Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. Form N-CSR January 09, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-022011

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

522 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

10036 (Zip code)

John Gernon

522 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10036 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 212-296-0289

Date of fiscal year October 31, 2013

end:

Date of reporting period: October 31, 2013

Item 1 - Report to Shareholders

Directors

Frank L. Bowman

Michael Bozic

Kathleen A. Dennis

James F. Higgins

Dr. Manuel H. Johnson

Joseph J. Kearns

Michael F. Klein

Michael E. Nugent

W. Allen Reed

Fergus Reid

Officers

Michael E. Nugent

Chairperson of the Board

John H. Gernon

President and Principal Executive Officer

Mary Ann Picciotto

Chief Compliance Officer

Stefanie V. Chang Yu

Vice President

Francis J. Smith

Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer

Mary E. Mullin

Secretary

Adviser and Administrator

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.

522 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10036

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company

One Lincoln Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

Stockholder Servicing Agent

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

211 Quality Circle, Suite 210 College Station, Texas 77845

Legal Counsel

Dechert LLP

1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Counsel to the Independent Directors

Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP

200 Clarendon Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

For additional Fund information, including the Fund's net asset value per share and information regarding the investments comprising the Fund's portfolio, please call toll free 1 (800) 231-2608 or visit our website at

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR www.morganstanley.com/im. All investments involve risks, including the possible loss of principal.

© 2013 Morgan Stanley.

CEEDDANN 772499 EXP. 12.31.14

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. Adviser

Morgan Stanley

Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

NYSE: EDD

Annual Report

October 31, 2013

2

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

October 31, 2013

Table of Contents

Letter to Stockholders	3
Investment Advisory Agreement Approval	6
Portfolio of Investments	9
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	12
Statement of Operations	13
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	14
Statement of Cash Flows	15
Financial Highlights	16
Notes to Financial Statements	17
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting	
Firm	27
Portfolio Management	28
Investment Policy	29
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	32
U.S. Privacy Policy	33
Director and Officer Information	37

7

October 31, 2013

Letter to Stockholders (unaudited)

Performance

For the year ended October 31, 2013, the Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") had total returns of -1.08%, based on net asset value, and -7.21% based on market value per share (including reinvestment of distributions), compared to its benchmark, the J.P. Morgan Government Bond Index Emerging Markets Global Diversified Index (the "Index")*, which returned -1.60%. On October 31, 2013, the closing price of the Fund's shares on the New York Stock Exchange was \$14.35, representing a 12.8% discount to the Fund's net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

Factors Affecting Performance

- Despite drawn-out U.S. fiscal cliff negotiations and anti-austerity labor protests sweeping across Europe, global risk assets including emerging markets debt strengthened in the final two months of 2012, as manufacturing activity in China expanded, U.S. presidential election uncertainty abated, and the likelihood of a Greek euro exit fell, prompting investors to take a more optimistic tone toward global growth.
- In a tepid start to 2013, global risk assets weakened in the first quarter of 2013, as fears about Europe's ability to contain its crisis, lower global growth prospects (mainly from Europe), and U.S. debt ceiling and sequestration talks negatively impacted risk assets. However, emerging markets debt strengthened in late spring, with the Bank of Japan's announcement for additional liquidity providing stimulus offset concerns about growth in China, cantankerous exchanges between North Korea and a U.S./South Korea alliance threatening the regional balance, and increased fears about homegrown terrorism following a terror attack at the Boston Marathon.
- During the summer, emerging markets came under significant pressure as external debt spreads widened, domestic debt yields rose and emerging markets currencies weakened versus the U.S. dollar and the euro. During the second quarter, the 10-year U.S. Treasury yield rose by 64 basis points to 2.49%, largely because of positive economic data releases, the Federal Reserve's (Fed) optimistic growth outlook, and signs of an improving labor market. This led to a widespread perception among investors that the Fed would begin "tapering" its quantitative easing (QE) program sooner than previously expected. The generalized weakness in emerging markets accrued mainly from a shift in positioning rather than in fundamentals, as near-record outflows (which stabilized toward the end of June) from the asset class weighed on prices and investor sentiment. For the last few years, investors had been increasing their exposure to emerging markets debt due to the relative higher growth rates of emerging market versus developed market economies, the strong balance sheets in emerging markets, and the additional yield available versus traditional "safe haven" assets. These attributes may have also attracted investors who are tactical in nature and wanted to participate in the "carry trade," a strategy seeking to take advantage of the yield differences between a country with low interest rates and one with higher interest rates. For investors of this nature, a change in the pace of Fed accommodation seemed to be sufficient reason to reduce their exposure to emerging markets.
- Emerging markets assets in the third quarter exhibited erratic behavior, rattled by uncertainty over the direction of monetary policy in the U.S. and political wrangling leading to a U.S. government shutdown at the end of the quarter. Overall, the third quarter was largely a wash in terms of Index performance, with emerging markets debt spreads almost flat, domestic debt yields

October 31, 2013

Letter to Stockholders (unaudited) (cont'd)

rallying slightly, and emerging markets currencies marginally weakening vis-à-vis the U.S. dollar. During the quarter, the 10-year U.S. Treasury yield rose by 12 basis points to 2.61%, after fluctuating wildly in response to mixed growth data and unclear Fed communication regarding monetary policy. In that regard, markets—which had been pricing in a September start date for QE tapering—were surprised by Fed Chairman Bernanke's dovish remarks in July, then by stronger-than-expected U.S. activity and labor data in August, and finally by the Fed's decision in September to keep QE in place. Emerging markets assets were not immune to this heightened volatility and their performance closely followed the progression of the 10-year U.S. Treasury yield. Market sentiment toward emerging markets marginally improved during the quarter, buoyed by positive policy response in countries singled out as vulnerable in a tighter global liquidity environment, an improved growth outlook in economies linked to core Europe, and expectations for better relative performance after the second quarter's severe underperformance. This improved tone toward the asset class was enough to help stabilize returns, but it did little to curb flows out of the asset class. Nonetheless, our research reveals that most of the outflows sustained by the asset class so far this year corresponded to retail investors, while institutional investor flows turned out to be more resilient and with upside potential given that their emerging markets allocations remain below model portfolio weights.

- Emerging markets assets continued to recover in October, buoyed by a temporary resolution of the U.S. government shutdown/debt ceiling showdown and by expectations that QE tapering will be postponed from year end to around March 2014. Meanwhile, positive news from China provided further support to emerging markets assets: the government reported a solid third quarter gross domestic product (GDP) growth rate of 7.8% year-over-year, on the back of Premier Li's stimulus measures aimed at meeting the 7.5% growth target for this year.
- The Fund benefited from overweight allocations to Mexico, Poland, and Hungary, and shorter-than-benchmark duration in Brazil and Indonesia. In addition, an off-Index exposure to Venezuelan external debt aided relative returns, as did a Japanese yen short position managed with a foreign currency forward contract, which was utilized to offset broad U.S. dollar strength during the summer months.
- Conversely, shorter-than-benchmark duration in Russia and overweights in Turkey and Colombia detracted from relative performance.

Management Strategies

• For the time being, we believe central banks in the developed world are likely to maintain high levels of monetary accommodation, in an effort to support a still-fragile global economy. In particular, and following lackluster U.S. job market data in October, the Fed is now expected to postpone the withdrawal of monetary stimulus until March 2014, with the exact timing also highly dependent on the outcome of upcoming negotiations over the debt ceiling. Similarly, we expect the European Central Bank and Bank of England to continue their accommodative monetary stance, as to not disrupt the incipient recovery in activity and amid a subdued inflation backdrop.

October 31, 2013

Letter to Stockholders (unaudited) (cont'd)

• Such a supportive global backdrop could offer vulnerable emerging market countries a window of opportunity to enact needed structural reform. However, the opportunity will likely diminish as growth in the developed world becomes entrenched and results in less-accommodative policies. Such a scenario, though, is not at odds with more stable emerging market flows and positive emerging market returns, as macroeconomic fundamentals in the emerging markets remain strong and may improve vis-à-vis those of the developed world. We also note that the performance of emerging markets asset prices in the longer term will depend on initial conditions and policy responses. As such, we continue to see opportunities to add selectively into the asset class, particularly in those countries where risk premiums have widened and currencies have weakened despite improving fundamentals.

Sincerely,

John H. Gernon

President and Principal Executive Officer November 2013

*J.P. Morgan Government Bond Index Emerging Markets Global Diversified Index tracks local currency government bonds issued by emerging markets. It is not possible to invest directly in an Index.

October 31, 2013

Investment Advisory Agreement Approval (unaudited)

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

The Board reviewed and considered the nature and extent of the investment advisory services provided by the Adviser (as defined herein) under the advisory agreement, including portfolio management, investment research and equity and fixed income securities trading. The Board also reviewed and considered the nature and extent of the non-advisory, administrative services provided by the Fund's Adviser under the administration agreement, including accounting, clerical, bookkeeping, compliance, business management and planning, and the provision of supplies, office space and utilities at the Adviser's expense. (The advisory and administration agreements together are referred to as the "Management Agreement.") The Board also compared the nature of the services provided by the Adviser with similar services provided by non-affiliated advisers as reported to the Board by Lipper, Inc. ("Lipper").

The Board reviewed and considered the qualifications of the portfolio managers, the senior administrative managers and other key personnel of the Adviser who provide the advisory and administrative services to the Fund. The Board determined that the Adviser's portfolio managers and key personnel are well qualified by education and/or training and experience to perform the services in an efficient and professional manner. The Board concluded that the nature and extent of the advisory and administrative services provided were necessary and appropriate for the conduct of the business and investment activities of the Fund and supported its decision to approve the Management Agreement.

Performance, Fees and Expenses of the Fund

The Board reviewed the performance, fees and expenses of the Fund compared to its peers, as determined by Lipper, and to appropriate benchmarks where applicable. The Board discussed with the Adviser the performance goals and the actual results achieved in managing the Fund. When considering a fund's performance, the Board and the Adviser place emphasis on trends and longer-term returns (focusing on one-year, three-year and five-year performance as of December 31, 2012, or since inception, as applicable). When a fund underperforms its benchmark and/or its peer group average, the Board and the Adviser discuss the causes of such underperformance and, where necessary, they discuss specific changes to investment strategy or investment personnel. The Board noted that the Fund's performance was better than its peer group average for the one- and five-year periods but below its peer group average for the three-year period. The Board discussed with the Adviser the level of the advisory and administration fees (together, the "management fee") for this Fund relative to comparable funds and/or other accounts advised by the Adviser and/or compared to its peers as determined by Lipper. In addition to the management fee, the Board also reviewed the Fund's total expense ratio. When a fund's management fee and/or its total expense ratio are higher than its peers, the Board and the Adviser discuss the reasons for this and, where appropriate, they discuss possible waivers and/or caps. The Board noted that the Fund's management fee and total expense ratio were higher than its peer group average. After discussion, the Board concluded that the Fund's (i) performance was competitive with its peer group average; and (ii) management fee and total expense ratio were acceptable.

Economies of Scale

The Board considered the size and growth prospects of the Fund and how that relates to the Fund's total expense ratio and particularly the Fund's management fee rate, which does not include breakpoints. In conjunction with its review of the Adviser's profitability, the Board discussed with the Adviser how a change in assets can affect the efficiency or effectiveness of managing the Fund and whether

October 31, 2013

Investment Advisory Agreement Approval (unaudited) (cont'd)

the management fee level is appropriate relative to current and projected asset levels and/or whether the management fee structure reflects economies of scale as asset levels change. The Board considered that, with respect to closed-end funds, the assets are not likely to grow with new sales or grow significantly as a result of capital appreciation. The Board concluded that economies of scale for the Fund were not a factor that needed to be considered at the present time.

Profitability of the Adviser and Affiliates

The Board considered information concerning the costs incurred and profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates during the last year from their relationship with the Fund and during the last two years from their relationship with the Morgan Stanley Fund Complex and reviewed with the Adviser the cost allocation methodology used to determine the profitability of the Adviser and affiliates. The Board has determined that its review of the analysis of the Adviser's expenses and profitability supports its decision to approve the Management Agreement.

Other Benefits of the Relationship

The Board considered other benefits to the Adviser and its affiliates derived from their relationship with the Fund and other funds advised by the Adviser. These benefits may include, among other things, "float" benefits derived from handling of checks for purchases and sales, research received by the Adviser generated from commission dollars spent on funds' portfolio trading and fees for distribution and/or shareholder servicing. The Board reviewed with the Adviser each of these arrangements and the reasonableness of the Adviser's costs relative to the services performed. The Board has determined that its review of the other benefits received by the Adviser or its affiliates supports its decision to approve the Management Agreement.

Resources of the Adviser and Historical Relationship Between the Fund and the Adviser

The Board considered whether the Adviser is financially sound and has the resources necessary to perform its obligations under the Management Agreement. The Board also reviewed and considered the historical relationship between the Fund and the Adviser, including the organizational structure of the Adviser, the policies and procedures formulated and adopted by the Adviser for managing the Fund's operations and the Board's confidence in the competence and integrity of the senior managers and key personnel of the Adviser. The Board concluded that the Adviser has the financial resources necessary to fulfill its obligations under the Management Agreement and that it is beneficial for the Fund to continue its relationship with the Adviser.

Other Factors and Current Trends

The Board considered the controls and procedures adopted and implemented by the Adviser and monitored by the Fund's Chief Compliance Officer and concluded that the conduct of business by the Adviser indicates a good faith effort on its part to adhere to high ethical standards in the conduct of the Fund's business.

General Conclusion

After considering and weighing all of the above factors, the Board concluded that it would be in the best interest of the Fund and its shareholders to approve renewal of the Management Agreement for another year. In reaching this

conclusion the Board did not give particular weight to any single factor referenced above. The Board considered these factors over the course of numerous meetings,

October 31, 2013

Investment Advisory Agreement Approval (unaudited) (cont'd)

some of which were in executive session with only the independent Board members and their counsel present. It is possible that individual Board members may have weighed these factors differently in reaching their individual decisions to approve the Management Agreement.

October 31, 2013

Portfolio of Investments

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

	Face Amount (000)	Value (000)
FIXED INCOME SECURITIES (99.1%)	, ,	
Brazil (16.8%)		
Sovereign (16.8%)		
Brazil Notas do Tesouro		
Nacional, Series F,		
10.00%, 1/1/14 - 1/1/23	BRL 574,616	\$ 254,987
Chile (0.6%)		
Sovereign (0.6%)		
Chile Government		
International Bond,		
5.50%, 8/5/20	CLP 4,665,000	9,357
Colombia (3.7%)		
Sovereign (3.7%)		
Colombia Government		
International Bond,	000 00 000	17.040
7.75%, 4/14/21	COP 29,000,000	17,642
9.85%, 6/28/27	46,000,000	32,682
12.00%, 10/22/15	11,000,000	6,630
Hungany (9.00/)		56,954
Hungary (8.0%)		
Sovereign (8.0%)		
Hungary Government Bond,	HUF 8,500,000	41 000
6.75%, 2/24/17 7.50%, 11/12/20	15,180,000	41,980 79,608
7.50 %, 11/12/20	13,160,000	121,588
Indonesia (5.8%)		121,500
Sovereign (5.8%)		
Barclays Bank PLC, Indonesia		
Government Bonds,		
Credit Linked Notes,		
10.00%, 7/17/17 (a)(b)	IDR360,000,000	34,982
Credit Suisse, Indonesia	1011000,000,000	01,002
Government Bonds,		
Credit Linked Notes,		
10.00%, 7/17/17	154,683,530	15,031
Deutsche Bank AG, Republic of	60,000,000	6,409
Indonesia Government Bond,	,,	-,
Credit Linked Notes,		
,		

11.00%, 12/15/20 (a)(b)			
		Face Amount	Value
		(000)	(000)
JPMorgan Chase Bank, London, Indonesia Government Bonds, Credit Linked Notes,			
8.25%, 7/17/21		35,000,000	\$ 12,531
10.00%, 7/19/17 (b)	1	92,525,000	18,709
			87,662
Malaysia (3.2%)			
Sovereign (3.2%)			
Malaysia Government Bond,	–		
3.84%, 8/12/15	MYR	110,000	35,365
5.09%, 4/30/14		42,152	13,503
Maxiaa (40.00/)			48,868
Mexico (10.9%)			
Sovereign (10.9%) Mexican Bonos,			
7.50%, 6/3/27	MXN	72,585	6,089
8.00%, 6/11/20	IVIXIN	1,094,027	96,022
Petroleos Mexicanos (Units),		1,034,027	90,022
7.65%, 11/24/21 (a)(c)		791,300	64,413
7.0070, 11724721 (a)(b)		731,000	166,524
Peru (1.9%)			100,021
Sovereign (1.9%)			
Peru Government Bond,			
7.84%, 8/12/20	PEN	37,745	15,870
Peruvian Government International Bond (Units),			
7.84%, 8/12/20 (c)		30,000	12,613
7.0476, 6/12/20 (6)		30,000	28,483
Philippines (1.1%)			20, 100
Sovereign (1.1%)			
Philippine Government			
International Bond,			
4.95%, 1/15/21	PHP	648,000	16,646
Poland (10.0%)			
Sovereign (10.0%)			
Poland Government Bond,			
3.75%, 4/25/18	PLN	18,547	6,107
5.25%, 10/25/20		314,000	110,453
5.50%, 10/25/19		98,947	35,215
			151,775

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Portfolio of Investments (cont'd)

(Showing Percentage of Total Value of Investments)

		Face Amount	Value
		(000)	(000)
Russia (12.4%)		` ,	, ,
Corporate Bond (0.8%)			
VimpelCom Holdings BV,			
9.00%, 2/13/18 (a)	RUB	378,100	\$ 11,966
Sovereign (11.6%)			
Russian Federal Bond OFZ,			
7.05%, 1/19/28		875,000	26,315
8.15%, 2/3/27		3,150,000	104,408
Russian Foreign Bond			
Eurobond,			
7.85%, 3/10/18		290,000	9,427
7.85%, 3/10/18 (a)		1,095,000	35,594
			175,744
			187,710
South Africa (11.3%)			
Sovereign (11.3%)			
Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd.,			
5.75%, 1/26/21	\$	47,400	48,229
South Africa Government Bond,			
6.75%, 3/31/21	ZAR	774,700	74,707
7.25%, 1/15/20		481,524	48,199
			171,135
Turkey (13.4%)			
Corporate Bond (3.3%)			
Turkiye Garanti Bankasi AS,			
7.38%, 3/7/18 (a)	TRY	111,480	50,930
Sovereign (10.1%)			
Turkey Government Bond,			
10.50%, 1/15/20		278,825	153,782
			204,712
TOTAL FIXED INCOME			
SECURITIES			
(Cost \$1,639,693)			1,506,401
CHORT TERM INVESTMENT (2.22)		Shares	
SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT (0.9%)			
Investment Company (0.9%)	_	4 100 017	11110
Morgan Stanley Institutional	1	4,139,917	14,140
Liquidity Funds Money Market			
Portfolio Institutional Class			

(See Note F) (Cost \$14,140)

TOTAL INVESTMENTS

(100.0%)

(Cost \$1,653,833) (d) 1,520,541

LIABILITIES IN EXCESS OF

 OTHER ASSETS
 (348,522)

 NET ASSETS
 \$1,172,019

- (a) 144A security Certain conditions for public sale may exist. Unless otherwise noted, these securities are deemed to be liquid.
- (b) Variable/Floating Rate Security Interest rate changes on these instruments are based on changes in a designated base rate. The rates shown are those in effect on October 31, 2013.
- (c) Consists of one or more classes of securities traded together as a unit.
- (d) Securities are available for collateral in connection with open futures contracts.

