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## Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1 - Financial Statements

## CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | September 30, 2006 <br> (Unaudited) | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ASSETS | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 *}$ |  |

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY



## Edgar Filing: CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

*Derived from audited consolidated financial statements.
See accompanying notes.

## CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

|  | Three-month Periods Ended September 30, |  | Nine-month Periods Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$8,516,336 | \$ 5,466,322 | \$ 21,968,600 | \$ 14,466,577 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 877,182 | 576,234 | 2,264,809 | 1,711,582 |
| Fed funds sold and interest-bearing deposits | 128,799 | 16,588 | 184,644 | 39,382 |
| TOTAL INTEREST INCOME | 9,522,317 | 6,059,144 | 24,418,053 | 16,217,541 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 3,823,924 | 1,841,363 | 9,046,063 | 4,807,498 |
| Short-term borrowings | 164,532 | 200,601 | 609,976 | 263,537 |
| Long-term debt | 619,665 | 369,357 | 1,556,933 | 1,130,152 |
| TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE | 4,608,121 | 2,411,321 | 11,212,972 | 6,201,187 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 4,914,196 | 3,647,823 | 13,205,081 | 10,016,354 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 181,983 | 240,112 | 616,624 | 746,666 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER |  |  |  |  |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 4,732,213 | 3,407,711 | 12,588,457 | 9,269,688 |
| NON INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage origination revenue | 193,334 | 237,145 | 503,801 | 568,822 |
| Fees on deposit accounts | 320,578 | 268,735 | 946,121 | 748,246 |
| Earnings on life insurance | 57,413 | 56,033 | 170,674 | 169,766 |
| Loss on sale of securities |  | $(16,959)$ |  | $(16,422)$ |
| Loss on sale or disposal of assets | (500) | (667) | (627) | $(9,564)$ |
| Other | 124,382 | 70,044 | 290,380 | 281,191 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST INCOME | 695,207 | 614,331 | 1,910,349 | 1,742,039 |
| NON INTEREST EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,899,145 | 1,509,207 | 5,138,194 | 4,181,421 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 512,227 | 443,255 | 1,470,758 | 1,274,613 |
| Data processing | 205,318 | 161,889 | 573,763 | 477,514 |
| Other | 815,548 | 603,411 | 2,224,395 | 1,821,784 |
| TOTAL NON-INTEREST EXPENSES | 3,432,238 | 2,717,762 | 9,407,110 | 7,755,332 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 1,995,182 | 1,304,280 | 5,091,696 | 3,256,395 |
| INCOME TAXES | 720,900 | 459,000 | 1,831,500 | 1,116,900 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 1,274,282 | \$ 845,280 | \$ 3,260,196 | \$ 2,139,495 |
| NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE (Note C) |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.54 | \$ 0.45 |

## Edgar Filing: CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Diluted

| $\$$ | 0.18 | $\$$ | 0.17 | $\$$ | 0.50 | $\$$ | 0.43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON
SHARES OUTSTANDING (Note C)

| Basic | $6,620,379$ | $4,763,822$ | $6,069,598$ | $4,739,234$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diluted | $7,080,336$ | $5,042,051$ | $6,533,151$ | $5,019,786$ |

See accompanying notes.

CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  | 2006 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  | \$ | 3,260,196 | \$ | 2,139,495 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  |  | 520,233 |  | 453,315 |
| Provision for loan losses |  |  | 616,624 |  | 746,666 |
| Deferred income taxes |  |  | $(138,351)$ |  |  |
| Loss on sale of securities |  |  |  |  | 16,422 |
| Loss on sale or disposal of assets |  |  | 627 |  | 9,564 |
| Net amortization of premiums on securities |  |  | 1,022 |  | 66,513 |
| Net increase in cash value of life insurance |  |  | $(149,700)$ |  | $(150,452)$ |
| Stock based compensation |  |  | 109,238 |  |  |
| Change in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in accrued interest receivable |  |  | $(1,181,916)$ |  | $(342,308)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets |  |  | 134 |  | $(1,625,888)$ |
| Increase in accrued interest payable |  |  | 222,655 |  | 203,229 |
| Increase in other liabilities |  |  | 1,543,904 |  | 732,941 |
| Payment of income taxes |  |  | (1,930,001) |  | $(815,000)$ |
|  | TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS |  | $(385,531)$ |  | $(704,998)$ |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  | 2,874,665 |  | 1,434,497 |

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| Purchases of securities available for sale | $(22,405,817)$ | $(7,281,267)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Proceeds from sales of securites available for sale | $6,106,354$ | $3,845,156$ |
| Principal repayments of securities available for sale | $4,704,029$ | $4,726,917$ |
| Proceeds from disposal of foreclosed assets | 32,629 | 298,228 |
| Net purchase of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | $(713,300)$ | $(1,509,700)$ |
| Net increases in loans | $(69,423,033)$ | $(64,851,920)$ |
| Net cash acquired in business combination | $8,246,427$ | $(1,368,958)$ |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | $(1,409,373)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll} \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { NET CASH USED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES } \\ & (74,862,084)\end{array}\right.$ |

## CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES

| Net increase (decrease) in deposits |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Non-interest bearing | $13,270,138$ | $10,101,690$ |
| Savings | $64,836,812$ | $4,035,277$ |
| Money market and NOW | $(1,524,214)$ | $8,522,413$ |
| Time Deposits | $7,379,747$ | $16,440,465$ |
| Net (decrease) increase in short-term borrowings | $(223,153)$ | $30,006,447$ |
| Net increase in long-term debt | $15,000,000$ | $2,000,000$ |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 180,131 | 522,567 |
| Net cash paid in lieu of fractional shares | $(9,477)$ | 169,800 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock options exercised | 20,100 | 109 |
|  | $88,930,084$ | $71,798,659$ |


| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | $16,942,665$ | $7,091,612$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | $9,471,507$ | $5,677,218$ |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | $\$ 26,414,172$ | $\$ 12,768,830$ |

See accompanying notes.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

## SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

| Purchase of Port City Capital Bank | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Loans, net of reserves | $(126,715,970)$ |
| Investment securities available for sale | $(16,366,192)$ |
| Stock in FHLB | $(286,100)$ |
| Bank premises and equipment | $(84,775)$ |
| Other assets acquired | $(1,104,933)$ |
| Deferred tax asset | $(392,183)$ |
| Goodwill | $(26,684,020)$ |
| Core Deposit Intangible | $(1,124,481)$ |
| Deposits | $144,187,695$ |
| Other liabilities assumed | 819,011 |
| Issuance of stock | $35,998,375$ |
| Net cash acquired in business combination | $\$$ |

## CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

In management s opinion, the financial information, which is unaudited, reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial information as of and for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The financial statements include the accounts of Crescent Financial Corporation (the Company ) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Crescent State Bank ( CSB ), and Port City Capital Bank ( PCCB ), collectively referred to as the Banks. All significant inter-company transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. Operating results for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006. PCCB became a subsidiary on August 31, 2006, and therefore affects comparability to prior periods.

The organization and business of the Company, accounting policies followed by the Company and other information are contained in the notes to the consolidated financial statements filed as part of the Company s 2005 annual report on Form 10-K. This quarterly report should be read in conjunction with such annual report.

## NOTE B COMMITMENTS

At September 30, 2006, commitments are as follows

| Undisbursed lines of credit | $\$ 158,100,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Stand-by letters of credit | $10,406,000$ |
| Undisbursed commitment to purchase additional investment in Small Business Investment Corporation | 62,500 |

## NOTE C PER SHARE RESULTS

On April 25, 2006, the Company declared a stock split in the form of a $15 \%$ stock dividend payable on May 31, 2006 to stockholders of record May 18, 2006. Weighted average share and per share data for the periods presented have been adjusted to reflect the effects of the stock split. Basic and diluted net income per common share have been computed by dividing net income for each period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period after retroactively adjusting for this stock split.

Basic earnings per share represents income available to common stockholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive potential common shares had been issued, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from the assumed issuance. Potential common shares that may be issued by the company relate to any outstanding stock based award that is issued and outstanding pursuant to the stock compensation plans and are determined using the treasury stock method. See Note E for further information on these stock compensation plans.

## CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

|  | Three months ended September 30, |  | Nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted average number of shares used in computing basic net income per share | 6,620,379 | 4,763,822 | 6,069,598 | 4,739,234 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock | 459,957 | 278,229 | 463,553 | 280,552 |
| Weighted average number of shares used in computing diluted net income per share | 7,080,336 | 5,042,051 | 6,533,151 | 5,019,786 |

As of September 30, 2006, there were 1,725 options that were anti-dilutive. As of September 30, 2005, there were 3,602 options that were anti-dilutive.

## NOTE D - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, total comprehensive income, consisting of net income and changes in unrealized securities gains and losses, net of taxes, was $\$ 2,147,000$ and $\$ 631,000$, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, total comprehensive income was $\$ 3,458,000$ and $\$ 1,879,000$, respectively.

## NOTE E STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, ( SFAS No. 123R ) which was issued by the FASB in December 2004. SFAS No. 123R revises SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, and supersedes APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB No. 25) and its related interpretations. SFAS No. 123R requires recognition of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments in the financial statements over the period the employee is required to perform the services in exchange for the award (presumptively the vesting period). SFAS No. 123R also requires measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award based on the grant-date fair value of the award. SFAS No. 123R also amends SFAS No. 95 Statement of Cash Flows, to require that excess tax benefits be reported as financing cash inflows, rather than as a reduction of taxes paid, which is included within operating cash flows.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123R using the modified prospective application as permitted under SFAS No. 123R. Accordingly, prior period amounts have not been restated. Under this application, the Company is required to record compensation expense for all awards granted after the date of adoption and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding at the date of adoption.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company used the intrinsic value method as prescribed by APB No. 25 and thus recognized no compensation expense for options granted with exercise prices equal to the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

## CRESCENT FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company has several share-based compensation plans in effect at September 30, 2006. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for those plans was approximately $\$ 109,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 38,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

In 1999, the Company implemented the 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan, as amended, which authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 615,900 of stock options to employees and officers of the Company. Options granted under the 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan have a term of up to ten years from the date of grant. Options are vested $25 \%$ at the date of grant and an additional $25 \%$ at each of the next three anniversary dates. Options under this plan are granted at a price equal to the fair market value at the date of grant.

In 1999, the Company implemented the 1999 Director Stock Option, as amended, which authorized the Board of Directors to grant 383,228 non-qualified stock options to directors of the Company. Options granted under the 1999 Director Stock Option Plan have a term of up to ten years from the date of grant. The options were granted at the fair market value of the stock at the date of grant and vested immediately.

At the time of merger, PCCB had two stock option plans, the 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan and the 2002 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plans whose options were converted to options to purchase Crescent Financial Corporation stock at an exchange rate of 2.5133 . There were 225,954 shares authorized and issued related to the Incentive Stock Option Plan and 228,459 shares authorized and issued related to the Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan. Since all shares authorized had been issued, there will be no more options issued under either of these plans.

At the annual meeting on July 11, 2006, the shareholders approved the 2006 Omnibus Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan (the
Omnibus Plan ). This plan authorizes 335,000 shares of the common stock of Crescent to be issued in the form of incentive stock option grants, non-statutory stock option grants, restricted stock grants, long term incentive compensation units, or stock appreciation rights. In the event that the number of shares of common stock that remain available for future issuance under the Plan as of December 31, 2006 and as of the last day of each calendar year commencing thereafter, is less than $1.5 \%$ of the total number of shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of such date (the Replacement Amount ), then the Plan Pool shall be increased as of such date by a number of shares of common stock equal to the Replacement Amount. At September 30, 2006, there were 314,163 unissued shares in this plan.

The fair market value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The risk-free interest rate is based upon a U.S. Treasury instrument with a life that is similar to the expected life of the option grant. Expected volatility is based upon the historical volatility of the Company s stock price based upon the previous 3 years trading history. The expected term of the options is based upon the average life of previously issued stock options. The following table illustrates the assumptions for the Black-Scholes model used in determining the fair value of options granted for the nine-months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. There were 13,450 options granted during the nine-months ended September 30, 2006. There were 10,350 options granted during the nine-months ended September 30, 2005.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions in estimating option values: | $4.60 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 4 \%}$ |
| Risk-free interest rate | $34.24 \%$ | $36.72 \%$ |
| Dividend yield | 7 years | 7 years |
| Volatility |  |  |
| Expected life |  |  |

There were 7,387 shares of restricted stock issued during the nine-months ended September 30, 2006. These shares are subject to a cliff vesting schedule of 5 years. There were no restricted shares of stock issued during the nine-months ended September 30, 2005.

A summary of option activity under the stock option plans as of September 30, 2006, and changes during the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 is presented below:

|  | Shares | Average Exercise Price | Weighted Weighted Remaining Contractual Term | Average <br> Aggregate <br> Intrinsic <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 550,102 | \$ 5.84 |  |  |
| Exercised | $(29,176)$ | \$ 5.48 |  |  |
| Issued in acquisition of PCCB | 454,413 | \$ 4.81 |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(11,403)$ | \$ 12.99 |  |  |
| Granted | 13,450 | \$ 13.20 |  |  |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2006 | 977,386 | \$ 5.39 | 5.90 Years | \$ 7,864,000 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2006 | 938,759 | \$ 5.15 | 5.90 Years | \$7,785,000 |

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the intrinsic value of options exercised was approximately $\$ 232,000$. The fair value of options vested during the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 was approximately $\$ 108,000$. As of September 30, 2006 there was $\$ 254,000$ in unrecognized compensation cost related to non vested share based compensation. The compensation cost related to stock options of $\$ 156,000$ is expected to be recognized over a period of 3 years, while the cost related to restricted stock of $\$ 98,000$ is expected to be recognized over a period of 5 years.

Cash received from option exercise under all share-based payment arrangements for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 160,000$. The actual tax benefit in stockholders equity realized for the tax deductions from option exercise of the share-based payment arrangements totaled $\$ 20,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Presented below are the pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share and other disclosures as if the fair value based method of accounting had been applied in 2005.

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 <br> (Amounts in thou |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 <br> except per share data) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | 845 | \$ | 2,139 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects |  | 22 |  | 64 |
| Pro forma | \$ | 823 | \$ | 2,075 |
| Basic earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | . 18 | \$ | . 45 |
| Pro forma |  | . 17 |  | . 44 |
| Diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| As reported | \$ | . 17 | \$ | . 43 |
| Pro forma |  | . 16 |  | . 41 |

## NOTE F BUSINESS COMBINATION

On April 6, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Port City Capital Bank in Wilmington, North Carolina. The acquisition was approved at the annual shareholders meeting on July 11, 2006 and the transaction took place effective with the close of business on August 31, 2006. Port City Capital Bank is now a wholly-owned banking subsidiary of Crescent Financial Corporation operating under its current name and with its current board of directors and management. Port City Capital Bank shareholders received $\$ 3.30$ in cash for each share of Port City Capital Bank stock they owned, and exchanged each share of Port City Capital Bank stock for 2.262 shares of Crescent Financial Corporation common stock. As a result of the acquisition, the Company paid $\$ 3.6$ million in cash and has issued 2,432,371 additional shares of stock. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, with the operating results of Port City Capital Bank subsequent to August 31, 2006 included in the Company s financial statements.

The following table reflects the unaudited pro forma combined results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, assuming the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of fiscal year 2005.
$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrrrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 5}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Nine Months Ended } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6}\end{array} \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array}\right)$

The pro forma net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 does not reflect approximately $\$ 1.6$ million in after tax merger related costs incurred by Port City Capital Bank. In management s opinion, these unaudited results are not necessarily indicative of what actual combined results of operations might have been if the acquisition had been effective at the beginning of fiscal year 2005.

