# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 

Washington, D.C. 20549
$\qquad$

## FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)
x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

## MATTEL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

95-1567322
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

## 333 Continental Blvd.

El Segundo, CA 90245-5012
(Address of principal executive offices)
(310) 252-2000
(Registrant $s$ telephone number)
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

## None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer x $\quad$ Accelerated filer * Non-accelerated filer *

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No $x$

Number of shares outstanding of registrant s common stock, $\$ 1.00$ par value, as of April 28, 2006:
$389,469,170$ shares

Table of Contents

## MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Page
PART I
Item 1. Financial Statements.
Consolidated Balance Sheets ..... 3
Consolidated Statements of Operations ..... 4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows ..... 5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements ..... 6
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. ..... 18
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. ..... 28
Item 4. Controls and Procedures. ..... 28
PART II
Item 1. Legal Proceedings. ..... 29
Item 1A. Risk Factors. ..... 30
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. ..... 35
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities. ..... 35
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders. ..... 35
Item 5. Other Information. ..... 35
Item 6. Exhibits. ..... 36
Signature ..... 37

## Table of Contents

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (Unaudited; in thousands, except share data) | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Current Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 603,272 | \$ 778,703 | \$ 997,734 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 585,039 | 571,295 | 760,643 |
| Inventories | 410,761 | 472,579 | 376,897 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 284,293 | 246,923 | 277,226 |
| Total current assets | 1,883,365 | 2,069,500 | 2,412,500 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 535,217 | 562,507 | 547,104 |
| Goodwill | 719,212 | 731,816 | 718,069 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 727,026 | 790,407 | 694,640 |
| Total Assets | \$ 3,864,820 | \$ 4,154,230 | \$ 4,372,313 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EOUITY |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 43,468 | \$ 19,899 | \$ 117,994 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 100,000 | 188,880 | 100,000 |
| Accounts payable | 216,668 | 231,653 | 265,936 |
| Accrued liabilities | 431,428 | 437,501 | 796,473 |
| Income taxes payable | 108,472 | 235,955 | 182,782 |
|  | - | - |  |
| Total current liabilities | 900,036 | 1,113,888 | 1,463,185 |
|  | - |  |  |
| Noncurrent Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Long-term debt | 525,000 | 400,000 | 525,000 |
| Other | 282,836 | 239,414 | 282,395 |
|  |  | - |  |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 807,836 | 639,414 | 807,395 |
|  |  | - |  |
| Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |
| Common stock $\$ 1.00$ par value, 1.0 billion shares authorized; | 441369 | 441369 | 441369 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,588,592 | 1,591,010 | 1,589,281 |
| Treasury stock at cost; 51.9 million shares, 24.5 million shares and 52.8 million shares, respectively | $(921,312)$ | $(446,117)$ | $(935,711)$ |
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| Retained earnings | 1,339,992 | 1,099,795 | 1,309,822 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | $(291,693)$ | $(285,129)$ | $(303,028)$ |
| Total stockholders equity | 2,156,948 | 2,400,928 | 2,101,733 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 3,864,820 | \$ 4,154,230 | \$ 4,372,313 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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## MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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## MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (Unaudited; in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, | March 31, |  |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 30,170 | \$ | 6,507 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows used for operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Gain on sale of investments |  |  | $(11,445)$ |
| Net loss (gain) on disposal of other property, plant and equipment | 674 |  | (160) |
| Depreciation | 41,051 |  | 42,840 |
| Amortization | 959 |  | 1,315 |
| Deferred income taxes | $(20,621)$ |  | $(8,229)$ |
| Increase (decrease) from changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | 184,654 |  | 180,106 |
| Inventories | $(32,972)$ |  | $(59,871)$ |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | $(5,226)$ |  | 48,846 |
| Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and income taxes payable | $(475,876)$ |  | $(573,662)$ |
| Other, net | $(12,894)$ |  | $(1,180)$ |
| Net cash flows used for operating activities | $(290,081)$ |  | $(374,933)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: |  |  |  |
| Purchases of tools, dies and molds | $(13,019)$ |  | $(15,226)$ |
| Purchases of other property, plant and equipment | $(15,538)$ |  | $(9,371)$ |
| Payment for businesses acquired |  |  | (995) |
| Proceeds from sale of investments |  |  | 18,157 |
| Proceeds from disposal of other property, plant and equipment | 283 |  | 931 |
| Net cash flows used for investing activities | $(28,274)$ |  | $(6,504)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from short-term borrowings | 10,931 |  | 29,061 |
| Repayments of short-term borrowings | $(85,629)$ |  | $(38,196)$ |
| Share repurchases | $(13,279)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from exercises of stock options | 8,742 |  | 23,899 |
| Other, net | 939 |  | $(2,337)$ |
| Net cash flows (used for) from financing activities | $(78,296)$ |  | 12,427 |
|  | - |  |  |
| Effect of Currency Exchange Rate Changes on Cash | 2,189 |  | $(9,122)$ |
|  | - |  |  |
| Decrease in Cash and Equivalents | $(394,462)$ |  | $(378,132)$ |
| Cash and Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 997,734 |  | 1,156,835 |
| Cash and Equivalents at End of Period | \$ 603,272 | \$ | 778,703 |


| Supplemental Cash Flow Information: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Income taxes | \$ | 91,192 | \$ | 50,467 |
| Interest |  | 10,566 |  | 16,019 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Liability for equipment acquired | \$ |  | \$ | 443 |
| Asset write-downs |  |  |  | 378 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

## MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and related disclosures have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of only those of a normal recurring nature, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and interim results of Mattel, Inc. and its subsidiaries ( Mattel ) as of and for the periods presented have been included. Because Mattel s business is seasonal, results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of those that may be expected for a full year.

The year-end balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The financial information included herein should be read in conjunction with Mattel s consolidated financial statements and related notes in its 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## 2. Change in Accounting Principle

Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel applied the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock compensation plans. All employee stock options were granted at or above the grant date market price. Accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized in the statements of operations for these employee stock options.

Effective January 1, 2006, Mattel adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, using the modified-prospective transition method. Accordingly, results for prior periods have not been restated and compensation cost in 2006 includes the portion of share-based payment awards attributable to employee service during the period for (i) grants made prior to January 1, 2006, but not previously included in the proforma expense disclosures in Mattel s financial statements, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and (ii) all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

Beginning January 1, 2006 and in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), Mattel recognizes the cost of all new employee share-based payment awards on a straight-line attribution basis over their respective vesting periods, net of estimated forfeitures; whereas, prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel used the graded vesting attribution method prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation No. 28, Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans. In accounting for the income tax benefits associated with employee exercises of share-based payments, Mattel has elected to adopt the alternative simplified method as permitted by FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FAS 123(R)-3, Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. FSP No.

FAS $123(\mathrm{R})-3$ permits the adoption of either the transition guidance described in SFAS No. 123(R) or the alternative simplified method specified in the FSP to account for the income tax effects of share-based payment awards. In determining when additional tax benefits associated with
share-based payment exercises are recognized, Mattel follows the ordering of deductions of the tax law, which allows deductions for share-based payment exercises to be utilized before previously existing net operating loss carryforwards. In computing dilutive shares under the treasury stock method, Mattel does not reduce the tax benefit amount within the calculation for the amount of deferred tax assets that would have been recognized had Mattel previously expensed all share-based payment awards.

## 3. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are shown net of allowances for doubtful accounts of $\$ 20.1$ million, $\$ 30.9$ million and $\$ 24.6$ million as of March 31 , 2006, March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2005, respectively.
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## 4. Inventories

Inventories include the following:

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Raw materials and work in process | \$ | 38,443 | \$ | 48,559 | \$ | 34,038 |
| Finished goods |  | 372,318 |  | 424,020 |  | 342,859 |
|  | \$ | 410,761 | \$ | 472,579 | \$ | 376,897 |

## 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment, net include the following:

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land | \$ | 29,264 | \$ | 29,168 | \$ | 29,125 |
| Buildings |  | 233,141 |  | 252,090 |  | 231,597 |
| Machinery and equipment |  | 741,264 |  | 708,041 |  | 736,041 |
| Tools, dies and molds |  | 555,458 |  | 586,650 |  | 557,133 |
| Capital leases |  | 23,271 |  | 23,271 |  | 23,271 |
| Leasehold improvements |  | 122,482 |  | 106,482 |  | 115,496 |
|  |  | 704,880 |  | ,705,702 |  | 1,692,663 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation |  | (169,663) |  | $(143,195)$ |  | $(1,145,559)$ |
|  | \$ | 535,217 | \$ | 562,507 | \$ | 547,104 |

## 6. Goodwill

Goodwill is allocated to various reporting units, which are either at the operating segment level or one reporting level below the operating segment, for purposes of evaluating whether goodwill is impaired. Mattel s reporting units are: Mattel Girls

Brands US, Mattel Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US, American Girl Brands and International. Mattel tests its goodwill for impairment annually in the third quarter, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable, based on the fair value of the cash flows that the business can be expected to generate in the future.
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The change in the carrying amount of goodwill by reporting unit for the three months ended March 31, 2006, is shown below. Brand-specific goodwill held by foreign subsidiaries is allocated to the US reporting units selling those brands, thereby causing foreign currency translation impact to the US reporting units.