Futures Contracts:

The Fund had the following futures contracts open at October 31, 2013:

	Number of Contracts	Value (000)	Expiration Date	Depr	ealized eciation 000)		
Short							
U.S. Treası	ırv						
10 yr.	•	\$(147,737)	Dec-13	\$	(937)		
OFZ	Obilgatsyi Federal'novo Zaim	,	al Loan Obligation)		, ,		
BRL	Brazilian Real						
CLP	Chilean Peso						
COP	Colombian Peso						
HUF	Hungarian Forint						
IDR	Indonesian Rupiah						
MXN	Mexican New Peso						
MYR	R Malaysian Ringgit						
PEN	Peruvian Nuevo Sol						
PHP	Philippine Peso						
PLN	Polish Zloty						
RUB	Russian Ruble						

TRY Turkish Lira

ZAR South African Rand

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

10

October 31, 2013

Portfolio of Investments (cont'd)

Portfolio Composition

Classification	Percentage of Total Investments
Sovereign	94.9%
Other*	5.1
Total Investments	100.0%**

^{*} Industries and/or investment types representing less than 5% of total investments.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

11

^{**} Does not include open short futures contracts with an underlying face amount of approximately \$147,737,000 and total unrealized depreciation of approximately \$937,000.

October 31, 2013

Financial Statements

Statement of Assets and Liabilities	October 31, 2013 (000)
Assets:	· ·
Investments in Securities of Unaffiliated Issuers, at Value (Cost \$1,639,693)	\$ 1,506,401
Investment in Security of Affiliated Issuer, at Value (Cost	Ψ 1,000,101
\$14,140)	14,140
Total Investments in Securities, at Value (Cost \$1,653,833)	1,520,541
Foreign Currency, at Value (Cost \$7,587)	7,467
Interest Receivable	34,743
Receivable for Lehman Brothers Closed Reverse	
Repurchase Transactions	9,246
Receivable for Variation Margin on Futures Contracts	2,063
Receivable from Affiliate	1
Other Assets	264
Total Assets	1,574,325
Liabilities:	
Payable for Line of Credit	400,147
Payable for Advisory Fees	1,332
Repurchase of Shares	508
Payable for Custodian Fees	139
Payable for Administration Fees	107
Payable for Professional Fees	13
Payable for Stockholder Servicing Agent Fees	1
Other Liabilities	59
Total Liabilities	402,306
Net Assets	
Applicable to 71,265,404 Issued and Outstanding \$0.01 Par	
Value Shares (100,000,000 Shares Authorized)	\$ 1,172,019
Net Assets Value Per Share	\$ 16.45
Net Assets Consist of:	
Common Stock	\$ 713
Paid-in-Capital	1,287,356
Accumulated Undistributed Net Investment Income	14,629
Accumulated Undistributed Net Realized Gain	3,719
Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on:	
Investments	(133,292)
Futures Contracts	(937)
Foreign Currency Translations	(169)
Net Assets	\$ 1,172,019

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Financial Statements (cont'd)

Chatamant of On austinus	Year Ended October 31, 2013
Statement of Operations Investment Income:	(000)
Interest from Securities of Unaffiliated Issuers	\$ 112,280
Dividends from Security of Affiliated Issuer (Note	\$ 112,280
	36
F) Total Investment Income	112,316
Expenses:	112,310
Advisory Fees (Note B)	16,730
Administration Fees (Note C)	1,338
Custodian Fees (Note D)	917
Administrative Fees on Line of Credit (Note G)	690
Professional Fees	213
Stockholder Reporting Expenses	187
Directors' Fees and Expenses	29
Stockholder Servicing Agent Fees	8
Other Expenses	130
Expenses Before Non Operating Expenses	20,242
Interest Expense on Line of Credit (Note G)	6,542
Total Expenses	26,784
Rebate from Morgan Stanley Affiliate (Note F)	(49)
Expense Offset (Note D)	(49)
Net Expenses	26,735
Net Investment Income	85,581
Realized Gain (Loss):	03,301
Investments Sold	10,409
Foreign Currency Forward Exchange Contracts	3,325
Foreign Currency Transactions	(2,144)
Futures Contracts	269
Net Realized Gain	11,859
Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation):	11,000
Investments	(118,891)
Foreign Currency Forward Exchange Contracts	86
Foreign Currency Translations	(373)
Futures Contracts	(937)
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation	(667)
(Depreciation)	(120,115)
Net Realized Gain and Change in Appreciation	(123,113)
(Depreciation)	(108,256)
Net Decrease in Net Assets Resulting from	()
Operations	\$ (22,675)
@ Amount is less than \$500.	, , ,
-	

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Financial Statements (cont'd)

	Year Ended October 31,	Year Ended October 31,
	2013	2012
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	(000)	(000)
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		
Operations:		
Net Investment Income	\$ 85,581	\$ 95,299
Net Realized Gain	11,859	9,225
Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation		
(Depreciation)	(120,115)	6,255
Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		
Resulting from Operations	(22,675)	110,779
Distributions from and/or in Excess of:		
Net Investment Income	(72,155)	(83,296)
Capital Share Transactions:		
Repurchase of Shares (1,166,132 and 0		
shares)	(16,252)	
Net Decrease in Net Assets Resulting		
from Capital Share Transactions	(16,252)	
Total Increase (Decrease)	(111,082)	27,483
Net Assets:		
Beginning of Period	1,283,101	1,255,618
End of Period (Including Accumulated		
Undistributed Net Investment Income of		
\$14,629 and \$12,245)	\$1,172,019	\$1,283,101
T ,		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Financial Statements (cont'd)

Statement of Cash Flows	Year Ended October 31, 2013 (000)
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:	, ,
Proceeds from Sales and Maturities of Long-Term	
Investments	\$ 1,196,266
Purchase of Long-Term Investments	(1,201,050)
Net (Increase) Decrease in Short-Term Investments	4,494
Net (Increase) Decrease in Foreign Currency	
Holdings	1,384
Net Realized Gain (Loss) for Foreign Currency	
Transactions, Foreign Currency	
Forward Exchange Contracts and Futures Contracts	1,450
Net Investment Income	85,581
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Investment Income to Net Cash F	Provided for (Used by)
Operating Activities:	
Net (Increase) Decrease in Receivables Related to	
Operations	(3,602)
Net (Increase) Decrease in Payables Related to	
Operations	(7)
Accretion/Amortization of Discounts and Premiums	3,383
Net Cash Provided for (Used by) Operating Activities	87,899
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:	
Cash Paid for Line of Credit	
Cash Paid for Repurchase of Shares	(15,744)
Cash Distribution Paid	(72,155)
Net Cash Provided for (Used by) Financing Activities	(87,899)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash	
Cash at Beginning of Period	
Cash at End of Period	\$
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:	
Interest Paid on Line of Credit during the Period	\$ 6,414
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the	e financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Financial Highlights

Selected Per Share Data and Ratios

		2013		Y 2012	ear En	ded October 2011	31,	2010		2009
Net Asset										
Value,										
Beginning										
of Period	\$	17.71	\$	17.34	\$	18.58	\$	16.17	\$	12.61
Net										
Investment				4.00		4.50		4 40		4.07
Income†		1.19		1.32		1.52		1.46		1.37
Net										
Realized										
and Unrealized										
Gain										
(Loss)		(1.48)		0.20		(1.56)		2.15		3.40
Total from		(1.40)		0.20		(1.50)		2.10		J. T U
Investment										
Operations		(0.29)		1.52		(0.04)		3.61		4.77
Distributions f	from	` ,	ess of:			(0.0.1)		0.0.		
Net										
Investment										
Income		(1.00)		(1.15)		(1.20)		(1.20)		(0.50)
Return of		, ,		` '		, ,		, ,		,
Capital										(0.75)
Total										
Distributions		(1.00)		(1.15)		(1.20)		(1.20)		(1.25)
Anti-Dilutive										
Effect of										
Share										
Repurchase		0.00								0.04
Program		0.03								0.04
Net Asset										
Value, End of										
Period	\$	16.45	\$	17.71	\$	17.34	\$	18.58	\$	16.17
Per Share	φ	10.40	φ	17./1	φ	17.0 4	φ	10.30	φ	10.17
Market										
Value,										
End of										
Period	\$	14.35	\$	16.47	\$	15.87	\$	17.29	\$	13.75
TOTAL INVE					•					
		(7.21)%		11.38%		(1.34)%		35.60%		57.23%
		,				. ,				

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

Market Value					
Net Asset					
Value(1)	(1.08)%	9.61%	0.32%	23.83%	42.32%
	PPLEMÈNTÁL DA				
Net					
Assets,					
End of					
Period					
(Thousands)	\$1,172,019	\$1,283,101	\$1,255,618	\$1,345,669	\$1,171,520
Ratio of					
Expenses					
to Average					
Net Assets	2.10%+	2.16%+	2.07%+	2.28%+	2.20%+
Ratio of					
Expenses					
to Average					
Net Assets					
Excluding Non					
Operating					
Expenses	1.59%+	1.59%+	1.55%+	1.62%+	1.58%+
Ratio of	1.00 /01	1.00701	1.00 /01	1.02 /01	1.00701
Net					
Investment					
Income to					
Average					
Net Assets	6.72%+	7.63%+	8.36%+	8.61%+	9.60%+
Ratio of					
Rebate					
from					
Morgan					
Stanley					
Affiliates to					
Average	0.000/.0	0.000/0	0.000/.0	0.000/0	0.000/0
Net Assets	0.00%§	0.00%§	0.00%§	0.00%§	0.00%§
Portfolio					
Turnover	750/	C 10/	1000/	400/	740/
Rate	75%	64%	100%	42%	74%

⁽¹⁾ Total investment return based on net asset value per share reflects the effects of changes in net asset value on the performance of the Fund during each period, and assumes dividends and distributions, if any, were reinvested. This percentage is not an indication of the performance of a stockholder's investment in the Fund based on market value due to differences between the market price of the stock and the net asset value per share of the Fund.

[†] Per share amount is based on average shares outstanding.

⁺ The Ratios of Expenses and Net Investment Income reflect the rebate of certain Fund expenses in connection with the investments in Morgan Stanley affiliates during the period. The effect of the rebate on the ratios is disclosed in the above table as "Ratio of Rebate from Morgan Stanley Affiliates to Average Net Assets."

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR § Amount is less than 0.005%.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements

The Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") was incorporated in Maryland on January 25, 2007 and is registered as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "Act"). The Fund's primary investment objective is to seek a high level of current income, with a secondary investment objective of long-term capital appreciation. The Fund seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its managed assets in emerging markets domestic debt. To the extent the Fund invests in derivative instruments that Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. (the "Adviser"), believes have economic characteristics similar to such securities, such investments will be counted for purposes of the Fund's policy described in the previous sentence. To the extent the Fund makes such investments, the Fund will be subject to the risk of such derivative instruments as described herein.

- **A. Significant Accounting Policies:** The following significant accounting policies are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Such policies are consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. GAAP may require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results may differ from those estimates.
- 1. Security Valuation: (1) Bonds and other fixed income securities may be valued according to the broadest and most representative market. In addition, bonds and other fixed income securities may be valued on the basis of prices provided by a pricing service. The prices provided by a pricing service take into account broker-dealer market price quotations for institutional size trading in similar groups of securities, security quality, maturity, coupon and other security characteristics as well as any developments related to the specific securities; (2) futures are valued at the latest price published by the commodities exchange on which

they trade; (3) when market quotations are not readily available, including circumstances under which the Adviser determines that the closing price, last sale price or the mean between the last reported bid and asked prices are not reflective of a security's market value, portfolio securities are valued at their fair value as determined in good faith under procedures established by and under the general supervision of the Fund's Board of Directors (the "Directors"). Occasionally, developments affecting the closing prices of securities and other assets may occur between the times at which valuations of such securities are determined (that is, close of the foreign market on which the securities trade) and the close of business of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). If developments occur during such periods that are expected to materially affect the value of such securities, such valuations may be adjusted to reflect the estimated fair value of such securities as of the close of the NYSE, as determined in good faith by the Directors or by the Adviser using a pricing service and/or procedures approved by the Directors; (4) investments in mutual funds, including the Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Funds, are valued at the net asset value as of the close of each business day; (5) short-term debt securities with remaining maturities of 60 days or less at the time of purchase may be valued at amortized cost, unless the Adviser determines such valuation does not reflect the securities' market value, in which case these securities will be valued at their fair market value determined by the Adviser; and (6) quotations of foreign portfolio securities, other assets and liabilities and forward contracts stated in foreign currency are translated into U.S. dollar equivalents at the prevailing market rates prior to the close of the NYSE.

Under procedures approved by the Directors, the Fund's Adviser has formed a Valuation Committee. The Valuation Committee provides administration and oversight of the Fund's valuation policies and procedures, which are

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

reviewed at least annually by the Directors. These procedures allow the Fund to utilize independent pricing services, quotations from securities and financial instrument dealers, and other market sources to determine fair value.

The Fund has procedures to determine the fair value of securities and other financial instruments for which market prices are not readily available. Under these procedures, the Valuation Committee convenes on a regular and ad hoc basis to review such securities and considers a number of factors, including valuation methodologies and significant unobservable valuation inputs, when arriving at fair value. The Valuation Committee may employ a market-based approach which may use related or comparable assets or liabilities, recent transactions, market multiples, book values, and other relevant information for the investment to determine the fair value of the investment. An income-based valuation approach may also be used in which the anticipated future cash flows of the investment are discounted to calculate fair value. Discounts may also be applied due to the nature or duration of any restrictions on the disposition of the investments. Due to the inherent uncertainty of valuations of such investments, the fair values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had an active market existed. The Valuation Committee employs various methods for calibrating these valuation approaches including a regular review of valuation methodologies, key inputs and assumptions, transactional back-testing or disposition analysis, and reviews of any related market activity.

2. Fair Value Measurement: Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards CodificationTM ("ASC") 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820"), defines fair value as the value that the Fund would receive to sell an investment or pay to transfer a liability in a timely transaction with an independent buyer in the principal market, or in the

absence of a principal market the most advantageous market for the investment or liability. ASC 820 establishes a three-tier hierarchy to distinguish between (1) inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in valuing an asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs) and (2) inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in valuing an asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs) and to establish classification of fair value measurements for disclosure purposes. Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Fund's investments. The inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

- Level 1 unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical investments
- Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 significant unobservable inputs including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments. Factors considered in making this determination may include, but are not limited to, information obtained by contacting the issuer, analysts, or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), analysis of the issuer's financial statements or other available documents and, if necessary, available information concerning other securities in similar circumstances

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities and the determination of the significance of a particular input to the fair value

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to each security.