A summary of the total purchase price of the transaction is as follows:

|  | (In thousands) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fair value of common stock issued | 31,669 |
| Fair value of common stock options issued | 4,329 |
| Cash paid for shares | 3,551 |
| Transaction costs paid in cash | 585 |
|  | $\$ 40,134$ |

A summary of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is as follows:

|  | (In thousands) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,382 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 16,366 |
| Stock in FHLB of Atlanta | 286 |
| Loans receivable, net | 126,716 |
| Premises and equipment | 85 |
| Deferred tax asset | 392 |
| Goodwill | 26,685 |
| Core deposit intangible | 1,124 |
| Other assets | 1,106 |
| Deposits | $(144,188)$ |
| Other liabilities | $(819)$ |
|  |  |
| Net assets acquired | $\$$ |

## NOTE G NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ( FAS 157 ). FAS 157 enhances existing guidance for measuring assets and liabilities using fair value. Prior to the issuance of FAS 157, guidance for applying fair value was incorporated in several accounting pronouncements. FAS 157 provides a single definition of fair value, together with a framework for measuring it, and requires additional disclosure about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities. FAS 157 also emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and sets out a fair value hierarchy with the highest priority being quoted prices in active markets. Under FAS 157, fair value measurements are disclosed by level within that hierarchy. While FAS 157 does not add any new fair value measurements, it does change current practice. Changes to practice include: (1) a requirement for an entity to include its own credit standing in the measurement of its liabilities; (2) a modification of the transaction price presumption; (3) a prohibition on the use of block discounts when valuing large blocks of securities for broker-dealers and investment companies; and (4) a requirement to adjust the value of restricted stock for the effect of the restriction even if the restriction lapses within one year. FAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company has not determined the impact of adopting FAS 157 on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans (an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132R) ( FAS 158 ), requires an employer to: (a) Recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan s overfunded status or a liability for a plan s underfunded status; (b) measure a plan s assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer s fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and (c) recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income of a business entity and in changes in net assets of a not-for-profit organization. The requirement by FAS 158 to recognize the funded status of a benefit plan and the disclosure requirements of FAS 158 are effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006 for entities with publicly traded equity securities. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the employer s fiscal year-end statement of financial position is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of FAS 158 to have a material effect on its financial position at December 31, 2006.

In September 2006, the SEC staff issued SAB No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in the Current Year Financial Statements (SAB No. 108 ). SAB No. 108 addresses the diversity in practice by registrants when quantifying the effect of an error on the financial statements. SAB No. 108 provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements and is effective for annual periods ending after November 15, 2006. The Company will be required to adopt the provisions of SAB No. 108 effective December 31, 2006. We currently believe that the adoption of SAB No. 108 will not have a material financial impact on our consolidated financial statements.

## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analvsis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management s discussion and analysis is intended to assist readers in the understanding and evaluation of the financial condition and consolidated results of operations of Crescent Financial Corporation (the Company ). The analysis includes detailed discussions for each of the factors affecting Crescent Financial Corporation s operating results and financial condition for the periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. It should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report and the supplemental financial data appearing throughout this discussion and analysis. Because the Company has no operations and conducts no business on its own other than owning Crescent State Bank and Port City Capital Bank, the discussion contained in this Management s Discussion and Analysis concerns primarily the business of the Banks. However, for ease of reading and because the financial statements are presented on a consolidated basis, the Company and the Banks are collectively referred to herein as the Company unless otherwise noted. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

## COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND

## DECEMBER 31, 2005

Total assets at September 30, 2006 were $\$ 684.2$ million compared with $\$ 410.8$ million at December 31, 2005. Earning assets represented $91 \%$ of total assets totaling $\$ 625.4$ million at September 30, 2006 compared with $\$ 386.1$ million or $93 \%$ at December 31, 2005. Components of earning assets at September 30, 2006 are $\$ 526.1$ million in gross loans, $\$ 87.0$ million in investment securities and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, $\$ 11.6$ million in federal funds sold, and $\$ 812,000$ in interest bearing deposits with correspondent banks. Earning assets at December 31, 2005 included $\$ 328.3$ million in gross loans, $\$ 57.7$ million in investment securities and FHLB stock and $\$ 69,000$ in overnight investments. Total deposits and stockholders equity at September 30, 2006 were $\$ 540.2$ million and $\$ 81.3$ million, respectively, compared to $\$ 322.1$ million and $\$ 41.5$ million at December 31, 2005.

Gross loans outstanding at September 30, 2006 increased by $\$ 197.8$ million or $60 \%$ to $\$ 526.1$ million compared to $\$ 328.3$ million reported at December 31, 2005. The composition of the loan portfolio, by category, as of September 30, 2006 is $56 \%$ commercial mortgage loans, $19 \%$ construction loans, $12 \%$ commercial loans, $8 \%$ home equity loans and lines, $4 \%$ residential mortgage loans and $1 \%$ consumer loans. The commercial mortgage category showed the most growth increasing $\$ 122.8$ million from $\$ 173.7$ million at December 31, 2005 to $\$ 296.5$ million at September 30, 2006. Construction and development loans experienced a net increase of $\$ 51.9$ million growing from $\$ 46.4$ million at year end to $\$ 98.3$ million at September 30, 2006. The commercial loan portfolio increased by $\$ 10.8$ million from $\$ 52.7$ million at year-end 2005 to $\$ 63.5$ million at September 30, 2006. Home equity loans and lines increased by $\$ 6.2$ million during the first nine months of 2006 from $\$ 34.9$ million at December 31, 2005 to $\$ 41.1$ million at September 30, 2006. Residential mortgage loans grew $\$ 6.1$ million from $\$ 14.9$ million to $\$ 21.0$ million. The consumer loan portfolio decreased by $\$ 108,000$ during the nine-month period. The composition of the loan portfolio, by category, as of December 31, 2005 was $53 \%$ commercial mortgage loans, $16 \%$ commercial loans, $14 \%$ construction loans, $11 \%$ home equity loans and lines, $4 \%$ residential real estate mortgage loans and $2 \%$ consumer loans.

The acquisition of Port City Capital Bank on August 31, 2006 added $\$ 128.5$ million of the total $\$ 197.8$ million increase in gross loans. Loans acquired by category include $\$ 115.2$ million of commercial mortgage loans, $\$ 9.5$ million of commercial loans, $\$ 2.3$ million home equity lines, $\$ 1.2$ million residential real estate mortgage loans, and $\$ 300,000$ in the consumer loan category.

The Company had an allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2006 of $\$ 6.6$ million or $1.25 \%$ of total outstanding loans compared to $\$ 4.4$ million or $1.33 \%$ of total outstanding loans at December 31, 2005. The acquisition of PCCB added $\$ 1.7$ million of the total $\$ 2.2$ million increase in the loan loss allowance. At September 30, 2006, there were two loans totaling $\$ 128,000$ in non-accrual status. There were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at September 30, 2006. Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans at September 30, 2006 were $0.02 \%$. There were three loans totaling $\$ 26,000$ in non accrual status at December 31, 2005. There were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at December 31, 2005. Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans at December 31, 2005 were $0.01 \%$. For a more detailed discussion, see the section entitled Non-Performing Assets.

The Company has investment securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 84.6$ million at September 30, 2006. All investments are accounted for as available for sale under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) No. 115 and are presented at their fair market value of $\$ 83.8$ million compared with $\$ 55.6$ million at year-end 2005. The Company s investment in debt securities at September 30, 2006, consists of U.S. Government agency securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds and trust preferred securities. The increase during the first nine months of 2006 was the net result of $\$ 22.4$ million in new purchases, a $\$ 316,000$ increase in the fair value of the portfolio, less $\$ 6.1$ million in sales, $\$ 4.7$ million in principal re-payments, and $\$ 1,000$ in net amortization of premiums. In addition, the acquisition of Port City brought $\$ 16.4$ million of securities into the Company. Of the total acquired, $\$ 14.7$ million were mortgage backed securities and $\$ 1.7$ million were municipal securities.

The Company owned $\$ 3.1$ million of Federal Home Loan Bank stock at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 2.1$ million at December 31, 2005.
There were $\$ 11.6$ million in Federal funds sold at September 30, 2006 and none at December 31, 2005.

Interest-earning deposits held at correspondent banks increased by approximately $\$ 743,000$ from $\$ 69,000$ at December 31, 2005 to $\$ 812,000$ at September 30, 2006. The increase represents principal and interest payments from the investment portfolio waiting to be re-invested.