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2005 |  | Impact of Currency Exchange Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Changes |  | March 31, 2006 |  |
| Mattel Girls Brands US | \$ | 34,003 | \$ | 268 | \$ | 34,271 |
| Mattel Boys Brands US |  | 54,134 |  | 21 |  | 54,155 |
| Fisher-Price Brands US |  | 216,455 |  | 53 |  | 216,508 |
| American Girl Brands |  | 207,571 |  |  |  | 207,571 |
| International |  | 205,906 |  | 801 |  | 206,707 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 718,069 | \$ | 1,143 | \$ | 719,212 |
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## 7. Other Noncurrent Assets

Other noncurrent assets include the following:

| (In thousands). | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred income taxes | \$ | 514,927 | \$ | 585,520 | \$ | 495,914 |
| Identifiable intangibles, net |  | 19,858 |  | 22,153 |  | 20,422 |
| Other |  | 192,241 |  | 182,734 |  | 178,304 |
|  | \$ | 727,026 | \$ | 790,407 | \$ | 694,640 |

## 8. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities include the following:

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Royalties | \$ | 58,019 | \$ | 54,487 | \$ | 106,257 |
| Accounts receivable collections due to bank |  | 45,252 |  | 27,105 |  | 200,417 |
| Other |  | 328,157 |  | 355,909 |  | 489,799 |
|  | \$ | 431,428 | \$ | 437,501 | \$ | 796,473 |

## 9. Long-term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medium-term notes due May 2006 to November 2013 | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 400,000 |
| Term loan facility due December 2006 to December 2008 |  | 225,000 |  |  |  | 225,000 |
| 61/8\% senior notes due July 2005 |  |  |  | 150,000 |  |  |
| 10.15\% mortgage note due November 2005 |  |  |  | 38,880 |  |  |
|  |  | 625,000 |  | 588,880 |  | 625,000 |
| Less: current portion |  | $(100,000)$ |  | $(188,880)$ |  | $(100,000)$ |
|  | \$ | 525,000 | \$ | 400,000 | \$ | 525,000 |
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## 10. Comprehensive Income

The changes in the components of comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, are as follows:

For the Three Months Ended

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 | March 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ 30,170 | \$ | 6,507 |
| Currency translation adjustments | 10,366 |  | $(20,028)$ |
| Net unrealized gain on securities: |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding (losses) |  |  | $(2,070)$ |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) included in net income |  |  | $(5,745)$ |
|  | $\square$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $(7,815)$ |
|  | - |  | - |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments: |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding gains | 1,724 |  | 8,580 |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses included in net income | (755) |  | 3,962 |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | 969 |  | 12,542 |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | \$ 41,505 | \$ | $(8,794)$ |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows:

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Currency translation adjustments | \$ | $(228,193)$ | \$ | $(219,820)$ | \$ | $(238,559)$ |
| Minimum pension liability adjustments |  | $(68,715)$ |  | $(61,472)$ |  | $(68,715)$ |
| Net unrealized gain on securities |  |  |  | 8,627 |  |  |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments |  | 5,215 |  | $(12,464)$ |  | 4,246 |
|  | \$ | $(291,693)$ | \$ | $(285,129)$ | \$ | $(303,028)$ |

Currency Translation Adjustments

Mattel s reporting currency is the US dollar. The translation of its results of operations and financial position of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies subjects Mattel to currency exchange rate fluctuations in its results of operations and financial position. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies are translated into US dollars at fiscal period-end exchange rates. Income, expense and cash flow items are translated at weighted average exchange rates prevailing during the fiscal period. The resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders equity. Mattel sprimary
currency translation exposures were related to entities having functional currencies denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Mexican peso and Indonesian rupiah. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net gain of $\$ 10.4$ million, with gains from the strengthening of the Euro, and Indonesian rupiah against the US dollar, partially offset by the weakening of the Mexican peso against the US dollar. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, currency translation adjustments resulted in a net loss of $\$ 20.0$ million, with losses from the weakening of the Euro, British pound sterling, Venezuelan bolivar, and Chilean peso against the US dollar.

## Table of Contents

Marketable Securities

As of March 31, 2006, Mattel held no marketable securities. Unrealized pre-tax gains of $\$ 13.7$ million ( $\$ 8.6$ million net of tax) as of March 31, 2005 were deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to marketable securities held.

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, Mattel sold investments for proceeds totaling $\$ 18.2$ million, including $\$ 15.6$ million for marketable equity securities. Gains on sales of investments totaling $\$ 10.7$ million, including $\$ 9.1$ million for sales of marketable equity securities, net of transaction costs, were recorded in other non-operating (income), net in the consolidated statements of operations.

## 11. Income Taxes

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, Mattel settled multiple on-going audits by foreign tax authorities and as a result of the settlements, Mattel recognized income tax benefits of $\$ 56.8$ million during the first quarter of 2006.

Additionally, in April 2006, Mattel settled an audit with a state tax authority that resulted in a net tax benefit of $\$ 6.6$ million, which will be recorded in Mattel s financial statements in the second quarter of 2006.

## 12. Foreign Currency Transaction Gains and Losses

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel s results of operations and cash flows. Mattel s currency transaction exposures include gains and losses realized on unhedged inventory purchases and unhedged receivables and payables balances that are denominated in a currency other than the applicable functional currency. Gains and losses on unhedged inventory purchases and other transactions associated with operating activities are recorded in the components of operating income in the consolidated statements of operations. Gains and losses on unhedged intercompany loans and advances are recorded as a component of other non-operating (income), net in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the currency exchange rate changes. Inventory purchase transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Mexican peso, Hong Kong dollar and Indonesian rupiah are the primary transactions that cause foreign currency transaction exposure for Mattel.

Currency transaction (gains)/losses included in the consolidated statements of operations are as follows:

|  | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | 31, 2005 |
| Operating income | \$ $(3,200)$ | \$ | $(5,819)$ |
| Other non-operating (income), net | 396 |  | 1,485 |

## 13. Other Selling and Administrative Expenses

Other selling and administrative expenses include the following:

|  | For the Three Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 | March 31, 2005 |
| Research and development |  | $\$ 39,181$ |
| Identifiable intangible asset amortization | 564 | 41,204 |
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## 14. Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing reported net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period.

Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing reported net income by the weighted average number of common shares and other common equivalent shares outstanding during each period. The calculation of common equivalent shares assumes the exercise of dilutive stock options, net of assumed treasury share repurchases at average market prices, as applicable. Nonqualified stock options totaling 32.0 million and 9.4 million shares were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 , respectively, because they were
anti-dilutive.

## 15. Employee Benefit Plans

Mattel and certain of its subsidiaries have qualified and nonqualified retirement plans covering substantially all employees of these companies, which are more fully described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Mattel s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

A summary of the components of Mattel s net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31 is as follows:

|  | Defined Benefit Pension Plans |  |  | Postretirement Benefit Plans |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | 2006 | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| Service cost | \$ 2,701 | \$ | 2,331 | \$ | 30 | \$ | 32 |
| Interest cost | 5,601 |  | 5,417 |  | 780 |  | 803 |
| Expected return on plan assets | $(6,110)$ |  | $(5,668)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service cost | 483 |  | (149) |  |  |  |  |
| Net actuarial loss | 2,787 |  | 2,339 |  | 391 |  | 384 |
|  | \$ 5,462 | \$ | 4,270 | \$ | 1,201 | \$ | 1,219 |

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, Mattel made cash contributions totaling approximately $\$ 3$ million to its defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans. Mattel expects to make cash contributions totaling approximately $\$ 14$ million to its defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans during 2006, including approximately $\$ 11$ million to cover benefit payments for its unfunded plans.
16. Share-Based Payments
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Mattel has various stock compensation plans, which are more fully described in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in its 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Under the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan, Mattel has the ability to grant nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units ( RSUs ), dividend equivalent rights and shares of common stock to officers, employees, and other persons providing services to Mattel. Stock options expire no later than ten years from the date of grant and generally provide for vesting over a period of three years from the date of grant. Such stock options were granted with exercise prices at or above the fair market value of Mattel s common stock on the date of grant.

Effective January 1, 2006, Mattel adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock compensation plans. All employee stock options were granted at or above the grant date market price and, accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized in the statements of operations for these employee stock options. Instead, the amount of compensation expense that would have resulted if Mattel had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 was included as a proforma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes.
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Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel presented all benefits of tax deductions resulting from the exercise of share-based compensation as operating cash flows in the statements of cash flows. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options ( excess tax benefits ) be classified as financing cash flows. Excess tax benefits reflected as a financing cash inflow totaled $\$ 0.7$ million during the three months ended March 31, 2006. Excess tax benefits reflected as an operating cash inflow totaled $\$ 3.3$ million during the three months ended March 31, 2005.

On December 28, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Mattel approved the acceleration of vesting of options for approximately 12.4 million shares with an exercise price of $\$ 16.09$ or greater granted to employees other than Mattel s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Vesting was not accelerated for stock options held by any member of the Board of Directors. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to avoid recognizing future compensation expense associated with the accelerated stock options under SFAS No. 123(R). Additionally, for financial reporting purposes, there may be other potential tax benefits derived from accelerating the vesting of stock options.

As of March 31, 2006, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments totaled $\$ 2.9$ million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

## Stock Options

Mattel recognized compensation expense of $\$ 0.6$ million for stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2006 as a component of other selling and administrative expenses. As discussed above, prior to January 1, 2006, no compensation expense was recognized in the statements of operations for stock options. Had compensation expense in 2005 for nonqualified stock options granted been determined based on their fair value at the grant date, consistent with the fair value method of accounting prescribed by SFAS No. 123, Mattel s net income and net income per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2005 would have been adjusted as follows (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts):

| Net income: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| As reported | \$ 6,507 |
| Proforma compensation cost, net of tax | $(6,703)$ |
| Proforma net income | \$ (196) |
| Income per share: |  |
| Basic |  |
| As reported | \$ 0.02 |
| Proforma compensation cost, net of tax | (0.02) |
| Proforma net income per common share basic | \$ |
| Diluted |  |
| As reported | \$ 0.02 |
| Proforma compensation cost, net of tax | (0.02) |
| Proforma net income per common share diluted | \$ |

## Table of Contents

The fair value of Mattel options granted has been estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding, and has been determined based on a study of historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel s stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel s historical annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues. The fair value per option was lower in 2006 than in 2005 due primarily to a lower volatility factor and a shorter expected life. The shorter expected life was determined through a detailed study of historical exercise experience, and the shorter expected life impacted the period that was considered in developing other assumptions including volatility. The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining fair value for options granted:

|  | During the Three Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2006 | March 31, 2005 |
|  | - |  |
| Expected life (in years) | 4.87 | 5.45 |
| Risk-free interest rate | $4.12 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ |
| Volatility factor | $27.30 \%$ | $35.66 \%$ |
| Dividend yield | $2.41 \%$ | $1.39 \%$ |
| Weighted average fair value per granted option | $\$ 4.48$ | $\$$ |

The following is a summary of stock option information and weighted average exercise prices for Mattel stock option plans during the three months ended March 31, 2006 (amounts in thousands, except average exercise price and average remaining life):

|  | Number | Average <br> Exercise Price |  | Average Remaining Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 47,851 | \$ | 18.53 |  |  |
| Granted | 22 |  | 16.88 |  |  |
| Exercised | (814) |  | 15.21 |  |  |
| Forfeited | (350) |  | 18.92 |  |  |
| Canceled | $(1,636)$ |  | 23.38 |  |  |
| Outstanding at March 31,2006 | 45,073 | \$ | 18.42 | 5.5 | \$ (12,871) |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2006 | 44,191 | \$ | 18.42 | 5.7 | \$ (12,784) |
| Available for grant at March 31, 2006 | 42,713 |  |  |  |  |

There were 50 million shares of common stock authorized for equity instrument awards under the 2005 Plan.

The intrinsic value of stock options is the difference between the current market value and the exercise price. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 10.0$ million, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: MATTEL INC /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Mattel uses treasury shares purchased under its share repurchase program to satisfy stock option exercises. Cash received from stock options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was $\$ 8.7$ million, and the tax benefit for exercises was $\$ 0.7$ million.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

In 2000, Mattel awarded 686 thousand deferrable RSUs to its Chief Executive Officer pursuant to the terms of his employment contract. These RSUs are $75 \%$ vested, with the remaining RSUs vesting in 2008. The aggregate fair market value of the RSUs is being amortized to compensation expense over the vesting period.

