

SL INDUSTRIES INC
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-4987

SL INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

21-0682685
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

520 Fellowship Road, Suite A114, Mt. Laurel, NJ
(Address of principal executive offices)

08054
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 856-727-1500

N/A

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: SL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting Company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of April 27, 2015 was 3,934,000.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	
<u>March 31, 2015 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2014</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income</u>	
<u>Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	6
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	31
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	48
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	48
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	49
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	49
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	49
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	49
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	50
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	50
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	50
Signatures	51

Table of Contents**Item 1. Financial Statements**

SL INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2015 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,697,000	\$ 31,950,000
Receivables, net	34,932,000	33,966,000
Inventories, net	24,475,000	23,597,000
Other current assets	5,439,000	4,751,000
Deferred income taxes, net	6,537,000	6,105,000
Total current assets	94,080,000	100,369,000
Property, plant and equipment, net	8,028,000	8,070,000
Deferred income taxes, net	5,550,000	5,496,000
Goodwill	13,070,000	13,072,000
Other intangible assets, net	3,743,000	3,788,000
Other assets and deferred charges, net	1,071,000	981,000
Total assets	\$ 125,542,000	\$ 131,776,000
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	17,242,000	19,285,000
Accrued income taxes	1,389,000	3,618,000
Accrued liabilities:		
Payroll and related costs	4,489,000	4,880,000
Other	16,063,000	16,466,000
Total current liabilities	39,183,000	44,249,000
Deferred compensation and supplemental retirement benefits	1,435,000	1,427,000
Other long-term liabilities	8,400,000	8,779,000
Total liabilities	49,018,000	54,455,000

Commitments and contingencies

SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Edgar Filing: SL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock, no par value; authorized, 6,000,000 shares; none issued		
Common stock, \$.20 par value; authorized, 25,000,000 shares; issued, 6,656,000 and 6,656,000 shares, respectively	1,331,000	1,331,000
Capital in excess of par value	22,984,000	22,747,000
Retained earnings	81,961,000	79,415,000
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss), net of tax	(770,000)	(638,000)
Treasury stock at cost, 2,595,000 and 2,512,000 shares, respectively	(28,982,000)	(25,534,000)
Total shareholders equity	76,524,000	77,321,000
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 125,542,000	\$ 131,776,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SL INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Net sales	\$ 46,684,000	\$ 48,746,000
Cost and expenses:		
Cost of products sold	31,260,000	33,214,000
Engineering and product development	2,784,000	2,863,000
Selling, general and administrative	8,014,000	7,254,000
Depreciation and amortization	589,000	504,000
Restructuring charges		463,000
Total cost and expenses	42,647,000	44,298,000
Income from operations	4,037,000	4,448,000
Other income (expense):		
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(27,000)	(21,000)
Interest income	13,000	2,000
Interest expense	(6,000)	(8,000)
Other gain (loss), net	131,000	(250,000)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	4,148,000	4,171,000
Income tax provision	1,440,000	1,463,000
Income from continuing operations	2,708,000	2,708,000
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(162,000)	(181,000)
Net income	\$ 2,546,000	\$ 2,527,000
Basic net income (loss) per common share		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.65
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.04)	(0.04)
Net income	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.61
Diluted net income (loss) per common share		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.65
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.04)	(0.04)
Net income	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.61

Shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common share	4,093,000	4,128,000
Shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common share	4,160,000	4,161,000

SL INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Net income	\$ 2,546,000	\$ 2,527,000
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:		
Foreign currency translation	(132,000)	(34,000)
Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities		(130,000)
Net unrealized gain reclassified into income on sale of available-for-sale securities		(66,000)
Comprehensive income	\$ 2,414,000	\$ 2,297,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SL INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,
(Unaudited)

	2015	2014
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 2,546,000	\$ 2,527,000
Adjustment for losses from discontinued operations	162,000	181,000
Income from continuing operations	2,708,000	2,708,000
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	479,000	364,000
Amortization	110,000	140,000
Amortization of deferred financing costs	27,000	21,000
Stock-based compensation	249,000	109,000
(Gain) loss on foreign exchange contracts	(131,000)	363,000
Provisions for (recoveries of) losses on accounts receivable	23,000	(168,000)
Deferred compensation and supplemental retirement benefits	113,000	64,000
Deferred compensation and supplemental retirement benefit payments	(104,000)	(114,000)
Deferred income taxes	(485,000)	761,000
(Gain) on sale of available-for-sale securities		(106,000)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding effects of business combinations:		
Accounts receivable	(992,000)	(2,139,000)
Inventories	(870,000)	333,000
Other assets	(691,000)	836,000
Accounts payable	(2,031,000)	2,270,000
Other accrued liabilities	(852,000)	(1,710,000)
Accrued income taxes	(2,083,000)	418,000
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities from continuing operations	(4,530,000)	4,150,000
Net cash (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations	(579,000)	(264,000)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(5,109,000)	3,886,000
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(452,000)	(436,000)
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities		241,000
Purchases of other assets	(165,000)	(26,000)
Net cash (used in) investing activities from continuing operations	(617,000)	(221,000)

Edgar Filing: SL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash (used in) investing activities from discontinued operations		(65,000)
NET CASH (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(617,000)	(286,000)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Payments of Senior Revolving Credit Facility		(1,000,000)
Payments of deferred financing costs	(19,000)	
Treasury stock purchases	(3,511,000)	(46,000)
Net cash (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	(3,530,000)	(1,046,000)
Net cash (used in) financing activities from discontinued operations		(12,000)
NET CASH (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(3,530,000)	(1,058,000)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	3,000	(13,000)
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(9,253,000)	2,529,000
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	31,950,000	7,163,000
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 22,697,000	\$ 9,692,000

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$ 6,000	\$ 36,000
Income taxes	\$ 3,833,000	\$ 950,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SL INDUSTRIES, INC.****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****1. Basis Of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements and notes thereon included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. Unless the context requires otherwise, the terms the Company, SL Industries, we, us and our mean SL Industries, Inc., a Delaware Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

On November 17, 2014, SL Delaware Holdings, Inc. (SL Delaware Holdings), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with Hubbell Power Systems, Inc. (Hubbell), a subsidiary of Hubbell Incorporated, pursuant to which SL Delaware Holdings sold all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of RFL Electronics Inc. (RFL). The Company concluded that the accounting requirements for reporting the results of operations and cash flows of the divested business as discontinued operations were met at November 17, 2014. As a result, the accompanying consolidated statements of income for 2014, the consolidated statements of cash flows for 2014, and certain amounts in these notes to the consolidated financial statements related to 2014 have been recast to reflect the presentation of the results of operations and cash flows of the formerly owned RFL businesses as discontinued operations. Refer to Note 16, Discontinued Operations , for additional information regarding this transaction.

2. Receivables

Receivables consist of the following:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Trade receivables	\$ 34,804	\$ 34,025
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(304)	(281)
Trade receivables, net	34,500	33,744
Recoverable income taxes	22	81
Other	410	141
Receivables, net	\$ 34,932	\$ 33,966

Table of Contents**3. Inventories**

Inventories consist of the following:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Raw materials	\$ 18,241	\$ 16,865
Work in process	4,983	4,584
Finished goods	3,567	4,232
Gross inventory	26,791	25,681
Less: allowances	(2,316)	(2,084)
Inventories, net	\$ 24,475	\$ 23,597

4. Income Per Share

The Company has presented net income (loss) per common share pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 260 Earnings Per Share. Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing reported net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the period.

Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing reported net income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average shares outstanding for the period, adjusted for the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents, which consist of stock options, using the treasury stock method.

There were no anti-dilutive options for the three months ended March 31, 2015. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, 7,000 stock options were excluded from the dilutive computation as the assumed shares repurchased under the treasury method would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders:		
Basic net income available to common shareholders from continuing operations	\$ 2,708	\$ 2,708
Basic net loss available to common shareholders from discontinued operations	\$ (162)	\$ (181)
Diluted net income available to common shareholders from continuing operations	\$ 2,708	\$ 2,708
Diluted net loss available to common shareholders from discontinued operations	\$ (162)	\$ (181)
Shares:		
Basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding	4,093	4,128
Common shares assumed upon exercise of stock options	67	33
Diluted weighted average number of common shares outstanding	4,160	4,161
Basic net income (loss) per common share:		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.65
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.04)	(0.04)
Net income	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.61
Diluted net income (loss) per common share:		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.65
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(0.04)	(0.04)
Net income	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.61

Table of Contents**5. Stock-Based Compensation**

At March 31, 2015, the Company had stock-based employee compensation plans as described below. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, the total compensation expense (included in selling, general and administrative expense) related to these plans was \$249,000 and \$109,000 (\$163,000 and \$71,000 net of tax), respectively.

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company implemented a Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2015 LTIP) pursuant to the 2008 Incentive Stock Plan (the 2008 Plan) which awarded restricted stock units (RSUs) to eligible executives. Under the terms of the 2015 LTIP, the number of RSUs that may vest, if any, will be based on, among other things, the Company achieving certain sales and return on invested capital (ROIC), as defined, targets during the January 2015 to December 2017 performance period. Earned RSUs, if any, cliff vest at the end of fiscal 2017 (100% of earned RSUs vest at December 31, 2017). The final value of these RSUs will be determined by the number of shares earned. The value of these RSUs is charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the three year vesting period with periodic adjustments to account for changes in anticipated award amounts. The weighted-average price for these RSUs was \$39.17 per share based on the grant date of February 13, 2015. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, \$14,000 was charged to compensation expense. As of March 31, 2015, total unamortized compensation expense for this grant was \$324,000. As of March 31, 2015, the maximum number of achievable RSUs under the 2015 LTIP was 14,000 RSUs.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company implemented a Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2012 LTIP) pursuant to the 2008 Plan which awarded RSUs to eligible executives. The weighted-average price for these RSUs was \$18.00 per share based on the grant date of February 17, 2012. Under the terms of the 2012 LTIP, 6,000 RSUs were earned and issued on February 27, 2015.