Non-earning and other assets increased by approximately $\$ 34.1$ million between December 31, 2005 and September 30, 2006. Non-interest bearing cash due from banks increased by $\$ 4.6$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Cash and due from banks includes amounts represented by checks in the process of being collected through the Federal Reserve payment system. Funds represented by these checks were not yet collected and therefore could not be invested overnight. The outgoing cash letter in transit on September 30, 2006 was more than $\$ 8.6$ million, compared to $\$ 5.7$ million on December 31, 2005. For more details regarding the increase in cash and cash equivalents, see the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. During the first nine months of 2006, $\$ 487,500$ was invested in non marketable equity securities, while there was only $\$ 37,500$ invested in the first six months of 2005 . Categories of other assets experiencing increases between December 31, 2005 and September 30, 2006 include interest receivable, foreclosed real estate, bank premises and equipment, deferred tax asset, cash surrender value on bank owned life insurance, goodwill, and other miscellaneous assets. The merger accounted for an increase of $\$ 26.7$ million or the total increase in goodwill, $\$ 2.2$ million of other assets which included a core deposit intangible of $\$ 1.1$ million, a $\$ 392,000$ increase in deferred tax assets, and an increase of $\$ 85,000$ in bank premises and equipment.

Total deposits increased by $\$ 218.1$ million between December 31, 2005 and September 30, 2006 from $\$ 322.1$ million to $\$ 540.2$ million. The largest increase occurred in the time deposit category, which grew by $\$ 102.6$ million to $\$ 280.8$ million at September 30, 2006 from $\$ 178.2$ million at year end 2005. Increases to other deposit categories were as follows: savings account balances increased by $\$ 64.9$ million from $\$ 9.4$ million to $\$ 74.3$ million, non-interest bearing demand deposits increased by $\$ 28.1$ million from $\$ 46.8$ million to $\$ 74.9$ million. Money market accounts increased by $\$ 22.4$ million from $\$ 45.8$ million to $\$ 68.2$ million and interest-bearing demand deposits increased by $\$ 72,000$ from $\$ 41.9$ million to $\$ 42.0$ million. There was some shifting at Crescent State Bank from interest-bearing demand and money market due to the introduction of the new statement savings product which offers a highly competitive rate of interest, and was first introduced in May 2006.

The acquisition of Port City Capital Bank on August 31, 2006 added approximately $\$ 144.2$ million in deposits to the Company s balance sheet. The total increase included $\$ 95.3$ million in time deposits, $\$ 28.5$ million in money market, $\$ 14.8$ million in non interest bearing demand, $\$ 5.6$ million in interest bearing demand, and $\$ 76,000$ in savings accounts.

The Company has several deposit relationships with real estate settlement attorneys. Due to the nature of these relationships, deposits balances for these accounts can increase significantly at each month end. The degree of account balance fluctuation is dependent on many factors such as the level of mortgage interest rates, the level of residential mortgage activity and the time of year. The balances in these real estate settlement deposit accounts were $\$ 13.6$ million and $\$ 13.7$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The monthly average balances in these attorney accounts were $\$ 13.6$ million during September 2006 compared to $\$ 11.6$ million for the month of December 2005.

The composition of the deposit base, by category, at September 30, 2006 is as follows: $52 \%$ time deposits, $14 \%$ non-interest-bearing demand deposits, $14 \%$ statement savings, $12 \%$ money market, and $8 \%$ interest-bearing demand deposits. The composition of the deposit base, by category, at December 31, 2005 was $55 \%$ time deposits, $15 \%$ non-interest-bearing demand deposits, $13 \%$ interest-bearing demand deposits, $14 \%$ money market and $3 \%$ statement savings. The growth in savings accounts is in response to the new product. This has brought in outside deposits as well as caused some shifting of other deposit categories as some internal customers move their money from interest-bearing demand and money market. Time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more totaled $\$ 214.9$ million at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 118.5$ million at December 31, 2005. The acquisition of PCCB brought $\$ 88.8$ million of time deposits greater than $\$ 100,000$. The Company uses brokered certificates of deposit as an alternative funding source. Brokered deposits represent a source of fixed rate funds priced competitively with FHLB borrowings, but do not require collateralization like FHLB borrowings. Brokered deposits were $\$ 129.1$ million at September 30, 2006 compared with $\$ 68.0$ million at December 31, 2005. Brokered deposits at PCCB accounted for $\$ 61.6$ million of the balance at September 30, 2006.

The Company had $\$ 45.2$ million of long-term debt outstanding at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 30.2$ million at December 31, 2005. The long-term debt is comprised of $\$ 37.0$ million in FHLB term advances and $\$ 8.2$ million in junior subordinated debt. Short-term borrowings decreased by $\$ 223,000$ during the six months of 2006 to $\$ 14.7$ million from $\$ 15.0$ million. Short-term borrowings consist of FHLB term advances with remaining maturities of less than one year and Federal funds purchased from correspondent banks. There were no borrowings acquired from PCCB.

Accrued interest payable and other liabilities increased by $\$ 659,000$ from $\$ 2.0$ million at December 31, 2005 to $\$ 2.7$ million at September 30, 2006.

Between December 31, 2005 and September 30, 2006, total stockholders equity rose by $\$ 39.8$ million. The increase resulted from a $\$ 36.0$ million increase due to the issuance of common stock pursuant to the merger transaction, net income for the first nine months of $\$ 3.3$ million, $\$ 240,000$ in new stock issuance pursuant to the exercise of stock options, $\$ 109,000$ in stock based compensation expense plus unrealized gains on available for sale securities of $\$ 198,000$.

## COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIODS ENDED

## SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005

Net Income. Net income for the three-month period ending September 30, 2006 was $\$ 1.3$ million or $\$ 0.18$ per diluted share compared with $\$ 845,000$ or $\$ 0.17$ per diluted share for the three-month period ended September 30, 2005. Annualized return on average assets was $0.94 \%$ and $0.86 \%$ for the two periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The improvement in return on average assets is primarily due to the increase in earnings resulting from a higher volume of earning assets and the rising interest rate environment. Return on average equity for the current period was $8.99 \%$ compared to $11.62 \%$ for the prior period. Return on average equity for the current period decreased due to increased equity resulting from 848,000 shares issued during the public offering in the fourth quarter of 2005 as well as $2,432,371$ additional shares issued to complete the acquisition of Port City Capital Bank.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased by $\$ 1.3$ million or $35 \%$ from $\$ 3.6$ million for the prior three-month period to $\$ 4.9$ million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006. Total interest income for the current three month period benefited from a higher volume of earning assets and a higher yield earned on those assets. Total interest expense from deposits and other borrowings increased due to growth in interest-bearing liabilities which were used to fund the higher volume of assets along with an increase in short-term interest rates. The Company s net interest margin decreased from $3.94 \%$ to $3.89 \%$ due mainly to the cost of interest bearing liabilities increasing faster than the yield on interest bearing assets.

Total interest income increased by $\$ 3.5$ million for the current three-month period compared to the same period from the prior year. The increase was the result of an additional $\$ 2.4$ million due to the growth in total average earning assets and a $\$ 1.1$ million increase due to higher yields realized on earning assets. Total interest expense for the current period rose by $\$ 2.2$ million compared to prior period. The increase was the result of a $\$ 1.0$ million increase due to growth in interest-bearing funds and a $\$ 1.2$ million increase due to the higher interest rate environment.

Total average earning assets increased $\$ 133.9$ million or $37 \%$ from an average of $\$ 367.4$ million for the prior year three-month period to an average of $\$ 501.4$ million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006. The average balance of loans outstanding during the current quarter was $\$ 417.8$ million, a $\$ 108.0$ million or $35 \%$ increase over the $\$ 309.8$ million of average outstanding loans for the prior period. The average balance of the investment securities portfolio for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 73.6$ million, increasing by $\$ 18.0$ million or $32 \%$ compared to an average of $\$ 55.6$ million at September 30, 2005. The average balance of federal funds sold and other earning assets increased to $\$ 9.9$ million for the current three-month period compared to $\$ 2.0$ million for the prior period.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased by $\$ 107.1$ million or $34 \%$ from $\$ 315.7$ million for the quarter ended September 30,2005 to $\$ 422.8$ million for the current quarter. Of the $\$ 107.1$ million increase in total interest-bearing liabilities, total interest-bearing deposits increased by $\$ 105.0$ million
or $40 \%$ from $\$ 263.2$ million to $\$ 368.2$ million. Money market and savings deposits experienced the largest increase averaging $\$ 110.4$ million during the current year period compared to $\$ 49.4$ million for the prior period. Total borrowings increased by $4 \%$ or $\$ 2.1$ million from $\$ 52.5$ million to $\$ 54.6$ million.