Compensation expense recognized related to grants of restricted stock and RSUs to certain employees and non-employee Board members was $\$ 0.1$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.
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The following table summarizes the number and weighted average grant date fair value of Mattel s unvested restricted stock and RSUs as of March 31, 2006 (shares in thousands):

|  | Shares | Weighted-average grant date fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unvested at January 1, 2006 | 220 | \$ | 12.55 |
| Granted | 3 |  | 17.08 |
| Vested | (5) |  | 20.70 |
| Forfeited |  |  |  |
| Unvested at March 31, 2006 | 218 | \$ | 12.42 |

## 17. Contingencies

Litigation Related to LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc.

Fisher-Price, Inc. ( Fisher-Price ), a subsidiary of Mattel, was sued for patent infringement by LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc. in a lawsuit filed in October 2003 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and in September 2004, Mattel was joined to the lawsuit as a defendant. The lawsuit alleged that Fisher-Price s PowerTouclsystem infringed a LeapFrog patent relating to an electronic learning device for teaching phonics. A 10 -day trial commenced on May 16, 2005, which resulted in a deadlocked jury. As an alternative to retrying the case, the parties agreed to submit the case for decision, based on the existing trial record, to the presiding judge. The plaintiff in this lawsuit asserted a total damages claim of up to approximately $\$ 90$ million, which was reduced to approximately $\$ 58$ million pursuant to rulings by the Court, and sought an injunction preventing the further sale of the PowerTouch system; the damages could possibly have been trebled if a willful infringement had been found. On March 30, 2006, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order holding that Mattel and Fisher-Price did not infringe LeapFrog s patent and furthermore holding that LeapFrog s patent claim, which was the basis of LeapFrog s lawsuit, was invalid due to obviousness. On May 1, 2006, LeapFrog filed an appeal of the Court s ruling with the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Mattel and its subsidiary Fisher-Price continue to believe that the action is without merit and intend to continue defending themselves vigorously.

## Litigation Related to Carter Bryant and MGA Entertainment, Inc.

In April 2004, Mattel filed a lawsuit in Los Angeles County Superior Court against Carter Bryant ( Bryant ), a former Mattel design employee. The suit alleges that Bryant aided and assisted a Mattel competitor, MGA Entertainment, Inc. ( MGA ), during the time he was employed by Mattel, in violation of his contractual and other duties to Mattel. In September 2004, Bryant asserted counterclaims against Mattel, including counterclaims in which Bryant seeks, as a putative class action representative, to invalidate Mattel s Confidential Information and Propriety Inventions Agreements with its employees.

In December 2004, MGA intervened as a party-defendant in Mattel s action against Bryant, asserting that its rights to the Bratz property are at stake in the litigation. Mattel s suit has been removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, where it is currently pending.

Separately, in November 2004, Bryant filed an action against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The action seeks a judicial declaration that Bryant s purported conveyance of rights in Bratz was proper and that he did not misappropriate Mattel property in creating Bratz.

In April 2005, MGA filed suit against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. MGA s action alleges claims of trade dress infringement, trade dress dilution, false designation of origin, unfair competition and unjust enrichment. The suit alleges, among other things, that certain products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials in various Mattel product lines have infringed upon products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials for various MGA product lines, including Bratz. The complaint also asserts that various alleged Mattel acts with respect to unidentified retailers, distributors and licensees have damaged MGA and that various alleged acts by industry organizations, purportedly induced by Mattel, have damaged MGA.

MGA s suit alleges that MGA has been damaged in an amount believed to reach or exceed tens of millions of dollars and further seeks punitive damages, disgorgement of Mattel s profits and injunctive relief. Mattel believes the claims by Bryant and MGA are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against them.
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## 18. Segment Information

Mattel s operating segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. On October 10, 2005, Mattel announced the consolidation of its domestic Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands and

Fisher-Price Brands divisions into one division. The creation of the Mattel Brands division, which resulted in the consolidation of some management and support functions, preserves the natural marketing and design groups that are empowered to create and market toys based on gender and age groups and is expected to more effectively and efficiently leverage Mattel s scale. These changes are consistent with Mattel s ongoing strategy to build brands, cut costs and develop people in a streamlined organization that is focused on scale, innovation and execution. There were no changes to Mattel s operating segments as a result of the consolidation.

Mattel s domestic operating segments include:

Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands including Barbi® fashion dolls and accessories ( Barb涊 ), Polly Pocket! Pixel Chix, Winx Club and Disney Classics (collectively Other Girls Brands ), Hot WheßlliMatchbox ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Tyco ${ }^{\circledR}$ R/C vehicles and playsets (collectively Wheels ) and Batman CARS, Ice Age, Justice League, Superman, Yu-Gi-Oh! and games and puzzles (collectively Entertainment ).

Fisher-Price Brands including Fisher-Pric\&, Little People ${ }^{\circledR}$, Rescue Heroes ${ }^{\circledR}$, BabyGear and View-Master ${ }^{\circledR}$ (collectively Core Fisher-Price ), Sesame Street ${ }^{\circledR}$, Barney, Dora the Explorer, Winnie the Pooh, InteracTV and See N Sag (collectively Fisher-Price Friends ) and Power Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$.

American Girl Brands including Just Like Yo(fformerly referred to as American Girl Today ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), the historical collection (formerly referred to as The American Girls Collection ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and Bitty Baby ${ }^{\circledR}$. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers and its children s publications are also sold to certain retailers.

Additionally, the International segment sells products in all toy categories, except American Girl Brands.

The tables below present information about revenues, income and assets by segment. Mattel does not include sales adjustments such as trade discounts and other allowances in the calculation of segment revenues (referred to as gross sales ). Mattel records these adjustments in its financial accounting systems at the time of sale to each customer, but the adjustments are not allocated to individual products. For this reason, Mattel s chief operating decision maker uses gross sales by segment as one of the metrics to measure segment performance. Such sales adjustments are included in the determination of segment income from operations based on the adjustments recorded in the financial accounting systems. Segment income from operations represents operating income, while consolidated income from operations represents income from operations before income taxes as reported in the consolidated statements of operations. The corporate and other category includes costs not allocated to individual segments, including charges related to incentive compensation and corporate headquarters functions managed on a worldwide basis.

For the Three Months Ended
(In thousands)
March 31, 2006
March 31, 2005

| Revenues |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |
| Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US | \$ 229,699 | \$ | 237,937 |
| Fisher-Price Brands US | 199,640 |  | 169,218 |
| American Girl Brands | 61,868 |  | 67,630 |
|  | - |  |  |
| Total Domestic | 491,207 |  | 474,785 |
| International | 374,245 |  | 375,467 |
| Gross sales | 865,452 |  | 850,252 |
| Sales adjustments | $(72,105)$ |  | $(67,132)$ |
| Net sales | \$ 793,347 | \$ | 783,120 |
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|  | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 | March 31, 2005 |  |
| (Loss) Income Before Income Taxes |  |  |  |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |
| Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US | \$ 13,407 | \$ | 16,393 |
| Fisher-Price Brands US | (782) |  | $(1,692)$ |
| American Girl Brands | 41 |  | 7,787 |
|  | $\square$ |  | - |
| Total Domestic | 12,666 |  | 22,488 |
| International | 5,440 |  | 9,701 |
|  | 18,106 |  | 32,189 |
| Corporate and other expense (a) | $(50,097)$ |  | $(26,660)$ |
| Operating (loss) income | $(31,991)$ |  | 5,529 |
| Interest expense | 15,203 |  | 17,547 |
| Interest (income) | $(8,820)$ |  | $(12,085)$ |
| Other non-operating (income), net | $(1,879)$ |  | $(8,881)$ |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | \$ (36,495) | \$ | 8,948 |

(a) For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, corporate and other includes a $\$ 13.0$ million severance charge related to the January 2006 reduction of over 200 positions, primarily in connection with the streamlining of the Mattel Brands organization.

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US | \$ | 209,829 | \$ | 267,260 | \$ | 255,817 |
| Fisher-Price Brands US |  | 204,612 |  | 177,958 |  | 188,076 |
| American Girl Brands |  | 68,900 |  | 52,679 |  | 60,256 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Total Domestic |  | 483,341 |  | 497,897 |  | 504,149 |
| International |  | 444,676 |  | 474,468 |  | 547,980 |
|  |  | 928,017 |  | 972,365 |  | 1,052,129 |
| Corporate and other |  | 67,783 |  | 71,509 |  | 85,411 |
| Accounts receivable and inventories | \$ | 995,800 | \$ | 1,043,874 | \$ | 1,137,540 |
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Mattel sells a broad variety of toy products, which are grouped into three major categories: Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands,

Fisher-Price Brands and American Girl Brands. The table below presents worldwide revenues by category:

| (In thousands) | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2006 | March 31, 2005 |  |
| Worldwide Revenues |  |  |  |
| Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands | \$ 493,207 | \$ | 514,389 |
| Fisher-Price Brands | 307,238 |  | 264,384 |
| American Girl Brands | 61,868 |  | 67,630 |
| Other | 3,139 |  | 3,849 |
|  | - |  |  |
| Gross sales | 865,452 |  | 850,252 |
| Sales adjustments | $(72,105)$ |  | $(67,132)$ |
| Net sales | \$ 793,347 | \$ | 783,120 |
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## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

## MATTEL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

## FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial information and related notes that appear in Part I of this Quarterly Report. Mattel s business is seasonal, and, therefore, results of operations are comparable only with corresponding periods.

## Overview

Mattel designs, manufactures and markets a broad variety of toy products worldwide through sales to its customers and directly to consumers. Mattel s business is dependent in great part on its ability each year to redesign, restyle and extend existing core products and product lines, to design and develop innovative new products and product lines, and to successfully market those products and product lines. Mattel plans to continue to focus on its portfolio of traditional brands that have historically had worldwide appeal, to create new brands utilizing its knowledge of children s play patterns and to target customer and consumer preferences around the world.

Mattel s portfolio of brands and products are grouped in the following categories:

Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands including Barbi胃 fashion dolls and accessories ( Barb涊 ), Polly Pocket!Pixel Chix,
Winx Club and Disney Classics (collectively Other Girls Brands ), Hot Whe®lsMatchbox ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $\mathrm{Tyco}^{\circledR}$ R/C vehicles and playsets (collectively Wheels ) and BatmanARS, Ice Age, Justice League, Superman, Yu-Gi-Oh! and games and puzzles (collectively Entertainment ).

Fisher-Price Brands including Fisher-Pric\&, Little People ${ }^{\circledR}$, Rescue Heroes ${ }^{\circledR}$, BabyGear and View-Master ${ }^{\circledR}$ (collectively Core Fisher-Pric® ), Sesame Street ${ }^{\circledR}$, Barney, Dora the Explorer, Winnie the Pooh, InteracTV and See N Say (collectively Fisher-Price Friends ) and Power Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$.