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

The following is a summary of the inputs used to value the Fund's investments as of October 31, 2013.

.

Investment Type	Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices (000)	Level 2 Other significant observable inputs (000)	Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs (000)	Total (000)
Assets:				
Fixed Income Securities				
Corporate				
Bonds	\$	\$ 62,896	\$	\$ 62,896
Sovereign		1,443,505		1,443,505
Total Fixed Income Securities		1,506,401		1,506,401
Short Term Investment		.,,		.,,
Investment	14.140			14 140
Company Total	14,140			14,140
Assets	14,140	1,506,401		1,520,541
Liabilities:				
Futures Contracts	(937)			(937)
Total	\$ 13,203	\$1,506,401	\$	\$1,519,604
TD C 1			C1	11 1 111

Transfers between investment levels may occur as the markets fluctuate and/or the availability of data used in an investment's valuation changes. The Fund recognizes transfers between the levels as of the end of the period. As of October 31, 2013, the Fund did not have any investments transfer between investment levels.

3. Foreign Currency Translation and Foreign Investments: The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Foreign currency amounts are translated into U.S. dollars as follows:

investments, other assets and liabilities at the prevailing rate of exchange on the valuation date;

investment transactions and investment income at the prevailing rates of exchange on the dates of such transactions.

Although the net assets of the Fund are presented at the foreign exchange rates and market values at the close of the period, the Fund does not isolate that portion of the results of operations arising as a result of changes in the foreign exchange rates from the fluctuations arising from changes in the market prices of securities held at period end. Similarly, the Fund does not isolate the effect of changes in foreign exchange rates from the fluctuations arising from changes in the market prices of securities sold during the period. Accordingly, realized and unrealized foreign

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

currency gains (losses) on investments in securities are included in the reported net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on investment transactions and balances. However, pursuant to U.S. Federal income tax regulations, gains and losses from certain foreign currency transactions and the foreign currency portion of gains and losses realized on sales and maturities of foreign denominated debt securities are treated as ordinary income for U.S. Federal income tax purposes.

Net realized gains (losses) on foreign currency transactions represent net foreign exchange gains (losses) from foreign currency forward exchange contracts, disposition of foreign currencies, currency gains (losses) realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amount of investment income and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Fund's books and the U.S. dollar equivalent amounts actually received or paid. Net unrealized currency gains (losses) from valuing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities at period end exchange rates are reflected as a component of unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments and foreign currency translations in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The change in unrealized currency gains (losses) on foreign currency translations for the period is reflected in the Statement of Operations.

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

A significant portion of the Fund's net assets consist of securities of issuers located in emerging markets, which are denominated in foreign currencies. Such securities may be concentrated in a limited number of countries and regions and may vary throughout the year. Changes in currency exchange rates will affect the value of and investment income from foreign currency denominated securities. Emerging market securities are often subject to greater price volatility, limited capitalization and liquidity, and higher rates of inflation than U.S. securities. In addition, emerging market issuers may be subject to substantial governmental involvement in the economy and greater social, economic and political uncertainty.

- **4. Structured Investments:** The Fund invested a portion of its assets in structured investments. A structured investment is a derivative security designed to offer a return linked to a particular underlying security, currency, commodity or market. Structured investments may come in various forms including notes (such as exchange traded notes), warrants and options to purchase securities. The Fund will typically use structured investments to gain exposure to a permitted underlying security, currency, commodity or market when direct access to a market is limited or inefficient from a tax or cost standpoint. Investments in structured investments involve risks including issuer risk, counterparty risk and market risk. Holders of structured investments bear risks of the underlying investment and are subject to issuer or counterparty risk because the Fund is relying on the creditworthiness of such issuer or counterparty and has no rights with respect to the underlying investment. Certain structured investments may be thinly traded or have a limited trading market and may have the effect of increasing the Fund's illiquidity to the extent that the Fund, at a particular time, may be unable to find qualified buyers for these securities.
- **5. Derivatives:** The Fund may, but is not required to, use derivative instruments for a variety of purposes, including hedging, risk management, portfolio management or to earn income. Derivatives are financial instruments whose value is based, in part, on the value of an underlying asset, interest rate, index or financial instrument. Prevailing interest rates and volatility levels, among other things, also affect the value of derivative instruments. A derivative instrument often has risks similar to its underlying asset and may have additional risks, including imperfect correlation between the value of the derivative and the underlying asset, risks of default by the counterparty to certain transactions, magnification of losses incurred due to changes in the market value of the securities, instruments, indices or interest rates to which they relate, and risks that the transactions may not be liquid. The use of derivatives involves risks that are different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with other portfolio investments. Derivatives may involve the use of highly specialized instruments that require investment techniques and risk analyses different from those associated with other portfolio investments. All of the Fund's holdings, including derivative instruments, are marked-to-market each day with the change in value reflected in unrealized appreciation (depreciation). Upon disposition, a realized gain or loss is recognized.

Certain derivative transactions may give rise to a form of leverage. Leverage magnifies the potential for gain and the risk of loss. Leverage associated with derivative transactions may cause the Fund to liquidate portfolio positions when it may not be advantageous to do so to satisfy its obligations or to meet earmarking or segregation requirements, pursuant to applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations, or may cause the Fund to be more volatile than if the Fund had not been leveraged. Although the Adviser seeks to use derivatives to

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

further the Fund's investment objectives, there is no assurance that the use of derivatives will achieve this result.

Following is a description of the derivative instruments and techniques that the Fund used during the period and their associated risks:

Futures: A futures contract is a standardized, exchange-traded agreement to buy or sell a specific quantity of an underlying asset, reference rate or index at a specific price at a specific future time. The value of a futures contract tends to increase and decrease in tandem with the value of the underlying instrument. Depending on the terms of the particular contract, futures contracts are settled through either physical delivery of the underlying instrument on the settlement date or by payment of a cash settlement amount on the settlement date. During the period the futures contract is open, payments are received from or made to the broker based upon changes in the value of the contract (the variation margin). A decision as to whether, when and how to use futures contracts involves the exercise of skill and judgment and even a well-conceived futures transaction may be unsuccessful because of market behavior or unexpected events. In addition to the derivatives risks discussed above, the prices of futures contracts can be highly volatile, using futures contracts can lower total return, and the potential loss from futures contracts can exceed the Fund's initial investment in such contracts. No assurance can be given that a liquid market will exist for any particular futures contract at any particular time. There is also the risk of loss by the Fund of margin deposits in the event of bankruptcy of a broker with whom the Fund has open positions in the futures contract.

Foreign Currency Forward Exchange Contracts: In connection with its investments in foreign securities, the Fund also entered into contracts with banks, brokers or dealers to purchase or sell securities or foreign currencies at

a future date. A foreign currency forward exchange contract ("currency contract") is a negotiated agreement between the contracting parties to exchange a specified amount of currency at a specified future time at a specified rate. The rate can be higher or lower than the spot rate between the currencies that are the subject of the contract. Currency contracts may be used to protect against uncertainty in the level of future foreign currency exchange rates or to gain or modify exposure to a particular currency. There is additional risk that such transactions reduce or preclude the opportunity for gain if the value of the currency should move in the direction opposite to the position taken and that currency contracts create exposure to currencies in which the Fund's securities are not denominated. Unanticipated changes in currency prices may result in poorer overall performance for the Fund than if it had not entered into such contracts. The use of currency contracts involves the risk of loss from the insolvency or bankruptcy of the counterparty to the contract or the failure of the counterparty to make payments or otherwise comply with the terms of the contract. A currency contract is marked-to-market daily and the change in market value is recorded by the Fund as unrealized gain or loss. The Fund records realized gains (losses) when the currency contract is closed equal to the difference between the value of the currency contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed. As of October 31, 2013, the Fund did not have any open currency contracts.

FASB ASC 815, "Derivatives and Hedging: Overall" ("ASC 815"), is intended to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand how and why the Fund uses derivative instruments, how these derivative instruments are accounted for and their effects on the Fund's financial position and results of operations.

Liability Derivatives

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

The following table sets forth the fair value of the Fund's derivative contracts by primary risk exposure as of October 31, 2013.

	Statement of Assets		
	and	Primary Risk	Value
	Liabilities Location	Exposure	(000)
Futures Contracts	Variation Margin	Interest Rate Risk	\$ (937)(a)

(a) This amount represents the cumulative appreciation (depreciation) as reported in the Portfolio of Investments. The Statement of Assets and Liabilities only reflects the current day's net variation margin.

The following tables set forth by primary risk exposure the Fund's realized gains (losses) and change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) by type of derivative contract for the year ended October 31, 2013 in accordance with ASC 815.

	ealized Gain (Loss) Derivative	Value
Primary Risk Exposure	Type	(000)
	Foreign Currency Forward	
Currency Risk	Exchange Contracts	\$3,325
Interest Rate Risk	Futures Contracts	269
Total		\$3,594
Change in Unrea	lized Appreciation (Depreciation)	
_	Derivative	Value
Primary Risk Exposure	Туре	(000)
	Foreign Currency Forward	
Currency Risk	Exchange Contracts	\$ 86
Interest Rate Risk	Futures Contracts	(937)
Total		\$ (851)

For the year ended October 31, 2013, the approximate average monthly amount outstanding for each derivative type is as follows:

Foreign Currency Forward Exchange Contracts:	
Average monthly principal amount	\$163,590,000
Futures Contracts:	
Average monthly original value	\$ 20,348,000

- **6. Indemnifications:** The Fund enters into contracts that contain a variety of indemnifications. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. However, the Fund has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expects the risk of loss to be remote.
- **7. Other:** Security transactions are accounted for on the date the securities are purchased or sold. Realized gains (losses) on the sale of investment securities are determined on the specific identified cost basis. Interest income is recognized on the accrual basis. Dividend income and distributions are recorded on the ex-dividend date (except for certain dividends which may be recorded as soon as the Fund is informed of such dividends) net of applicable

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR withholding taxes.

- **B.** Advisory Fees: The Adviser, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley, provides the Fund with advisory services under the terms of an Investment Advisory Agreement, calculated weekly and payable monthly, at an annual rate of 1.00% of the Fund's average weekly managed assets.
- **C.** Administration Fees: The Adviser also serves as Administrator to the Fund and provides administrative services pursuant to an Administration Agreement for an annual fee, accrued daily and paid monthly, of 0.08% of the Fund's average weekly managed assets. Under a Sub-Administration Agreement between the Administrator and State Street Bank and Trust Company ("State Street"), State Street provides certain administrative services to the Fund. For such services, the Administrator pays State Street a portion of the fee the Administrator receives from the Fund.
- **D.** Custodian Fees: State Street (the "Custodian") and its affiliates serve as Custodian for the Fund. The Custodian holds cash, securities, and other assets of the Fund as required by the Act. Custody fees are payable monthly based on assets held in custody, investment purchases and sales activity and account

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

maintenance fees, plus reimbursement for certain out-of-pocket expenses.

The Fund has entered into an arrangement with its Custodian whereby credits realized on uninvested cash balances may be used to offset a portion of the Fund's expenses. If applicable, these custodian credits are shown as "Expense Offset" in the Statement of Operations.

E. Federal Income Taxes: It is the Fund's intention to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company and distribute all of its taxable income. Accordingly, no provision for Federal income taxes is required in the financial statements.

The Fund may be subject to taxes imposed by countries in which it invests. Such taxes are generally based on income and/or capital gains earned or repatriated. Taxes are accrued and applied to net investment income, net realized gains and net unrealized appreciation as such income and/or gains are earned. Taxes may also be based on transactions in foreign currency and are accrued based on the value of investments denominated in such currency.

FASB ASC 740-10 "Income Taxes Overall" sets forth a minimum threshold for financial statement recognition of the benefit of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Management has concluded there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. If applicable, the Fund recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in "Interest Expense" and penalties in "Other Expenses" in the Statement of Operations. The Fund files tax returns with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, New York and various states. Each of the tax years in the four-year period ended October 31, 2013, remains subject to examination by taxing authorities.

The tax character of distributions paid may differ from the character of distributions shown in the Statements of Changes in Net Assets due to short-term capital gains being treated as ordinary income for tax purposes. The tax character of distributions paid during fiscal years 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

2013 Distributions		2012 Distributions	
Paid From:		Paid From:	
	Long-Term		Long-Term
Ordinary	Capital	Ordinary	Capital
Income	Gain	Income	Gain
(000)	(000)	(000)	(000)
\$ 72,155		\$ 83,296	

The amount and character of income and capital gain distributions to be paid by the Fund are determined in accordance with Federal income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP. These book/tax differences are considered either temporary or permanent in nature.

Temporary differences are attributable to differing book and tax treatments for the timing of the recognition of gains (losses) on certain investment transactions, the timing of the deductibility of certain expenses and the recognition of premium amortization.

Permanent differences, primarily due to differing treatments of gains (losses) related to foreign currency transactions and premium amortization adjustments for certain securities sold, resulted in the following reclassifications among the

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR components of net assets at October 31, 2013:

Accumulated Undistributed	Accumulated Undistributed		
Net Investment	Net Realized	Paid-in	
Income	Gain	Capital	
(000)	(000)	(000)	
\$ (11,042)	\$ 11,042	\$	

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

At October 31, 2013, distributable earnings for the Fund on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed Ordinary		Undistributed		
Income		Long-Term Capital Gain		
	(000)		(000)	
\$	35,097	\$	36,014	

At October 31, 2013, the aggregate cost for Federal income tax purposes is approximately \$1,707,720,000. The aggregate gross unrealized appreciation is approximately \$41,108,000 and the aggregate gross unrealized depreciation is approximately \$228,286,000 resulting in net unrealized depreciation of approximately \$187,178,000.

To the extent that capital loss carryforwards are used to offset any future capital gains realized during the carryover period as provided by U.S. Federal income tax regulations, no capital gains tax liability will be incurred by the Fund for gains realized and not distributed. To the extent that capital gains are offset, such gains will not be distributed to the stockholders. During the year ended October 31, 2013, the Fund utilized capital loss carryforwards for U.S. Federal income tax purposes of approximately \$9,250,000.

F. Security Transactions and Transactions with Affiliates: For the year ended October 31, 2013, purchases and sales of investment securities for the Fund, other than long-term U.S. Government securities and short-term investments, were approximately \$1,201,050,000 and \$1,193,294,000, respectively. There were no purchases and sales of long-term U.S. Government securities for the year ended October 31, 2013.

The Fund invests in the Institutional Class of the Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Funds Money Market Portfolio (the "Liquidity Funds"), an open-end management investment company managed by the Adviser. Advisory fees paid by the Fund are reduced by an amount equal to its pro-rata share of the advisory and administration fees paid by the Fund due to its investment in the Liquidity Funds. For the year ended

October 31, 2013, advisory fees paid were reduced by approximately \$49,000 relating to the Fund's investment in the Liquidity Funds.

A summary of the Fund's transactions in shares of the Liquidity Funds during the year ended October 31, 2013 is as follows:

Value				Value
October 31,	Purchases		Dividend	October 31,
2012	at Cost	Sales	Income	2013
(000)	(000)	(000)	(000)	(000)
\$ 18,635	\$ 274,026	\$278,521	\$ 36	\$ 14,140

The Fund has an unfunded Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Compensation Plan"), which allows each independent Director to defer payment of all, or a portion, of the fees he or she receives for serving on the Board of Directors. Each eligible Director generally may elect to have the deferred amounts credited with a return equal to the total return on one or more of the Morgan Stanley funds that are offered as investment options under the Compensation Plan. Appreciation/depreciation and distributions received from these investments are recorded with an offsetting increase/decrease in the deferred compensation obligation and do not affect the net asset value of the Fund.

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

G. Credit Facility: The Fund will use the proceeds from the use of leverage to purchase additional securities consistent with the Fund's investment objectives, policies and strategies. The Fund had engaged JPMorgan Securities Inc. to arrange a syndicate of lenders to provide a revolving line of credit facility ("facility") in the amount of \$400,000,000. The term of the facility was 364 days and the loans under the facility bore interest at the rate of LIBOR for the applicable interest period plus a spread. The loans were secured by a fully perfected first priority lien on all of the assets of the Fund capable of being pledged. The facility also had a commitment fee of 0.20% of the daily unused portion of the facility. The facility expired in May 2013. As of May 22, 2013, the Fund has entered into an agreement with State Street as Administrative Agent and sole lender of the \$400,000,000 facility. The loans under the facility

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

will bear interest at the rate of LIBOR for the applicable interest period plus a spread. The facility also has a commitment fee of 0.10% of the unused portion of the facility. The average borrowings and interest rate for the year ended October 31, 2013 were approximately \$400,000,000 and 1.61%, respectively. During the same period, the Fund incurred approximately \$6,542,000 in interest expense associated with the outstanding loans.

