation expense, district and state taxes, host community fees and royalties. Cost of operations also includes accretion expense related to landfill capping, closure and post closure, leachate treatment and disposal costs and depletion of landfill operating lease obligations.

General and administration expenses include management, clerical and administrative compensation and overhead, professional services and costs associated with marketing, sales force and community relations efforts.

Depreciation and amortization expense includes depreciation of fixed assets over the estimated useful life of the assets using the straight-line method, amortization of landfill airspace assets under the units-of-consumption method, and the amortization of intangible assets (other than goodwill) using the straight-line method. In accordance with SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, except for accretion expense, the Company amortizes landfill retirement assets through a charge to cost of operations using a straight-line rate per ton as landfill airspace is utilized. The amount of landfill amortization expense related to airspace consumption can vary materially from landfill to landfill depending upon the purchase price and landfill site and cell development costs. The Company depreciates all fixed and intangible assets, other than goodwill, to a zero net book value, and does not apply a salvage value to any fixed assets.

The Company capitalizes certain direct landfill development costs, such as engineering, permitting, legal, construction and other costs associated directly with the expansion of existing landfills. Additionally, the Company also capitalizes certain third party expenditures related to pending acquisitions, such as legal and engineering costs. The Company routinely evaluates all such capitalized costs, and expense those costs related to projects not likely to be successful. Internal and indirect landfill development and acquisition costs, such as executive and corporate overhead, public relations and other corporate services, are expensed as incurred.

The Company will have material financial obligations relating to capping, closure and post-closure costs of its existing landfills and any disposal facilities which it may own or operate in the future. The Company has provided and will in the future provide accruals for these future financial obligations based on engineering estimates of consumption of permitted landfill airspace over the useful life of any such landfill. There can be no assurance that the Company s financial obligations for capping, closure or post-closure costs will not exceed the amount accrued and reserved or amounts otherwise receivable pursuant to trust funds.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the percentage relationship that certain items from the Company s consolidated financial statements bear in relation to revenues.

	Three Mont Ended July 2005		2006	
Revenues	100.0	%	100.0	%
Cost of operations	64.9		66.7	
General and administration	13.0		14.8	
Depreciation and amortization	12.2		12.5	
Operating income	9.9		6.0	
Interest expense, net	5.6		6.6	
(Income) loss from equity method investments	0.1		(0.1	)
Other income, net	(0.1	)	(0.1	)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	1.9		(0.4	)
Net (loss) income	2.4	%	(0.0)	)%

#### Three months Ended July 31, 2006 versus 2005

*Revenues* - Revenues increased \$11.5 million, or 8.7 % to \$143.5 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$132.0 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. Revenues from the rollover effect of acquired businesses accounted for \$7.4 million of the increase, including tuck-in hauling acquisitions in the Central and Western regions, newly acquired landfill closure projects in the Central and South Eastern regions, the acquisition of two recycling facilities and a small recyclable material transfer station in the FCR region and the new Chemung contract to operate a landfill and transfer station in the Western region. The effect of acquisitions was partially offset by \$0.5 million as a result of the transfer of a Canadian recycling operation to its former manager. The revenue increase is also attributable to an increase in solid waste revenues of \$3.9 million, primarily due to higher prices and volumes in the North Eastern, Western and Central regions, offset by lower volumes in the South Eastern region. Excluding the rollover effect of acquisitions, the FCR region revenue increased \$0.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the quarter ended July 31, 2005 due primarily to volume increases, average commodity pricing being down year over year.

*Cost of operations* - Cost of operations increased \$10.0 million or 11.7% to \$95.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$85.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues increased to 66.7% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 64.9% in the prior year. The percentage increase in cost of operations expense is primarily due to higher fuel costs as well as higher landfill costs, such as leachate treatment and ground maintenance, due to historically high levels of rainfall in the quarter ended July 31, 2006.

*General and administration* - General and administration expenses increased \$4.0 million, or 23.0% to \$21.2 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$17.2 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005, and

increased as a percentage of revenues to 14.8% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 13.0% in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The dollar increase in general and administration expenses was due primarily to higher compensation, legal, communication and marketing costs and bad debt allowances.

*Depreciation and amortization* - Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.8 million, or 11.2%, to \$17.9 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$16.1 million in the quarter ended July, 31, 2005. Depreciation expense increased by \$1.0 million between periods due to the rollover effect of capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$0.8 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project and the Chemung County landfill, partially offset by a decrease in the South Eastern region due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project.