Stock Options

Option activity under the principal option plans as of March 31, 2015 and changes during the three months ended March 31, 2015 were as follows:

	Shares (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2014	184	\$ 19.71	3.59	\$ 3,540
Granted				
Exercised				
Forfeited				
Expired				
Outstanding as of March 31, 2015	184	\$ 19.71	3.35	\$ 4,017
Exercisable as of March 31, 2015	86	\$ 12.46	2.30	\$ 2,505

Table of Contents

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the first quarter of fiscal 2015 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on March 31, 2015. This amount changes based on the fair market value of the Company's stock. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, no options to purchase common stock were exercised by option holders.

As of March 31, 2015, \$582,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years.

Tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options are classified as financing cash flows. No options were exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014. The Company has applied the "Short-cut" method in calculating the historical windfall tax benefits. All tax shortfalls will be applied against this windfall before being charged to earnings.

6. Income Tax

The Company calculates its interim tax provision in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740-270 "Income Taxes Interim Reporting." For each interim period the Company estimates its annual effective income tax rate and applies the estimated rate to its year-to-date income or loss before income taxes. The Company also computes the tax provision or benefit related to items separately reported, such as discontinued operations, and recognizes the items net of their related tax effect in the interim periods in which they occur. The Company also recognizes the effect of changes in enacted tax laws or rates in the interim periods in which the changes occur.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, the estimated income tax rate from continuing operations was 35%, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, the Company recorded additional benefits from state research and development tax credits of \$47,000 and \$61,000, respectively.

As of March 31, 2015, the Company's gross research and development tax credit carryforwards totaled approximately \$1,654,000. Of these credits, approximately \$672,000 can be carried forward for 15 years and will expire between 2015 and 2030, and approximately \$982,000 of state credits can be carried forward indefinitely.

The Company has recorded gross unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 of \$865,000. Tax benefits are recorded pursuant to the provisions of ASC 740 "Income Taxes." If such unrecognized tax benefits are ultimately recorded in any period, the Company's effective tax rate would be reduced accordingly for such period.

The Company adopted FASB Accounting Standard 2013-11 effective during the first quarter of 2014. The pronouncement requires the Company to offset its uncertain tax positions against certain deferred tax assets in the same jurisdiction. During the first quarter of 2015, the Company reclassified \$397,000 of its uncertain tax positions against its related deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents

The Company has been examined by the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) through the calendar year 2010. The federal and state income tax statutes are generally open for periods back to and including the calendar years 2011 and 2010, respectively. During the first quarter of 2015 the Company was contacted by the IRS to examine the calendar year 2013. The examination is expected to begin during the second quarter of 2015.

It is reasonably possible that the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits, including interest, may change within the next twelve months due to the expiration of the statutes of limitation of the federal government and various state governments by a range of zero to \$247,000. The Company records such unrecognized tax benefits upon the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations or the settlement with tax authorities. As of March 31, 2015, the Company has a liability for unrecognized benefits of \$265,000, \$203,000, and \$397,000 for federal, international, and state taxes, respectively. Such benefits relate primarily to expenses incurred in those jurisdictions.

The Company classifies interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. At March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company has accrued approximately \$90,000 and \$81,000 for the payment of interest and penalties, respectively.

7. Recently Adopted and Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-08, Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of an Entity, which amends the guidance for reporting discontinued operations and disposals of components of an entity. The amended guidance requires that a disposal representing a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's financial results or a business activity classified as sale should be reported as discontinued operations. The amendments also expand the disclosure requirements for discontinued operations and add new disclosures for individually significant dispositions that do not qualify as discontinued operations. ASU 2014-08 is effective prospectively for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2014 (early adoption is permitted only for disposals that have not been previously reported). The implementation of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which provides guidance that revenue should be recognized to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and may be applied either (i) retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented with an election for certain specified practical expedients, or (ii) retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the ASU recognized at the date of initial application, with additional disclosure requirements. Early application is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the implementation of this guidance on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's management has not yet determined the method by which it will adopt the standard in 2018.

Table of Contents

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force), which requires a reporting entity to treat a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period as a performance condition. ASU 2014-12 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier application is permitted. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-16, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share Is More Akin to Debt or to Equity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force), which clarifies how current guidance should be interpreted in evaluating the economic characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument that is issued in the form of a share. The amendments require that an entity should consider all relevant terms and features, including the embedded derivative feature being evaluated for bifurcation, in evaluating the nature of a host contract. ASU 2014-16 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU no. 2015-01, Income Statement – Extraordinary and Unusual Items (Subtopic 225-20): Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items, which removes the concept of extraordinary items from U.S. GAAP. Companies are no longer required to assess whether an event or transaction is both unusual in nature and infrequent in occurrence and to separately present any such items on the statement of operations after income from continuing operations. Such items will either be presented as a separate component of income from continuing operations or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. ASU 2015-01 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs, which requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the debt liability rather than as an asset. ASU 2014-15 is effective on for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, an entity must apply the new guidance retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements, and must provide certain disclosures about the change in accounting principle, including the nature of and reason for the change, the transition method, a description of the prior-period information that has been retrospectively adjusted and the effect of the change on the financial statement line items (that is, debt issuance cost asset and the debt liability). The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-05, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other – Internal-Use Software (Subtopic 350-40): Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement, which clarifies that if a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, the customer should account for the license in a manner consistent with its accounting for other software

Table of Contents

licenses. If the arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract. ASU 2015-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. The implementation of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

8. Goodwill And Intangible Assets*Acquisition in Fiscal 2014*

On July 25, 2014, the Company acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Dynetic Systems, Inc. (Dynetic), pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement for an initial purchase price of \$4,000,000 less a working capital adjustment of \$27,000 (the Dynetic Acquisition). The Asset Purchase Agreement also includes a possible earn-out, initially estimated at \$310,000, which is comprised of annual payments based on sales of Dynetic products and sales to Dynetic customers over the period immediately following the date of the Dynetic Acquisition through December 31, 2017. Dynetic designed, developed and manufactured precision quality, instrument grade motion control products, and provided custom motor and motion control solutions to the aerospace, defense, medical, commercial and industrial markets. SLMTI DS LLC (SLMTI DS), a new formed subsidiary of SL-MTI, holds the assets acquired in the Dynetic Acquisition.

As of March 31, 2015, the total liability for the estimated earn-out was \$288,000. The Dynetic results from the date of acquisition through March 31, 2015 are included in the SL-MTI segment.

Goodwill And Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	Amortizable Life (years)	March 31, 2015			December 31, 2014		
		Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Value	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Value
(in thousands)							
Finite-lived intangible assets:							
Customer relationships	5 to 10	\$ 5,378	\$ 3,892	\$ 1,486	\$ 5,378	\$ 3,858	\$ 1,520
Patents ⁽¹⁾	5 to 20	1,508	1,227	281	1,501	1,223	278
Developed technology	5 to 6	1,980	1,728	252	1,980	1,719	261
Trademarks	2	60	18	42	60	13	47
Non-compete agreements	5	11	1	10	11	1	10
Total amortized finite-lived intangible assets		8,937	6,866	2,071	8,930	6,814	2,116
Indefinite-lived intangible assets:							
Trademarks		1,672		1,672	1,672		1,672
Other intangible assets, net		\$ 10,609	\$ 6,866	\$ 3,743	\$ 10,602	\$ 6,814	\$ 3,788

(1) During 2015, MTE Corporation (MTE) capitalized \$7,000 of legal fees related to a new patent application. The estimated useful life of the asset is 20 years.

Table of Contents

In accordance with ASC 350 Intangibles – Goodwill and Other, goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets are not amortized, but are tested for impairment. Such impairment testing is undertaken annually, or more frequently upon the occurrence of some indication that an impairment has taken place. The Company conducted an annual impairment test as of December 31, 2014.

A two-step process is utilized to determine if goodwill has been impaired. In the first step, the fair value of each reporting unit is compared to the net asset value recorded for such unit. If the fair value exceeds the net asset value, the goodwill of the reporting unit is not adjusted. However, if the recorded net asset value exceeds the fair value, the Company performs a second step to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. In the second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is compared with the goodwill recorded for such unit. If the recorded amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in the amount of the excess.

Going forward there can be no assurance that economic conditions or other events may not have a negative material impact on the long-term business prospects of any of the Company's reporting units. In such case, the Company may need to record an impairment loss, as stated above. The next annual impairment test will be conducted as of December 31, 2015, unless management identifies a triggering event in the interim.

Management has not identified any triggering events, as defined by ASC 350, during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Accordingly, no interim impairment test has been performed.

Estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets subject to amortization in each of the next five fiscal years is as follows:

	Amortization Expense (in thousands)
2015	\$ 282
2016	\$ 266
2017	\$ 223
2018	\$ 218
2019	\$ 217

Total amortization expense, excluding the amortization of deferred financing costs, consists of amortization expense related to intangible assets and software. Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 was \$52,000 and \$91,000 respectively. Amortization expense related to software for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 was \$58,000 and \$49,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the components of goodwill as of March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014:

	March 31, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Impairment Losses	Goodwill, Net	Gross Amount	Accumulated Impairment Losses	Goodwill, Net
SL Power Electronics Corp.	\$ 4,228	\$	\$ 4,228	\$ 4,230	\$	\$ 4,230
High Power Group:						
MTE Corporation	8,189		8,189	8,189		8,189
TEAL Electronics Corp.	5,055	5,055		5,055	5,055	
SL-MTI	653		653	653		653
Goodwill	\$ 18,125	\$ 5,055	\$ 13,070	\$ 18,127	\$ 5,055	\$ 13,072

9. Investments

Investments in publicly traded equity securities (which include equity interests of less than 20%) are classified as available-for-sale securities. These investments are carried at fair value using quoted market prices and are included in other current assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, are included in the determination of comprehensive income and reported in shareholders' equity.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, available-for-sale securities were sold for total proceeds of \$241,000. The gross realized gains on these sales totaled \$106,000 (\$66,000 net of tax). For purpose of determining gross realized gains, the cost of securities sold is based on the first in, first out (FIFO) method. Gross unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were \$205,000 (\$130,000 net of tax), and have been included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company had no available-for-sale securities as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

10. Debt

The Company had no debt as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

On August 9, 2012, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement with PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and lender ("PNC Bank"), and the lenders from time to time party thereto, as amended (the "2012 Credit Facility"). The 2012 Credit Facility was amended on March 11, 2013, June 20, 2013, September 15, 2014, and March 25, 2015.

The 2012 Credit Facility provides for borrowings up to \$40,000,000 and under certain conditions maximum borrowings up to \$70,000,000. The 2012 Credit Facility includes a sublimit for letters of credit and provides for a separate \$10,700,000 letter of credit which expires one year from the date of closing, with annual extensions. The sublimit for letters of credit equals the lesser of (i) an amount equal to \$5,000,000 plus the aggregate amount of Designated Usage LC issued and outstanding under the Designated Usage LC sublimit or (ii) \$25,000,000. The 2012 Credit Facility expires on August 9, 2016.

Table of Contents

Borrowings under the 2012 Credit Facility bear interest, at the Company's option, at the London interbank offering rate (LIBOR) plus a margin rate ranging from 1.25% to 2.0%, or the higher of a Base Rate plus a margin rate ranging from 0.25% to 1.0%. The Base Rate is equal to the highest of (i) the Federal Funds Open Rate plus 0.5% and (ii) the Prime Rate and (iii) the Daily Libor Rate plus 1%. The margin rates are based on certain leverage ratios, as defined. The Company is subject to compliance with certain financial covenants set forth in the 2012 Credit Facility, including, but not limited to, indebtedness to EBITDA, as defined, minimum levels of fixed charges and limitations on capital expenditures, as defined. Availability under the 2012 Credit Facility is based upon the Company's trailing twelve month EBITDA, as defined.

The Company's obligations under the 2012 Credit Facility are secured by the grant of security interests in substantially all of its assets.

On May 28, 2013 a letter of credit in the amount of \$8,564,000 was issued in favor of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to provide financial assurance related to the Company's annual environmental payments in accordance with the terms of the Consent Decree reached with the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) and EPA related to its liability for both OU-1 and OU-2 (see Note 13 for additional information). The letter of credit requires an annual commitment fee of 0.125% and standby commission of 1%, and does not reduce amounts available under the 2012 Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2015, the total liability under the letter of credit equaled \$6,423,000. The letter of credit expires on May 28, 2015, and is renewed annually.

On March 25, 2015, the Company entered into a Fourth Amendment (the Fourth Amendment) to the 2012 Credit Facility. The Fourth Amendment amends the Credit Agreement in order to, among other things: (a) allow for permitted recapitalization distributions, and (b) provide greater flexibility with certain bank covenants, including with regard to EBITDA (as defined) and fixed charges.

The Company had no outstanding balance under the 2012 Credit Facility as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. At March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, the Company had total availability under the 2012 Credit Facility of \$39,544,000 and \$39,527,000, respectively.

Table of Contents**11. Accrued Liabilities Other**

Accrued liabilities other consist of the following:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Environmental	\$ 9,304	\$ 9,475
Warranty	1,183	1,176
Taxes (other than income) and insurance	718	879
Commissions	677	551
Foreign currency forward contracts	542	673
Other professional fees	421	496
Accrued customer incentive plans	411	414
Deferred compensation current	265	265
Litigation and legal fees	115	91
Acquisition earn-out, current	72	32
Deferred revenue	53	44
Other	2,302	2,370
Accrued liabilities other	\$ 16,063	\$ 16,466

Included in the environmental accrual are estimates for all known costs believed to be probable and reasonably estimable for sites that the Company currently operates or operated at one time (see Note 13 for additional information).

A liability is established for estimated future warranty and service claims that relate to current and prior period sales. The Company estimates warranty costs based on historical claim experience and other factors including evaluating specific product warranty issues.

The following is a summary of activity in accrued warranty and service liabilities:

	March 31, 2015
	(in thousands)
Liability, beginning of year	\$ 1,176
Expense for new warranties issued	87
Warranty claims paid	(80)
Liability, end of period	\$ 1,183

Table of Contents**12. Other Long-Term Liabilities**

Other long-term liabilities consist of the following:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Environmental	\$ 7,195	\$ 7,384
Unrecognized tax benefits, interest and penalties	558	549
Long-term incentive plan	431	558
Acquisition earn-out, long-term	216	288
Other long-term liabilities	\$ 8,400	\$ 8,779

13. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in certain legal and regulatory actions. Management believes that the ultimate resolution of such matters is unlikely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations, except as described below.

Letters Of Credit: As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company was contingently liable for \$456,000 and \$473,000, respectively, under an outstanding letter of credit issued for casualty insurance requirements.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company was contingently liable for \$6,423,000, respectively, under an outstanding letter of credit issued to provide financial assurance related to the Company's environmental payments in accordance with the terms of the Consent Decree reached with the DOJ and EPA related to its liability for both OU-1 and OU-2.

Litigation: The Company has been and is the subject of administrative actions that arise from its ownership of SL Surface Technologies, Inc. (SurfTech), a wholly-owned subsidiary, the assets of which were sold in November 2003. SurfTech formerly operated chrome-plating facilities in Pennsauken Township, New Jersey (the Pennsauken Site) and Camden, New Jersey (the Camden Site).

In 2006 the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) named the Company as a potential responsible party (a PRP) in connection with the remediation of the Puchack Well Field, which has been designated as a Superfund Site. The EPA is remediating the Puchack Well Field Superfund Site in two separate operable units. The first operable unit (OU-1) consists of an area of chromium groundwater contamination in three aquifers that exceeds the selected cleanup standard. The second operable unit (OU-2) pertains to sites that are allegedly the sources of contamination for the first operable unit.

Table of Contents

The Company has reached an agreement with both the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) and EPA effective April 30, 2013 related to its liability for both OU-1 and OU-2 pursuant to the terms of a Consent Decree which governs the agreement. Specifically, the Company has agreed to perform the remediation for OU-2 and pay a fixed sum for the EPA s past cost for OU-2 and a portion of the EPA s past cost for OU-1. The payments are to be made in five equal payments of \$2,141,000, for a total \$10,705,000, plus interest. The Company has also agreed to pay the EPA s costs for oversight of the OU-2 remediation. The United States District Court judge signed the Consent Decree effective April 30, 2013, thereby triggering the Company s obligation under the Consent Decree. The Company has made two payments totaling \$4,396,000, which includes interest, related to its obligation under the Consent Decree. The third payment is scheduled to be made on June 1, 2015. The fourth and fifth payments will be made on the anniversary of the prior year s payment plus ten days in the same amount of \$2,141,000, plus interest. In 2013, the Company had obtained financial assurances for the OU-2 remediation and the fixed payments as required by the terms of the Consent Decree. The financial assurance is reduced annually as the fixed payments are made. Also, the financial instruments did not affect the Company s availability under its Credit facility (see Note 10 Debt).

The Company has completed the final stages of the design phase of the remediation activities for OU-2. The 100% Remediation Design (the Final Design) was approved by the EPA in January 2015. The Final Design essentially sets the scope of work for the Company s remediation responsibility related to OU-2 under the terms of the Consent Decree. The Company s consultants performed a significant amount of work at the site, which included demolition of the Company s former facility and a building on an adjacent property, shoring, equipment mobilization and have been excavating and treating the impacted soils as required. The Company s consultants have been providing the EPA with progress reports on a monthly basis. The Company expects to incur significant remediation costs in 2015, which have been accrued.

Other

On March 10, 2015, Compass Directional Guidance, Inc. (Compass) filed a complaint (the Complaint) against SL-MTI in the District Court in Harris County, Texas. The Complaint seeks damages in excess of \$18 million arising from the SL-MTI s sale of certain brushless motors to Compass. Compass asserts that SL-MTI breached express and implied warranties, violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and negligently misrepresented the quality, specification and uses of its motors to Compass. SL-MTI intends to vigorously defend the claims asserted in the Complaint which it believes are limited by the contractual terms between the parties as well as the applicable statute of limitations, and are substantially without merit.