American Girl Brands including Just Like Youthe historical collection and Bitty Baby ${ }^{\circledR}$. American Girl Brands products are sold directly to consumers and its children s publications are also sold to certain retailers.
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On October 10, 2005, Mattel announced the consolidation of its domestic Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands and Fisher-Price Brands divisions into one division. The creation of the Mattel Brands division, which resulted in the consolidation of some management and support functions, preserves the natural marketing and design groups that are empowered to create and market toys based on gender and age groups and is expected to more effectively and efficiently leverage Mattel s scale. These changes are consistent with Mattel s ongoing goals to enhance innovation and improve execution. In connection with this consolidation, Mattel began executing an initiative in 2006 to streamline its workforce, primarily in El Segundo, California.

Management believes that the business environment for Mattel in 2006 will be similar to that of 2005. Mattel expects to continue facing challenges both domestically and internationally as certain retailers continue to rationalize stores and tightly manage inventory. Additionally, Mattel has experienced continued cost pressures in the areas of product costs, including oil-based resin, the impact of the strengthening of the Chinese yuan against the US dollar, transportation costs and employee-related costs. Management believes that Mattel will continue to encounter a challenging retail environment, along with cost pressures and the possibility of continued sales declines in the Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand.

Mattel s objective is to continue to create long-term shareholder value by generating strong cash flow and deploying it in a disciplined and opportunistic manner as outlined in Mattel scapital and investment framework. To achieve this objective, management has established three overarching goals. The first goal is to enhance innovation in order to reinvigorate the Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand, while maintaining growth in other core brands by continuing to develop popular toys. Planned 2006 introductions include the
Let s Dance Barb芭 doll, My Scene Fab Faces dolls, Pixel Chix Love-2-Shop Mall, Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ SparkArt Creativity Easel, Hot Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$ Terror-Dacty ${ }^{\circledR}$ track set, Tyco ${ }^{\circledR}$ R/C N.S.E.C.T. vehicle, Fairy Wishes Dora, The Superman Returns Inflato-Suit, Fast Talkin Lightning McQueen from the upcoming CARS motion picture, T.M.X. Elmo and JessAmerican Girl ${ }^{\circledR}$ s Girl of the Year doll. Additionally, Mattel plans to pursue additional licensing arrangements and strategic partnerships to extend its portfolio of brands into areas outside of traditional toys.

The second goal is to improve execution in areas including manufacturing, distribution and selling. In 2006, Mattel is continuing to focus on improving the efficiency of its supply chain using Lean supply chain initiatives. The objective of the Lean program is to improve the flow of a process, do more with less and focus on the value chain from beginning to end.

The third goal is to further capitalize on Mattel s scale advantage. For example, as the world s largest toy company, Mattel believes it can realize cost savings when making purchasing decisions based on a One Mattel philosophy.

## Results of Operations

## Consolidated Results

Net sales for the first quarter of 2006 were $\$ 793.3$ million, as compared to $\$ 783.1$ million in 2005, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Net income for the first quarter of 2006 was $\$ 30.2$ million, or $\$ 0.08$ per diluted share, as compared to net income for the first quarter of 2005 of $\$ 6.5$ million, or $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share. Net income for the first quarter of 2006 was positively impacted by $\$ 56.8$ million of income tax benefits related to audit settlements with foreign tax authorities. Lower gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, and higher selling and administrative expenses partially offset these income tax benefits. Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, declined from $43.9 \%$ in 2005 to $41.8 \%$ in 2006. Sales mix and external cost pressures were the primary causes of the decline in gross profit. Other selling and administrative costs in the first quarter of 2006 included a $\$ 13.0$ million charge for severance related to the January 2006 reduction of over 200 positions, primarily in connection with the streamlining of the Mattel Brands organization.
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The following table provides a summary of Mattel s consolidated results for the first quarter of 2006 and 2005 (in millions, except percentage and basis point information):

|  | For the Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Year/Year Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\% \text { of Net }$ <br> Sales | Amount | \% of Net <br> Sales | \% | Basis Points of Net Sales |
| Net sales | \$ 793.3 | 100.0\% | \$ 783.1 | 100.0\% | 1\% |  |
| Gross profit | \$ 332.0 | 41.8\% | \$ 344.0 | 43.9\% | -4\% | (210) |
| Advertising and promotion expenses | 88.9 | 11.2 | 87.7 | 11.2 | 1\% |  |
| Other selling and administrative expenses | 275.1 | 34.7 | 250.8 | 32.0 | 10\% | 270 |
| Operating (loss) income | (32.0) | -4.0 | 5.5 | 0.7 |  | (470) |
| Interest expense | 15.2 | 1.9 | 17.6 | 2.2 | -13\% | (30) |
| Interest (income) | (8.8) | -1.1 | (12.1) | -1.5 | -27\% | 40 |
| Other non-operating (income), net | (1.9) |  | (8.9) |  |  |  |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | \$ (36.5) | -4.6\% | \$ 8.9 | 1.1\% |  | (570) |

Sales

Net sales for the first quarter of 2006 were $\$ 793.3$ million, a one percentage point increase as compared to $\$ 783.1$ million in 2005, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Gross sales within the US increased $3 \%$ as compared to 2005 and accounted for $56.8 \%$ of consolidated gross sales in 2006 compared to $55.8 \%$ in 2005. In 2006, gross sales in international markets were flat compared to 2005 , including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points.

Worldwide gross sales of Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands decreased 4\% in the first quarter of 2006 to $\$ 493.2$ million, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands products decreased $3 \%$ and international gross sales decreased $5 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Worldwide gross sales for Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ declined $8 \%$ from 2005, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. Domestic gross sales of Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ increased $1 \%$. International gross sales of Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ declined $14 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points, and were negatively impacted by the later introduction of Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ Mermaidia products in many key international markets and stronger sales of Beach Doll in the first quarter of 2005. Worldwide gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased 23\%, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 3 percentage points. The sales growth for Other Girls Brands compared to the first quarter of 2005 was driven by Pixel Chix, Winx Club and Polly Pocket!. Worldwide gross sales in the Wheels category declined $4 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, with declines in Hot Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$ adult and Tyco ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{C}$ products partially offset by gains in Hot Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$ kids products. Worldwide gross sales in the Entertainment category decreased $14 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, primarily driven by declines in Robots, Yu-Gi-Oh! and Batman, partially offset by sales of the new Ice Age property and initial shipments of CARS and Superman properties.
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Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price Brands increased $16 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 to $\$ 307.2$ million, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points. Worldwide sales of Core Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ increased $12 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 1 percentage point, primarily driven by worldwide sales growth in infant and preschool products and continued growth in the BabyGear line internationally. Worldwide gross sales of Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ Friends grew 21\%, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 2 percentage points, driven by continued strength of the

Dora the Explorer property. Power Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$ gross sales also grew worldwide.

American Girl Brands gross sales decreased $9 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 to $\$ 61.9$ million as compared to $\$ 67.6$ million in the first quarter of 2005. The decline was primarily driven by the later Easter and spring breaks in 2006 and strong sales of the 2005 Girl of the Year doll, Marisol, in the first quarter of 2005 .

## Gross Profit

Gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, was $41.8 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006, compared to $43.9 \%$ in the first quarter of 2005. The decrease in gross profit, as a percentage of net sales, resulted from the impact of sales mix, external cost pressures and the negative impact of currency exchange rates. The sales mix was negatively impacted by higher sales of Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ products relative to other higher margin brands. These factors were partially offset by supply chain savings.

## Advertising and Promotion Expenses

Advertising and promotion expenses were $11.2 \%$ of net sales in the first quarter of 2006, and flat with 2005 as a percentage of net sales.

## Other Selling and Administrative Expenses

Other selling and administrative expenses were $\$ 275.1$ million, or $34.7 \%$ of net sales, in the first quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 250.8$ million, or $32.0 \%$ of net sales, in the first quarter of 2005 . Other selling and administrative expenses increased in 2006, primarily due to the following:
$\$ 13.0$ million of severance charges related to the January 2006 reduction of over 200 positions primarily in connection with the streamlining of the Mattel Brands organization;

Higher external cost pressures, and higher employee-related costs such as salaries and benefits; and

Pre-opening costs associated with the American Girl Place ${ }^{\circledR}$ retail store in Los Angeles, California that opened in April 2006.

## Non-Operating Items

Interest expense decreased from $\$ 17.6$ million in the first quarter of 2005 to $\$ 15.2$ million in the first quarter of 2006, due to lower average domestic borrowings, partially offset by higher interest rates. Interest (income) decreased from $\$ 12.1$ million in the first quarter of 2005 to $\$ 8.8$ million in the first quarter of 2006, due to the utilization of cash to reduce borrowings and lower beginning cash balances due to 2005 share repurchases, partially offset by higher interest rates. Other non-operating (income), net was $\$ 1.9$ million in the first quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 8.9$ million in the first quarter of 2005 . Other non-operating (income), net in the first quarter of 2005 included $\$ 10.7$ million of net gains on the sales of investments, including $\$ 9.1$ million of gains from the sale of marketable equity securities.

As of March 31, 2006, Mattel held no marketable securities.

Business Segment Results

Mattel s reportable segments are separately managed business units and are divided on a geographic basis between domestic and international. The Domestic segment is further divided into Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US, Fisher-Price Brands US and American Girl Brands.
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Domestic Segment

Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US gross sales decreased 3\% in the first quarter of 2006 compared to the first quarter of 2005. Within this segment, Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ gross sales increased $1 \%$ and sales of Other Girls Brands increased $5 \%$ as a result of higher sales of Pixel Chix, Little Mommy and Polly Pocket!. Gross sales in the Wheels and Entertainment categories decreased. In the Entertainment category, sales declines in Robots, Batman and Yu-Gi-Oh! properties were partially offset by sales of Ice Age, CARS and Superman properties. Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US segment income decreased $18 \%$ to $\$ 13.4$ million in the first quarter of 2006, primarily due to a decline in gross profit caused by changes in sales mix, external cost pressures and higher royalty costs. Price increases implemented in April 2006 are expected to partially offset pressures on gross margins.

Fisher-Price Brands US gross sales increased $18 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 compared to the first quarter of 2005, with strong sales growth of Core Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$, Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ Friends and Power Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$ products. Fisher-Price Brands US segment income improved from a loss of $\$ 1.7$ million in the first quarter of 2005 to a loss of $\$ 0.8$ million in the first quarter of 2006 , due primarily to the strong sales growth in 2006, partially offset by lower gross margins. Price increases implemented in April 2006 are expected to partially offset pressures on gross margins.