Operating income - Operating income decreased by \$4.3 million, or 33.2%, to \$8.7 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$13.0 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005 and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 6.0% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to 9.9% in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. Except for the South Eastern region, the solid waste regions and the FCR region reported an increase in revenues year over year. The margin decrease across the solid waste regions was due to higher revenues being offset by higher operating costs as described above. The South Eastern region s decline in revenues and operating income in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 can be attributed primarily to historically high levels of rainfall which slowed seasonal construction activity, as well as a weak pricing environment for the Worcester closure project, which was expected to offset the completion of the Brockton closure project. FCR s operating income decreased in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year mainly due to the effect of lower commodity prices, partially offset by the effect of acquisitions.

*Interest expense, net* - Net interest expense increased \$2.2 million, or 29.3% to \$9.5 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$7.3 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. This increase is attributable to higher average interest rates, partially offset by lower net borrowings in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year comparable period. Net interest expense, as a percentage of revenues, increased to 6.6% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 5.6% in the quarter ended July 31, 2005.

(*Income*) loss from equity method investments - The income from equity method investment in the quarter ended July 31, 2006, relates to the Company s 50% joint venture interest in GreenFiber and the Company s 24.11% interest in RecycleBank LLC, a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. GreenFiber reported income for which the Company s share was \$0.3 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 compared to a loss of \$0.1 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The increase is attributable to higher sales volume and prices in the current fiscal year compared to the prior year comparable period. In fiscal year 2006 the Company made an investment in RecycleBank, which reported a loss for the quarter ended July 31, 2006, of which the Company s share was \$0.2 million.

*Other income, net* - Other income in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 was \$0.1 million compared to \$0.0 million in the quarter ended July 31, 2005.

*Provision for income taxes* - Provision for income taxes decreased \$3.1 million to (\$0.6) million for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from \$2.5 million for the quarter ended July 31, 2005. The effective tax rate increased to 92.0% for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 from 44.4% for the quarter ended July 31, 2005 primarily due to the change in pre-tax book income. The high rate in the current period was due mainly to the low level of book income and the add back of non-deductible items, including non-deductible stock option expense. The tax rate for the remainder of the year is likely to be volatile, since it is sensitive to changes in pre-tax book income.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company s business is capital intensive. The Company s capital requirements include acquisitions, fixed asset purchases and capital expenditures for landfill development and cell construction, as well as site and cell closure. The Company s capital expenditures are broadly defined as pertaining to either growth or maintenance activities. Growth capital expenditures are defined as costs related to development of new airspace, permit expansions, new recycling contracts along with incremental costs of equipment and infrastructure added to further such activities. Growth capital expenditures include the cost of equipment added directly as a result of new business as well as expenditures associated with increasing infrastructure to increase throughput at transfer stations and recycling facilities. Growth capital expenditures also include those outlays associated with acquiring landfill operating leases, which do not meet the operating lease payment definition, but which were included as a commitment in the successful bid. Maintenance capital expenditures are defined as landfill cell construction costs not related to expansion airspace, costs for normal permit renewals and replacement costs for equipment due to age or obsolescence.

The Company had a net working capital deficit of \$22.7 million and \$23.2 million at July 31, 2006 and April 30, 2006, respectively. Net working capital comprises current assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents, minus current liabilities. At July 31, 2006, higher trade receivables associated with higher revenues were offset by higher accrued interest associated primarily with the Company s senior notes.

On April 29, 2005, the Company entered into a senior credit facility with a group of banks for which Bank of America is acting as agent. The facility consists of a senior secured revolving credit facility in the amount of \$350.0 million. This credit facility is secured by all of the Company s assets, including the Company s interest in the equity securities of the Company s subsidiaries. The revolving credit facility matures April 2010.

The Company completed an amendment to the credit facility agreement on June 2, 2006. This amended the capital expenditure covenant to 2.00X depreciation and landfill amortization effective April 30, 2006. The minimum interest coverage ratio was amended and will decrease from a ratio of 2.75 to 2.50 for the period July 31, 2007 through July 31, 2008. The maximum consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio increased to 5.25 effective July 31, 2006 through April 30, 2007; reducing to 5.00 from July 31, 2007 through April 30, 2008 and 4.75 thereafter. In the event that all of the Company s Series A Preferred Stock are converted into common stock, the consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio increased to 3.35 effective July 31, 2006 through April 30, 2007 and 3.25 thereafter. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at July 31, 2006.

On July 25, 2006 the Company amended its existing senior credit facility utilizing the accordion feature to provide for an additional \$100.0 million of borrowing capacity in the form of an increase of \$10.0 million in the revolving facility, under terms consistent with existing credit facility, and a senior secured term B loan in the principal amount of \$90.0 million. The proceeds from the issuance of the term B loan were utilized to repay outstanding revolver borrowings under the credit facility. The term B loan matures on April 28, 2010 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with annual principal payments of \$0.9 million for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity. The interest rate drops to LIBOR plus 1.75% after the first six months, as long as the consolidated total funded debt to consolidated EBITDA ratio is below 4.75 times.