Net interest margin is interest income earned on loans, securities and other earning assets, less interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings, expressed as a percentage of total average earning assets. The net interest margin for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006 was $3.89 \%$ compared to $3.94 \%$ for the three-month period ended September 30, 2005. The average yield on earning assets for the current three-month period increased 100 basis points to $7.54 \%$ compared with $6.54 \%$ for the prior year period, while the average cost of interest-bearing funds increased by 129 basis points to $4.32 \%$ from $3.03 \%$. The interest rate spread, which is the difference between the average yield on earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing funds, decreased by 29 basis points from $3.51 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2005 to $3.22 \%$ for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. The percentage of interest earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities increased from $116.37 \%$ for the prior year period to $118.47 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Between July 1, 2004 and September 30, 2006, the Federal Reserve (the Fed ) increased short-term interest rates 425 basis points. Prior to the increases, the Company had made an effort to structure its balance sheet to take advantage of a rising interest rate environment. While the current rate environment initially resulted in an increase in the net interest margin, the disparity between the increase in short-term and long-term rates, the added reliance on wholesale funding sources to accommodate loan growth, and an effort to realign the balance sheet to reduce the impact of falling rates, has now resulted in some margin contraction.

As short-term interest rates have risen, intermediate and long-term rates have not risen to the same extent. Between June 30, 2004 and September 30, 2006, short-term rates have risen 425 basis points while rates on the ten year Treasury Note have risen by only 1 basis point. This has resulted in a flattening of the interest rate yield curve. Of the $\$ 281.9$ million in total net loan growth since June 30, 2004, $\$ 181.7$ million has come in the commercial real estate category. Due to the nature of the collateral and the competitive marketplace, this type of loan typically receives very favorable fixed-rate pricing off the intermediate to long end of the yield curve. Therefore, interest rates on new commercial real estate loans are only slightly higher than in July 2004. The increase in fixed rate lending has also caused the ratio of floating rate loans to total loans to decline from approximately $68 \%$ at June 30,2004 to $55 \%$ at September 30, 2006. The decline in this ratio causes both a smaller positive impact in a rising rate environment and a smaller negative impact in a falling rate environment.

On the deposit side, where rates are more often associated with the short end of the yield curve, the cost of funds has risen more quickly. We have concentrated our efforts towards shortening the duration of our rate sensitive liabilities in preparation for the next interest rate cycle. Additionally, the volume of new loan originations has outpaced the generation of lower cost core deposits causing the Company to rely more heavily on borrowed funds and brokered certificates of deposit.

The Company believes that the Federal Reserve Open Market Committee is near the end of the current tightening cycle. The cost of funds would be expected to continue to increase slightly in a neutral interest rate environment, causing slight margin contraction to continue in the near-term. The current balance sheet structure should allow the Company to minimize the negative impact on margin from falling rates in the short-term and ultimately benefit from falling rates in the long-term.

Provision for Loan Losses. The Company s provision for loan losses for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 182,000$ compared to $\$ 240,000$ for the same period in 2005. Provision for loan losses is charged to income to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management based on factors discussed under Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses. The decrease in the loan loss provision is primarily due to the implementation of our newly developed loan loss model which is more in line with the requirements of FASB 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, than the previously used model although the analysis discussion outlines additional factors impacting the provision. The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 6.6$ million at September 30, 2006, representing $1.25 \%$ of total outstanding loans.

Non-Interest Income. For the three-month period ended September 30, 2006, non-interest income increased by $\$ 81,000$ to $\$ 695,000$ compared to $\$ 614,000$ for the same period in 2005. Mortgage origination fees decreased by $\$ 44,000$ to $\$ 193,000$ for the current period from $\$ 237,000$ for the prior period, however other miscellaneous loan origination fees increased. We would normally anticipate income from mortgage origination fees to increase during the summer months; however this may have been mitigated in 2006 due to the rising rate environment, and thus a slowdown in home buying. Included in fees on deposit accounts are customer service fees, which increased by $\$ 48,000$ from $\$ 225,000$ to $\$ 273,000$. Other miscellaneous income increased by $\$ 54,000$. Within this category, fees from brokerage referrals declined by $\$ 19,000$; however in 2005, commissions were recorded on a gross basis and in 2006, the commissions are net of certain commission expenses. In addition, there was a distribution of $\$ 59,000$ from a non-marketable investment in the third quarter of 2006.

Non-Interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses were $\$ 3.4$ million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006 compared with $\$ 2.7$ million for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The largest component of non-interest expense for the current period was personnel expense. Salaries and benefits expense increased by $\$ 390,000$ or $26 \%$ to $\$ 1.9$ million for the current year period compared to $\$ 1.5$ million for the same period in the prior year. The expansion into the Garner and Raleigh, North Carolina markets as well as the acquisition of PCCB on August 31, 2006 and the addition of certain support staff has increased personnel costs. Management anticipates personnel expense to continue to increase as we identify new opportunities for expansion.

Occupancy and equipment expenses increased by $\$ 69,000$ or $16 \%$ from $\$ 443,000$ for the three-month period ended September 30, 2005 to $\$ 512,000$ for the current year period. In the past twelve months we moved one branch office from a temporary to a permanent location, a loan production and branch office opened in two new markets, and support staff was moved into a new Operations Center in Cary, North Carolina. Data processing costs increased by $\$ 43,000$ or $27 \%$ to $\$ 205,000$ for the current period from $\$ 162,000$ for the prior year period. The Company outsources its data processing and expenses are closely tied to transaction and account volumes. The added costs of installing and maintaining data communications lines to the new branch and operations facilities are also considered data processing expenses. As the Company continues to grow in accordance with its strategic plan, management expects both occupancy and data processing costs to increase.

Other non-interest expenses increased by $\$ 212,000$ to $\$ 816,000$ for the third quarter of 2006 compared with $\$ 603,000$ for the prior year quarter. The increase was primarily a result of the Company s continued growth. The largest components of other non-interest expenses include professional fees and services, office supplies and printing, advertising, and loan related fees. Management expects that as the complexity and size of the Company increases, expenses associated with these categories will continue to increase.

Provision for Income Taxes. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$721,000 for the three-months ended September 30, 2006 compared with $\$ 459,000$ for the prior year period. The effective
tax rate for the three-month period ended September 30, 2006 was $36.1 \%$ compared with $35.2 \%$ for the prior year period. The increase in the effective tax rate is attributable to a smaller percentage of income coming from tax exempt sources and the non-tax deductibility of the expensing of the fair value of stock options pursuant to FASB 123R.

# COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE NINE-MONTH PERIODS ENDED 

## SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005

Net Income. Net income for the nine month period ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 3,260,000$ or $\$ 0.54$ per basic ( $\$ 0.50$ diluted) share compared with $\$ 2,139,000$ or $\$ 0.45$ per basic ( $\$ 0.43$ diluted) share for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. Annualized return on average assets was $0.92 \%$ for the period ending September 30, 2006 compared to $0.78 \%$ for the period ending September 30, 2005. The improvement in return on assets was primarily attributed higher earnings resulting from a rising interest rate environment and strong growth in earning assets. Return on average equity for the current period was $9.21 \%$ compared to $10.21 \%$ for the prior period. Return on average equity for the current period decreased due to increased equity resulting from 848,000 shares issued during the public offering in the fourth quarter of 2005 as well as $2,432,371$ additional shares issued to complete the acquisition of Port City Capital Bank.

Results of operations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006, when compared with the period ended September 30, 2005, were positively impacted by strong earning asset growth over the past twelve months, a rising interest rate environment and an increase in non-interest income. Non interest expense increased during the current nine-month period as the Company continues to expand its branch network into new markets.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased by $\$ 3.2$ million or $32 \%$ from $\$ 10.0$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005 to $\$ 13.2$ million for the current nine-month period. Growth in both earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, coupled with the rising interest rate environment, resulted in increases in both total interest income and total interest expense in the current period compared with the prior year period.