- **H. Other:** On January 10, 2008, the Fund commenced a share repurchase program for purposes of enhancing stockholder value and reducing the discount at which the Fund's shares trade from their net asset value per share ("NAV"). During the year ended October 31, 2013, the Fund repurchased 1,166,132 of its shares at an average discount of 13.10% from NAV. Since the inception of the program, the Fund has repurchased 2,052,332 of its shares at an average discount of 17.17% from net asset value per share. The Directors regularly monitor the Fund's share repurchase program as part of their review and consideration of the Fund's premium/discount history. The Fund expects to continue to repurchase its outstanding shares at such time and in such amounts as it believes will further the accomplishment of the foregoing objectives, subject to review by the Directors.
- **I. Results of Annual Meeting of Stockholders (unaudited):** On June 24, 2013, an annual meeting of the Fund's stockholders was held for the purpose of voting on the following matter, the results of which were as follows:

Election of Directors by all stockholders:

	For	Against
Frank L. Bowman	63,799,797	1,893,930
James F. Higgins	63,821,161	1,872,566
Manuel H. Johnson	63,828,696	1,865,031

J. Accounting Pronouncements: In June 2013, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2013-08 Financial Services Investment Companies (Topic 946) Amendments to the Scope, Measurement, and Disclosure Requirements ("ASU 2013-08") which is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013. ASU 2013-08 sets forth a methodology for determining whether an entity should be characterized as an investment company and prescribes fair value accounting for an investment company's non-controlling ownership interest in another investment company. FASB has determined that a fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 automatically meets ASU 2013-08's criteria for an investment company. Although still evaluating the potential impacts of ASU 2013-08 to the Fund, management expects that the impact of the Fund's adoption will be limited to additional financial statement disclosures.

In January 2013, Accounting Standard Update 2013-01 ("ASU 2013-01"), "Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities," replaced Accounting Standards Update 2011-11 ("ASU 2011-11"), "Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." ASU 2013-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. ASU 2011-11 was intended to enhance disclosure requirements on the offsetting of financial assets and liabilities. ASU 2013-01 limits the scope of the new balance sheet offsetting disclosures to derivatives, repurchase agreements, and securities lending transactions to the extent that they are (1) offset in the financial statements or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. Management is currently evaluating the application of ASU 2013-01 and its impact, if any, on the Fund's financial statements.

October 31, 2013

Notes to Financial Statements (cont'd)

For More Information About Portfolio Holdings (unaudited)

The Fund provides a complete schedule of portfolio holdings in its semi-annual and annual reports within 60 days of the end of the Fund's second and fourth fiscal quarters. The semi-annual reports and the annual reports are filed electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Form N-CSRS and Form N-CSR, respectively. Morgan Stanley also delivers the semi-annual and annual reports to Fund stockholders and makes these reports available on its public website, www.morganstanley.com/im. Each Morgan Stanley fund also files a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the Fund's first and third fiscal quarters on Form N-Q. Morgan Stanley does not deliver the reports for the first and third fiscal quarters to stockholders, nor are the reports posted to the Morgan Stanley public website. You may, however, obtain the Form N-Q filings (as well as the Form N-CSR and N-CSRS filings) by accessing the SEC's website, www.sec.gov. You may also review and copy them at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. Information on the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC toll free at 1(800) SEC-0330. You can also request copies of these materials, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the SEC's e-mail address (publicinfo@sec.gov) or by writing the public reference section of the SEC, Washington, DC 20549-0102.

In addition to filing a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC each fiscal quarter, the Fund makes portfolio holdings information available by periodically providing the information on its public website, www.morganstanley.com/im.

The Fund provides a complete schedule of portfolio holdings on the public website on a calendar-quarter basis approximately 31 calendar days after the close of the calendar quarter. The Fund also provides Top 10 holdings information on the public website approximately 15 business days following the end of each month. You may obtain copies of the Fund's monthly or calendar-quarter website postings, by calling toll free 1(800) 231-2608.

Proxy Voting Policy and Procedures and Proxy Voting Record (unaudited)

A copy of (1) the Fund's policies and procedures with respect to the voting of proxies relating to the Fund's portfolio securities; and (2) how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30, is available without charge, upon request, by calling toll free 1(800) 231-2608 or by visiting our website at www.morganstanley.com/im. This information is also available on the SEC's web site at www.sec.gov.

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

October 31, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. (the "Fund"), including the portfolio of investments, as of October 31, 2013, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2013 by correspondence with the custodian and others. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. at October 31, 2013, the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Boston, Massachusetts December 20, 2013

October 31, 2013

Portfolio Management (unaudited)

The Fund is managed by members of the Emerging Markets Debt team. The team consists of portfolio managers and analysts. Current members of the team jointly and primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund's portfolio are Eric J. Baurmeister and Federico L. Kaune, each a Managing Director of the Adviser. Mr. Baurmeister has been associated with the Adviser in an investment management capacity since 1997 and began managing the Fund at its inception. Mr. Kaune has been associated with the Adviser in an investment management capacity since 2002 and began managing the Fund at its inception.

October 31, 2013

Investment Policy (unaudited)

Derivatives

The Fund may, but is not required to, use derivative instruments for a variety of purposes, including hedging, risk management, portfolio management or to earn income. Derivatives are financial instruments whose value is based, in part, on the value of an underlying asset, interest rate, index or financial instrument. Prevailing interest rates and volatility levels, among other things, also affect the value of derivative instruments. A derivative instrument often has risks similar to its underlying asset and may have additional risks, including imperfect correlation between the value of the derivative and the underlying asset, risks of default by the counterparty to certain transactions, magnification of losses incurred due to changes in the market value of the securities, instruments, indices or interest rates to which they relate, and risks that the transactions may not be liquid. The use of derivatives involves risks that are different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with other portfolio investments. Derivatives may involve the use of highly specialized instruments that require investment techniques and risk analyses different from those associated with other portfolio investments. Certain derivative transactions may give rise to a form of leverage. Leverage magnifies the potential for gain and the risk of loss. Leverage associated with derivative transactions may cause the Fund to liquidate portfolio positions when it may not be advantageous to do so to satisfy its obligations or to meet earmarking or segregation requirements, pursuant to applicable SEC rules and regulations, or may cause the Fund to be more volatile than if the Fund had not been leveraged. Although the Adviser seeks to use derivatives to further the Fund's investment objectives, there is no assurance that the use of derivatives will achieve this result.

Following is a description of the derivative instruments and techniques that the Fund may use and their associated risks:

Foreign Currency Forward Exchange Contracts. In connection with its investments in foreign securities, the Fund also may enter into contracts with banks, brokers or dealers to purchase or sell securities or foreign currencies at a future date. A foreign currency forward exchange contract ("currency contract") is a negotiated agreement between the contracting parties to exchange a specified amount of currency at a specified future time at a specified rate. The rate can be higher or lower than the spot rate between the currencies that are the subject of the contract. Currency contracts may be used to protect against uncertainty in the level of future foreign currency exchange rates or to gain or modify exposure to a particular currency. In addition, the Fund may use cross currency hedging or proxy hedging with respect to currencies in which the Fund has or expects to have portfolio or currency exposure. Cross currency hedges involve the sale of one currency against the positive exposure to a different currency and may be used for hedging purposes or to establish an active exposure to the exchange rate between any two currencies. To the extent hedged by use of currency contracts, the precise matching of currency contract amounts and the value of the securities involved will not generally be possible because the future value of such securities in foreign currencies will change as a consequence of market movements in the value of those securities between the date on which the contract is entered into and the date it matures. There is additional risk that such transactions reduce or preclude the opportunity for gain if the value of the currency should move in the direction opposite to the position taken and that currency contracts create exposure to currencies in which the Fund's securities are not denominated. Unanticipated changes in currency prices may result in poorer overall performance for the Fund than if it had not entered into such contracts. The use of currency contracts involves the risk of loss from the insolvency or bankruptcy of the counterparty to the contract or the failure of the counterparty to make payments or otherwise comply with the terms of the contract.

Futures. A futures contract is a standardized, exchange-traded agreement to buy or sell a specific quantity of an underlying asset, reference rate or index at a specific price at a specific future time. The value of a futures contract

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

tends to increase and decrease in tandem with the value of the underlying instrument. Depending on the terms of the particular contract, futures contracts are settled

October 31, 2013

Investment Policy (unaudited) (cont'd)

through either physical delivery of the underlying instrument on the settlement date or by payment of a cash settlement amount on the settlement date. A decision as to whether, when and how to use futures involves the exercise of skill and judgment and even a well-conceived futures transaction may be unsuccessful because of market behavior or unexpected events. In addition to the derivatives risks discussed above, the prices of futures contracts can be highly volatile, using futures contracts can lower total return, and the potential loss from futures can exceed the Fund's initial investment in such contracts. No assurance can be given that a liquid market will exist for any particular futures contract at any particular time. There is also the risk of loss by the Fund of margin deposits in the event of bankruptcy of a broker with whom the Fund has open positions in the futures contract.

Loan Participation Notes. The Fund may invest in loan participation notes ("LPNs"), which are interests in loans or other direct debt instruments relating to amounts owed by a corporate, governmental or other borrower to another party. LPNs are notes issued through a special purpose vehicle for the purpose of funding or acquiring a loan to final obligor. LPNs are subject to the same risks as other debt obligations, which may include credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk and market risk. LPNs have limited recourse to the issuer, to the extent of the amount received by the issuer from the ultimate borrower in paying the principal and interest amounts as defined under the loan agreement. The Fund may be exposed to the credit risk of both the lender and the borrower, and may not benefit from any collateral supporting the underlying loan.

Options. If the Fund buys an option, it buys a legal contract giving it the right to buy or sell a specific amount of the underlying instrument or futures contract on the underlying instrument at an agreed-upon price typically in exchange for a premium paid by the Fund. If the Fund sells an option, it sells to another person the right to buy from or sell to the Fund a specific amount of the underlying instrument or futures contract on the underlying instrument at an agreed-upon price typically in exchange for a premium received by the Fund. When options are purchased over-the-counter ("OTC"), the Fund bears the risk that the counterparty that wrote the option will be unable or unwilling to perform its obligations under the option contract. Options may also be illiquid and the Fund may have difficulty closing out its position. A decision as to whether, when and how to use options involves the exercise of skill and judgment and even a well-conceived option transaction may be unsuccessful because of market behavior or unexpected events. The prices of options can be highly volatile and the use of options can lower total returns.

Structured Investments. The Fund also may invest a portion of its assets in structured investments. A structured investment is a derivative security designed to offer a return linked to a particular underlying security, currency, commodity or market. Structured investments may come in various forms including notes (such as exchange-traded notes), warrants and options to purchase securities. The Fund will typically use structured investments to gain exposure to a permitted underlying security, currency, commodity or market when direct access to a market is limited or inefficient from a tax or cost standpoint. Investments in structured investments involve risks including issuer risk, counterparty risk and market risk. Holders of structured investments bear risks of the underlying investment and are subject to issuer or counterparty risk because the Fund is relying on the creditworthiness of such issuer or counterparty and has no rights with respect to the issuer of the underlying investment. Certain structured investments may be thinly traded or have a limited trading market and may have the effect of increasing the Fund's illiquidity to the extent that the Fund, at a particular point in time, may be unable to find qualified buyers for these securities.

Swaps. The Fund may enter into OTC swap contracts or cleared swap transactions. An OTC swap contract is an agreement between two parties pursuant to which the parties exchange payments at specified dates on the basis of a specified notional amount, with the

October 31, 2013

Investment Policy (unaudited) (cont'd)

payments calculated by reference to specified securities, indices, reference rates, currencies or other instruments. Typically swap agreements provide that when the period payment dates for both parties are the same, the payments are made on a net basis (i.e., the two payment streams are netted out, with only the net amount paid by one party to the other). The Fund's obligations or rights under a swap contract entered into on a net basis will generally be equal only to the net amount to be paid or received under the agreement, based on the relative values of the positions held by each party. Cleared swap transactions help reduce counterparty credit risk. In a cleared swap, the Fund's ultimate counterparty is a clearing house rather than a bank, dealer or other financial institution. OTC swap agreements are not entered into or traded on exchanges and often there is no central clearing or guaranty function for OTC swaps, These OTC swaps are often subject to credit risk or the risk of default or non-performance by the counterparty. Both OTC and cleared swaps could result in losses if interest rate or foreign currency exchange rates or credit quality changes are not correctly anticipated by the Fund or if the reference index, security or investments do not perform as expected. The Fund enters into credit default, interest rate and other forms of swap agreements to manage exposure to credit and interest rate risks. The Fund's use of swaps may include those based on the credit of an underlying security, commonly referred to as "credit default swaps." Where the Fund is the buyer of a credit default swap contract, it would typically be entitled to receive the par (or other agreed-upon) value of a referenced debt obligation from the counterparty to the contract only in the event of a default or similar event by a third party on the debt obligation. If no default occurs, the Fund would have paid to the counterparty a periodic stream of payments over the term of the contract and received no benefit from the contract. When the Fund is the seller of a credit default swap contract, typically it receives the stream of payments but is obligated to pay an amount equal to the par (or other agreed-upon) value of a referenced debt obligation upon the default or similar event of the issuer of the referenced debt obligation. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and related regulatory developments require the clearing and exchange-trading of certain standardized swap transactions. Mandatory exchange-trading and clearing is occurring on a phased-in basis.

Temporary Investments

The investment policies, limitations or practices of the Fund may not apply during periods of unusual or adverse market, economic, political or other conditions. Such market, economic, political or other conditions may include periods of abnormal or heightened market volatility, strained credit and/or liquidity conditions or increased governmental intervention in the markets or industries. During such periods, the Fund may not invest according to its principal investment strategies or in the manner in which its name may suggest, and may be subject to different and/or heightened risks. It is possible that such unusual or adverse conditions may continue for extended periods of time. During such periods, the Fund may, for temporary defensive purposes, reduce its holdings in debt obligations of issuers located in emerging markets countries that are denominated in the local currency and invest in certain liquid short-term (less than one year to maturity) and medium-term (not greater than five years to maturity) debt securities or hold cash. The short-term and medium-term debt securities in which the Fund may invest consist of (a) obligations of the U.S., emerging market or other foreign governments, their respective agencies or instrumentalities; (b) bank deposits and bank obligations (including certificates of deposit, time deposits and bankers' acceptances) of U.S. or foreign banks denominated in any currency; (c) floating rate securities and other instruments denominated in any other currency issued by various governments or international development agencies; (d) finance company and corporate commercial paper and other short-term corporate debt obligations of United States, emerging market or other foreign corporations; and (e) repurchase agreements with banks and broker-dealers with respect to such securities. The Fund intends to invest for temporary defensive purposes only in short-term and medium-term debt securities that the Adviser believes to be of high quality, i.e., subject to relatively low risk of loss of interest or principal (there is

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR currently no rating system for debt securities in certain emerging market countries in which the Fund may invest).

October 31, 2013

Dividend Reinvestment Plan (unaudited)

Pursuant to the Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the Plan), each stockholder will be deemed to have elected, unless Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (the Plan Agent) is otherwise instructed by the stockholder in writing, to have all distributions automatically reinvested in Fund shares.

Dividend and capital gain distributions (Distribution) will be reinvested on the reinvestment date in full and fractional shares. If the market price per share equals or exceeds net asset value per share on the reinvestment date, the Fund will issue shares to participants at net asset value or, if net asset value is less than 95% of the market price on the reinvestment date, shares will be issued at 95% of the market price. If net asset value exceeds the market price on the reinvestment date, participants will receive shares valued at market price. The Fund may purchase shares of its Common Stock in the open market in connection with dividend reinvestment requirements at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Should the Fund declare a Distribution payable only in cash, the Plan Agent will purchase Fund shares for participants in the open market as agent for the participants.

The Plan Agent's fees for the reinvestment of a Distribution will be paid by the Fund. However, each participant's account will be charged a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred on any open market purchases effected on such participant's behalf. Although stockholders in the Plan may receive no cash distributions, participation in the Plan will not relieve participants of any income tax which may be payable on such dividends or distributions.

In the case of stockholders, such as banks, brokers or nominees, that hold shares for others who are the beneficial owners, the Plan Agent will administer the Plan on the basis of the number of shares certified from time to time by the stockholder as representing the total amount registered in the stockholder's name and held for the account of beneficial owners who are participating in the Plan.

Stockholders who do not wish to have Distributions automatically reinvested should notify the Plan Agent in writing. There is no penalty for non-participation or withdrawal from the Plan, and stockholders who have previously withdrawn from the Plan may rejoin at any time. Requests for additional information or any correspondence concerning the Plan should be directed to the Plan Agent at:

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. Computershare Trust Company, N.A. P.O. Box 30170
College Station, Texas 77842
1 (800) 231-2608

October 31, 2013

U.S. Privacy Policy (unaudited)

An Important Notice Concerning Our U.S. Privacy Policy

This privacy notice describes the U.S. privacy policy of Morgan Stanley Distribution, Inc., and the Morgan Stanley family of mutual funds ("us", "our", "we").

We are required by federal law to provide you with notice of our U.S. privacy policy ("Policy"). This Policy applies to both our current and former clients unless we state otherwise and is intended for individual clients who purchase products or receive services from us for personal, family or household purposes. This Policy is not applicable to partnerships, corporations, trusts or other non-individual clients or account holders, nor is this Policy applicable to individuals who are either beneficiaries of a trust for which we serve as trustee or participants in an employee benefit plan administered or advised by us. This Policy is, however, applicable to individuals who select us to be a custodian of securities or assets in individual retirement accounts, 401(k) accounts, or accounts subject to the Uniform Gifts to Minors Act.