Further advances were available under the new revolver in the amount of \$155.6 million and \$65.4 million as of July 31, 2006 and April 30, 2006, respectively. These available amounts are net of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit totaling \$58.4 million and \$57.7 million as of July 31, 2006 and April 30, 2006, respectively, at which dates no amounts had been drawn.

The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75.0 million, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under

the Company s term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars (Collars) for a notional amount of \$80.0 million. The Collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and will be effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements will be specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility and will be accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

As of July 31, 2006, the Company had outstanding \$195.0 million of 9.75% senior subordinated notes (the Notes ) which mature in January 2013. The senior subordinated note agreement contains covenants that restrict dividends, stock repurchases and other payments, and limits the incurrence of debt and issuance of preferred stock. The Notes are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally by the Company s significant wholly-owned subsidiaries.

On December 28, 2005, the Company completed a \$25.0 million financing transaction involving the issuance by the Finance Authority of Maine (the Authority) of \$25.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds Series 2005 (the Bonds). The Bonds are issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of December 1, 2005 (the Indenture) and are enhanced by an irrevocable, transferable direct-pay letter of credit issued by Bank of America, N.A. Pursuant to a Financing Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, the Company has borrowed the proceeds of the Bonds to pay for certain costs relating to equipment acquisition for solid waste collection and transportation services, all located in Maine. At July 31, 2006, remaining issuance proceeds of \$1.1 million were recorded as restricted cash to be used to pay for the further capital costs in Maine as they are incurred.

Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$18.4 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to \$22.6 million for the same period of the prior fiscal year. A decrease in net income of \$3.2 million in the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended July 31, 2005 was offset by higher depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.8 million and higher depletion of landfill lease obligations of \$0.5 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the same period of the prior fiscal year. Depreciation expense increased by \$1.1 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the prior year comparable period due to the roll over effect of capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$0.8 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project and the Chemung County landfill, partially offset by a decrease in the South Eastern region due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project. Deferred taxes decreased \$2.9 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006. Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures, was unchanged from the prior year. The decrease in accounts payable during the three months ended July 31, 2006, amounted to a \$0.1 million reduction compared with an increase of \$2.0 million in the prior year comparable period. The decrease is due primarily to lower accounts payable at July 31, 2006 versus the prior year related to timing of capital expenditures. Changes in other assets and liabilities increased \$2.1 million from the prior year due primarily to the following: (1) lower payroll accruals at April 30, 2006 associated with year end bonus accruals amounting to a \$3.2 million increase, (2) higher accruals in the quarter ended July 31, 2006 associated with various capital projects resulting in a \$2.7 million increase partially offset by (3) lower interest accruals amounting to \$1.3 million in the current period associated with the timing of borrowings as well as (4) reductions associated with higher prepaid expenses and net refundable income taxes amounting \$2.3 million in the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended July 31, 2005.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$28.6 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to \$35.5 million used in investing activities in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The decrease in cash

used in investing activities was due to \$4.4 million in funds becoming available from escrow associated with the Company s revenue bonds as well as lower capital expenditures amounting to \$2.3 million in the current year.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$9.5 million for the three months ended July 31, 2006 compared to \$11.0 million in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The decrease in cash provided by financing activities is primarily due to lower net borrowings in the current period. The term B loan proceeds were used to pay down the revolver for no net change in total borrowings.

The Company generally meets liquidity needs from operating cash flow and its senior credit facility. These liquidity needs are primarily for capital expenditures for vehicles, containers and landfill development, debt service costs and capping, closure and post-closure expenditures. It is the Company s intention to continue to grow organically and through acquisitions.

The Company s leverage may further increase as the Company will be required to redeem its outstanding Series A redeemable preferred stock on August 11, 2007, if it is not otherwise repurchased by the Company prior to that time. The aggregate redemption price is expected to be approximately \$75.1 million. The Company would need to incur more debt or raise equity to affect this redemption.

The Company has filed a universal shelf registration statement with the SEC. The Company could from time to time issue securities thereunder in an amount of up to \$250.0 million. However, the Company s ability and willingness to issue securities pursuant to this registration statement will depend on market conditions at the time of any such desired offering and therefore the Company may not be able to issue such securities on favorable terms, if at all.

#### Inflation and Prevailing Economic Conditions

To date, inflation has not had a significant impact on the Company s operations. Consistent with industry practice, most of the Company s contracts provide for a pass-through of certain costs, including increases in landfill tipping fees and, in some cases, fuel costs. The Company therefore believes it should be able to implement price increases sufficient to offset most cost increases resulting from inflation. However, competitive factors may require the Company to absorb at least a portion of these cost increases, particularly during periods of high inflation.