In the ordinary course of its business the Company is and may be subject to other loss contingencies pursuant to foreign and domestic federal, state and local governmental laws and regulations and may be party to certain legal actions, frequently involving complaints by terminated employees and disputes with customers, suppliers and others. In the opinion of management, any such other loss contingencies are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

Environmental Matters: Loss contingencies include potential obligations to investigate and eliminate or mitigate the effects on the environment of the disposal or release of certain chemical substances at various sites, such as Superfund sites and other facilities, whether or not they are currently in operation. The Company is currently participating in environmental assessments and cleanups at a

Table of Contents

number of sites and in the future may be involved in additional environmental assessments and cleanups. Based upon investigations completed to date by the Company and its independent engineering-consulting firms, management has provided an estimated accrual for all known costs believed to be probable and costs that can be reasonably estimated in the amount of \$16,499,000, of which \$7,195,000 is included as other long-term liabilities, with the remainder recorded as other short-term accrued liabilities, as of March 31, 2015. However, it is the nature of environmental contingencies that other circumstances might arise, the costs of which are indeterminable at this time due to such factors as changing government regulations and stricter standards, the unknown magnitude of cleanup costs, and the unknown timing and extent of the remedial actions that may be required. These other circumstances could result in additional expenses or judgments, or offsets thereto. The adverse resolution of any one or more of these other circumstances could have a material adverse effect on the business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows of the Company. The Company's environmental costs primarily relate to discontinued operations and such costs have been recorded in discontinued operations, net of tax.

There are three sites on which the Company may incur material environmental costs in the future as a result of past activities of its former subsidiary, SurfTech. There are two Company owned sites related to its former subsidiary, SurfTech. These sites are located in Pennsauken, New Jersey (the Pennsauken Site) and in Camden, New Jersey (the Camden Site). There is also a third site, which is not owned by the Company, referred to as the Puchack Well Field Site. The Puchack Well Field Site and the Pennsauken Site are part of the Puchack Well Field Superfund Site.

With respect to the Camden Site, the Company has reported soil contamination and a groundwater contamination plume emanating from the site. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) approved, and the Company implemented in 2010, an interim remedial action pilot study to inject neutralizing chemicals into the unsaturated soil. Based on an assessment of post-injection data, our consultants believe the pilot study can be implemented as a full scale soil remedy to treat unsaturated contaminated soil. A Remedial Action Workplan (RAWP) for soils is being developed. The RAWP will select the injection remedy as the site wide remedy for unsaturated soils, along with demolition and proper disposal of the former concrete building slab and targeted excavation and disposal of impacted soil immediately underlying the slab. Additionally, the RAWP will address a small area of impacted soil off the property. The RAWP for soils is expected to be submitted to the NJDEP in the second quarter of 2015, by the Licensed Site Remediation Professional (LSRP) for the site. The RAWP for treatment of unsaturated soils is scheduled to be implemented in the third quarter of 2015. The Company's environmental consultants also implemented an interim remedial action pilot study to treat on-site contaminated groundwater, which consisted of injecting food-grade product, into the groundwater at the down gradient property boundary, to create a bio-barrier. Post-injection groundwater monitoring to assess the bio-barrier's effectiveness was completed. Consistent decreases in target contaminants concentrations in groundwater were observed. In December 2014, a report was submitted to the NJDEP stating sufficient information was obtained from the pilot study to complete the full scale groundwater remedy design. A Remedial Action Report/Remedial Action Workplan for full scale implementation will be provided to the NJDEP in 2015.

Table of Contents

As previously reported, the Company is currently participating in environmental assessments and cleanups at a number of sites. One of these sites is a commercial facility, located in Wayne, New Jersey. Contaminated soil and groundwater has undergone remediation with NJDEP and LSRP oversight, but contaminants of concern (COCs) in groundwater and surface water, which extend off-site, still remain above applicable NJDEP remediation standards. A soil remedial action plan has been developed to remove the new soil source contamination that continues to impact groundwater. Our LSRP completed a supplemental groundwater remedial action, pursuant to a RAWP filed with, and permit approved by, the NJDEP. The remedial action consisted of additional in-situ injections of food grade product into on-site groundwater and post-performance groundwater monitoring. The in-situ injections are completed, and remedial action performance monitoring for groundwater is scheduled to occur through 2015. Enhancements to the existing vapor intrusion system were completed in the fourth quarter 2014. No site constituents of concern were detected at concentrations exceeding applicable NJDEP indoor air screening levels. A report was filed with the NJDEP on March 23, 2015. The Company s consultants have developed cost estimates for supplemental remedial injections, soil excavation and additional tests and remedial activities. Costs related to this site are recorded as part of discontinued operations, net of tax. The Remedial Investigation deadline for this site has been extended to May 7, 2016.

The Company s sale of RFL triggered certain requirements of the Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA), which applies to New Jersey statutorily, defined transactions involving industrial establishments. Under the stock purchase agreement pursuant to which RFL was sold (the RFL-SPA), the Company agreed to undertake, or cause to undertake, all actions necessary to comply with ISRA arising from the RFL-SPA. The Company hired an LSRP to complete a Preliminary Assessment. Based on the Preliminary Assessment, the LSRP recommended the completion of a site investigation (the Site Investigation) for certain areas of concern. The LSRP completed most of the Site Investigation in January 2015, and the remainder of the investigation is scheduled to be completed by May 2015. A Preliminary Assessment Report and Site Investigation Report are scheduled to be filed with the NJDEP by no later than November 17, 2015. The Company may then be obligated to perform additional investigation or remediation, depending on the outcome of the Site Investigation.

The Company has reported soil and groundwater contamination at the facility of SL-MTI located on its property in Montevideo, Minnesota. An analysis of the contamination has been completed and a remediation plan has been implemented at the site pursuant to the remedial action plan approved by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). A soil vapor extraction system has been operating at the site since October 2008. In 2013 the regulatory and screening levels for soil vapor and groundwater were lowered for some of the contaminants at the site. In response to this regulatory change, SL-MTI s consultants are conducting additional testing to delineate site impacts and update the site conceptual model. A work plan was submitted to MPCA and approved on September 22, 2014. Pending the results of work performed during 2015, additional investigations or remedial actions may be required in the future. Costs related to this site are recorded as a component of continuing operations.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, environmental accruals of \$16,499,000 and \$16,859,000, respectively, have been recorded by the Company in accrued liabilities other and in other long-term liabilities, as appropriate (see Notes 11 and 12 for additional information).

Table of Contents**14. Segment Information**

The Company has historically operated under four business segments: SLPE, the High Power Group, SL-MTI and RFL. On November 17, 2014, the Company completed the sale of all the issued and outstanding capital stock of RFL and classified the results of operations of its RFL segment as discontinued operations. As a result, the Company currently operates under three business segments from continuing operations: SLPE, the High Power Group, and SL-MTI. TEAL Electronics Corp. (TEAL) and MTE Corporation (MTE) are combined into one business segment, which is reported as the High Power Group. The Company aggregates operating business subsidiaries into a single segment for financial reporting purposes if aggregation is consistent with the objectives of ASC 280 Segment Reporting. Business units are also combined if they have similar characteristics in each of the following areas:

nature of products and services

nature of production process

type or class of customer

methods of distribution

SLPE designs, manufactures and markets high-reliability power conversion products in internal and external footprints. The Company's power supplies provide a reliable and safe power source for the customer's specific equipment needs. SLPE, which sells products under three brand names (SL Power Electronics, Condor and Ault), is a major supplier to the original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) of medical, industrial/instrumentation, military and information technology equipment. The High Power Group sells products under two brand names (TEAL and MTE). TEAL designs and manufactures custom power conditioning and distribution units for OEMs of medical imaging, medical treatment, military aerospace, semiconductor, solar and advanced simulation systems. MTE designs and manufactures power quality products used to protect equipment from power surges, bring harmonics into compliance and improve the efficiency of variable speed motor drive systems. SL-MTI designs and manufactures high power density precision motors that are used in numerous applications, including military and commercial aerospace, oil and gas, and medical and industrial products. The Unallocated Corporate Expenses segment includes corporate related items, financing activities and other costs not allocated to reportable segments, which includes but is not limited to certain treasury, risk management, legal, litigation and public reporting charges and certain legacy costs. The accounting policies for the business units are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. For additional information, see Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Business segment operations are conducted through domestic subsidiaries. For all periods presented, sales between business segments were not material. Each of the segments has certain major customers, the loss of any of which would have a material adverse effect on such segment.

Table of Contents

The unaudited comparative results for the three month periods ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
Net sales		
SLPE	\$ 16,148	\$ 17,584
High Power Group	18,993	20,310
SL-MTI	11,543	10,852
Net sales	\$ 46,684	\$ 48,746

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
Income from operations		
SLPE	\$ 1,701	\$ 939
High Power Group	2,450	2,917
SL-MTI	1,854	2,095
Unallocated Corporate Expenses ⁽¹⁾	(1,968)	(1,503)
Income from operations	\$ 4,037	\$ 4,448

(1) Unallocated Corporate Expenses includes corporate related items, financing activities and other costs not allocated to reportable segments, which includes but is not limited to certain legal, litigation and public reporting charges and certain legacy costs.