This notice sets out our business practices to protect your privacy; how we collect and share personal information about you; and how you can limit our sharing or certain uses by others of this information. We may amend this Policy at any time, and will inform you of any changes to our Policy as required by law.

We Respect Your Privacy

We appreciate that you have provided us with your personal financial information and understand your concerns about your information. We strive to safeguard the information our clients entrust to us. Protecting the confidentiality and security of client information is an important part of how we conduct our business.

This notice describes what personal information we collect about you, how we collect it, when we may share it with others, and how certain others may use it. It discusses the steps you may take to limit our sharing of certain information about you with our affiliated companies, including, but not limited to our affiliated banking businesses, brokerage firms and credit service affiliates. It also discloses how you may limit our affiliates' use of shared information for marketing purposes.

Throughout this Policy, we refer to the nonpublic information that personally identifies you as "personal information." We also use the term "affiliated company" in this notice. An affiliated company is a company in our family of companies and includes companies with the Morgan Stanley name. These affiliated companies are financial institutions such as broker-dealers, banks, investment advisers and credit card issuers. We refer to any company that is not an affiliated company as a nonaffiliated third party. For purposes of Section 5 of this notice, and your ability to limit certain uses of personal information by our affiliates, this notice applies to the use of personal information by our affiliated companies.

1. What Personal Information Do We Collect From You?

We may collect the following types of information about you: (i) information provided by you, including information from applications and other forms we receive from you, (ii) information about your transactions with us or our affiliates, (iii) information

October 31, 2013

U.S. Privacy Policy (unaudited) (cont'd)

about your transactions with nonaffiliated third parties, (iv) information from consumer reporting agencies, (v) information obtained from our websites, and (vi) information obtained from other sources. For example:

- We collect information such as your name, address, e-mail address, telephone/fax numbers, assets, income and investment objectives through applications and other forms you submit to us.
- We may obtain information about account balances, your use of account(s) and the types of products and services you prefer to receive from us through your dealings and transactions with us and other sources.
- We may obtain information about your creditworthiness and credit history from consumer reporting agencies.
- We may collect background information from and through third-party vendors to verify representations you have made and to comply with various regulatory requirements.

2. When Do We Disclose Personal Information We Collect About You?

We may disclose personal information we collect about you in each of the categories listed above to affiliated and nonaffiliated third parties.

- **a.** Information We Disclose to Affiliated Companies. We may disclose personal information that we collect about you to our affiliated companies to manage your account(s) effectively, to service and process your transactions, and to let you know about products and services offered by us and affiliated companies, to manage our business, and as otherwise required or permitted by law. Offers for products and services from affiliated companies are developed under conditions designed to safeguard your personal information.
- **b. Information We Disclose to Third Parties.** We may disclose personal information that we collect about you to nonaffiliated third parties to provide marketing services on our behalf or to other financial institutions with whom we have joint marketing agreements. We may also disclose all of the information we collect to other nonaffiliated third parties for our everyday business purposes, such as to process transactions, maintain account(s), respond to court orders and legal investigations, report to credit bureaus, offer our own products and services, protect against fraud, for institutional risk control, to perform services on our behalf, and as otherwise required or permitted by law.

When we share personal information about you with a nonaffiliated third party, they are required to limit their use of personal information about you to the particular purpose for which it was shared and they are not allowed to share personal information about you with others except to fulfill that limited purpose or as may be permitted or required by law.

October 31, 2013

U.S. Privacy Policy (unaudited) (cont'd)

3. How Do We Protect the Security and Confidentiality of Personal Information We Collect About You?

We maintain physical, electronic and procedural security measures that comply with applicable law and regulations to help safeguard the personal information we collect about you. We have internal policies governing the proper handling of client information by employees. Third parties that provide support or marketing services on our behalf may also receive personal information about you, and we require them to adhere to appropriate security standards with respect to such information.

4. How Can You Limit Our Sharing Certain Personal Information About You With Our Affiliated Companies for Eligibility Determination?

By following the opt-out procedures in Section 6 below, you may limit the extent to which we share with our affiliated companies, personal information that was collected to determine your eligibility for products and services such as your credit reports and other information that you have provided to us or that we may obtain from third parties ("eligibility information"). Eligibility information does not include your identification information or personal information pertaining to our transactions or experiences with you. Please note that, even if you direct us not to share eligibility information with our affiliated companies, we may still share your personal information, including eligibility information, with our affiliated companies under circumstances that are permitted under applicable law, such as to process transactions or to service your account.

5. How Can You Limit the Use of Certain Personal Information About You by Our Affiliated Companies for Marketing?

By following the opt-out instructions in Section 6 below, you may limit our affiliated companies from marketing their products or services to you based on personal information we disclose to them. This information may include, for example, your income and account history with us. Please note that, even if you choose to limit our affiliated companies from using personal information about you that we may share with them for marketing their products and services to you, our affiliated companies may use your personal information that they obtain from us to market to you in circumstances permitted by law, such as if the affiliated party has its own relationship with you.

6. How Can You Send Us an Opt-Out Instruction?

If you wish to limit our sharing of eligibility information about you with our affiliated companies, or our affiliated companies' use of personal information for marketing purposes, as described in this notice, you may do so by:

- Calling us at (800) 231-2608 Monday Friday between 8a.m. and 5p.m. (EST)
- Writing to us at the following address:

Computershare Trust Company, N.A. c/o Privacy Coordinator P.O. Box 30170

October 31, 2013

U.S. Privacy Policy (unaudited) (cont'd)

If you choose to write to us, your request should include: your name, address, telephone number and account number(s) to which the opt-out applies and whether you are opting out with respect to sharing of eligibility information (Section 4 above), or information used for marketing (Section 5 above), or both. Written opt-out requests should not be sent with any other correspondence. In order to process your request, we require that the request be provided by you directly and not through a third party. Once you have informed us about your privacy preferences, your opt-out preference will remain in effect with respect to this Policy (as it may be amended) until you notify us otherwise. If you are a joint account owner, we will accept instructions from any one of you and apply those instructions to the entire account.

Please understand that if you limit our sharing or our affiliated companies' use of personal information, you and any joint account holder(s) may not receive information about our affiliated companies' products and services, including products or services that could help you manage your financial resources and achieve your investment objectives.

If you have more than one account or relationship with us, please specify the accounts to which you would like us to apply your privacy choices. If you have accounts or relationships with our affiliates, you may receive multiple privacy policies from them, and will need to separately notify those companies of your privacy choices for those accounts or relationships.

7. What if an affiliated company becomes a nonaffiliated third party?

If, at any time in the future, an affiliated company becomes a nonaffiliated third party, further disclosures of personal information made to the former affiliated company will be limited to those described in Section 2(b) above relating to nonaffiliated third parties. If you elected under Section 6 to limit disclosures we make to affiliated companies, or use of personal information by affiliated companies, your election will not apply to use by any former affiliated company of your personal information in their possession once it becomes a nonaffiliated third party.

SPECIAL NOTICE TO RESIDENTS OF VERMONT

The following section supplements our Policy with respect to our individual clients who have a Vermont address and supersedes anything to the contrary in the above Policy with respect to those clients only.

The State of Vermont requires financial institutions to obtain your consent prior to sharing personal information that they collect about you with nonaffiliated third parties, or eligibility information with affiliated companies, other than in certain limited circumstances. Except as permitted by law, we will not share personal information we collect about you with nonaffiliated third parties or eligibility information with affiliated companies, unless you provide us with your written consent to share such information.

SPECIAL NOTICE TO RESIDENTS OF CALIFORNIA

The following section supplements our Policy with respect to our individual clients who have a California address and supersedes anything to the contrary in the above Policy with respect to those clients only.

In response to a California law, if your account has a California home address, your personal information will not be disclosed to nonaffiliated third parties except as permitted by applicable California law, and we will limit sharing such

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR personal information with our affiliates to comply with California privacy laws that apply to us.

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc. - Form N-CSR

October 31, 2013

Director and Officer Information (unaudited)

Independent Directors:

Number of **Portfolios** in Name, Age Fund and Term of Complex Addre Spsition (Office and Overseen Held Length of Other Directorships of bv Time Held by Independent Independent with Principal Occupation(s) During Pastdependent Direct Registrant Served* 5 Years Director*** Director** Frank Directosince President, Strategic Decisions, LLC 101 Director of BP p.l.c.; Director of (consulting) (since February 2009): Naval and Nuclear Technologies L. August 2006 Director or Trustee of various LLP; Director of the Armed Bowman Morgan Stanley Funds (since Services YMCA of the USA and the (68)August 2006): Chairperson of the U.S. Naval Submarine League: c/o Kramer Insurance Sub-Committee of the Director of the American Shipbuilding Suppliers Association: Levin Compliance and Insurance **Naftalis** Committee (since February 2007); Member of the National Security served as President and Chief Advisory Council of the Center for & Executive Officer of the Nuclear U.S. Global Engagement and a Frankel LLP Energy Institute (policy member of the CNA Military organization) (February Advisory Board. Counsel to the 2005-November 2008); retired as Admiral, U.S. Navy after serving Independent **Directors** over 38 years on active duty 1177 including 8 years as Director of the Avenue Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program in the Department of the Navy and of the Americas the U.S. Department of Energy (1996-2004); served as Chief of New York, Naval Personnel (July 1994-September 1996); and on the NY Joint Staff as Director of Political 10036 Military Affairs (June 1992-July 1994); knighted as Honorary Knight

Commander of the Most Excellent

National Academy of Engineering

Order of the British Empire; awarded the Officier de l'Orde National du Mérite by the French Government; elected to the (2009).

MichaelDirecto8ince Private investor and a member of Bozic April the advisory board of American (72)1994 Road Group LLC (retail) (Since June 2000); Chairperson of the c/o Kramer Compliance and Insurance Committee (since October 2006): Levin Director or Trustee of various **Naftalis** Morgan Stanley Funds (since April & 1994); formerly, Chairperson of the Frankel

LLP Insurance Committee (July Counsel 2006-September 2006); Vice to the Chairman of Kmart Corporation Independent (December 1998-October 2000), **Directors** Chairman and Chief Executive 1177 Officer of Levitz Furniture Corporation (November Avenue of the 1995-November 1998) and President and Chief Executive Americas New Officer of Hills Department Stores York, (May 1991-July 1995); variously NY Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating 10036

Merchandise Group of Sears, Roebuck & Co.

Officer (1987-1991) of the Sears

104 Trustee and member of the Hillsdale College Board of Trustees.

37

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

October 31, 2013

Director and Officer Information (unaudited) (cont'd)

Independent Director: (cont'd)

Number of **Portfolios** Name, in Age Fund and Term of Complex Addre Position (Office and Overseen of Held Length of Other Directorships by Independent/ith Time Principal Occupation(s) During Pastdependent Held by Independent Direct@registrantServed* 5 Years Director** Director*** KathleeDirecto8ince President. Cedarwood Associates 101 Director of various non-profit A. August (mutual fund and investment organizations. 2006 **Dennis** management consulting) (since July 2006); Chairperson of the (60)Money Market and Alternatives c/o Sub-Committee of the Investment Kramer Levin Committee (since October 2006) **Naftalis** and Director or Trustee of various Morgan Stanley Funds (since August 2006); formerly, Senior Frankel LLP Managing Director of Victory Counsel Capital Management (1993-2006). to the Independent **Directors** 1177 Avenue of the **Americas** New York, NY 10036 Directosince Dr. Senior Partner, Johnson Smick 103 Director of NVR, Inc. (home International, Inc. (consulting firm); Manuel July construction). 1991 Chairperson of the Investment Η. Johnson Committee (since October 2006) and Director or Trustee of various (64)Morgan Stanley Funds (since July c/o 1991); Co-Chairman and a founder Johnson of the Group of Seven Council Smick International, (G7C) (international economic

Inc. commission); formerly, Chairperson

220 I of the Audit Committee (July Street, 1991-September 2006), Vice NE Chairman of the Board of

Suite Governors of the Federal Reserve 200 System and Assistant Secretary of

Washington the U.S. Treasury.

DC,

20002

Joseph Directosince President, Kearns & Associates 104 Director of Electro Rent

J. August LLC (investment consulting); Kearns 1994 Chairperson of the Audit

(71) Committee (since October 2006)
c/o and Director or Trustee of various
Kearns Morgan Stanley Funds (since
& August 1994); formerly, Deputy

Associates Chairperson of the Audit

LLC Committee (July 2003-September PMB754 2006) and Chairperson of the Audit 22631 Committee of various Morgan Pacific Stanley Funds (and since August Coast 1994); CFO of the J. Paul Getty

Highway Trust.

Malibu, CA 90265 Corporation (equipment leasing) and The Ford Family Foundation.

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

October 31, 2013

York,

Director and Officer Information (unaudited) (cont'd)

Independent Director: (cont'd)

		Number	
		of	
		Portfolios	
Name,		in	
Age		Fund	
and Term of		Complex	
Addre Sosition (Office and		Overseer	
of Held Length of		by	Other Directorships
Independenwith Time	Principal Occupation(s) During Pass	•	•
Direct@egistrantServed*	5 Years	Director*	
MichaelDirecto8ince	Managing Director, Aetos Capital,	101	Director of certain investment funds
F. August	LLC (since March 2000) and		managed or sponsored by Aetos
Klein 2006	Co-President, Aetos Alternatives		Capital, LLC. Director of Sanitized
(54)	Management, LLC (since January		AG and Sanitized Marketing AG
C/O	2004); Chairperson of the Fixed		(specialty chemicals).
Kramer	Income Sub-Committee of the		,
Levin	Investment Committee (since		
Naftalis	October 2006) and Director or		
&	Trustee of various Morgan Stanley		
Frankel	Funds (since August 2006);		
LLP	formerly, Managing Director,		
Counsel	Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc. and		
to the	Morgan Stanley Dean Witter		
Independent	Investment Management,		
Directors	President, various Morgan Stanley		
1177	Funds (June 1998-March 2000)		
Avenue	and Principal, Morgan Stanley &		
of the	Co. Inc. and Morgan Stanley Dean		
Americas	Witter Investment Management		
New	(August 1997-December 1999).		
York,			
NY			
10036			
	General Partner, Triumph Capital,	103	None.
E. of the of the	L.P. (private investment		
Nugent Board Boards	partnership); Chairperson of the		
(77) and since July	Boards of various Morgan Stanley		
522 Directo2006 and	Funds (since July 2006);		
Fifth Director	Chairperson of the Close-End Fund	l	
•	Committee (since June 2012) and		
New 1991	Director or Trustee of various		
> /l -			

Morgan Stanley Funds (since July

NY 1991); formerly, Chairperson of the 10036 Insurance Committee (until July

2006).

W. Directo8ince Allen August

Reed 2006 (66)c/o Kramer Levin

Frankel LLP Counsel

Naftalis

to the Independent **Directors** 1177 Avenue of the **Americas** New York,

Fergus Directoßince Reid June 1992 (81)

c/o Joe

NY 10036

Pietryka, Inc. 85

Charles Colman Blvd. Pawling,

NY 12564 Chairperson of the Equity

Sub-Committee of the Investment Committee (since October 2006) and Director or Trustee of various Morgan Stanley Funds (since August 2006); formerly, President and CEO of General Motors Asset Management; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the GM Trust

Bank and Corporate Vice President

of General Motors Corporation (August 1994-December 2005).

Chairman, Joe Pietryka, Inc.:

(since June 1992).

Chairperson of the Governance

Committee and Director or Trustee

of various Morgan Stanley Funds

Director of Temple-Inland 101 Industries (packaging and forest products); Director of Legg Mason, Inc. and Director of the Auburn University Foundation.

104 Through December 31, 2013, Trustee and Director of certain investment companies in the JPMorgan Fund complex.

39

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

October 31, 2013

Director and Officer Information (unaudited) (cont'd)

Interested Director:

			Number		
			of		
			Portfolios	3	
Name,			in		
Age			Fund		
and			Complex	(
Addre Sosition(s)			Overseer		
of Held Le	ength of		by	Other Directorships	
Interestedwith	Time	Principal Occupation(s) During Pas	tIntereste	d Held by Interested	
Direct BregistrantS	Served*	5 Years	Director*	* Director***	
James Directoßi	nce	Director or Trustee of various	102	Director of AXA Financial, Inc. and	
F. Ju	ine	Morgan Stanley Funds (since June		The Equitable Life Assurance	
Higgins 20	000	2000); Senior Advisor of Morgan		Society of the United States	
(65)		Stanley (since August 2000).		(financial services).	
One					
New					
York					
Plaza					
New					
York,					
NY					
10004					

^{*} Each Director serves an indefinite term, until his or her successor is elected.

Executive Officers:

Name, Age			
and		Term of	
Address of	Position(s) Held	Office and	
Executive	with	Length of Time	
Officer	Registrant	Served*	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years
John H.	President and	Since	President and Principal Executive Officer of the
Gernon	Principal Executive	September	Equity and Fixed Income Funds and the Morgan
(50)	Officer Equity,	2013	Stanley AIP Funds (since September 2013) in the

^{**} The Fund Complex includes (as of December 31, 2012) all open-end and closed-end funds (including all of their portfolios) advised by Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. (the "Adviser") and any funds that have an adviser that is an affiliated person of the Adviser (including, but not limited to, Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP).