American Girl Brands gross sales decreased $9 \%$ in the first quarter of 2006 compared to the first quarter of 2005, primarily driven by the later Easter and spring breaks in 2006 and strong sales of the 2005 Girl of the Year doll, Marisol, in the first quarter of 2005. The American Girl Brands segment income decreased from $\$ 7.8$ million in the first quarter of 2005 to income of $\$ 41.0$ thousand in the first quarter of 2006, primarily due to decreased sales volume and pre-opening costs associated with the American Girl Place ${ }^{\circledR}$ retail store in Los Angeles, California.

## International Segment

The following table provides a summary of percentage changes in gross sales within the International segment for the first quarter of 2006 versus 2005:

| Non-US Regions: | \% Change in Gross Sales | Impact of Change in Currency (in \% pts) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Europe | (6) | (7) |
| Latin America | 10 | 7 |
| Asia Pacific | (3) | (3) |
| Other | 35 | 6 |
| Total International |  | (4) |

International gross sales were flat in the first quarter of 2006 compared to the first quarter of 2005, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Gross sales of Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ decreased $14 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points. Gross sales of Other Girls Brands increased double digits, primarily as a result of higher sales of Pixel Chix, Winx Club, and Polly Pocket!. Gross sales increased in the Wheels category, reflecting growth in Hot Wheels ${ }^{\circledR}$. Gross sales decreased in the Entertainment category, driven by sales declines in Batman, Robots and Yu-Gi-Oh!, which were partially offset by sales of the new Ice Age property.
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Fisher-Price Brands gross sales increased $13 \%$, including unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates of 4 percentage points, driven by strong sales in both Core Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Fisher-Price ${ }^{\circledR}$ Friends. International segment income decreased from $\$ 9.7$ million in the first quarter of 2005 to $\$ 5.4$ million in the first quarter of 2006 due to external cost pressures and changes in sales mix.

## Income Taxes

In the normal course of business, Mattel is regularly audited by federal, state and foreign tax authorities. During the first quarter of 2006, Mattel settled multiple on-going audits by foreign tax authorities and as a result of the settlements, Mattel recognized a tax benefit of $\$ 56.8$ million.

Additionally, in April 2006, Mattel settled an audit with a state tax authority that resulted in a net tax benefit of $\$ 6.6$ million, which will be recorded in Mattel s financial statements in the second quarter of 2006.
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## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Mattel s primary sources of liquidity are its cash balances and access to short-term borrowing facilities. Cash flows from operations could be negatively impacted by decreased demand for Mattel s products, which could result from factors such as adverse economic conditions and changes in public and consumer preferences, or by increased costs associated with manufacturing and distribution of products or shortages in raw materials or component parts. Additionally, Mattel s ability to issue long-term debt and obtain seasonal financing could be adversely affected by factors such as an inability to meet its debt covenant requirements, which include maintaining consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios, or a deterioration of Mattel scredit ratings. Mattel sability to conduct its operations could be negatively impacted should these or other adverse conditions affect its primary sources of liquidity.

## Capital and Investment Framework

To guide future capital deployment decisions, with a goal of maximizing shareholder value, Mattel s Board of Directors in 2003 established the following capital and investment framework:

To maintain approximately $\$ 800$ million to $\$ 1$ billion in year-end cash available to fund a substantial portion of seasonal working capital;

To maintain a year-end debt-to-capital ratio of about $25 \%$;

To invest approximately $\$ 180$ million to $\$ 200$ million in capital expenditures annually to maintain and grow the business;

To make strategic acquisitions consistent with Mattel s vision of providing the world s premier toy brands today and tomorrow ; and

To return excess funds to shareholders through dividends and share repurchases.

Over the long term, assuming cash flows from operating activities remain strong, Mattel plans to use its free cash flows to invest in strategic acquisitions and to return funds to shareholders through cash dividends and, depending on market conditions, share repurchases. However, the ability to implement successfully the capital deployment plan is directly dependent on Mattel s ability to generate strong cash flows from operating activities. There is no assurance that Mattel will continue to generate strong cash flows from operating activities or achieve its targeted goals from investing activities.

## Operating Activities

Cash flows used for operating activities were $\$ 290.1$ million in the first quarter of 2006 compared to $\$ 374.9$ million in the first quarter of 2005. The decrease in cash flows used for operating activities was primarily due to the 2006 increase in net income and a decrease in 2006 in the use of cash for working capital requirements.

Cash flows used for investing activities in the first quarter of 2006 increased $\$ 21.8$ million to $\$ 28.3$ million compared to $\$ 6.5$ million in the first quarter of 2005 mainly due to proceeds received from the sale of investments in 2005, and an increase in other property, plant and equipment purchases in 2006, primarily related to the construction of the new American Girl Place ${ }^{\circledR}$ in Los Angeles

## Financing Activities

Cash flows used for financing activities in the first quarter of 2006 were $\$ 78.3$ million, compared to cash flow from financing activities of $\$ 12.4$ million in the first quarter of 2005. The additional cash flow used for financing activities in 2006 of $\$ 90.7$ million was primarily due to the repayment of $\$ 75.0$ million on a foreign revolving loan facility, share repurchases in December 2005 paid in 2006, and lower proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

## Seasonal Financing

Mattel maintains and periodically amends or replaces a domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility with a commercial bank group that is used as the primary source of financing for the seasonal working capital requirements of its domestic subsidiaries. The agreement in effect was amended and restated in March 2005 and the expiration date of the facility was extended to March 23, 2010. The other terms and conditions of the amended and restated facility are substantially similar to those contained in the previous facility. Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel, ranging from market commercial paper rates to the bank reference rate. The domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that
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require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios. Specifically, Mattel is required to meet these financial covenant ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified in the credit agreement to calculate the ratios. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at the end of the first quarter of 2006. As of March 31, 2006, Mattel s consolidated debt-to-capital ratio, as calculated per the terms of the credit agreement, was 0.25 to 1 (compared to a maximum allowed of 0.60 to 1 ) and Mattel s interest coverage ratio was 11.5 to 1 (compared to a minimum allowed of 3.50 to 1 ).

On December 9, 2005, Mattel, Mattel Asia Pacific Sourcing Limited ( MAPS ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mattel, Bank of America, N.A., as a lender and administrative agent, and other financial institutions executed a credit agreement (the MAPS facility ) which provides for (i) a term loan facility of $\$ 225.0$ million consisting of a term loan advanced to MAPS in the original principal amount of $\$ 225.0$ million, with $\$ 50.0$ million of such amount to be repaid by MAPS on each of December 15, 2006 and December 15, 2007, and the remaining aggregate principal amount of $\$ 125.0$ million to be repaid on December 9,2008 and (ii) a revolving loan facility consisting of revolving loans advanced to MAPS in the maximum aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding of $\$ 100.0$ million, with a maturity date of December 9,2008 . Interest is charged at various rates selected by Mattel based on Eurodollar rates or bank reference rates. In connection with the MAPS facility, Mattel executed a Continuing Guaranty Agreement pursuant to which Mattel unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of MAPS arising pursuant to the MAPS facility. The MAPS facility contains a variety of covenants, including financial covenants that require Mattel to maintain certain consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios at the end of each fiscal quarter and fiscal year, using the formulae specified and ratios allowed in the MAPS facility to calculate the ratios. The formulae specified in the MAPS facility are the same as those required by the domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. Mattel was in compliance with such covenants at March 31, 2006.

The domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility and the MAPS facility are material agreements and failure to comply with the financial covenant ratios may result in an event of default under the terms of the facilities. If Mattel defaulted under the terms of the domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility or the MAPS facility, its ability to meet its seasonal financing requirements could be adversely affected.

To finance seasonal working capital requirements of certain foreign subsidiaries, Mattel avails itself of individual short-term credit lines with a number of banks. Mattel expects to extend these credit lines throughout 2006.

In October 2005, two major credit rating agencies changed Mattel s long-term credit rating outlook to negative and one of the credit rating agencies reduced Mattel s short-term credit rating. In March 2006, one of those credit rating agencies reduced Mattel s
long-term credit rating and changed the outlook from negative to stable. Management does not expect these actions to have a significant impact on Mattel s ability to obtain financing or to have a significant negative impact on Mattel s liquidity or results of operations.

Mattel believes its cash on hand at the beginning of 2006, amounts available under its domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility, the MAPS facility, its uncommitted money market facility, and its foreign credit lines will be adequate to meet its seasonal financing requirements in 2006.

Mattel sells certain domestic and foreign trade receivables as one of its means for financing its seasonal working capital requirements. Mattel has a $\$ 300.0$ million domestic receivables sales facility that is a sub-facility of Mattel s domestic unsecured committed revolving credit facility. The outstanding amount of receivables sold under the domestic receivables facility may not exceed $\$ 300.0$ million at any given time, and the amount available to be borrowed under the credit facility is reduced to the extent of any such outstanding receivables sold. Under the domestic receivables facility, certain trade receivables are sold to a group of banks, which currently include, among others, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, Citicorp USA, Inc. and Barclays Bank PLC, as co-syndication agents, and Societe Generale and BNP Paribas, as co-documentation agents. Pursuant to the domestic receivables facility, Mattel Sales Corp. and Fisher-Price, Inc. (which are wholly-owned
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subsidiaries of Mattel) can sell eligible trade receivables from Wal-Mart and Target to Mattel Factoring, Inc. ( Mattel Factoring ), a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned, consolidated subsidiary of Mattel. Mattel Factoring is a special purpose entity whose activities are limited to purchasing and selling receivables under this facility. Pursuant to the terms of the domestic receivables facility and simultaneous with each receivables purchase, Mattel Factoring sells those receivables to the bank group. Mattel records the transaction, reflecting cash proceeds and sale of accounts receivable in its consolidated balance sheet, at the time of the sale of the receivables to the bank group.

Mattel International Holdings B.V., a company incorporated in the Netherlands, Mattel France S.A.S., a company incorporated in France, and Mattel GmbH, a company incorporated in Germany, each of which is a subsidiary of Mattel, and Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V. are parties to a Master Agreement for the Transfer of Receivables establishing a Euro 150 million European trade receivables facility, pursuant to which Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH may sell trade receivables to Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V. As with the domestic receivables facility, each sale of accounts receivable is recorded in Mattel s consolidated balance sheet at the time of such sale. No Mattel subsidiary is used as a special purpose entity in connection with these transactions.
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Under the European trade receivables facility, the outstanding amount of receivables sold may not exceed Euro 60 million from February 1 through July 31 of each year and may not exceed Euro 150 million at all other times. Pursuant to a letter agreement between Societe Generale Bank Nederland N.V. and Mattel International Holdings B.V., Mattel France S.A.S. and Mattel GmbH effective June 24, 2005, the commitment termination date for the European trade receivables facility was extended to June 23, 2006.