Total interest income was $\$ 24.4$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 16.2$ million for the prior year period, an increase of $\$ 8.2$ million or $51 \%$. The total increase was the result of a $\$ 5.2$ million increase due to growth in average earning assets and a $\$ 3.0$ million increase due to the rising average yield earned on those assets. Total interest expense increased by $\$ 5.0$ million from $\$ 6.2$ million for the prior year period to $\$ 11.2$ million for the current period. The increase was the result of a $\$ 2.1$ million increase due to growth in interest-bearing liabilities and a $\$ 2.9$ million increase due to the rising cost of funds.

Total average earning assets increased $\$ 100.5$ million or $29 \%$ from an average of $\$ 344.2$ million as of September 30, 2005 to an average of $\$ 444.7$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006. The average of loans outstanding during the current six-month period was $\$ 374.2$ million reflecting a $\$ 86.6$ million or $30 \%$ increase over the $\$ 287.6$ million for the prior year period. The average balance of the investment securities portfolio for the current period was $\$ 65.6$ million, increasing by $\$ 10.8$ million or $20 \%$ compared to an average of $\$ 54.8$ million at September 30, 2005. The average balance of federal funds sold and other earning assets increased to $\$ 4.9$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 1.8$ million for the prior period.

Total average interest-bearing liabilities increased by $\$ 79.5$ million or $27 \%$ from an average of $\$ 295.2$ million for the period ended September 30, 2005 to $\$ 374.7$ million for the current nine-month period. Average interest-bearing deposits increased by $\$ 70.9$ million or $28 \%$ growing from $\$ 249.5$ million at

September 30, 2005 to $\$ 320.4$ million at September 30, 2006. Total average borrowings increased by $\$ 8.6$ million or $19 \%$ to $\$ 54.3$ million for the current nine-month period from $\$ 45.7$ million for the prior year period.

The net interest margin for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 was $3.97 \%$ compared to $3.89 \%$ for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005. The average yield on earning assets for the current six-month period increased by 104 basis points to $7.34 \%$ compared with $6.30 \%$ for the prior year period, while the average cost of interest-bearing funds increased by 119 basis points to $4.00 \%$ from $2.81 \%$. The spread between the rates paid on earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing funds decreased by 15 basis points from $3.49 \%$ to $3.34 \%$. The Company s reliance on interest-bearing liabilities to fund earning asset growth decreased as the percentage of interest earning assets to interest bearing liabilities increased from $116.59 \%$ to $118.67 \%$.

Provision for Loan Losses. The Company s provision for loan losses for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 was $\$ 617,000$ compared to $\$ 747,000$ for the same period in 2005. Provision for loan losses is charged to income to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management based on factors discussed under Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses. The decreased loan loss provision for the current nine-month period is primarily due to the implementation of our newly developed loan loss model which is better incorporates the requirements of FASB 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, than the previously used model. See the section entitled Non Performing Assets for more details. The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 6.6$ million at September 30, 2006, representing $1.25 \%$ of total outstanding loans.

Non-Interest Income. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006, non-interest income increased by $\$ 168,000$ to $\$ 1.9$ million. The largest components of non-interest income in the first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 811,000$ in customer service fees, $\$ 504,000$ in mortgage loan origination fees, $\$ 171,000$ increase in cash surrender value on life insurance, $\$ 135,000$ in service charges and fees on deposit accounts, and $\$ 61,000$ in investment referral fees. The income from investment referral fees was recorded on a gross basis in 2005, and in 2006 is net certain commission expenses. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005, non-interest income included $\$ 617,000$ in customer service fees, $\$ 569,000$ in mortgage loan origination fees, $\$ 170,000$ increase in cash value on life insurance, $\$ 138,000$ in investment referral fees and $\$ 131,000$ in service charges and fees on deposit accounts. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005, revenue was partially offset by a $\$ 9,000$ loss on the sale of assets and a $\$ 16,000$ loss on sale of securities while the nine month period ending September 30, 2006 included a distribution from a non-marketable investment of $\$ 59,000$.

Non-Interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses increased by $21 \%$ to $\$ 9.4$ million for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 compared with $\$ 7.8$ million for the same period ended September 30, 2005. The largest component of non-interest expense for the current period was personnel expense. Salaries and benefits expense was $\$ 5.1$ million for the current nine-month period compared to $\$ 4.2$ million for the same period in the prior year. Due to branch and administrative expansion, the number of employees has increased over the past twelve months. Management anticipates personnel expense to continue to increase as opportunities to hire quality employees present themselves and we expand into new markets.

Occupancy and equipment expenses increased by $\$ 196,000$ or $15 \%$ from $\$ 1,275,000$ for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005 to $\$ 1,471,000$ for the current year period. Occupancy expenses have risen due to the opening of two new branch locations, a loan production office and an Operations Center. Data processing costs increased from $\$ 478,000$ for the prior year nine-month period to $\$ 574,000$ for the current nine-month period. The Company outsources its data processing
and expenses are closely tied to transaction and account volumes, and include the monthly costs associated with data line connectivity between offices. As the Company continues to grow in accordance with its strategic plan, management expects both occupancy and data processing costs to increase.

Other non-interest expenses increased by $\$ 403,000$ to $\$ 2.2$ million for the first nine months of 2006 compared with $\$ 1.8$ million for the first nine months of the prior year. The increase was primarily a result of the Company s continued growth. The largest components of other non-interest expenses include professional fees and services, office supplies and printing, advertising, and loan related fees. Management expects that as the complexity and size of the Company increases, expenses associated with these categories will continue to increase.

Provision for Income Taxes. The Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 1.8$ million during the nine-months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 1.1$ million for the prior year period. The effective tax rates for the two periods were $36.0 \%$ and $34.3 \%$, respectively. The increase is due to a smaller percentage of income earned from tax exempt sources and the non-tax deductibility of the expensing of the fair value of stock options pursuant to FASB 123R.

## NET INTEREST INCOME

Net interest income represents the difference between income derived from interest-earning assets and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by both (1) the difference between the rates of interest earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities ( interest rate spread ) and (2) the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities ( net interest-earning balance ). The following tables set forth information relating to average balances of the Company s assets and liabilities for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The tables reflect the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities (derived by dividing income or expense by the daily average balance of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, respectively) as well as the net interest margin. In preparing the tables, non-accrual loans are included, when applicable, in the average loan balance. For purposes of the analysis, Federal Home Loan Bank stock is included in Investment Securities totals.

## Average Balances, Interest and Average Yields/Cost

(Dollars in Thousands)

|  | For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 <br> 2005 <br> Average |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/Cost | Average Balance | Interest | Average Yield/Cost |
| Interest-earnings assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan portfolio | \$ 417,776 | \$ 8,516 | 8.09\% | \$ 309,800 | \$ 5,466 | 7.00\% |
| Investment securities | 73,636 | 877 | 4.76\% | 55,621 | 576 | 4.14\% |
| Fed funds and other interest-earning assets | 9,948 | 129 | 5.14\% | 1,996 | 17 | 3.38\% |
| Total earning assets | 501,360 | 9,522 | 7.54\% | 367,417 | 6,059 | 6.54\% |
| Noninterest-bearing assets | 37,470 |  |  | 23,000 |  |  |
| Total Assets | \$ 538,830 |  |  | \$ 390,417 |  |  |


| Interest-bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest-bearing NOW | 36,932 | 131 | $1.41 \%$ | $\$ 41,811$ | 117 | $1.11 \%$ |
| Money market and savings | 110,363 | 1,186 | $4.26 \%$ | 49,386 | 274 | $2.20 \%$ |
| Time deposits | 220,904 | 2,507 | $4.50 \%$ | 172,033 | 1,450 | $3.34 \%$ |
| Short-term borrowings | 11,850 | 164 | $5.42 \%$ | 20,524 | 201 | $3.83 \%$ |
| Long-term debt | 42,802 | 620 | $5.67 \%$ | 31,987 | 369 | $4.51 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 422,851 | 4,608 | $4.32 \%$ | 315,741 | 2,411 | $3.03 \%$ |
| Non interest-bearing deposits | 57,534 |  |  | 44,564 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 2,215 |  |  | 1,242 |  |  |
| Total Liabilities | 482,600 |  |  | 361,547 |  |  |
| Stockholders Equity | 56,230 |  |  | 28,870 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 390,417$ |  |


| Net interest income | $\$ 4,914$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Interest rate spread | 3,648 |  |
| Net margin | $3.22 \%$ | $3.59 \%$ |
| Percentage of average interest-earning assets to average interest  <br> bearing liabilities $118.57 \%$ | $3.94 \%$ |  |