The Company s business is located mainly in the eastern United States. Therefore, the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations are susceptible to downturns in the general economy in this geographic region and other factors affecting the region, such as state regulations and severe weather conditions. The Company is unable to forecast or determine the timing and /or the future impact of a sustained economic slowdown.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Interest rate volatility

The Company had interest rate risk relating to approximately \$186.0 million of long-term debt at July 31, 2006. The interest rate on the variable rate portion of long-term debt was approximately 6.95% at July 31, 2006. Should the average interest rate on the variable rate portion of long-term debt change by 100 basis points, it would have an approximate interest expense change of \$0.5 million for the quarter reported.

The remainder of the Company s long-term debt is at fixed rates and not subject to interest rate risk.

#### Commodity price volatility

The Company is subject to commodity price fluctuations related to the portion of its sales of recyclable commodities that are not under floor or flat pricing arrangements. As of July 31, 2006, to minimize the Company s commodity exposure, the Company was party to thirty-four commodity hedging agreements. If commodity prices were to have changed by 10% in the quarter ended July 31, 2006, the impact on the Company s operating income is estimated at \$1.3 million, without considering the Company s hedging agreements. The effect of the hedge position would reduce the impact by approximately \$0.2 million. The Company does not use financial instruments for trading purposes and is not a party to any leveraged derivatives.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. The Company s management, with the participation of its a) chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of July 31, 2006. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of July 31, 2006, the Company s chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of such date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

b) *Changes in internal controls.* No change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended July 31, 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the Superior Court ) ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the Town) could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company s landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. ( NCES ), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES s 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate Stage II, Phase II of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the Supreme Court ) denied the Town s appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court s 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town s height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company s petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town s 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town s height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town s right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town s Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town s ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court s ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court s opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town s 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between users of land rather than uses of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town s ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town s regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town s cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference

was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

On March 2, 2005, the Company s subsidiary Casella Waste Management of Pennsylvania, Inc. ( CWMPA ) was issued an Administrative Order by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) revoking CWMPA s transfer station permit for its 75-ton-per-day transfer station located in Wellsboro, Pennsylvania and ordering that the site be closed. The DEP based its decision on certain alleged violations related to recordkeeping and site management over a five-year period. On March 10, 2005, CWMPA appealed the Order to the State s Environmental Hearing Board (EHB). The Pennsylvania Attorney General s Office also conducted a criminal investigation of the allegations. On March 17, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP mutually agreed to a Supersedeas Order approved by the EHB which superseded the March 2, 2005 DEP Order, stating that CWMPA agreed to (i) voluntarily cease operations at the transfer station until May 16, 2005; (ii) relocate its hauling company before May 16, 2005; and (iii) develop a Management and Operation Plan for the transfer station by May 16, 2005. On May 17, 2005, the EHB judge extended the Supersedeas Order until June 10, 2005 and authorized the transfer station to resume operations upon completion of the relocation of the hauling company and receipt of a permit modification related to the weighing of bag waste from individual customers. CWMPA satisfied the conditions and recommenced operations at the transfer station on May 20, 2005. On June 9, 2005, CWMPA and the DEP filed a stipulation with the EHB withdrawing and voiding the March 2, 2005 Order revoking the permit, while reserving the DEP s right to seek civil penalties and the Company s right to defend against any such penalties. On March 9, 2006, the Company reached an agreement with the Attorney General s Office that resolved its investigation with a misdemeanor fine in the amount of \$35,000 plus a \$15,000 contribution to a non-profit environmental organization. The Company also reached a settlement with the DEP whereby the Company agreed to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$400,000. The Company paid this amount in July 2006.

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

See the Company s risk factors as previously disclosed in its Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006.

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

#### ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None

#### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The exhibits that are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or that are incorporated by reference herein are set forth in the Exhibit Index hereto.

#### SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Casella Waste Systems, Inc.

Date: September 8, 2006

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris Richard A. Norris Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

#### **Exhibit Index**

- 10.1 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement by and Among the Company, the Borrowers, the Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender and L/C Issuer dated June 2, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s current report on Form 8-K filed June 8, 2006 (file no. 000-23211)).
- 10.2 Written Description of Cash Bonus Plan for Executive Officers approved by the Compensation Committee June 15, 2006.
- 10.3 Conforming Amendment to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement by and among the Company, the Borrowers, the Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender and L/C Issuer dated July 25, 2006.
- 31.1 Certification of John W. Casella, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15(d) 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Richard A. Norris, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15(d) 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. S 1350 of John W. Casella, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. S 1350 of Richard A. Norris, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

Filed herewith

Furnished herewith