The outstanding amounts of accounts receivable that have been sold under these facilities and other factoring arrangements, net of collections from customers, have been excluded from Mattel s consolidated balance sheets and are summarized as follows:

| (In millions) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receivables sold pursuant to the: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Domestic receivables facility | \$ | 44.7 | \$ | 60.0 | \$ | 251.4 |
| European receivables facility |  | 44.6 |  | 51.3 |  | 95.9 |
| Other factoring arrangements |  | 6.6 |  | 4.5 |  | 95.8 |
|  | \$ | 95.9 | \$ | 115.8 | \$ | 443.1 |

## Financial Position

Mattel s cash and equivalents at March 31, 2006 decreased $\$ 394.5$ million to $\$ 603.3$ million compared to year-end 2005, primarily due to the payment of year-end 2005 accounts payable and various accrued liability balances and a $\$ 75.0$ million repayment on the MAPS revolving loan facility, partially offset by proceeds from the collection of year-end 2005 accounts receivable balances in 2006. Accounts receivable, net decreased $\$ 175.6$ million to $\$ 585.0$ million at March 31, 2006 compared to year-end 2005 due to the seasonality of sales and collections.

The current portion of long-term debt decreased $\$ 88.9$ million to $\$ 100.0$ million at March 31, 2006 compared to $\$ 188.9$ million at March 31, 2005, primarily due to the repayment of $\$ 150.0$ million of $6^{1 / 8 \%}$ senior notes in the third quarter of 2005 and a $\$ 38.9$ million mortgage note in the fourth quarter of 2005, partially offset by the reclassification from long-term debt to current portion of long-term debt of $\$ 30.0$ million of medium-term notes maturing in May 2006, $\$ 20.0$ million of medium-term notes maturing in September 2006 and $\$ 50.0$ million related to the MAPS term loan, maturing in December 2006. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities decreased $\$ 414.3$ million since year-end 2005 to $\$ 648.1$ million at March 31, 2006, mainly due to the payment of year-end 2005 accounts payable and various accrued liability balances, including receivables collections due to bank related to the European receivables facility, incentive compensation, advertising and royalty obligations.

A summary of Mattel s capitalization is as follows:

| (In millions, except percentage information) | March 31, 2006 |  | March 31, 2005 |  |  | December 31, 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medium-term notes | \$ 350.0 | 12\% | \$ | 400.0 | 13\% | \$ | 350.0 | 12\% |
| Term loan facility | 175.0 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 175.0 | 6 |
| Total long-term debt | 525.0 | 18 |  | 400.0 | 13 |  | 525.0 | 18 |


| Other long-term liabilities | 282.8 | 9 | 239.4 | 8 | 282.4 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stockholders equity | 2,156.9 | 73 | 2,400.9 | 79 | 2,101.7 | 72 |
|  | \$ 2,964.7 | 100\% | \$ 3,040.3 | 100\% | \$ 2,909.1 | 100\% |

Total long-term debt increased $\$ 125.0$ million at March 31, 2006 compared to March 31, 2005 due to borrowings under the MAPS term loan facility (of which $\$ 175.0$ million is classified as long-term at March 31, 2006), partially offset by the reclassification of $\$ 50.0$ million of medium-term notes maturing in the next twelve months to current portion of long-term debt. Mattel expects to satisfy its future long-term capital needs through the generation of corporate earnings and issuance of long-term debt instruments. Stockholders equity of $\$ 2.2$ billion, decreased $\$ 244.0$ million since March 31, 2005, primarily as a result of the share repurchases during 2005 and payment of the annual dividend on common stock in the fourth quarter of 2005, partially offset by net income.

Mattel s debt-to-capital ratio, including short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt, increased from 20.2\% at March 31, 2005 to $23.7 \%$ at March 31, 2006. Mattel s objective is to continue to maintain a year-end debt-to-capital ratio of approximately $25 \%$.
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## Litigation

See Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings.

## Application of Critical Accounting Policies

Mattel s critical accounting policies are included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and have not changed, except for Mattel s accounting for share-based payments in connection with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment.

## Share-Based Payments

Prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel applied the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for its employee stock compensation plans. All employee stock options were granted at or above the grant date market price. Accordingly, no compensation expense was recognized in the statements of operations for these employee stock options. Instead, the amount of compensation expense that would have resulted if Mattel had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for

Stock-Based Compensation, was included as a proforma disclosure in the financial statement footnotes.

Effective January 1, 2006, Mattel adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified-prospective transition method. Accordingly, results for prior periods have not been restated and compensation cost in 2006 includes the portion of share-based payment awards attributable to employee service during the period for (i) grants made prior to January 1, 2006, but not previously included in the proforma expense disclosures in Mattel s financial statements, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (ii) all share-based payments granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

Beginning January 1, 2006 and in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), Mattel recognizes the cost of all new employee share-based payment awards on a straight-line attribution basis over their respective vesting periods, net of estimated forfeitures; whereas, prior to January 1, 2006, Mattel used the graded vesting attribution method prescribed by Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation No. 28, Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Option or Award Plans. In accounting for the income tax benefits associated with employee exercises of share-based payments, Mattel has elected to adopt the alternative simplified method as permitted by FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FAS 123(R)-3, Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards. FSP No. FAS $123(R)-3$ permits the adoption of either the transition guidance described in SFAS No. 123(R) or the alternative simplified method specified in the FSP to account for the income tax effects of share-based payment awards. In determining when additional tax benefits associated with share-based payment exercises are recognized, Mattel follows the ordering of deductions of the tax law, which allows deductions for share-based payment exercises to be utilized before previously existing net operating loss carryforwards. In computing dilutive shares under the treasury stock method, Mattel does not reduce the tax benefit amount within the calculation for the amount of deferred tax assets that would have been recognized had Mattel previously expensed all share-based payment awards.
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Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility and the expected dividends. The fair value of Mattel options granted has been estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The expected life of the options used in this calculation is the period of time the options are expected to be outstanding and has been determined based on a study of historical exercise experience. Expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of Mattel s stock for a period approximating the expected life, the expected dividend yield is based on Mattel s historical annual dividend payout, and the risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of
share-based awards that will be forfeited prior to vesting.
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The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining fair value for options granted:

|  | During the Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2006 |  | 31, 2005 |
| Expected life (in years) | 4.87 |  | 5.45 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.12\% |  | 3.81\% |
| Volatility factor | 27.30\% |  | 35.66\% |
| Dividend yield | 2.41\% |  | 1.39\% |
| Weighted average fair value per granted option | \$ 4.48 | \$ | 6.65 |

The fair value per option was lower in 2006 than in 2005 due primarily to a lower volatility factor and a shorter expected life. The shorter expected life was determined through a detailed study of historical exercise experience, and the shorter expected life impacted the period that was considered in developing other assumptions including volatility. The following table summarizes the sensitivity of valuation assumptions within the calculation of stock option fair values, if all other assumptions are held constant:

|  | Increase in Assumption Factor | Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value (in \% pts) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected life (in years) | 1 year | 7.8 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1\% | 7.4 |
| Volatility factor | 1\% | 2.9 |
| Dividend yield | 1\% | (11.6) |


|  | (Decrease) in Assumption Factor | Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value (in \% pts) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expected life (in years) | (1) year | (9.4) |
| Risk-free interest rate | (1)\% | (7.1) |
| Volatility factor | (1)\% | (2.9) |
| Dividend yield | (1)\% | 12.7 |

On December 28, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Mattel approved the acceleration of vesting of options for approximately 12.4 million shares with an exercise price of $\$ 16.09$ or greater granted to employees other than Mattel s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Vesting was not accelerated for stock options held by any member of the Board of Directors. The primary purpose of the accelerated vesting was to avoid recognizing future compensation expense associated with the accelerated stock options under SFAS No. 123(R). Additionally, for financial reporting purposes, there may be other potential tax benefits derived from accelerating the vesting of stock options. Due to the acceleration of vesting in 2005, future share-based payment grants are expected to impact Mattel s statements of operations more significantly than in the current period. For those future grants, different valuation assumptions, or actual forfeitures differing significantly from estimated forfeitures, could have a material effect on Mattel s future financial statements. Additionally, Mattel is evaluating the types of share-based payment awards it grants to employees and different types of share-based payment awards may be granted in the future.
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During the three months ended March 31, 2006, Mattel expensed $\$ 0.6$ million for stock option awards. As of March 31, 2006, total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based payments was $\$ 2.9$ million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years.

## Non-GAAP Financial Measure

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Mattel includes a non-GAAP financial measure, gross sales, which it uses to analyze its continuing operations and to monitor, assess and identify meaningful trends in its operating and financial performance. Net sales, as reported in the consolidated statements of operations, include the impact of sales adjustments, such as trade discounts and other allowances. Gross sales represent sales to customers, excluding the impact of sales adjustments. Consistent with its segment reporting, Mattel presents changes in gross sales as a metric for comparing its aggregate, business unit, brand and geographic results to highlight significant trends in Mattel s business. Changes in gross sales are discussed because, while Mattel records the detail of such sales adjustments in its financial accounting systems at the time of sale, such sales adjustments are generally not associated with
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individual products, making net sales less meaningful. A reconciliation of gross sales to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, net sales, is as follows:

For the Three Months Ended

| (In thousands) | March 31, 2006 | March 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |
| Domestic: |  |  |  |
| Mattel Girls \& Boys Brands US | \$ 229,699 | \$ | 237,937 |
| Fisher-Price Brands US | 199,640 |  | 169,218 |
| American Girl Brands | 61,868 |  | 67,630 |
| Total Domestic | 491,207 |  | 474,785 |
| International | 374,245 |  | 375,467 |
| Gross sales | 865,452 |  | 850,252 |
| Sales adjustments | $(72,105)$ |  | $(67,132)$ |
| Net sales | \$ 793,347 | \$ | 783,120 |

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Currency exchange rate fluctuations may impact Mattel s results of operations and cash flows. Inventory purchase transactions denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Mexican peso, Hong Kong dollar and Indonesian rupiah were the primary transactions that cause currency transaction exposure for Mattel. Mattel seeks to mitigate its exposure to market risk by monitoring its currency transaction exposure for the year and partially hedging such exposure using foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts primarily to hedge its purchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts generally have maturity dates of up to 18 months. For those intercompany receivables and payables that are not hedged, the transaction gains or losses are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the exchange rate changes as part of operating income or other non-operating (income), net based on the nature of the underlying transaction. Transaction gains or losses on intercompany inventory transactions are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the inventory is sold to customers. In addition, Mattel manages its exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations through the selection of currencies used for international borrowings. Mattel does not trade in financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Mattel s financial position is also impacted by currency exchange rate fluctuations on translation of its net investment in subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies. Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries with non-US dollar functional currencies are translated into US dollars at fiscal period-end exchange rates. Income, expense and cash flow items are translated at weighted average exchange rates prevailing during the fiscal period. The resulting currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders equity. Mattel s primary currency translation exposures are related to its net investment in entities having functional currencies denominated in the Euro, British pound sterling, Mexican peso and Indonesian rupiah.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of March 31, 2006, Mattel s disclosure controls and procedures were evaluated. Based on this evaluation, Robert A. Eckert, Mattel s principal executive officer, and Kevin M. Farr, Mattel s principal financial officer, concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2006, in timely alerting them to material information relating to Mattel required to be included in Mattel s periodic reports.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Mattel made no change to its internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that materially affected, or were reasonably likely to have materially affected, its internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Litigation Related to LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc.