Average Balances, Interest and Average Yields/Cost
(Dollars in Thousands)
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} & & \text { For the Nine Months Ended September 30, } \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array}\right)$

| Net interest income | $\$ 13,205$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Interest rate spread | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 1 6}$ |  |
| Net margin | $3.34 \%$ | $3.97 \%$ |
| Percentage of average interest-earning assets to average interest <br> bearing liabilities | $118.67 \%$ | $3.89 \%$ |

## VOLUME/RATE VARIANCE ANALYSIS

The following tables analyze the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. The table distinguishes between (i) changes attributable to volume (changes in volume multiplied by the prior period s rate), (ii) changes attributable to rate (changes in rate multiplied by the prior period s volume), and (iii) net change (the sum of the previous columns). The change attributable to both rate and volume (changes in rate multiplied by changes in volume) has been allocated proportionately to both the changes attributable to volume and the changes attributable to rate.

Rate/Volume Analysis

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, <br> 2006 <br> vs. 2005 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Rate/Volume Analysis

Nine Months Ended September 30,

|  | 2006 vs. 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in Thousands) |  |  |
|  | Volume | Rate | Total |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |
| Loan portfolio | 4,717 | 2,785 | 7,502 |
| Investment Securities | 357 | 196 | 553 |
| Fed funds and other interest-earning assets | 92 | 54 | 146 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 5,166 | 3,035 | 8,201 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing NOW | (18) | 162 | 144 |
| Money market and savings | 716 | 842 | 1,558 |
| Time deposits | 1,071 | 1,466 | 2,537 |
| Short-term borrowings | 214 | 132 | 346 |
| Long-term debt | 75 | 352 | 427 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 2,058 | 2,954 | 5,012 |
| Net interest income | 3,108 | 81 | 3,189 |

## NONPERFORMING ASSETS

The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, information about our nonaccrual loans, restructured loans, total nonperforming loans (nonaccrual loans plus restructured loans), and total nonperforming assets.

|  | At September 30, |  |  |  | At December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  | 2004 |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ | 128 | \$ | 222 | \$ | 26 | \$ | 5 |
| Restructured loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total nonperforming loans |  | 128 |  | 222 |  | 26 |  | 5 |
| Real estate owned |  | 190 |  |  |  | 22 |  | 245 |
| Repossessed assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 318 | \$ | 222 | \$ | 48 | \$ | 298 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 6,573 |  | 4,293 |  | 4,351 |  | 3,668 |
| Nonperforming loans to period end loans |  | 0.02\% |  | 0.07\% |  | 0.01\% |  | 0.00\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to period end loans |  | 1.25\% |  | 1.33\% |  | 1.33\% |  | 1.42\% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans |  | 5,147.74\% |  | 1,930.59\% |  | 16,734.62\% |  | 78,577.55\% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets |  | 0.05\% |  | 0.05\% |  | 0.01\% |  | 0.09\% |
| Nonperforming assets and loans past due 90 days or more to total assets |  | 0.05\% |  | 0.05\% |  | 0.01\% |  | 0.09\% |

Our financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting, including the recognition of interest income on loans, unless we place a loan on nonaccrual basis. We account for loans on a nonaccrual basis when we have serious doubts about the collectibility of principal or interest. Generally, our policy is to place a loan on nonaccrual status when the loan becomes past due 90 days. We also place loans on nonaccrual status in cases where we are uncertain whether the borrower can satisfy the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Amounts received on nonaccrual loans generally are applied first to principal and then to interest only after all principal has been collected. Restructured loans are those for which concessions, including the reduction of interest rates below a rate otherwise available to that borrower or the deferral of interest or principal have been granted due to the borrower s weakened financial condition. We accrue interest on restructured loans at the restructured rates when we anticipate that no loss of original principal will occur. Potential problem loans are loans which are currently performing and are not included as nonaccrual or restructured loans above, but about which we have serious doubts as to the borrower s ability to comply with present repayment terms. These loans are likely to be included later in nonaccrual, past due or restructured loans, so they are considered by our management in assessing the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. At September 30, 2006, we identified three loans in the aggregate amount
of $\$ 560,000$ as potential problem loans. Management has evaluated these credits and estimated the potential losses net of the collateral value for these loans. A specific amount has been reserved in the allowance for loan losses for this total exposure amount.

At September 30, 2006, there were six foreclosed properties valued at $\$ 190,000$ and two nonaccrual loans totaling $\$ 128,000$. Foreclosed property is valued at the lower of appraised value or the outstanding loan balance. Interest foregone on nonaccrual loans for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006 was approximately $\$ 7,000$. There were no foreclosed properties at September 30, 2005 and five non-accrual loans in the aggregate amount of $\$ 222,000$. Interest foregone on non-accrual loans for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2005 was approximately $\$ 5,000$.

## ANALYSIS OF ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is established through periodic charges to earnings in the form of a provision for loan losses. Increases to the allowance for loan losses occur as a result of provisions charged to operations and recoveries of amounts previously charged-off, and decreases to the allowance occur when loans are charged-off. Management evaluates the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses on a monthly basis. The evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses involves the consideration of loan growth, loan portfolio composition and industry diversification, historical loan loss experience, current delinquency levels, adverse conditions that might affect a borrower s ability to repay the loan, estimated value of underlying collateral, prevailing economic conditions and all other relevant factors derived from the Company s history of operations. Additionally, as an important component of their periodic examination process, regulatory agencies review the allowance for loan losses and may require additional provisions for estimated losses based on judgments that differ from those of management.

The Company uses an internal grading system to assign the degree of inherent risk on each individual loan. The grade is initially assigned by the lending officer and reviewed by the Loan Administration function. The internal grading system is reviewed and tested periodically by an independent third party credit review firm. The testing process involves the evaluation of a sample of new loans, loans having been identified as possessing potential weakness in credit quality, past due loans and nonaccrual loans to determine the ongoing effectiveness of the internal grading system. The loan grading system is used to assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Management has developed a model for evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. The model uses the Company sinternal loan grading system to segment each category of loans by risk class. Using the various evaluation factors mentioned above as well as some industry wide historical net charge-off data, management predetermined allowance percentages for each risk class within each loan category. The total aggregate balance of loans in each risk class is multiplied by the associated allowance percentage to determine an adequate level of allowance for loan losses.

Those loans that are identified through the Company s internal loan grading system as possessing characteristics which in the opinion of management suggest the highest degree of inherent risk are evaluated individually. Each loan is analyzed to determine the net value of collateral, probability of charge-off and finally a potential estimate of loss. Loans meeting the criteria for individual evaluation are specifically reserved for based management s analysis.

Using the data gathered during the monthly evaluation process, the model calculates an acceptable range for allowance for loan losses. Management and the Board of Directors are responsible for determining the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses within that range.

The primary reason for increases to the allowance for loan losses has been growth in total outstanding loans; however, there were other factors influencing the provision. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006, there were $\$ 81,000$ in net loan charge-offs and $\$ 128,000$ in non-accrual loans compared with $\$ 122,000$ in net loan charge-offs and $\$ 222,000$ in non accrual loans at September 30, 2005. The allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2006 was $\$ 6.6$ million, which represents $1.25 \%$ of total outstanding loans compared to $\$ 4.3$ million and $1.33 \%$ for the prior year. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total outstanding loans as well as the loan loss provision declined from the prior year due to the implementation of our newly developed loan loss model which better incorporates the requirements of FASB 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, than the previously used model.