Total assets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Total assets		
SLPE	\$ 33,108	\$ 34,989
High Power Group	36,786	33,306
SL-MTI	23,842	22,752
Unallocated Corporate Assets	31,806	40,729
Total assets	\$ 125,542	\$ 131,776

Goodwill and other intangible assets, net, as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net		
SLPE	\$ 4,528	\$ 4,530
High Power Group	9,842	9,839
SL-MTI	2,443	2,491
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	\$ 16,813	\$ 16,860

Table of Contents**15. Retirement Plans and Deferred Compensation**

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, the Company maintained a defined contribution pension plan covering all full-time, U.S. employees of SLPE, the High Power Group, including TEAL and MTE, SL-MTI, and the corporate office. The Company's contributions to this plan are based on a percentage of employee contributions and/or plan year gross wages, as defined. Costs incurred under these plans amounted to \$139,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$193,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in 2015 was primarily due to the utilization of forfeitures within the fund to offset the Company's cost.

The Company has agreements with certain retired directors, officers and key employees providing for supplemental retirement benefits. The liability for supplemental retirement benefits is based on the most recent mortality tables available and discount rates ranging from 8% to 12%. The amount charged to expense in connection with these agreements amounted to \$113,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$64,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in expense in 2015 was due to a reversal of an accrual in 2014 due to the death of a pensioner.

16. Discontinued Operations

The results of total loss from discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (in thousands)	
(Loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes:		
Divested operations RFL	\$	\$ (66)
Environmental costs	(266)	(226)
 Total loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	 \$ (266)	 \$ (292)
 (Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax:		
Divested operations RFL	\$	\$ (43)
Environmental costs	(162)	(138)
 Total loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	 \$ (162)	 \$ (181)

The loss from discontinued operations due to environmental costs in 2015 and 2014 is related to remediation costs, consulting fees, and legal expenses associated with the past operations of the Company's five environmental sites (See Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies for further information concerning the environmental sites).

Table of Contents

On November 17, 2014, SL Delaware Holdings, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into the Purchase Agreement with Hubbell pursuant to which SL Delaware Holdings sold all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of RFL to Hubbell for aggregate cash consideration of \$20,000,000, subject to a post-closing working capital adjustment which amounted to \$299,000 and was received in February 2015. A portion of the cash consideration (\$2,000,000, subject to adjustment after nine months), is being held in escrow to secure the indemnification obligations of SL Delaware Holdings. As a result, the Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$6,650,000 (\$4,322,000 net of tax) as of December 31, 2014.

The Company concluded that the accounting requirements for reporting the results of operations and cash flows of the divested business as discontinued operations were met at November 17, 2014. As a result, the consolidated statements of income for 2014 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for 2014 have been recast to reflect the formerly owned RFL businesses as discontinued operations.

The results of the discontinued operations for RFL for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 (in thousands)
Net sales	\$ 3,839
Costs and expenses:	
Cost of products sold	1,963
Engineering and product development	435
Selling, general and administrative	1,370
Depreciation and amortization	110
Total cost and expenses	3,878
(Loss) from operations	(39)
Other income (expense):	
Interest expense	(27)
(Loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes	(66)
Income tax provision	(23)
(Loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ (43)

In the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2014, environmental costs and the financial results of the RFL segment were included in net cash (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations, net cash (used in) investing activities from discontinued operations, and net cash (used in) financing activities from discontinued operations.

17. Fair Value Measurement and Financial Instruments

ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 applies to reported balances that are required or permitted to be measured at fair value

under existing accounting pronouncements; accordingly, the standard does not require any new fair value measurements of reported balances.

Table of Contents

ASC 820 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which is typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Currently, the Company uses foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its foreign currency risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including spot rates and market forward points. The fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts is based on interest differentials between the currencies being traded, spot rates and market forward points.

To comply with the provisions of ASC 820, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees, where applicable.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of March 31, 2015, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with its implementation of updates to the fair value measurements guidance, the Company made an accounting policy election to measure derivative financial instruments subject to master netting agreements on a net basis.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Significant Other Liabilities (Level 1) Observable Inputs (Level 2) Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)			Balance at March 31, 2015
	(in thousands)			
Liabilities				
Derivative financial instruments	\$	\$ 542	\$	\$ 542
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1) Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)			Balance at December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)			
Liabilities				
Derivative financial instruments	\$	\$ 673	\$	\$ 673

The Company believes that the fair values of its current assets and current liabilities (cash and cash equivalents, receivables, net, short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities) and the fair value of its long-term debt, less current maturities, approximate their reported carrying amounts.

The Company does not have any fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Credit Risk Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults or is capable of being declared in default on any of its indebtedness, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations.

18. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

ASC Topic 815, as amended and interpreted, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. As required by ASC Topic 815, the Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows related to forecasted foreign exchange-based risk are considered economic hedges of the Company's forecasted cash flows.

Table of Contents*Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives*

The Company is a U.S. dollars (USD) functional currency entity that manufactures products in the USA, Mexico and China. The Company's sales are priced in USD and its costs and expenses are priced in USD, Mexican pesos (MXN) and Chinese Yuan (CNH). As a result, the Company has exposure to changes in exchange rates between the time when expenses in the non-functional currencies are initially incurred and the time when the expenses are ultimately paid. The Company's objective in using derivatives is to add stability and to manage its exposure to foreign exchange risks. To accomplish this objective, the Company uses foreign currency forward contracts to manage its exposure to fluctuations in the exchange rates. Foreign currency forward contracts involve fixing the USD-MXN and USD-CNH exchange rates for delivery of a specified amount of foreign currency on a specified date.

During 2014 and 2015, the Company entered into a series of foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its exposure to foreign exchange rate movements in its forecasted expenses in China and Mexico. The foreign currency forwards are not speculative and are being used to manage the Company's exposure to foreign exchange rate movements. Foreign currency forward contracts involve fixing the USD-MXN and USD-CNH exchange rates for delivery of a specified amount of foreign currency on a specified date. The Company has elected not to apply hedge accounting to these derivatives and they are marked to market through earnings. Therefore, gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized at the end of each reporting period directly in earnings. The gains and losses associated with the foreign currency forward contracts are included in other gain (loss), net on the Consolidated Statements of Income. As of March 31, 2015, the fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts was recorded as a \$542,000 liability in other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts was recorded as a \$673,000 liability in other current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Non-designated Hedges of Foreign Exchange Risk

The notional amounts are used to measure the volume of foreign currency forward contracts and do not represent exposure to foreign currency losses. The following table summarizes the notional values of the Company's derivative financial instruments as of March 31, 2015.

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional (in thousands)
Mexican Peso (MXN) Forward Contracts	16	MXN 91,344
Chinese Yuan (CNH) Forward Contracts	18	CNH 85,167

Table of Contents

The following table details the location in the financial statements of the gain or loss recognized on foreign currency forward contracts that are marked to market for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative		
	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2015	March 31, 2014	
Foreign Exchange Contracts	Other gain (loss), net	\$ 131	\$ (363)

(in thousands)

19. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

For the quarters ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014, net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations was \$579,000 and \$264,000, respectively. In 2015, net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations was primarily related to environmental payments. In 2014, net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations was primarily related to environmental payments previously mentioned, which was partially offset by an add back of depreciation and amortization expense associated with the formerly owned RFL segment (see Note 16 for additional information).

For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, net cash used in investing activities from discontinued operations was \$65,000. In 2014, net cash used in investing activities from discontinued operations was primarily related to purchases of property, plant and equipment by the Company's formerly owned RFL segment.

20. Shareholders' Equity

On March 27, 2015, the Company announced a modified Dutch Auction Tender Offer to purchase up to \$20 million of its common shares (the Tender Offer). The Tender Offer expired at the end of the day on April 23, 2015. Under the terms of the Tender Offer, the Company's shareholders had the option of tendering all or a portion of the Company's common stock that they owned (1) at a price of not less than \$39.00 and not greater than \$42.00, in increments of \$0.25 per share, or (2) without specifying a purchase price, in which case the common stock that they owned would have been purchased at the purchase price determined in accordance with the Tender Offer. All common stock purchased by the Company in the Tender Offer were purchased at the same price.

The Company accepted for purchase approximately 160,000 shares of its common stock at a purchase price of \$42.00 per share. These shares represented approximately 3.9% of the total common stock outstanding as of April 24, 2015 prior to the purchase of shares pursuant to the Tender Offer. Upon completion of the Tender Offer, the Company had approximately 3,934,000 shares of common stock outstanding at that time. The aggregate purchase price paid by the Company in connection with the Tender Offer was \$6,734,000 excluding transaction costs. On April 27, 2015, the Company paid for the Tender Offer with available cash on hand.

On December 24, 2014, the Board of Directors authorized a plan that allows for the repurchase up to an aggregate of 420,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock (the 2014 Repurchase Plan). Any repurchases pursuant to the 2014 Repurchase Plan would be made in the open market or in negotiated transactions. During the first three months of 2015, the Company purchased

Table of Contents

approximately 89,000 shares of Company stock at an average price of \$39.37 a share. As a result, as of March 31, 2015, approximately 331,000 shares remained available for purchase under the 2014 Repurchase Plan. Currently, the 2014 Repurchase Plan has no expiration date, however, repurchases under the 2014 Repurchase Plan were suspended during the Tender Offer.

21. Related Party Transactions

On May 1, 2014, the Company renewed the Management Services Agreement (Management Services Agreement) with SP Corporate Services LLC (SP Corporate). SP Corporate is an affiliate of SPH Group Holdings LLC (SPHG). A member of the Company s Board of Directors, Warren G. Lichtenstein, is affiliated with SPHG. Also, the Company s Chairman of the Board of Directors, Glen M. Kassan is affiliated with SPHG. Pursuant to the Management Services Agreement, SP Corporate agreed to provide, at the direction of the Company s Chief Executive Officer, non-exclusive services to support the Company s growth strategy, business development, planning, execution assistance and related support services. The monthly fee for these services is \$10,400 paid in advance. The Management Services Agreement has a term of one year and has been approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and a majority of the disinterested directors of the Company.