Fisher-Price, Inc. ( Fisher-Price ), a subsidiary of Mattel, was sued for patent infringement by LeapFrog Enterprises, Inc. in a lawsuit filed in October 2003 in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and in September 2004, Mattel was joined to the lawsuit as a defendant. The lawsuit alleged that Fisher-Price s PowerTouclsystem infringed a LeapFrog patent relating to an electronic learning device for teaching phonics. A 10 -day trial commenced on May 16, 2005, which resulted in a deadlocked jury. As an alternative to retrying the case, the parties agreed to submit the case for decision, based on the existing trial record, to the presiding judge. The plaintiff in this lawsuit asserted a total damages claim of up to approximately $\$ 90$ million, which was reduced to approximately $\$ 58$ million pursuant to rulings by the Court, and sought an injunction preventing the further sale of the PowerTouch system; the damages could possibly have been trebled if a willful infringement had been found. On March 30, 2006, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order holding that Mattel and Fisher-Price did not infringe LeapFrog s patent and furthermore holding that LeapFrog s patent claim, which was the basis of LeapFrog s lawsuit, was invalid due to obviousness. On May 1, 2006, LeapFrog filed an appeal of the Court s ruling with the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Mattel and its subsidiary Fisher-Price continue to believe that the action is without merit and intend to continue defending themselves vigorously.

## Litigation Related to Carter Bryant and MGA Entertainment, Inc.

In April 2004, Mattel filed a lawsuit in Los Angeles County Superior Court against Carter Bryant ( Bryant ), a former Mattel design employee. The suit alleges that Bryant aided and assisted a Mattel competitor, MGA Entertainment, Inc. ( MGA ), during the time he was employed by Mattel, in violation of his contractual and other duties to Mattel. In September 2004, Bryant asserted counterclaims against Mattel, including counterclaims in which Bryant seeks, as a putative class action representative, to invalidate Mattel s Confidential Information and Propriety Inventions Agreements with its employees.

In December 2004, MGA intervened as a party-defendant in Mattel s action against Bryant, asserting that its rights to the Bratz property are at stake in the litigation. Mattel s suit has been removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, where it is currently pending.

Separately, in November 2004, Bryant filed an action against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The action seeks a judicial declaration that Bryant s purported conveyance of rights in Bratz was proper and that he did not misappropriate Mattel property in creating Bratz.

In April 2005, MGA filed suit against Mattel in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. MGA s action alleges claims of trade dress infringement, trade dress dilution, false designation of origin, unfair competition and unjust enrichment. The suit alleges, among other things, that certain products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials in various Mattel product lines have infringed upon products, themes, packaging and/or television commercials for various MGA product lines, including Bratz. The complaint also asserts that various alleged Mattel acts with respect to unidentified retailers, distributors and licensees have damaged MGA and that various alleged acts by industry organizations, purportedly induced by Mattel, have damaged MGA.

MGA s suit alleges that MGA has been damaged in an amount believed to reach or exceed tens of millions of dollars and further seeks punitive damages, disgorgement of Mattel s profits and injunctive relief. Mattel believes the claims by Bryant and MGA are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against them.
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## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

## Factors That May Affect Future Results

## (Cautionary Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)

Certain written and oral statements made or incorporated by reference from time to time by Mattel or its representatives in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, other filings or reports filed with the SEC, press releases, conferences, or otherwise, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and may include, but are not limited to, statements about: sales and inventory levels; brand and customer management programs; increased competition; initiatives to promote revenue growth; globalization initiatives; restructuring and financial realignment plans; special charges and other
non-recurring charges; initiatives aimed at anticipated cost savings; initiatives to invigorate the Barbie ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand, enhance innovation, improve the execution of the core business, leverage scale, extend brands, catch new trends, create new brands and enter new categories, develop people, improve productivity, simplify processes, maintain customer service levels and improve supply chain; operating efficiencies; capital and investment framework (including statements about free cash flow, seasonal working capital,
debt-to-total capital ratios, capital expenditures, strategic acquisitions, dividends and share repurchases); cost pressures and increases; advertising and promotion spending; profitability; price increases, retail store openings and the impact of recent organizational changes. Mattel is including this Cautionary Statement to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate, or imply future results, performance, or achievements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, may, will, should, project, continue, plans, aims, intends, likely, or other simila for historical matters, the matters discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and other statements or filings made by Mattel from time-to-time may be forward-looking statements. Management cautions you that forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. In addition to the important factors detailed herein and from time-to-time in other reports filed by Mattel with the SEC, including Forms $8-\mathrm{K}, 10-\mathrm{Q}$ and $10-\mathrm{K}$, the following important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from past results or those suggested by any forward-looking statements.

If Mattel does not successfully satisfy consumer preferences, enhance existing products, develop and introduce new products and achieve market acceptance of those products, Mattel $s$ results of operations may be adversely affected.

Mattel s business and operating results depend largely upon the appeal of its toy products. Consumer preferences, particularly among end users of Mattel s products children are continuously changing. The toy industry experiences significant, sudden shifts in demand caused by hit toys and trends, which are often unpredictable. Mattel competes with many other toy companies, both large and small, which means that Mattel s market position is always at risk. Mattel s ability to maintain its current category share, and increase its category share or establish category share in new product categories, will depend on Mattel s ability to satisfy consumer preferences, enhance existing products, develop and introduce new products, and achieve market acceptance of these products. In recent years, there have been trends towards shorter life cycles for individual toy products, the phenomenon of children outgrowing toys at younger ages and an increasing use of more sophisticated technology in toys. As a result, Mattel must also compete with many other companies, including the makers of video games and consumer electronic products, to meet the entertainment demands of older children. If Mattel does not successfully meet the challenges outlined above in a timely and cost-effective manner, demand for its products could decrease and Mattel s revenues, profitability and results of operations may be adversely affected.

Mattel s business is seasonal and therefore its operating results will depend, in large part, on sales during the relatively brief traditional holiday season. Improved inventory management by retailers resulting in shorter lead times for production and possible shipping disruptions during peak demand times may affect Mattel $s$ ability to deliver its products in time to meet retailer demands.

Mattel s business is subject to risks associated with the underproduction of popular toys and the overproduction of toys that do not match consumer demand. Sales of toy products at retail are seasonal, with a majority of retail sales occurring during the period from September through December. As a result, Mattel s operating results will depend, in large part, on sales during the relatively brief traditional holiday season. Retailers are attempting to manage their inventories better, requiring Mattel to ship products closer to the time the retailers expect to sell the products to consumers. This in turn results in shorter lead times for production. Management believes that the increase in last minute shopping during the holiday season and the popularity of gift cards (which often result in purchases after the holiday season) may negatively impact customer re-orders during the holiday season. Shipping disruptions limiting the availability of ships or containers in Asia during peak demand times may affect Mattel s ability to deliver its products in time to meet retailer demand. These factors may decrease sales or increase the risk that Mattel may not be able to meet demand for certain products at peak demand times, or that Mattel s own inventory levels may be adversely impacted by the need to pre-build products before orders are placed.
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Uncertainty and adverse changes in the general economic conditions of markets in which Mattel participates may negatively affect Mattel s business.

Current and future conditions in the economy have an inherent degree of uncertainty. As a result, it is difficult to estimate the level of growth or contraction for the economy as a whole. It is even more difficult to estimate growth or contraction in various parts, sectors and regions of the economy, including the many different markets in which Mattel participates. Because all components of Mattel s budgeting and forecasting are dependent upon estimates of growth or contraction in the markets it serves and demand for its products, the prevailing economic uncertainties render estimates of future income and expenditures very difficult to make. Adverse changes may occur as a result of soft global or regional economic conditions, rising oil prices, wavering consumer confidence, unemployment, declines in stock markets or other factors affecting economic conditions generally. These changes may negatively affect the sales of Mattel s products, increase exposure to losses from bad debts, or increase costs associated with manufacturing and distributing products.

The concentration of Mattel s business with a small retail customer base that makes no binding long-term commitments means that economic difficulties or changes in the purchasing policies of its major customers could have a significant impact on Mattel $s$ business and operating results.

A small number of customers account for a large share of Mattel s net sales. In 2005, Mattel sthree largest customers, Wal-Mart, Toys $R$ Us and Target, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately $45 \%$ of net sales, and its ten largest customers, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately $54 \%$ of net sales. The concentration of Mattel s business with a relatively small number of customers may expose Mattel to a material adverse effect if one or more of Mattel s large customers were to significantly reduce purchases for any reason. Customers make no binding long-term commitments to Mattel regarding purchase volumes and make all purchases by delivering one-time purchase orders. Any customer could reduce its overall purchases of Mattel s products, reduce the number and variety of Mattel s products that it carries and the shelf space allotted for Mattel s products, or otherwise seek to materially change the terms of the business relationship at any time. Any such change could significantly harm Mattel s business and operating results.

The production and sale of private-label toys by Mattel s retail customers may result in lower purchases of Mattel-branded products by those retail customers.

In recent years, consumer goods companies generally, including those in the toy business, have experienced the phenomenon of retail customers developing their own private-label products that directly compete with the products of traditional manufacturers. Some retail chains that are customers of Mattel sell private-label toys designed, manufactured and branded by the retailers themselves. These toys may be sold at prices lower than comparable toys sold by Mattel, and may result in lower purchases of Mattel-branded products by these retailers. In some cases, retailers who sell these private-label toys are larger than Mattel and may have substantially more resources than Mattel.

Liquidity problems or bankruptcy of Mattel s key customers could increase Mattel s exposure to losses from bad debts and could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Many of Mattel s key customers are mass-market retailers. The mass-market retail channel in the US has experienced significant shifts in market share among competitors in recent years, causing some large retailers to experience liquidity problems. From 2001 through early 2004, four large customers of Mattel filed for bankruptcy. In addition, Mattel s sales to customers are typically made on credit without collateral. There is a risk that customers will not pay, or that payment may be delayed, because of bankruptcy or other factors beyond the control of Mattel, which could increase Mattel s exposure to losses from bad debts. In addition, if these or other customers were to cease doing business as a result of
bankruptcy, or significantly reduce the number of stores operated, it could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

A reduction or interruption in the delivery of raw materials, parts and components from its suppliers or a significant increase in the price of supplies could negatively impact the gross profit margins realized by Mattel on the sale of its products or result in lower sales.