^{***} This includes any directorships at public companies and registered investment companies held by the Director at any time during the past five years.

522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Fixed Income and AIP Funds		Fund Complex, Managing Director of the Adviser.
Mary Ann Picciotto (40) 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Chief Compliance Officer	Since May 2010	Managing Director of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Chief Compliance Officer of various Morgan Stanley Funds (since May 2010); Chief Compliance Officer of the Adviser (since April 2007).
Stefanie V. Chang Yu (47) 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036		Since December 1997	Managing Director of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Vice President of various Morgan Stanley Funds (since December 1997).
		2	40

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.

October 31, 2013

Director and Officer Information (unaudited) (cont'd)

Executive Officers: (cont'd)

Name, Age and Address of Executive Officer Francis J. Smith (48) 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Position(s) Held with Registrant Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer	Term of Office and Length of Time Served* Treasurer since July 2003 and Principal Financial Officer since September 2002	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Executive Director of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer of various Morgan Stanley Funds (since July 2003).
Mary E. Mullin (46) 522 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10036	Secretary	Since June 1999	Executive Director of the Adviser and various entities affiliated with the Adviser; Secretary of various Morgan Stanley Funds (since June 1999).

^{*} Each Officer serves an indefinite term, until his or her successor is elected.

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

Item 2. Code of Ethi	cs.
financial officer, prin	The Trust/Fund has adopted a code of ethics (the Code of Ethics) that applies to its principal executive officer, principal cipal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are st/Fund or a third party.
(b)	No information need be disclosed pursuant to this paragraph.
(c)	Not applicable.
(d)	Not applicable.
(e)	Not applicable.
(f)	
(1)	The Trust/Fund s Code of Ethics is attached hereto as Exhibit 12 A.
(2)	Not applicable.
(3)	Not applicable.
Item 3. Audit Comm	nittee Financial Expert.

The Fund's Board of Trustees has determined that Joseph J. Kearns, an independent Trustee, is an audit committee financial expert serving on its audit committee. Under applicable securities laws, a person who is determined to be an audit committee financial expert will not be deemed an expert for any purpose, including without limitation for the purposes of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as a result of being designated or identified as an audit committee financial expert. The designation or identification of a person as an audit committee financial expert does not impose on such person any duties, obligations, or liabilities that are greater than the duties, obligations, and liabilities imposed on such person as

a member of the audit committee and Board of Trustees in the absence of such designation or identification.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

(a)(b)(c)(d) and (g). Based on fees billed for the periods shown:

2013

	Regist	trant Co	overed Entities(1)
Audit Fees	\$	76,064	N/A
Non-Audit Fees			
Audit-Related Fees	\$	(2) \$	(2)
Tax Fees	\$	3,765(3) \$	96,000(4)
All Other Fees	\$	\$	6,883,455(5)
Total Non-Audit Fees	\$	3,765 \$	6,979,455
Total	\$	79,829 \$	6,979,455

2012

	R	egistrant	Covered Entities(1)
Audit Fees	\$	86,800	N/A
Non-Audit Fees			
Audit-Related Fees	\$	(2) \$	(2)
Tax Fees	\$	3,380(3) \$	201,000(4)
All Other Fees	\$	\$	854,999(5)
Total Non-Audit Fees	\$	3,380 \$	1,055,099
Total	\$	90,180 \$	1,055,099

N/A- Not applicable, as not required by Item 4.

⁽¹⁾ Covered Entities include the Adviser (excluding sub-advisors) and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Adviser that provides ongoing services to the Registrant.

⁽²⁾ Audit-Related Fees represent assurance and related services provided that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the financial statements of the Covered Entities and funds advised by the Adviser or its affiliates, specifically data verification and agreed-upon procedures related to asset securitizations and agreed-upon procedures engagements.

⁽³⁾ Tax Fees represent tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice services provided in connection with the preparation and review of the Registrant s tax returns.

- (4) Tax Fees represent tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice services provided in connection with the review of Covered Entities tax returns.
- (5) All other fees represent project management for future business applications and improving business and operational processes.

(e)(1) The audit committee s pre-approval policies and procedures are as follows:

APPENDIX A

AUDIT COMMITTEE

AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES

PRE-APPROVAL POLICY AND PROCEDURES

OF THE

MORGAN STANLEY RETAIL AND INSTITUTIONAL FUNDS

AS ADOPTED AND AMENDED JULY 23, 2004,(1)

1. Statement of Principles

The Audit Committee of the Board is required to review and, in its sole discretion, pre-approve all Covered Services to be provided by the Independent Auditors to the Fund and Covered Entities in order to assure that services performed by the Independent Auditors do not impair the auditor s independence from the Fund.

The SEC has issued rules specifying the types of services that an independent auditor may not provide to its audit client, as well as the audit committee s administration of the engagement of the independent auditor. The SEC s rules establish two different approaches to pre-approving services, which the SEC considers to be equally valid. Proposed services either: may be pre-approved without consideration of specific case-by-case services by the Audit Committee (<u>general pre-approval</u>); or require the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee or its delegate (<u>specific pre-approval</u>). The Audit Committee believes that the combination of these two approaches in this Policy will result in an effective and efficient procedure to pre-approve services performed by the Independent Auditors. As set forth in this Policy, unless a type of service has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval authority has been delegated) if it is to be provided by the Independent Auditors. Any proposed services exceeding pre-approved cost levels or budgeted amounts will also require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee.

The appendices to this Policy describe the Audit, Audit-related, Tax and All Other services that have the general pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The term of any general pre-approval is 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee considers and provides a different period and states otherwise. The Audit Committee will annually review and pre-approve the services that may be provided by the Independent Auditors without obtaining specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee will add to or subtract from the list of general pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations.

(1) This Audit Committee Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures (the <u>Policy</u>), adopted as of the date above, supersedes and replaces all prior versions that may have been adopted from time to time.

The purpose of this Policy is to set forth the policy and procedures by which the Audit Committee intends to fulfill its responsibilities. It does not delegate the Audit Committee s responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the Independent Auditors to management.

The Fund s Independent Auditors have reviewed this Policy and believes that implementation of the Policy will not adversely affect the Independent Auditors independence.

2. Delegation

As provided in the Act and the SEC s rules, the Audit Committee may delegate either type of pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report, for informational purposes only, any pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

3. Audit Services

The annual Audit services engagement terms and fees are subject to the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee. Audit services include the annual financial statement audit and other procedures required to be performed by the Independent Auditors to be able to form an opinion on the Fund s financial statements. These other procedures include information systems and procedural reviews and testing performed in order to understand and place reliance on the systems of internal control, and consultations relating to the audit. The Audit Committee will approve, if necessary, any changes in terms, conditions and fees resulting from changes in audit scope, Fund structure or other items.

In addition to the annual Audit services engagement approved by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee may grant general pre-approval to other Audit services, which are those services that only the Independent Auditors reasonably can provide. Other Audit services may include statutory audits and services associated with SEC registration statements (on Forms N-1A, N-2, N-3, N-4, etc.), periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

The Audit Committee has pre-approved the Audit services in Appendix B.1. All other Audit services not listed in Appendix B.1 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

4. Audit-related Services

Audit-related services are assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Fund s financial statements and, to the extent they are Covered Services, the Covered Entities or that are traditionally performed by the Independent Auditors. Because the Audit Committee believes that the provision of Audit-related services does not impair the independence of the auditor and is consistent with the SEC s rules on auditor independence, the Audit Committee may grant general pre-approval to Audit-related services. Audit-related services include, among others, accounting consultations related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters

not classified as Audit services; assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rulemaking authorities; agreed-upon or expanded audit procedures related to accounting and/or billing records required to respond to or comply with financial, accounting or regulatory reporting matters; and assistance with internal control reporting requirements under Forms N-SAR and/or N-CSR.

The Audit Committee has pre-approved the Audit-related services in Appendix B.2. All other Audit-related services not listed in Appendix B.2 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

5. Tax Services

The Audit Committee believes that the Independent Auditors can provide Tax services to the Fund and, to the extent they are Covered Services, the Covered Entities, such as tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice without impairing the auditor s independence, and the SEC has stated that the Independent Auditors may provide such services.

Pursuant to the preceding paragraph, the Audit Committee has pre-approved the Tax Services in Appendix B.3. All Tax services in Appendix B.3 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

6. All Other Services

The Audit Committee believes, based on the SEC s rules prohibiting the Independent Auditors from providing specific non-audit services, that other types of non-audit services are permitted. Accordingly, the Audit Committee believes it may grant general pre-approval to those permissible non-audit services classified as All Other services that it believes are routine and recurring services, would not impair the independence of the auditor and are consistent with the SEC s rules on auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has pre-approved the All Other services in Appendix B.4. Permissible All Other services not listed in Appendix B.4 must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee (or by any member of the Audit Committee to which pre-approval has been delegated).

7. Pre-Approval Fee Levels or Budgeted Amounts

Pre-approval fee levels or budgeted amounts for all services to be provided by the Independent Auditors will be established annually by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding these levels or amounts will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is mindful of the overall relationship of fees for audit and non-audit services in determining whether to pre-approve any such services.

8. Procedures

All requests or applications for services to be provided by the Independent Auditors that do not require specific approval by the Audit Committee will be submitted to the Fund s Chief Financial Officer and must include a detailed description of the services to be

rendered. The Fund s Chief Financial Officer will determine whether such services are included within the list of services that have received the general pre-approval of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee will be informed on a timely basis of any such services rendered by the Independent Auditors. Requests or applications to provide services that require specific approval by the Audit Committee will be submitted to the Audit Committee by both the Independent Auditors and the Fund s Chief Financial Officer, and must include a joint statement as to whether, in their view, the request or application is consistent with the SEC s rules on auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has designated the Fund s Chief Financial Officer to monitor the performance of all services provided by the Independent Auditors and to determine whether such services are in compliance with this Policy. The Fund s Chief Financial Officer will report to the Audit Committee on a periodic basis on the results of its monitoring. Both the Fund s Chief Financial Officer and management will immediately report to the chairman of the Audit Committee any breach of this Policy that comes to the attention of the Fund s Chief Financial Officer or any member of management.

9. Additional Requirements

The Audit Committee has determined to take additional measures on an annual basis to meet its responsibility to oversee the work of the Independent Auditors and to assure the auditor s independence from the Fund, such as reviewing a formal written statement from the Independent Auditors delineating all relationships between the Independent Auditors and the Fund, consistent with Independence Standards Board No. 1, and discussing with the Independent Auditors its methods and procedures for ensuring independence.

10. Covered Entities

Covered Entities include the Fund s investment adviser(s) and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the Fund s investment adviser(s) that provides ongoing services to the Fund(s). Beginning with non-audit service contracts entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the Fund s audit committee must pre-approve non-audit services provided not only to the Fund but also to the Covered Entities if the engagements relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund. This list of Covered Entities would include:

Morgan Stanley Retail Funds

Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc.

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated

Morgan Stanley DW Inc.

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited

Morgan Stanley Asset & Investment Trust Management Co., Limited

Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company	
Morgan Stanley Services Company, Inc.	
Morgan Stanley Distributors Inc.	
Morgan Stanley Trust FSB	

Morgan Stanley Institutional Funds							
Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.							
Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc.							
Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited							
Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited							
Morgan Stanley Asset & Investment Trust Management Co., Limited							
Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company							
Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated							
Morgan Stanley Distribution, Inc.							
Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP							
Morgan Stanley Alternative Investment Partners LP							
(e)(2) Beginning with non-audit service contracts entered into on or after May 6, 2003, the audit committee also is required to pre-approve services to Covered Entities to the extent that the services are determined to have a direct impact on the operations or financial reporting of the Registrant. 100% of such services were pre-approved by the audit committee pursuant to the Audit Committee s pre-approval policies and procedures (attached hereto).							
(f) Not applicable.							
(g) See table above.							
(h) The audit committee of the Board of Trustees/Directors has considered whether the provision of services other than audit services performed by the auditors to the Registrant and Covered Entities is compatible with maintaining the auditors independence in performing audit services.							
Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.							
(a) The Fund has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act whose members are:							

Edgar Filing: Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc Form N-CSR
Joseph Kearns, Michael Nugent and Allen Reed.
(b) Not applicable.
Itani (Sahadala of Ingraturata
Item 6. Schedule of Investments
(a) See Item 1.
(b) Not applicable.

Item	7	Disclosure	of Proxy	Voting	Policies and	d Procedure	es for	Closed-End	Management	Investment	Companies
110111	٠.	Disclusure	$o_1 \circ o_2 \circ$	v Ounz	i oncies an	u i ioccuuiv	-5 101	CIUSCU-LIIU	Management	mvesument	Companies.

The Fund s/Trust s and its Investment Advisor s Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures are as follows:

October 3, 2013

MORGAN STANLEY INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

PROXY VOTING POLICY AND PROCEDURES

I. POLICY STATEMENT

Morgan Stanley Investment Management s (MSIM) policy and procedures for voting proxies (Policy) with respect to securities held in the accounts of clients applies to those MSIM entities that provide discretionary investment management services and for which an MSIM entity has authority to vote proxies. This Policy is reviewed and updated as necessary to address new and evolving proxy voting issues and standards.

The MSIM entities covered by this Policy currently include the following: Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc., Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company, Morgan Stanley Investment Management (Japan) Co., Limited, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited and Private Investment Partners Inc. (each an MSIM Affiliate and collectively referred to as the MSIM Affiliates or as we below).

Each MSIM Affiliate will use its best efforts to vote proxies as part of its authority to manage, acquire and dispose of account assets. With respect to the MSIM registered management investment companies (MSIM Funds), each MSIM Affiliate will vote proxies under this Policy pursuant to authority granted under its applicable investment advisory agreement or, in the absence of such authority, as authorized by the Board of Directors/Trustees of the MSIM Funds. An MSIM Affiliate will not vote proxies unless the investment management or investment advisory agreement explicitly authorizes the MSIM Affiliate to vote proxies.

MSIM Affiliates will vote proxies in a prudent and diligent manner and in the best interests of clients, including beneficiaries of and participants in a client s benefit plan(s) for which the MSIM Affiliates manage assets, consistent with the objective of maximizing long-term investment returns (Client Proxy Standard). In addition to voting proxies at portfolio companies, MSIM routinely engages with the management and may also engage with the board, of companies in which we invest on a range of governance issues. Governance is a window into or proxy for management and board quality. MSIM engages with companies where we have larger positions, voting issues are

material or where we believe we can make a positive impact on the governance structure. MSIM s engagement process, through private communication with companies, allows us to understand the governance structures at investee companies and better inform our voting decisions. In certain situations, a client or its fiduciary may provide an MSIM Affiliate with a proxy voting policy. In these situations, the MSIM Affiliate will comply with the client s policy.

Proxy Research Services - ISS and Glass Lewis (together with other proxy research providers as we may retain from time to time, the Research Providers) are independent advisers that specialize in providing a variety of fiduciary-level proxy-related services to institutional investment managers, plan sponsors, custodians, consultants, and other institutional investors. The services provided include in-depth research, global issuer analysis, and voting recommendations. While we may review and utilize the recommendations of one or more Research Providers in making proxy voting decisions, we are in no way obligated to follow such recommendations. In addition to research, ISS provides vote execution, reporting, and recordkeeping services.

Voting Proxies for Certain Non-U.S. Companies - Voting proxies of companies located in some jurisdictions may involve several problems that can restrict or prevent the ability to vote such proxies or entail significant costs. These problems include, but are not limited to: (i) proxy statements and ballots being written in a language other than English; (ii) untimely and/or inadequate notice of shareholder meetings; (iii) restrictions on the ability of holders outside the issuer s jurisdiction of organization to exercise votes; (iv) requirements to vote proxies in person; (v) the imposition of restrictions on the sale of the securities for a period of time in proximity to the shareholder meeting; and (vi) requirements to provide local agents with power of attorney to facilitate our voting instructions. As a result, we vote clients non-U.S. proxies on a best efforts basis only, after weighing the costs and benefits of voting such proxies, consistent with the Client Proxy Standard. ISS has been retained to provide assistance in connection with voting non-U.S. proxies.

II. GENERAL PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES

To promote consistency in voting proxies on behalf of its clients, we follow this Policy (subject to any exception set forth herein). The Policy addresses a broad range of issues, and provides general voting parameters on proposals that arise most frequently. However, details of specific proposals vary, and those details affect particular voting decisions, as do factors specific to a given company. Pursuant to the procedures set forth herein, we may vote in a manner that is not in accordance with the following general guidelines, provided the vote is approved by the Proxy Review Committee (see Section III for description) and is consistent with the Client Proxy Standard. Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP will follow the procedures as described in Appendix A.