The allowance for loan losses represents management sestimate of an amount adequate to provide for known and inherent losses in the loan portfolio in the normal course of business. While management believes the methodology used to establish the allowance for loan losses incorporates the best information available at the time, future adjustments to the level of the allowance may be necessary and the results of operations could be adversely affected should circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions initially used. We believe that the allowance for loan losses was established in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles; however, there can be no assurances that the regulatory agencies, after reviewing the loan portfolio, will not require management to increase the level of the allowance. Likewise, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses is adequate should there be deterioration in the quality of any loans or changes in any of the factors discussed above. Any increases in the provision for loan losses resulting from such deterioration or change in condition could adversely affect the financial condition of the Company and results of its operations.

The following table describes the allocation of the allowance for loan losses among various categories of loans for the dates indicated.

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

(1) Represents total of all outstanding loans in each category as a percent of total loans outstanding

The following table presents information regarding changes in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

Changes in Allowance for Loan Losses

|  | For the Nine-Month Periods Ended September 30, 20062005 (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at the beginning of the year | \$ | 4,351 | \$ | 3,668 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  | 14 |  | 138 |
| Commercial real estate loans |  |  |  | 34 |
| Residential real estate loans |  | 64 |  |  |
| Loans to individuals |  | 5 |  | 8 |
| Total charge-offs |  | 83 |  | 180 |
| Recoveries |  | 2 |  | 58 |
| Net charge-offs (recoveries) |  | 81 |  | 122 |
| Acquired in Port City Capital Bank transaction |  | 1,686 |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 617 |  | 747 |
| Balance at the end of the year | \$ | 6,573 | \$ | 4,293 |
| Total loans outstanding at period-end |  | 526,066 |  | 22,192 |
| Average loans outstanding for the period |  | 374,181 |  | 87,633 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans outstanding |  | 1.25\% |  | 1.33\% |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding |  | 0.02\% |  | 0.04\% |

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Maintaining adequate liquidity while managing interest rate risk is the primary goal of the Company s asset and liability management strategy. Liquidity is the ability to fund the needs of the Company $s$ borrowers and depositors, pay operating expenses, and meet regulatory liquidity requirements. Maturing investments, loan and mortgage-backed security principal repayments, deposit growth, brokered time deposits and borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank and other correspondent banks are presently the main sources of the Company s liquidity. The Company s primary uses of liquidity are to fund loans and to make investments.

As of September 30, 2006, liquid assets (cash and due from banks, interest-earning deposits with banks, fed funds sold, and investment securities available for sale) were approximately $\$ 113.4$ million, which represents $17 \%$ of total assets and $21 \%$ of total deposits. Supplementing this liquidity, the Company has available lines of credit from various correspondent banks of approximately $\$ 138.5$ million of which $\$ 51.7$ million is outstanding at September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2006, outstanding commitments for undisbursed lines of credit, letters of credit and undisbursed investment
commitments amounted to approximately $\$ 168.6$ million. Management intends to fund anticipated loan closings and operational needs through cash and cash equivalents on hand, brokered deposits, scheduled principal repayments from the loan and securities portfolios, and anticipated increases in deposits and borrowings. Certificates of deposits represented $52 \%$ of the Company s total deposits at September 30, 2006 compared with $55 \%$ at December 31, 2005. The Company s growth strategy will include marketing efforts focused at increasing the relative volume of low cost transaction deposit accounts; however, time deposits will continue to play an important role in the Company s funding strategy. Certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more represented $40 \%$ of the Company s total deposits at September 30, 2006 and $37 \%$ at December 31, 2005. While these deposits are generally considered rate sensitive and the Company will need to pay competitive rates to retain these deposits at maturity, there are other subjective factors that will determine the Company s continued retention of those deposits.

Under federal capital regulations, Crescent State Bank must satisfy certain minimum leverage ratio requirements and risk-based capital requirements. At September 30, 2006, CSB s equity to asset ratio was $8.56 \%$. All capital ratios place the CSB in excess of the minimum required to be deemed a well-capitalized bank by regulatory measures. CSB s ratios of Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets and total capital to risk-based assets at September 30, 2006 were $9.23 \%$ and $10.34 \%$, respectively. Port City Capital Bank is also required to satisfy certain minimum leverage ratio requirements and risk-based capital requirements. At September 30, 2006, PCCB s equity to asset ratio was $8.68 \%$. All capital ratios place PCCB in excess of the minimum required to be deemed a well-capitalized bank by regulatory measures. PCCB s ratios of Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets and total capital to risk-based assets at September 30, 2006 were $8.87 \%$ and $10.06 \%$, respectively. The Company is also required to maintain capital adequacy ratios. At September 30, 2006, the Company s ratios of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and total capital to risk-based assets were $10.00 \%$ and $11.13 \%$, respectively.

## IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

A commercial bank has an asset and liability composition that is distinctly different from that of a company with substantial investments in plant and inventory because the major portions of its assets are monetary in nature. As a result, a bank s performance may be significantly influenced by changes in interest rates. Although the banking industry is more affected by changes in interest rates than by inflation in the prices of goods and services, inflation is a factor that may influence interest rates. However, the frequency and magnitude of interest rate fluctuations do not necessarily coincide with changes in the general inflation rate. Inflation does affect operating expenses in that personnel expenses and the cost of supplies and outside services tend to increase more during periods of high inflation.

## FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This quarterly report to stockholders may contain, in addition to historical information, certain forward-looking statements that represent management s judgment concerning the future and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company sactual operating results and financial position to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, anticipate, estimate or continue or the negative thereof or variations thereof or comparable terminology. Factors that could influence the estimates include changes in national, regional and local market conditions, legislative and regulatory conditions, and the interest rate environment.

## Item 3. Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company s primary market risk is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the result of differing maturities or repricing intervals of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and the fact that rates on these financial instruments do not change uniformly. These conditions may impact the earnings generated by the Company s interest earning assets or the cost of its interest bearing liabilities, thus directly impacting the Company s overall earnings. The Company s management actively monitors and manages interest rate risk. One way this is accomplished is through the development of and adherence to the Company s asset/liability policy. This policy sets forth management s strategy for matching the risk characteristics of the Company s interest earning assets and liabilities so as to mitigate the effect of changes in the rate environment. The Company s market risk profile has not changed significantly since December 31, 2005.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Crescent Financial Corporation s management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2006. Based on that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of September 30, 2006, to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports filed or submitted by it under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company assesses the adequacy of its internal control over financial reporting quarterly and enhances its controls in response to internal control assessments and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. There have been no changes in the Company sinternal controls during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 or through the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

None.

## Item 1a. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Debt.

None.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Annual Meeting of the Stockholders was held on July 11, 2006. Of 5,037,234 shares entitled to vote at the meeting, 4,481,687 shares voted. The following matters were voted on at the meeting:

1. Approval of merger whereby Port City Capital Bank will be acquired by Crescent Financial Corporation

The merger was approved with $3,059,576$ shares voting for, 95,404 shares voting against, and 4,087 shares abstaining.
2. Election of Directors

Joseph S. Colson Jr., Kenneth A. Lucas, and Francis R. Quis, Jr. were elected to three-year terms as follows:

| Nominee | For | Against | Abstain |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Joseph S. Colson, Jr. | $4,393,113$ | 88,574 |  |
| Kenneth A. Lucas | $4,391,963$ | 89,724 |  |
| Francis R. Quis, Jr. | $4,393,196$ | 88,491 |  |

The following directors continue in office after the meeting: Brent D. Barringer, Michael G. Carlton, Bruce I. Howell, James A Lucas, Jr., Sheila Hale Ogle, Jon R. Rufty and Stephen K. Zaytoun.
3. Approval of the Company s 2006 Omnibus Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan

The 2006 Omnibus Stock Ownership and Long Term Incentive Plan was approved with 2,849,165 shares voting for, 238,818 shares voting against, and 71,083 shares abstaining.
4. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Public Accountants

Management s appointment of Dixon Hughes PLLC as the Company s independent public accountants for 2006 was approved with $4,455,770$ shares voting for, 18,346 shares voting against, and 7,571 shares abstaining.

## Item 5. Other Information.

None.

## Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits.
31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a)
31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a)
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

## SIGNATURES

Under the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.
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