Mattel s ability to meet customer demand depends, in part, on its ability to obtain timely and adequate delivery of materials, parts and components from its suppliers and internal manufacturing capacity. Mattel has experienced shortages in the past, including raw materials and components. Although Mattel works closely with suppliers to avoid these types of shortages, there can be no assurance
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that Mattel will not encounter these problems in the future. A reduction or interruption in supplies or a significant increase in the price of one or more supplies, such as fuel and resin (which is an oil-based product) expenses, could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business. Cost increases, whether resulting from shortages of materials or otherwise, including but not limited to rising costs of materials, transportation, services and labor (including but not limited to wages, expenses related to employee health plans and insurance), could impact the profit margins realized by Mattel on the sale of its products. Because of market conditions, timing of pricing decisions and other factors, there can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to offset any of these increased costs by adjusting the prices of its products. Increases in prices of Mattel s products could result in lower sales.

Unfavorable resolution of pending and future litigation matters and disputes could have a material adverse effect on Mattel sfinancial condition.

Mattel is involved in a number of litigation matters. An unfavorable resolution of pending litigation could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s financial condition. Litigation may result in substantial costs and expenses and significantly divert the attention of Mattel s management regardless of the outcome. There can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to achieve a favorable settlement of pending litigation or obtain a favorable resolution of litigation if it is not settled. In addition, current and future litigation, governmental proceedings, labor disputes or environmental matters could lead to increased costs or interruptions of the normal business operations of Mattel.

Recalls, post-manufacture repairs of Mattel products, product liability claims, absence or cost of insurance, and associated administrative costs could harm Mattel s reputation, increase costs or reduce sales.

Mattel is subject to regulation by the Consumer Product Safety Commission and similar state and international regulatory authorities, and its products could be subject to involuntary recalls and other actions by these authorities. Concerns about product safety may lead Mattel to voluntarily recall selected products. Mattel has experienced, and in the future may experience, defects or errors in products after their production and sale to customers. These defects or errors could result in the rejection of Mattel s products by customers, damage to its reputation, lost sales, diverted development resources and increased customer service and support costs, any of which could harm Mattel s business. Individuals could sustain injuries from Mattel s products, and Mattel may be subject to claims or lawsuits resulting from these injuries. There is a risk that these claims or liabilities may exceed, or fall outside the scope of, Mattel sinsurance coverage. Moreover, Mattel may be unable to obtain adequate liability insurance in the future. Recalls, post-manufacture repairs of Mattel products, absence or cost of insurance, and administrative costs associated with recalls could harm Mattel s reputation, increase costs or reduce sales.

Failure by Mattel to protect its proprietary intellectual property and information could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

The value of Mattel s business depends to a large degree on its ability to protect its intellectual property, including its trademarks, trade names, copyrights, patents and trade secrets in the US and around the world. Any failure by Mattel to protect its proprietary intellectual property and information, including any successful challenge to Mattel s ownership of its intellectual property or material infringements of its intellectual property, could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Political developments, including trade relations, and the threat or occurrence of war or terrorist activities could materially impact Mattel, its personnel and facilities, its customers and suppliers, retail and financial markets, and general economic conditions.

Mattel s business is worldwide in scope, including operations in 42 countries. The deterioration of the political situation in a country in which Mattel has significant sales or operations, or the breakdown of trade relations between the US and a foreign country in which Mattel has significant manufacturing facilities or other operations, could adversely affect Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, a change in trade status for China could result in a substantial increase in the import duty of toys manufactured in China and imported into the US. In addition, the occurrence of war or hostilities between countries or threat of terrorist activities, and the responses to and results of these activities, could materially impact Mattel, its personnel and facilities, its customers and suppliers, retail and financial markets, and general economic conditions.

Disruptions in Mattel s manufacturing operations due to political instability, civil unrest, SARS, avian flu or other diseases could negatively impact Mattel $s$ business, financial position and results of operations.

Mattel owns and operates manufacturing facilities and utilizes third-party manufacturers throughout Asia, primarily in China, Indonesia, Malaysia and Thailand. The risk of political instability and civil unrest exists in certain of these countries, which
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could temporarily or permanently damage Mattel s manufacturing operations located there. In the past, outbreaks of SARS have been significantly concentrated in Asia, particularly in Hong Kong, and in the Guangdong province of China, where many of Mattel s manufacturing facilities and third-party manufacturers are located. The design, development and manufacture of Mattel s products could suffer if a significant number of Mattel s employees or the employees of its third-party manufacturers or their suppliers contract SARS, avian flu or other communicable diseases, or otherwise are unable to fulfill their responsibilities. Mattel has developed contingency plans designed to help mitigate the impact of disruptions in its manufacturing operations. Mattel s business, financial position and results of operations could be negatively impacted by a significant disruption to its manufacturing operations or suppliers.

Earthquakes or other catastrophic events out of our control may damage Mattel sfacilities or those of its contractors and harm Mattel s results of operations.

Mattel has significant operations, including its corporate headquarters, near major earthquake faults in Southern California. Southern California has experienced earthquakes, wildfires and other natural disasters in recent years. A catastrophic event where Mattel has important operations, such as an earthquake, tsunami, flood, typhoon, fire or other natural or manmade disaster, could disrupt Mattel s operations or those of its contractors and impair production or distribution of its products, damage inventory, interrupt critical functions or otherwise affect business negatively, harming Mattel s results of operations.

## Significant changes in currency exchange rates could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business and results of operations.

Mattel s net investment in its foreign subsidiaries and its results of operations and cash flows are subject to changes in currency exchange rates and regulations. Mattel seeks to mitigate the exposure of its results of operations to fluctuations in currency exchange rates by partially hedging this exposure using foreign currency forward exchange and option contracts. These contracts are primarily used to hedge Mattel spurchase and sale of inventory, and other intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies. Government action may restrict Mattel s ability to transfer capital across borders and may also impact the fluctuation of currencies in the countries where Mattel conducts business or has invested capital. Significant changes in currency exchange rates, reductions in Mattel s ability to transfer its capital across borders, and changes in government-fixed currency exchange rates, including the Chinese yuan, could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business and results of operations.

Increases in interest rates, reduction of Mattel s credit ratings or the inability of Mattel to meet the debt covenant coverage requirements in its credit facilities could negatively impact Mattel $s$ ability to conduct its operations.

Increases in interest rates, both domestically and internationally, could negatively affect Mattel s cost of financing both its operations and investments. Any reduction in Mattel s credit ratings could increase the cost of obtaining financing. Additionally, Mattel sability to issue long-term debt and obtain seasonal financing could be adversely affected by factors such as an inability to meet its debt covenant requirements, which include maintaining consolidated debt-to-capital and interest coverage ratios. Mattel s ability to conduct its operations could be negatively impacted should these or other adverse conditions affect its primary sources of liquidity.
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Mattel s products are marketed worldwide through a diverse spectrum of advertising and promotional programs. Mattel sability to sell products is dependent in part upon the success of these programs. If Mattel does not successfully market its products or if media or other advertising or promotional costs increase, these factors could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to successfully implement new initiatives could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel has announced initiatives to improve the execution of its core business, globalize and extend Mattel s brands, catch new trends, create new brands and enter new categories, develop people, improve productivity, simplify processes, maintain customer service levels, as well as new initiatives designed to drive sales growth, manage costs and improve its supply chain. These initiatives involve complex decision making as well as extensive and intensive execution, and the success of these initiatives is not assured. Failure to successfully implement any of these initiatives could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.
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Changes in laws or regulations may lead to increased costs, changes in Mattel s effective tax rate, or the interruption of normal business operations that would negatively impact Mattel sfinancial condition and results of operations.

Mattel operates in a highly regulated environment in the US and international markets. US federal, state and local governmental entities and foreign governments regulate many aspects of Mattel s business, including its products and the importation and exportation of its products. These regulations may include accounting standards, taxation requirements (including changes in applicable income tax rates, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations), trade restrictions, regulations regarding financial matters, environmental regulations, advertising directed toward children, safety and other administrative and regulatory restrictions. Changes in laws or regulations may lead to increased costs, changes in Mattel s effective tax rate, or the interruption of normal business operations that would negatively impact its financial condition and results of operations.

Mattel may engage in acquisitions, mergers or dispositions, which may affect the profit, revenues, profit margins, debt-to-capital ratio, capital expenditures or other aspects of Mattel s business. In addition, Mattel has certain anti-takeover provisions in its by-laws that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Mattel without its consent, which may adversely affect Mattel s stock price.

Mattel may engage in acquisitions, mergers or dispositions, which may affect the profit, revenues, profit margins, debt-to-capital ratio, capital expenditures, or other aspects of Mattel s business. There can be no assurance that Mattel will be able to identify suitable acquisition targets or merger partners or that, if identified, it will be able to acquire these targets on acceptable terms or agree to terms with merger partners. Additionally, there can be no assurance that Mattel will be successful in integrating any acquired company into its overall operations, or that any such acquired company will operate profitably or will not otherwise adversely impact Mattel s results of operations. In addition, Mattel has certain anti-takeover provisions in its bylaws that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire Mattel without its consent, which may adversely affect Mattel s stock price.

If any of the risks and uncertainties described in the cautionary factors listed above actually occurs, Mattel sbusiness, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. The factors listed above are not exhaustive. Other sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include additional factors that could materially and adversely impact Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, Mattel operates in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict the impact of all of these factors on Mattel sbusiness, financial condition or results of operations or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not rely on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. Any or all of the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and any other public statement made by Mattel or its representatives may turn out to be wrong. Mattel expressly disclaims any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new developments or otherwise.
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## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the first quarter of 2006, Mattel did not sell any unregistered securities.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

This table provides certain information with respect to Mattel s purchases of its common stock during the first quarter of 2006:

(1) In January 2006, the Board of Directors authorized Mattel to increase its share repurchase program by an additional \$250.0 million. Repurchases will take place from time to time, depending on market conditions. Mattel share repurchase program has no expiration date.
(2) Includes the sale of restricted shares for employee tax withholding obligations that occur upon vesting.

N/A Not applicable.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.
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## Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit No.
11.0*
31.0*
31.1*
$32.0^{* *}$
99.0*

Exhibit Description
Computation of Income per Common and Common Equivalent Share
Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated May 3, 2006 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated May 3, 2006 pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer dated May 3, 2006 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of $2002^{1}$

Form of Grant Agreement for Initial Grants to Outside Directors of Restricted Stock Units with Dividend Equivalent Rights under the Mattel, Inc. 2005 Equity Compensation Plan

* Filed herewith.
** Furnished herewith.

1 This exhibit should not be deemed to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
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## SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MATTEL, INC.
Registrant
By:
H. Scott Topham

Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller (Duly authorized officer and chief accounting officer)


[^0]:    Mattel sfailure to successfully market or advertise its products could have a material adverse effect on Mattel s business, financial condition and results of operations.