On March 25, 2015, the Company and SP Corporate entered into an amendment to the Management Services Agreement (the Amendment) in order to, among other things, extend the term of the Management Services Agreement until May 1, 2016, and to provide, at the direction of the Company s CEO, non-exclusive services to support the Company s talent and organizational development, including transformative change management, talent recruitment, talent development (both domestic and international), organizational review services, and other related support services. The services provided under the Amendment are in addition to the services provided under the Management Services Agreement prior to such amendment. The Amendment is effective May 1, 2015. Upon effectiveness of the Amendment, the monthly fee for services under the Management Services Agreement was set at \$27,400 paid in advance.

22. Restructuring Costs*2014 Restructuring Plan*

During the first quarter of 2014, the Company announced to its employees a restructuring plan (2014 Restructuring Plan) to align its costs with current and projected sales activity. The costs reductions were primarily production, engineering, selling and administration employees at TEAL, which is part of the High Power Group. As of March 31, 2014, there was a consolidated charge to earnings of \$463,000, which was composed of severance and other employee related charges. The total number of employees affected by the restructuring plan was 11, all of which had been terminated as of March 31, 2014. No restructuring activity was recognized during 2015.

23. Subsequent Event

On May 5, 2015, the Company entered into a Fifth Amendment (the Fifth Amendment) to the 2012 Credit Facility. The Fifth Amendment amends the Credit Agreement in order to, among other things: (a) provide greater flexibility for acquisitions outside of the U.S., and (b) permit one of the Company s subsidiaries to participate in a quick pay discount program with a major customer.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following section highlights significant factors impacting the consolidated operations and financial condition of the Company and its subsidiaries. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to other information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on current expectations and the current economic environment. These statements are not guarantees of future performance. They involve a number of risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict, including, but not limited to, the Company's ability to implement its business plan, retain key management, anticipate industry and competitive conditions, realize operating efficiencies, secure necessary capital facilities and obtain favorable determinations in various legal and regulatory matters. Actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Some important assumptions and other critical factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements are specified in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, and Current Reports on Form 8-K.

Overview

SL Industries, Inc., through its subsidiaries, designs, manufactures and markets power electronics, motion control, power protection, and power quality electromagnetic equipment that is used in a variety of medical, commercial and military aerospace, computer, datacom, industrial, and telecom applications. Its products are generally incorporated into larger systems to improve operating performance, safety, reliability and efficiency. The Company's products are largely sold to Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs), and, to a lesser extent, to commercial distributors. The Company is comprised of three domestic business segments, all of which have significant manufacturing operations in Mexico. SL Power Electronics Corp. (SLPE) has manufacturing, engineering and sales capability in China. Most of the Company's sales are made to customers who are based in the United States. The Company places an emphasis on highly engineered, well-built, high quality, dependable products and is dedicated to continued product enhancement and innovation.

The Company's business strategy has been to enhance the growth and profitability of each of its businesses through the penetration of attractive new market niches, further improvement of operations through the implementation of lean manufacturing principles, expansion of lean principles into the transactional side of the business, and expansion of global capabilities. The Company intends to focus on improving efficiencies that better leverage the Company's resources. Lean initiatives, both on the factory floor and throughout the organization, are ongoing. The Company expects to pursue its goals during the next twelve months principally through organic growth. The Company also continues to pursue strategic alternatives to maximize shareholder value. Some of these alternatives have included, and could continue to include, selective acquisitions, divestitures and the sale of certain assets. The Company has provided, and may from time to time in the future provide, information to interested parties.

Table of Contents

In the sections that follow, statements with respect to the quarter ended 2015 or three months ended 2015 refer to the three month period ended March 31, 2015. Statements with respect to the quarter ended 2014 or three months ended 2014 refer to the three month period ended March 31, 2014. Also, statements with respect to operating costs refer to engineering and product development costs, selling, general and administrative costs and depreciation and amortization (operating costs).

Significant Transactions and Financial Trends

On March 27, 2015, the Company announced the modified Dutch Auction Tender Offer to purchase up to \$20 million of its common shares. The Company accepted for purchase approximately 160,000 shares of its common stock at a purchase price of \$42.00 per share. These shares represented approximately 3.9% of the total common stock outstanding as of April 24, 2015, prior to the purchase of shares pursuant to the Tender Offer. Upon completion of the Tender Offer, the Company had approximately 3,934,000 shares of common stock outstanding at that time. The aggregate purchase price paid by the Company in connection with the Tender Offer was \$6,734,000 excluding transaction costs. On April 27, 2015, the Company paid for the Tender Offer with available cash on hand.

On December 24, 2014, the Board of Directors authorized a plan that allows for the repurchase up to an aggregate of 420,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock (the 2014 Repurchase Plan). Any repurchases pursuant to the 2014 Repurchase Plan would be made in the open market or in negotiated transactions. During the first three months of 2015, the Company purchased approximately 89,000 shares of Company stock at an average price of \$39.37 a share. As a result, as of March 31, 2015, approximately 331,000 shares remained available for purchase under the 2014 Repurchase Plan. Currently, the 2014 Repurchase Plan has no expiration date, however, repurchases under the 2014 Repurchase Plan were suspended during the Tender Offer.

Business Trends

Demand for the Company's products and services decreased during 2015 compared to 2014. Sales for the three months ended March 31, 2015, decreased by \$2,062,000 or 4%, and income from operations decreased by \$411,000, or 9%.

Sales decreased during the three months ended March 31, 2015 due to a decrease at SLPE and the High Power Group, which was partially offset by an increase at Montevideo Technology, Inc. (SL-MTI). The sales decrease at SLPE was primarily attributable to SLPE's current strategy to exit certain low margin business while developing new products at higher margins. The sales decrease at the High Power Group was primarily due to a large project-based domestic order in 2014 for harmonic filters from a customer in the electronic equipment industry without a comparable large order in 2015. The sales increase at SL-MTI included \$936,000 of sales related to the Dynetic Acquisition, which was completed on July 25, 2014.

Income from operations decreased during the three months ended March 31, 2015 due to decreases at the High Power Group and SL-MTI, which were partially offset by an increase at SLPE. In addition, Unallocated Corporate Expenses increased in 2015 compared to 2014.

Table of Contents

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company's backlog increased to \$75,468,000 from \$69,217,000 for the same period in the prior year, for a change of 9% on a comparative basis. The increase in backlog in 2015 was attributable to SL-MTI and SLPE, who recorded 20% and 5% increases, respectively. The increase in backlog was partially offset by a decrease at the High Power Group of 8%. The Company's net new orders for the three months ended March 31, 2015 decreased by 3%, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The Company's management is taking numerous actions to improve sales through the deployment of growth tools aimed at identifying attractive market segments and penetrating those markets through aggressive new product introduction. The Company is also identifying and penetrating selected geographic opportunities. The Company is continuing to emphasize lean initiatives at all of its facilities in manufacturing as well as in finance and administration.

While these items are important in understanding and evaluating financial results and trends, other transactions or events, which are disclosed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis, may have a material impact on continuing operations. A complete understanding of these transactions is necessary in order to estimate the likelihood that these trends will continue.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States (GAAP). GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of reported and contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the amounts of reported net sales and expenses during the reporting period.

The SEC has issued disclosure guidance for critical accounting policies. The SEC defines critical accounting policies as those that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results, and that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods.

The Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. Not all of these significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. However, the following policies are deemed to be critical within the SEC definition. The Company's senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and estimates and the related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the purchase price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue is recorded in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104. The major portion of the Company's revenue is derived from equipment sales. The Company recognizes equipment revenue upon shipment or delivery, depending upon the terms of the order, and transfer of title. Generally, the revenue recognition criteria are met at the time the product is shipped. The Company does not currently have any multiple-element arrangements.

Provisions are established for product warranties, principally based on historical experience. At times the Company establishes reserves for specific warranty issues known by management. Customer service and installation revenue is recognized when completed.

SLPE has two sales programs with distributors, pursuant to which credits are issued to distributors: (1) a re-stocking program and (2) a competitive discount program. The distributor re-stocking program allows distributors to rotate up to a pre-determined percentage of their purchases over the previous six month period. SLPE provides for this allowance as a decrease to revenue based upon the amount of sales to each distributor and other historical factors. The competitive discount program allows a distributor to sell a product out of its inventory at a negotiated price in order to meet certain competitive situations. SLPE records this discount as a reduction to revenue based on the distributor's eligible inventory. The eligible distributor inventory is reviewed at least quarterly. No cash is paid under either distributor program. These programs affected consolidated gross revenue for each of the three month periods ended 2015 and 2014 by approximately 0.4%, respectively.

Certain judgments affect the application of the Company's revenue policy, as mentioned above. Revenue recognition is significant because net revenue is a key component of results of operations. In addition, revenue recognition determines the timing of certain expenses, such as commissions, royalties and certain incentive programs. Revenue results are difficult to predict. Any shortfall in revenue or delay in recognizing revenue could cause operating results to vary significantly from year to year and quarter to quarter.