We endeavor to integrate governance and proxy voting policy with investment goals, using the vote to encourage portfolio companies to enhance long-term shareholder value

and to provide a high standard of transparency such that equity markets can value corporate assets appropriately.
We seek to follow the Client Proxy Standard for each client. At times, this may result in split votes, for example when different clients have varying economic interests in the outcome of a particular voting matter (such as a case in which varied ownership interests in two companies involved in a merger result in different stakes in the outcome). We also may split votes at times based on differing views of portfolio managers.
We may abstain on matters for which disclosure is inadequate.
A. Routine Matters.
We generally support routine management proposals. The following are examples of routine management proposals:
• Approval of financial statements and auditor reports if delivered with an unqualified auditor s opinion.
• General updating/corrective amendments to the charter, articles of association or bylaws, unless we believe that such amendments would diminish shareholder rights.
• Most proposals related to the conduct of the annual meeting, with the following exceptions. We generally oppose proposals that relate to the transaction of such other business which may come before the meeting, and open-ended requests for adjournment. However, where management specifically states the reason for requesting an adjournment and the requested adjournment would facilitate passage of a proposal that would otherwise be supported under this Policy (i.e. an uncontested corporate transaction), the adjournment request will be supported.
We generally support shareholder proposals advocating confidential voting procedures and independent tabulation of voting results.
B. Board of Directors.
1. <u>Election of directors</u> : Votes on board nominees can involve balancing a variety of considerations. In vote decisions, we may take into consideration whether the company has a majority voting policy in place that we believe makes the director vote more meaningful. In the absence of a proxy contest, we generally support the board s nominees for director except as follows:

a. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee if we believe a direct conflict exists between the interests of the nominee and the public shareholders, including failure to meet fiduciary standards of care and/or loyalty. We may oppose directors where we conclude that actions

of directors are unlawful, unethical or negligent. We consider opposing individual board members or an entire slate if we believe the board is entrenched and/or dealing inadequately with performance problems; if we believe the board is acting with insufficient independence between the board and management; or if we believe the board has not been sufficiently forthcoming with information on key governance or other material matters.
b. We consider withholding support from or voting against interested directors if the company s board does not meet market standards for director independence, or if otherwise we believe board independence is insufficient. We refer to prevalent market standards as promulgated by a stock exchange or other authority within a given market (e.g., New York Stock Exchange or Nasdaq rules for most U.S. companies, and The Combined Code on Corporate Governance in the United Kingdom). Thus, for an NYSE company with no controlling shareholder, we would expect that at a minimum a majority of directors should be independent as defined by NYSE. Where we view market standards as inadequate, we may withhold votes based on stronger independence standards. Market standards notwithstanding, we generally do not view long board tenure alone as a basis to classify a director as non-independent.
i. At a company with a shareholder or group that controls the company by virtue of a majority economic interest in the company, we have a reduced expectation for board independence, although we believe the presence of independent directors can be helpful, particularly in staffing the audit committee, and at times we may withhold support from or vote against a nominee on the view the board or its committees are not sufficiently independent. In markets where board independence is not the norm (e.g. Japan), however, we consider factors including whether a board of a controlled company includes independent members who can be expected to look out for interests of minority holders.
ii. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee if he or she is affiliated with a major shareholder that has representation on a board disproportionate to its economic interest.
c. Depending on market standards, we consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee who is interested and who is standing for election as a member of the company s compensation/remuneration, nominating/governance or audit committee.
d. We consider withholding support from or voting against nominees if the term for which they are nominated is excessive. We consider this issue on a market-specific basis.

e. We consider withholding support from or voting against nominees if in our view there has been insufficient board renewal (turnover), particularly in the context of extended poor company performance.
f. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee standing for election if the board has not taken action to implement generally accepted governance practices for which there is a bright line test. For example, in the context of the U.S. market, failure to eliminate a dead hand or slow hand poison pill would be seen as a basis for opposing one or more incumbent nominees.
g. In markets that encourage designated audit committee financial experts, we consider voting against members of an audit committee if no members are designated as such. We also consider voting against the audit committee members if the company has faced financial reporting issues and/or does not put the auditor up for ratification by shareholders.
h. We believe investors should have the ability to vote on individual nominees, and may abstain or vote against a slate of nominees where we are not given the opportunity to vote on individual nominees.
i. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee who has failed to attend at least 75% of the nominee s board and board committee meetings within a given year without a reasonable excuse. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does not meet market standards for disclosure on attendance.
j. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee who appears overcommitted, particularly through service on an excessive number of boards. Market expectations are incorporated into this analysis; for U.S. boards, we generally oppose election of a nominee who serves on more than six public company boards (excluding investment companies), although we also may reference National Association of Corporate Directors guidance suggesting that public company CEOs, for example, should serve on no more than two outside boards given level of time commitment required in their primary job.
k. We consider withholding support from or voting against a nominee where we believe executive remuneration practices are poor, particularly if the company does not offer shareholders a separate say-on-pay advisory vote on pay.
2. <u>Discharge of directors</u> duties: In markets where an annual discharge of directors responsibility is a routine agenda item, we generally support such discharge. However, we may vote against discharge or abstain from voting where there are

serious findings of fraud or other unethical behavior for which the individual bears responsibility. The annual discharge of responsibility
represents shareholder approval of disclosed actions taken by the board during the year and may make future shareholder action against the
board difficult to pursue.

- 3. <u>Board independence</u>: We generally support U.S. shareholder proposals requiring that a certain percentage (up to 662/3%) of the company s board members be independent directors, and promoting all-independent audit, compensation and nominating/governance committees.
- 4. <u>Board diversity</u>: We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals urging diversity of board membership with respect to gender, race or other factors.
- 5. <u>Majority voting</u>: We generally support proposals requesting or requiring majority voting policies in election of directors, so long as there is a carve-out for plurality voting in the case of contested elections.
- 6. <u>Proxy access</u>: We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals on particular procedures for inclusion of shareholder nominees in company proxy statements.
- 7. <u>Reimbursement for dissident nominees</u>: We generally support well-crafted U.S. shareholder proposals that would provide for reimbursement of dissident nominees elected to a board, as the cost to shareholders in electing such nominees can be factored into the voting decision on those nominees.
- 8. Proposals to elect directors more frequently: In the U.S. public company context, we usually support shareholder and management proposals to elect all directors annually (to declassify the board), although we make an exception to this policy where we believe that long-term shareholder value may be harmed by this change given particular circumstances at the company at the time of the vote on such proposal. As indicated above, outside the United States we generally support greater accountability to shareholders that comes through more frequent director elections, but recognize that many markets embrace longer term lengths, sometimes for valid reasons given other aspects of the legal context in electing boards.
- 9. <u>Cumulative voting</u>: We generally support proposals to eliminate cumulative voting in the U.S. market context. (Cumulative voting provides that shareholders may concentrate their votes for one or a handful of candidates, a system that can enable a minority bloc to place representation on a board.) U.S. proposals to establish cumulative voting in the election of directors generally will not be supported.

11. Director retirement age and term limits: Proposals setting or recommending director retirement ages or director term limits are voted on a case-by-case basis that includes consideration of company performance, the rate of board renewal, evidence of effective individual direct evaluation processes, and any indications of entrenchment. 12. Proposals to limit directors: liability and/or broaden indemnification of officers and directors: Generally, we will support such proportion provided that an individual is eligible only if he or she has not acted in bad faith, with gross negligence or with reckless disregard of their dution of the company and the company are relected by shareholders to provide assurance on compliance with legal and accounting standards and the company a stricles of association. We generally vote for statutory auditor nominees if they meet independence assurandards. In markets that require disclosure on attendance by internal statutory additors, however, we consider voting against nominees for these positions who failed to attend at least 75% of meetings in the previous year. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does not meet market standards for disclosure on attendance. 12. Corporate transactions and proxy fights. We examine proposals relating to mergers, acquisitions and other special corporate transactions (i.e., takeovers, spin-offs, sales of assets, reorganizations, restructurings and recapitalizations) on a case-by-case basis in the interests of each fund or other account. Proposals for mergers or other significant transactions that are friendly and approved by the Research Providers usually are supported if there is no portfolio manager objection. We also analyze proxy contests on a case-by-case basis. 13. We generally support the following: 14. We generally support the following:	10. <u>Separation of Chairman and CEO positions</u> : We vote on shareholder proposals to separate the Chairman and CEO positions and/or appoint an independent Chairman based in part on prevailing practice in particular markets, since the context for such a practice varies. In r non-U.S. markets, we view separation of the roles as a market standard practice, and support division of the roles in that context. In the Uni States, we consider such proposals on a case-by-case basis, considering, among other things, the existing board leadership structure, compar performance, and any evidence of entrenchment or perceived risk that power is overly concentrated in a single individual.	many ited
C. Statutory auditor boards. The statutory auditor board, which is separate from the main board of directors, plays a role corporate governance in several markets. These boards are elected by shareholders to provide assurance on compliance with legal and accounting standards and the company s articles of association. We generally vote for statutory auditor nomines if they meet independence standards. In markets that require disclosure on attendance by internal statutory auditors, however, we consider voting against nominees for these positions who failed to attend at least 75% of meetings in the previous year. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does neet market standards for disclosure on attendance. D. Corporate transactions and proxy fights. We examine proposals relating to mergers, acquisitions and other special corporate transactions (i.e., takeovers, spin-offs, sales of assets, reorganizations, restructurings and recapitalizations) on a case-by-case basis in the interests of each fund or other account. Proposals for mergers or other significant transactions that are friendly and approved by the Research Providers usually are supported if there is no portfolio manager objection. We also analyze proxy contests on a case-by-case basis. E. Changes in capital structure. 1. We generally support the following: Management and shareholder proposals aimed at eliminating unequal voting rights, assuming fair economic treatment of classes of	on a case-by-case basis that includes consideration of company performance, the rate of board renewal, evidence of effective individual dire	
corporate governance in several markets. These boards are elected by shareholders to provide assurance on compliance with legal and accounting standards and the company's articles of association. We generally vote for statutory auditor nominees if they meet independence standards. In markets that require disclosure on attendance by internal statutory auditors, however, we consider voting against nominees for these positions who failed to attend at least 75% of meetings in the previous year. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does neet market standards for disclosure on attendance. D. Corporate transactions and proxy fights. We examine proposals relating to mergers, acquisitions and other special corporate transactions (i.e., takeovers, spin-offs, sales of assets, reorganizations, restructurings and recapitalizations) on a case-by-case basis in the interests of each fund or other account. Proposals for mergers or other significant transactions that are friendly and approved by the Research Providers usually are supported if there is no portfolio manager objection. We also analyze proxy contests on a case-by-case basis. E. Changes in capital structure. 1. We generally support the following: Management and shareholder proposals aimed at eliminating unequal voting rights, assuming fair economic treatment of classes of		_
corporate transactions (i.e., takeovers, spin-offs, sales of assets, reorganizations, restructurings and recapitalizations) on a case-by-case basis in the interests of each fund or other account. Proposals for mergers or other significant transactions that are friendly and approved by the Research Providers usually are supported if there is no portfolio manager objection. We also analyze proxy contests on a case-by-case basis. E. Changes in capital structure. 1. We generally support the following: Management and shareholder proposals aimed at eliminating unequal voting rights, assuming fair economic treatment of classes of	corporate governance in several markets. These boards are elected by shareholders to provide assurance on compliance with legal and accounting standards and the company s articles of association. We generally vote for statutory auditor nominees if they meet independence standards. In markets that require disclosure on attendance by internal statutory auditors, however, we consider voting against nominees for these positions who failed to attend at least 75% of meetings in the previous year. We also consider opposing nominees if the company does	e
 We generally support the following: Management and shareholder proposals aimed at eliminating unequal voting rights, assuming fair economic treatment of classes of 	corporate transactions (i.e., takeovers, spin-offs, sales of assets, reorganizations, restructurings and recapitalizations) on a case-by-case basis the interests of each fund or other account. Proposals for mergers or other significant transactions that are friendly and approved by the	s in
 Management and shareholder proposals aimed at eliminating unequal voting rights, assuming fair economic treatment of classes of 	E. Changes in capital structure.	
	1. We generally support the following:	
		of

which aut	U.S. management proposals to increase the authorization of existing classes of common stock (or securities convertible into common (i) a clear business purpose is stated that we can support and the number of shares requested is reasonable in relation to the purpose for horization is requested; and/or (ii) the authorization does not exceed 100% of shares currently authorized and at least 30% of the total orization will be outstanding. (We consider proposals that do not meet these criteria on a case-by-case basis.)
• unless we	U.S. management proposals to create a new class of preferred stock or for issuances of preferred stock up to 50% of issued capital, have concerns about use of the authority for anti-takeover purposes.
generally Insurers	Proposals in non-U.S. markets that in our view appropriately limit potential dilution of existing shareholders. A major consideration rexisting shareholders would have preemptive rights for any issuance under a proposal for standing share issuance authority. We consider market-specific guidance in making these decisions; for example, in the U.K. market we usually follow Association of British (ABI) guidance, although company-specific factors may be considered and for example, may sometimes lead us to voting against share tion proposals even if they meet ABI guidance.
• protection	Management proposals to authorize share repurchase plans, except in some cases in which we believe there are insufficient as against use of an authorization for anti-takeover purposes.
• stock.	Management proposals to reduce the number of authorized shares of common or preferred stock, or to eliminate classes of preferred
•	Management proposals to effect stock splits.
	Management proposals to effect reverse stock splits if management proportionately reduces the authorized share amount set forth in rate charter. Reverse stock splits that do not adjust proportionately to the authorized share amount generally will be approved if the increase in authorized shares coincides with the proxy guidelines set forth above for common stock increases.
•	Management dividend payout proposals, except where we perceive company payouts to shareholders as inadequate.
2.	We generally oppose the following (notwithstanding management support):

• Proposals to add classes of stock that would substantially dilute the voting interests of existing shareholders.	
• Proposals to increase the authorized or issued number of shares of existing classes of stock that are unreasonably dilutive, particular if there are no preemptive rights for existing shareholders. However, depending on market practices, we consider voting for proposals giving general authorization for issuance of shares not subject to pre-emptive rights if the authority is limited.	:ly
• Proposals that authorize share issuance at a discount to market rates, except where authority for such issuance is de minimis, or if there is a special situation that we believe justifies such authorization (as may be the case, for example, at a company under severe stress and ri of bankruptcy).	sk
• Proposals relating to changes in capitalization by 100% or more.	
We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals to increase dividend payout ratios, in light of market practice and perceived market weaknesses, as well as individual company payout history and current circumstances. For example, currently we perceive low payouts to shareholders as a concern at some Japanese companies, but may deem a low payout ratio as appropriate for a growth company making good us of its cash, notwithstanding the broader market concern.	
F. Takeover Defenses and Shareholder Rights.	
1. <u>Shareholder rights plans</u> : We generally support proposals to require shareholder approval or ratification of shareholder rights plans (poison pills). In voting on rights plans or similar takeover defenses, we consider on a case-by-case basis whether the company has demonstrated a need for the defense in the context of promoting long-term share value; whether provisions of the defense are in line with generally accepted governance principles in the market (and specifically the presence of an adequate qualified offer provision that would exem offers meeting certain conditions from the pill); and the specific context if the proposal is made in the midst of a takeover bid or contest for control.	pt
2. <u>Supermajority voting requirements</u> : We generally oppose requirements for supermajority votes to amend the charter or bylaws, unles the provisions protect minority shareholders where there is a large shareholder. In line with this view, in the absence of a large shareholder we support reasonable shareholder proposals to limit such supermajority voting requirements.	
3. <u>Shareholders right to call a special meeting</u> : We consider proposals to enhance a shareholder s rights to call meetings on a case-by-basis. At large-cap U.S. companies, we generally support efforts to establish the right of holders of 10% or	case

more of s	shares to call special meetings, unless the board or state law has set a policy or law establishing such rights at a threshold that we believe eptable.
4.	Written consent rights: In the U.S. context, we examine proposals for shareholder written consent rights on a case-by-case basis.
5. basis. W rights.	Reincorporation: We consider management and shareholder proposals to reincorporate to a different jurisdiction on a case-by-case e oppose such proposals if we believe the main purpose is to take advantage of laws or judicial precedents that reduce shareholder
certain ca	Anti-greenmail provisions: Proposals relating to the adoption of anti-greenmail provisions will be supported, provided that the (i) defines greenmail; (ii) prohibits buyback offers to large block holders (holders of at least 1% of the outstanding shares and in asses, a greater amount) not made to all shareholders or not approved by disinterested shareholders; and (iii) contains no anti-takeover or other provisions restricting the rights of shareholders.
7. vote.	Bundled proposals: We may consider opposing or abstaining on proposals if disparate issues are bundled and presented for a single
irregulari Generally	Auditors. We generally support management proposals for selection or ratification of independent auditors. However, consider opposing such proposals with reference to incumbent audit firms if the company has suffered from serious accounting ties and we believe rotation of the audit firm is appropriate, or if fees paid to the auditor for non-audit-related services are excessive. y, to determine if non-audit fees are excessive, a 50% test will be applied (i.e., non-audit-related fees should be less than 50% of the paid to the auditor). We generally vote against proposals to indemnify auditors.
Н.	Executive and Director Remuneration.
1.	We generally support the following:
dilution a	Proposals for employee equity compensation plans and other employee ownership plans, provided that our research does not indicate oval of the plan would be against shareholder interest. Such approval may be against shareholder interest if it authorizes excessive and shareholder cost, particularly in the context of high usage (run rate) of equity compensation in the recent past; or if there are able plan design and provisions.
•	Proposals relating to fees to outside directors, provided the amounts are not excessive relative to other companies in the country or

industry, and

provided that the structure is appropriate within the market context. While stock-based compensation to outside directors is positive if moderate and appropriately structured, we are wary of significant stock option awards or other performance-based awards for outside directors, as well as provisions that could result in significant forfeiture of value on a director s decision to resign from a board (such forfeiture can undercut director independence).