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

The Company's estimate for the allowance for doubtful accounts related to trade receivables is based on two methods. The amounts calculated from each of these methods are combined to determine the total amount reserved. First, the Company evaluates specific accounts where it has information that the customer may have an inability to meet its financial obligations (e.g., bankruptcy or insolvency). In these cases, the Company uses its judgment, based on the best available facts and circumstances, and records a specific reserve for that customer against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount that is expected to be collected. These specific reserves are reevaluated and adjusted as additional information is received that impacts the amount reserved. Second, a general reserve is established for all customers based on several factors, including historical write-offs as a percentage of sales. If circumstances change (e.g., higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer's ability to meet its financial obligation), the Company's estimates of the recoverability of amounts due could be reduced by a material amount. Receivables are charged off against the reserve when they are deemed uncollectible. The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts equaled 0.9% and 0.8% of gross trade receivables as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Inventories

The Company values inventory at the lower of cost or market, and continually reviews the book value of discontinued product lines to determine if these items are properly valued. The Company identifies these items and assesses the ability to dispose of them at a price greater than cost. If it is determined that cost is less than market value, then cost is used for inventory valuation. If market value is less than cost, then related inventory is adjusted to market value.

If a write down to the current market value is necessary, the market value cannot be greater than the net realizable value, which is defined as selling price less costs to complete and dispose, and cannot be lower than the net realizable value less a normal profit margin. The Company also continually evaluates the composition of its inventory and identifies obsolete, slow-moving and excess inventories. Inventory items identified as obsolete, slow-moving or excess are evaluated to determine if reserves are required. If the Company were not able to achieve its expectations of the net realizable value of the inventory at current market value, it would have to adjust its reserves accordingly. The Company attempts to accurately estimate future product demand to properly adjust inventory levels. However, significant unanticipated changes in demand could have a significant impact on the value of inventory and of operating results.

Investments

The Company determines the appropriate classification of its investments in equity securities at the time of purchase and reevaluates such determinations at each balance sheet date. Marketable securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term are classified as trading securities and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recognized in earnings. Marketable equity securities not classified as trading are classified as available for sale, and are carried at fair market value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, included in the determination of comprehensive income and reported in shareholders' equity. The fair value of all securities held by the Company is determined by quoted market prices.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

FASB ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging (ASC 815), provides the disclosure requirements for derivatives and hedging activities with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how the entity accounts for derivative instruments and related hedged items, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Further, qualitative disclosures are required that explain the Company's objectives and strategies for using derivatives, as well as quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

Table of Contents

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. Certain of the Company's foreign operations expose the Company to fluctuations of foreign interest rates and exchange rates. These fluctuations may impact the value of the Company's revenues, expenses, cash receipts and payments in terms of the Company's functional currency. The Company enters into derivative financial instruments to protect the value or fix the amount of certain cash flows in terms of the functional currency of the business unit with that exposure.

As required by ASC 815, the Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. The Company enters into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risk, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting. Currently, the Company does not apply hedge accounting to any of its foreign currency derivatives.

Accounting For Income Taxes

Significant management judgment is required in determining the provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against deferred tax assets. Net deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were \$12,087,000 and \$11,601,000, respectively, net of valuation allowances of \$3,082,000 and \$1,720,000 as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The 2015 and 2014 valuation allowances were primarily related to discontinued operations. The carrying value of the Company's net deferred tax assets assumes that the Company will be able to generate sufficient future taxable income in certain tax jurisdictions. Valuation allowances are attributable to uncertainties related to the Company's ability to utilize certain deferred tax assets prior to expiration. These deferred tax assets primarily consist of the state tax expense on certain expenses and loss carryforwards. The valuation allowance is based on estimates of taxable income, expenses and credits by the jurisdictions in which the Company operates and the period over which deferred tax assets will be recoverable. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or these estimates are adjusted in future periods, the Company may need to establish an additional valuation allowance that could materially impact its consolidated financial position and results of operations. Each quarter, management evaluates the ability to realize the deferred tax assets and assesses the need for additional valuation allowances.

The Company applies the provisions of ASC 740-10-55 to all tax positions for which the statute of limitations remain open. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was \$865,000. This amount represents unrecognized tax benefits, which, if ultimately recognized, will reduce the Company's effective tax rate. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company reported accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits of \$90,000 and \$81,000, respectively. For additional disclosures related to accounting for income taxes, see Note 11 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part IV of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Legal Contingencies

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings. As discussed in Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company has accrued an estimate of the probable costs for the resolution of these claims. This estimate has been developed based on the current stage of negotiations and data from the Company's environmental engineering consultants and legal counsel. Management does not believe these proceedings will have a further material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, except as discussed in Note 13. As with litigation, generally the outcome is inherently uncertain. It is possible, however, that future results of operations for any particular quarterly or annual period could be materially affected by changes in these assumptions, or the effectiveness of these strategies, related to these proceedings.

Goodwill

The Company has allocated its adjusted goodwill balance to its reporting units. The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually at fiscal year-end and in interim periods if certain events occur indicating that the carrying value of goodwill may be impaired, such as a significant adverse change in business climate, an adverse action or assessment by a regulator or the decision to sell a business, that would make it more likely than not that an impairment may have occurred. The goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. The first step of the impairment analysis compares the fair value to the net book value. In determining fair value, the accounting guidance allows for the use of several valuation methodologies, although it indicates that quoted market prices are the best evidence of fair value. The Company uses a combination of expected present values of future cash flows and comparative market multiples. It has also performed a review of market capitalization with estimated control premiums at December 31, 2014. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its net book value, the Company would perform a second step in its analysis, which compares the implied fair value of goodwill to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, the Company recognizes an impairment loss equal to that excess amount. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assigning assets and liabilities to reporting units, assigning goodwill to reporting units and determining the fair value of each reporting unit. Significant judgments required to estimate the fair value of reporting units include estimating future cash flows, determining appropriate discount and growth rates, operating margins and working capital requirements, selecting comparable companies within each reporting unit and market and determining control premiums. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value for each reporting unit.

The assumptions about future cash flows and growth rates are based on the budget and long-term business plans of each reporting unit. Such assumptions take into account numerous factors including but not limited to historical experience, anticipated economic conditions, new product introductions, product cost and cost structure of each reporting unit. The growth rates assumptions were generally consistent with those utilized in prior year forecasted periods, except in certain circumstances where operational strategies support otherwise.

There were no impairment charges for the three months ended 2015 and 2014. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, goodwill totaled \$13,070,000 and \$13,072,000, representing 10% of total assets, respectively.

Table of Contents

There can be no assurance that the economic conditions currently affecting the world economy or other events may not have a negative material impact on the long-term business prospects of any of the Company's reporting units. In such case, the Company may need to record an impairment loss, as stated above. The next annual impairment test will be conducted as of December 31, 2015, unless management identifies a triggering event in the interim.

Management has not identified any triggering events, as defined by ASC 350 Intangibles Goodwill and Other, during 2015. Accordingly, no interim impairment test has been performed.

Impairment Of Long-Lived And Intangible Assets

The Company's long-lived and intangible assets primarily consist of fixed assets, goodwill and other intangible assets. The Company periodically reviews the carrying value of its long-lived assets held and used, other than goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives, and assets to be disposed of whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The Company assesses the recoverability of the asset by estimated cash flows and at times by independent appraisals. It compares estimated cash flows expected to be generated from the related assets, or the appraised value of the asset, to the carrying amounts to determine whether impairment has occurred. If the estimate of cash flows expected to be generated changes in the future, the Company may be required to record impairment charges that were not previously recorded for these assets. If the carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired, an impairment charge is recorded for the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. Asset impairment evaluations are by nature highly subjective.

Environmental Expenditures

Expenditures that relate to current operations are charged to expense or capitalized, as appropriate. Expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by formerly owned operations are expensed and recorded as part of discontinued operations, net of tax. Expenditures include costs of remediation, consulting, legal fees to defend against claims for environmental liability and certain costs to assist the Company with compliance matters and administrative tasks. Liabilities are recorded when remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. The liability for remediation expenditures includes, as appropriate, elements of costs such as site investigations, consultants' fees, feasibility studies, outside contractor expenses and monitoring expenses. Estimates are not discounted and they are not reduced by potential claims for recovery from insurance carriers. The Company does not currently have any outstanding claims against insurance carriers related to remediation expenditures. The liability is periodically reviewed and adjusted to reflect current remediation progress, prospective estimates of required activity and other relevant factors, including changes in technology or regulations. For additional information related to environmental matters, see Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of the Company's accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP with no need for management's judgment in its application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternatives would not produce a materially different result. For a discussion of accounting policies and other disclosures required by GAAP, see the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in Part IV of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 and Part 1 to this Quarterly Report.

Liquidity And Capital Resources

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	\$ Variance	% Variance
			(in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 22,697	\$ 31,950	\$ (9,253)	(29%)
Working capital	\$ 54,897	\$ 56,120	\$ (1,223)	(2%)
Shareholders' equity	\$ 76,524	\$ 77,321	\$ (797)	(1%)

The Company's liquidity needs have related to, and are expected to continue to relate to, capital investments, product development costs, acquisitions, working capital requirements, and certain environmental and legal remediation costs. The Company has met its liquidity needs primarily through cash generated from operations and, to a lesser extent, through bank borrowings. The Company believes that cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations and funding available under the 2012 Credit Facility will be adequate to service debt and meet working capital needs, capital investment, and product development requirements for the next twelve months.

At March 31, 2015, the Company reported \$22,697,000 of cash, compared to \$31,950,000 of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2014. Cash and cash equivalents decreased in 2015 primarily due to \$4,530,000 of cash used in operating activities from continuing operations, \$3,530,000 of cash used in financing activities, \$617,000 of cash used in investing activities, and \$579,000 of cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations.