- Proposals for employee stock purchase plans that permit discounts, but only for grants that are part of a broad-based employee plan, including all non-executive employees, and only if the discounts are limited to a reasonable market standard or less.
- Proposals for the establishment of employee retirement and severance plans, provided that our research does not indicate that approval of the plan would be against shareholder interest.
- 2. We generally oppose retirement plans and bonuses for non-executive directors and independent statutory auditors.
- 3. In the U.S. context, we generally vote against shareholder proposals requiring shareholder approval of all severance agreements, but we generally support proposals that require shareholder approval for agreements in excess of three times the annual compensation (salary and bonus). We generally oppose shareholder proposals that would establish arbitrary caps on pay. We consider on a case-by-case basis shareholder proposals that seek to limit Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs), but support such shareholder proposals where we consider SERPs excessive.
- 4. Shareholder proposals advocating stronger and/or particular pay-for-performance models will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, with consideration of the merits of the individual proposal within the context of the particular company and its labor markets, and the company s current and past practices. While we generally support emphasis on long-term components of senior executive pay and strong linkage of pay to performance, we consider factors including whether a proposal may be overly prescriptive, and the impact of the proposal, if implemented as written, on recruitment and retention.

5. We generally support proposals advocating reasonable senior executive and director stock ownership guidelines and holding requirements for shares gained in executive equity compensation programs.
6. We generally support shareholder proposals for reasonable claw-back provisions that provide for company recovery of senior executive bonuses to the extent they were based on achieving financial benchmarks that were not actually met in light of subsequent restatements.
7. Management proposals effectively to re-price stock options are considered on a case-by-case basis. Considerations include the company s reasons and justifications for a re-pricing, the company s competitive position, whether senior executives and outside directors are excluded, potential cost to shareholders, whether the re-pricing or share exchange is on a value-for-value basis, and whether vesting requirements are extended.
8. Say-on-Pay: We consider proposals relating to an advisory vote on remuneration on a case-by-case basis. Considerations include a review of the relationship between executive remuneration and performance based on operating trends and total shareholder return over multiple performance periods. In addition, we review remuneration structures and potential poor pay practices, including relative magnitude of pay, discretionary bonus awards, tax gross ups, change-in-control features, internal pay equity and peer group construction. As long-term investors, we support remuneration policies that align with long-term shareholder returns.
I. Social, Political and Environmental Issues. Shareholders in the United States and certain other markets submit proposals encouraging changes in company disclosure and practices related to particular corporate social, political and environmental matters. We conside how to vote on the proposals on a case-by-case basis to determine likely impacts on shareholder value. We seek to balance concerns on reputational and other risks that lie behind a proposal against costs of implementation, while considering appropriate shareholder and management prerogatives. We may abstain from voting on proposals that do not have a readily determinable financial impact on shareholder value. We support proposals that if implemented would enhance useful disclosure, but we generally vote against proposals requesting reports that we believe are duplicative, related to matters not material to the business, or that would impose unnecessary or excessive costs. We believe that certain social and environmental shareholder proposals may intrude excessively on management prerogatives, which can lead us to oppose them.
J. Fund of Funds . Certain Funds advised by an MSIM Affiliate invest only in other MSIM Funds. If an underlying fund has a shareholder meeting, in order to avoid any potential conflict of interest, such proposals will be voted in the same proportion as the votes of the other shareholders of the underlying fund, unless otherwise determined by

the Proxy Review Committee. Other MSIM Funds invest in unaffiliated funds. If an unaffiliated underlying fund has a shareholder meeting and the MSIM Fund owns more than 25% of the voting shares of the underlying fund, the MSIM Fund will vote its shares in the unaffiliated underlying fund in the same proportion as the votes of the other shareholders of the underlying fund.

III. ADMINISTRATION OF POLICY

The MSIM Proxy Review Committee (the Committee) has overall responsibility for the Policy. The Committee, which is appointed by MSIM s Long-Only Executive Committee, consists of investment professionals who represent the different investment disciplines and geographic locations of the firm, and is chaired by the director of the Corporate Governance Team (CGT). Because proxy voting is an investment responsibility and impacts shareholder value, and because of their knowledge of companies and markets, portfolio managers and other members of investment staff play a key role in proxy voting, although the Committee has final authority over proxy votes.

The CGT Director is responsible for identifying issues that require Committee deliberation or ratification. The CGT, working with advice of investment teams and the Committee, is responsible for voting on routine items and on matters that can be addressed in line with these Policy guidelines. The CGT has responsibility for voting case-by-case where guidelines and precedent provide adequate guidance.

The Committee will periodically review and have the authority to amend, as necessary, the Policy and establish and direct voting positions consistent with the Client Proxy Standard.

CGT and members of the Committee may take into account Research Providers recommendations and research as well as any other relevant information they may request or receive, including portfolio manager and/or analyst comments and research, as applicable. Generally, proxies related to securities held in accounts that are managed pursuant to quantitative, index or index-like strategies (Index Strategies) will be voted in the same manner as those held in actively managed accounts, unless economic interests of the accounts differ. Because accounts managed using Index Strategies are passively managed accounts, research from portfolio managers and/or analysts related to securities held in these accounts may not be available. If the affected securities are held only in accounts that are managed pursuant to Index Strategies, and the proxy relates to a matter that is not described in this Policy, the CGT will consider all available information from the Research Providers, and to the extent that the holdings are significant, from the portfolio managers and/or analysts.

A. Committee Procedures

The Committee meets at least quarterly, and reviews and considers changes to the Policy at least annually. Through meetings and/or written communications, the Committee is responsible for monitoring and ratifying split votes (i.e., allowing certain shares of the

same issuer that are the subject of the same proxy solicitation and held by one or more MSIM portfolios to be voted differently than other shares) and/or override voting (i.e., voting all MSIM portfolio shares in a manner contrary to the Policy). The Committee will review developing the committee will revi
ssues and approve upcoming votes, as appropriate, for matters as requested by CGT.

The Committee reserves the right to review voting decisions at any time and to make voting decisions as necessary to ensure the independence

B. Material Conflicts of Interest

and integrity of the votes.

In addition to the procedures discussed above, if the CGT Director determines that an issue raises a material conflict of interest, the CGT Director may request a special committee to review, and recommend a course of action with respect to, the conflict(s) in question (Special Committee).

A potential material conflict of interest could exist in the following situations, among others:

- 1. The issuer soliciting the vote is a client of MSIM or an affiliate of MSIM and the vote is on a matter that materially affects the issuer.
- 2. The proxy relates to Morgan Stanley common stock or any other security issued by Morgan Stanley or its affiliates except if echo voting is used, as with MSIM Funds, as described herein.
- 3. Morgan Stanley has a material pecuniary interest in the matter submitted for a vote (e.g., acting as a financial advisor to a party to a merger or acquisition for which Morgan Stanley will be paid a success fee if completed).

If the CGT Director determines that an issue raises a potential material conflict of interest, depending on the facts and circumstances, the issue will be addressed as follows:

- 1. If the matter relates to a topic that is discussed in this Policy, the proposal will be voted as per the Policy.
- 2. If the matter is not discussed in this Policy or the Policy indicates that the issue is to be decided case-by-case, the proposal will be voted in a manner consistent with the Research Providers, provided that all the Research Providers consulted have the same recommendation, no portfolio manager objects to that vote, and the vote is consistent with MSIM s Client Proxy Standard.

3.	If the Research Providers	recommendations differ, the CGT Director will refer the matter to a Special Committee to vote on the
proposal	, as appropriate.	

Any Special Committee shall be comprised of the CGT Director, and at least two portfolio managers (preferably members of the Committee), as approved by the Committee. The CGT Director may request non-voting participation by MSIM s General Counsel or his/her designee and the Chief Compliance Officer or his/her designee. In addition to the research provided by Research Providers, the Special Committee may request analysis from MSIM Affiliate investment professionals and outside sources to the extent it deems appropriate.

C. Proxy Voting Reporting

The CGT will document in writing all Committee and Special Committee decisions and actions, which documentation will be maintained by the CGT for a period of at least six years. To the extent these decisions relate to a security held by an MSIM Fund, the CGT will report the decisions to each applicable Board of Trustees/Directors of those Funds at each Board s next regularly scheduled Board meeting. The report will contain information concerning decisions made during the most recently ended calendar quarter immediately preceding the Board meeting.

MSIM will promptly provide a copy of this Policy to any client requesting it. MSIM will also, upon client request, promptly provide a report indicating how each proxy was voted with respect to securities held in that client s account.

MSIM s Legal Department is responsible for filing an annual Form N-PX on behalf of each MSIM Fund for which such filing is required, indicating how all proxies were voted with respect to such Fund s holdings.

APPENDIX A

Appendix A applies to the following accounts managed by Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP or Private Investment Partners Inc. (AIP): (i) closed-end funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, (ii) separate accounts and (iii) unregistered funds.

Generally, AIP will follow the guidelines set forth in Section II of MSIM s Proxy Voting Policy and Procedures. To the extent that such guidelines do not provide specific direction, or AIP determines that consistent with the Client Proxy Standard, the guidelines should not be followed, the Proxy Review Committee has delegated the voting authority to vote securities held by accounts managed by AIP to the Fund of Hedge Funds investment team or the Private Equity Real Estate Fund of Funds investment team of AIP. A summary of decisions made by the investment teams will be made available to the Proxy Review Committee for its information at the next scheduled meeting of the Proxy Review Committee.

In certain cases, AIP may determine to abstain from determining (or recommending) how a proxy should be voted (and therefore abstain from voting such proxy or recommending how such proxy should be voted), such as where the expected cost of giving due consideration to the proxy does not justify the potential benefits to the affected account(s) that might result from adopting or rejecting (as the case may be) the measure in question.

Waiver of Voting Rights

For regulatory reasons, AIP may either 1) invest in a class of securities of an underlying fund (the Fund) that does not provide for voting rights; or 2) waive 100% of its voting rights with respect to the following:

- 1. Any rights with respect to the removal or replacement of a director, general partner, managing member or other person acting in a similar capacity for or on behalf of the Fund (each individually a Designated Person, and collectively, the Designated Persons), which may include, but are not limited to, voting on the election or removal of a Designated Person in the event of such Designated Person s death, disability, insolvency, bankruptcy, incapacity, or other event requiring a vote of interest holders of the Fund to remove or replace a Designated Person; and
- 2. Any rights in connection with a determination to renew, dissolve, liquidate, or otherwise terminate or continue the Fund, which may include, but are not limited to, voting on the renewal, dissolution, liquidation, termination or continuance of the Fund upon the occurrence of an event described in the Fund s organizational documents; provided, however, that, if the Fund s organizational documents require the consent of the Fund s general partner or manager, as the case may be, for any such termination or continuation of the Fund to be effective, then AIP may exercise its voting rights with respect to such matter.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies
Applicable only to annual reports filed by closed-end funds.
Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt Fund, Inc.
FUND MANAGEMENT
PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT. As of the date of this report, the Fund is managed by members of the Emerging Markets Debt team. The team consists of portfolio managers and analysts. Current members of the team jointly and primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund s portfolio are Eric J. Baurmeister and Federico L. Kaune, each a Managing Director of the Adviser.
Mr. Baurmeister has been associated with the Adviser in an investment management capacity since October 1997 and began managing the Fun at its inception. Mr. Kaune has been associated with the Adviser in an investment management capacity since August 2002 and began managing the Fund at its inception. Mr. Baurmeister and Mr. Kaune are co-portfolio managers. Certain other members of the team collaborate to manage the assets of the Fund, but are not primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund.
The composition of the team may change from time to time.
OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY THE PORTFOLIO MANAGERS
As of October 31, 2013:
Mr. Baurmeister managed seven registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$2.3 billion in assets; Nine pooled investment vehicles other than registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$1.9 billion in assets; and nine other accounts with a total of approximately \$5.1 billion in assets. Of these other accounts, one account with a total of approximately \$265.5 million in assets, had performance-based fees.
Mr. Kaune managed six registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$2.2 billion in assets; Nine pooled investment vehicles other than registered investment companies with a total of approximately \$1.9 billion in assets; and nine other accounts with a total of approximately \$5.1 billion in assets. Of these other accounts, one account with a total of approximately \$265.5 million in assets, had performance-based fees.

Because the portfolio managers manages assets for other investment companies, pooled investment vehicles and/or other accounts (including institutional clients, pension plans and certain high net worth individuals), there may be an incentive to favor one client over another resulting in conflicts of interest. For instance, the Adviser may receive fees from certain accounts that are higher than the fee it receives from the Fund, or it may receive a performance-based fee on certain accounts. In those instances, the portfolio manager may have an incentive to favor the higher and/or performance-based fee accounts over the Fund. In addition, a conflict of interest could exist to the extent the Adviser has proprietary investments in certain accounts, where portfolio managers have personal investments in certain accounts or when certain accounts are investment options in the Adviser s employee benefits and/or deferred compensation plans. The portfolio managers may have an incentive to favor these accounts over others. If the Adviser manages accounts that engage in short sales of securities of the type in which the Fund invests, the Adviser could be seen as harming the performance of the Fund for the benefit of the accounts engaging in short sales if the short sales cause the market value of the securities to fall. The Adviser has adopted trade allocation and

other policies and procedures that it believes are reasonably designed to address these and other conflicts of interest.
PORTFOLIO MANAGER COMPENSATION STRUCTURE
Portfolio managers receive a combination of base compensation and discretionary compensation, comprising a cash bonus and several deferred compensation programs described below. The methodology used to determine portfolio manager compensation is applied across all funds/accounts managed by the portfolio managers.
BASE SALARY COMPENSATION. Generally, portfolio managers receive base salary compensation based on the level of their position with the Adviser.
DISCRETIONARY COMPENSATION. In addition to base compensation, portfolio managers may receive discretionary compensation.
Discretionary compensation can include:
• Cash Bonus.
• Morgan Stanley s Long Term Incentive Compensation awards - a mandatory program that defers a portion of discretionary year-end compensation into restricted stock units or other awards based on Morgan Stanley common stock or other plans that are subject to vesting and other conditions. All long-term incentive compensation awards are subject to clawback provisions where awards can be cancelled if an employee takes any action, or omits to take any action which causes a restatement of Morgan Stanley s consolidated financial results, or constitutes a violation of Morgan Stanley s risk policies and standards.
• Investment Management Alignment Plan (IMAP) awards - a mandatory program that defers a portion of discretionary year-end compensation and notionally invests it in designated funds advised by the Adviser or its affiliates. The award is subject to vesting and other conditions. Portfolio managers must notionally invest a minimum of 25% to a maximum of 100% of their IMAP deferral account into a combination of the designated funds they manage that are included in the IMAP fund menu, which may or may not include the Fund. In addition to the clawbacks listed above for long-term incentive compensation awards, the provision on IMAP awards is further strengthened such that it may also be triggered if an employee s actions cause substantial financial loss on the trading strategy, investment, commitment or other holding provided that previous gains on those positions were relevant to the employee s prior year compensation decisions.
Several factors determine discretionary compensation, which can vary by portfolio management team and circumstances. These factors include:
Revenues generated by the investment companies, pooled investment vehicles and other accounts managed by the portfolio manager.

•	The investment performance of the funds/accounts managed by the portfolio manager.
•	Contribution to the business objectives of the Adviser.
•	The dollar amount of assets managed by the portfolio manager.
•	Market compensation survey research by independent third-parties.
•	Other qualitative factors, such as contributions to client objectives.

• Performance of Morgan Stanley and Morgan Stanley Investment Management, and the overall performance of the investment team(s) of which the portfolio manager is a member.

SECURITIES OWNERSHIP OF PORTFOLIO MANAGERS

As of October 31, 2013, the portfolio managers did not own any shares of the Fund.

Item 9. Closed-End Fund Repurchases

REGISTRANT PURCHASE OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 2013	298,093		N/A	N/A
August 2013	433,753		N/A	N/A
September 2013	374,919		N/A	N/A
October 2013	59,367		N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
mo-da-year mo-da-year			N/A	N/A
Total	1,166,132	13.10	N/A	N/A

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
Not applicable.
Item 11. Controls and Procedures
(a) The Trust s/Fund s principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that the Trust s/Fund s disclosure controls and procedures are sufficient to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Trust/Fund in this Form N-CSR was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms, based upon such officers evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of the report.
(b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.
Item 12. Exhibits
(a) The Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers is attached hereto.
(b) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant are attached hereto as part of EX-99.CERT.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Domestic Debt

/s/ John Gernon John Gernon Principal Executive Officer December 19, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ John Gernon Arthur Lev Principal Executive Officer December 19, 2013

/s/ Francis Smith Francis Smith Principal Financial Officer December 19, 2013