Net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations during the three month period ended March 31, 2015 was \$4,530,000 as compared to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations of \$4,150,000 during the three month period ended March 31, 2014. The primary uses of cash from operating activities from continuing operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2015 were a decrease in accrued income taxes of \$2,083,000, a decrease in accounts payable of \$2,031,000, an increase in accounts receivable of \$992,000, an increase in inventories of \$870,000, and a decrease in other accrued liabilities of \$852,000. The decrease in accrued income taxes during 2015 was primarily due to a tax payment related to the gain from the sale of the company's RFL Electronics Inc. (RFL) subsidiary, which was recognized during the fourth quarter of 2014. The largest decrease in accounts payable occurred at SLPE, which was primarily due to large inventory purchases during December 2014 to meet customer demand, which were paid during the first quarter of 2015. MTE Corporation (MTE) and TEAL Electronics Corp. (TEAL), which are part of the High Power Group, and SL-MTI recorded increases in accounts receivable, which were partially offset by a large decrease at SLPE. The increase at MTE was primarily due to a large outstanding customer balance as of March 31,

Table of Contents

2015, which was received during April 2015. The increases at TEAL and SL-MTI were primarily due to large shipments at the end of the first quarter of 2015. The decrease at SLPE was primarily due to a large shipment at the end of 2014, which was collected during the first quarter of 2015. The increase in inventories was primarily due to a large increase at SLPE. The increase at SLPE was primarily the result of increased inventory purchases to meet anticipated customer demand in 2015 coupled with a large customer shipment at the end of 2014. The decrease in other accrued liabilities was primarily due to the payment of 2014 bonuses during March 2015. The decrease in other accrued liabilities was also due to a decrease in the liability on foreign currency forward contracts due to a \$131,000 gain recognized during the first quarter of 2015. These uses of cash from operating activities were partially offset by \$2,708,000 of net income from continuing operations.

Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations during the three month period ended March 31, 2014 was \$4,150,000. The primary sources of cash from operating activities for the three month period ended March 31, 2014 were income from continuing operations of \$2,708,000, an increase in accounts payable of \$2,270,000, a decrease in other assets of \$836,000, and a decrease in deferred income tax assets of \$761,000. In addition, depreciation and amortization expense of \$504,000 was added to income from continuing operations. All of the Company's operating segments recorded increases in accounts payable. The decrease in other assets was primarily due to the collection of prepaid value-added tax in China during 2014. The decrease in deferred income tax assets was primarily due to the payment of 2013 bonuses during March 2014, as well as the adoption of FASB Accounting Standard 2013-11 which requires the Company to offset its uncertain tax positions against certain deferred tax assets in the same jurisdiction. During the first quarter, the Company reclassified a portion of its uncertain tax positions against its related deferred tax assets. These sources of cash from operating activities were partially offset by a \$2,139,000 increase in accounts receivable and a \$1,710,000 decrease in other accrued liabilities. The largest increases in accounts receivable occurred at The High Power Group and SL-MTI. The increase at the High Power Group was primarily due to an increase in sales during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2013. The increase at the SL-MTI was primarily due to an increase in sales during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2013, coupled with strong collections during December 2013. The decrease in other accrued liabilities was primarily due to the payment of 2013 bonuses during March 2014.

Net cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations was \$579,000 during the first quarter of 2015 as compared to \$264,000 during the first quarter of 2014. Cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations during 2015 was primarily related to environmental remediation costs, consulting fees, and legal expenses associated with the past operations of the Company's five environmental sites. Cash used in operating activities from discontinued operations during 2014 was primarily related to environmental payments previously mentioned, which was partially offset by an add back of depreciation and amortization expense associated with the formerly owned RFL segment.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities during the three month period ended March 31, 2015 was \$617,000 as compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$286,000 during the three month period ended March 31, 2014. Cash used in investing activities during 2015 was for the purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$452,000 and for the purchase of other assets of \$165,000. Purchases of property, plant and equipment were primarily used to upgrade production capabilities and technology. Purchases of other assets were primarily related to the purchase of software. Cash used in investing activities during 2014 was for the purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$436,000, and for the purchase of other assets of \$26,000, which were partially offset by \$241,000 of proceeds from the sale of common stock classified as available-for-sale securities. Purchases of property, plant and equipment were primarily used to upgrade production capabilities and technology. Purchases of other assets were primarily related to the purchase of software. Cash used in investing activities during 2014 also included \$65,000 of cash used from discontinued operations, which were related to purchases of property, plant and equipment associated with the formerly owned RFL segment.

Net cash used in financing activities during the three month period ended March 31, 2015 was \$3,530,000 as compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$1,058,000 during the three month period ended March 31, 2014. Net cash used in financing activities during 2015 was related to \$3,511,000 of payments made for the purchase of Company stock pursuant to the Company's 2014 Repurchase Plan (described in Part II, Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds). Cash used in financing activities during 2014 was primarily related to \$1,000,000 of payments under the 2012 Credit Facility and the purchase of Company stock pursuant to the Company's 2010 share repurchase plan (2010 Repurchase Plan) in the amount of \$46,000. The 2010 Repurchase Plan was superseded by the 2014 Repurchase Plan.

On March 25, 2015, the Company entered into the Fourth Amendment to the 2012 Credit Facility. The Fourth Amendment amends the Credit Agreement in order to, among other things: (a) allow for permitted recapitalization distributions, and (b) provide greater flexibility with certain bank covenants, including with regard to EBITDA (as defined) and fixed charges.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had no outstanding debt, respectively. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had total availability under the 2012 Credit Facility of \$39,544,000 and 39,527,000, respectively. The Company's current ratio was 2.40 to 1 at March 31, 2015 and 2.27 to 1 at December 31, 2014.

Capital expenditures from continuing operations were \$452,000 in 2015, which represented an increase of \$16,000 from the capital expenditure levels of 2014. The Company anticipates spending approximately \$3,400,000 on property, plant and equipment, used primarily to upgrade production capabilities and upgrade technology during the remainder of 2015. The 2015 capital additions are expected to be funded primarily through cash from operating activities.

With the exception of the segment reported as Unallocated Corporate Expenses (which consists primarily of corporate office expenses, financing activities, certain treasury costs, risk management costs, legal costs, litigation costs, public reporting costs, certain strategic costs, legacy costs and costs not specifically allocated to the reportable business segments), all of the Company's operating segments recorded income from operations during 2015 and 2014.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following is a summary of the Company's contractual obligations at March 31, 2015 for the periods indicated:

	Less Than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	3 to 5 Years	After 5 Years	Total
	(in thousands)				
Operating leases	\$ 1,323	\$ 2,360	\$ 705	\$ 328	\$ 4,716
Payments to EPA ⁽¹⁾	2,193	4,334			6,527
Letters of credit ⁽²⁾	456				456
	\$ 3,972	\$ 6,694	\$ 705	\$ 328	\$ 11,699

(1) On May 28, 2013 a letter of credit was issued in favor of the EPA to provide financial assurance related to the Company's environmental payments in accordance with the terms of the Consent Decree reached with the DOJ and EPA related to its liability for both OU-1 and OU-2. In accordance with the Consent Decree, the Company has agreed to pay a fixed sum for the EPA's past cost for OU-2 and a portion of the EPA's past cost for OU-1. The payments are to be made in five equal payments of \$2,141,000, for a total \$10,705,000, plus interest. On May 10, 2013, the Company made the first payment related to its obligation under the Consent Decree in the amount of \$2,185,000, which included interest. On May 20, 2014, the Company made the second payment related to its obligation under the Consent Decree in the amount of \$2,211,000, which included interest. The next three payments will be made on the anniversary of the prior year's payment plus ten days in the same amount of \$2,141,000, plus interest (See Note 13 - Commitments and Contingencies for the terms and conditions of the Consent Decree).

(2) As of March 31, 2015, the Company was contingently liable for an outstanding letter of credit issued for casualty insurance requirements. The letter of credit has a maximum maturity of twelve months from the date of issuance. The table above excludes the Company's gross liability for uncertain tax positions of \$865,000 including accrued interest and penalties, which totaled \$90,000 as of March 31, 2015, since the Company cannot predict with reasonable reliability the timing or certainty of cash settlements to the respective taxing authorities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

It is not the Company's usual business practice to enter into off-balance sheet arrangements such as guarantees on loans and financial commitments, indemnification arrangements and retained interests in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity for securitization purposes. Consequently, the Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements which have, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on its financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources, except for operating lease commitments and letters of credit disclosed in the table above and inventory purchase commitments.

In an attempt to limit the volatility of copper costs, the Company has in the past, and may in the future, enter into purchase agreements for copper. As of March 31, 2015, inventory purchase commitments for copper totaled \$1,269,000. As of March 31, 2015, no purchase commitments for copper were greater than nine months.

Table of Contents**Restructuring Costs***2014 Restructuring Plan*

During the first quarter of 2014, the Company announced the 2014 Restructuring Plan to its employees to align its costs with current and projected sales activity. The costs reductions were primarily production, engineering, selling and administration employees at the High Power Group. As of March 31, 2014, there was a consolidated charge to earnings of \$463,000, which was composed of severance and other employee related charges. The total number of employees affected by the restructuring plan was 11, all of which had been terminated as of March 31, 2014.

No restructuring activity was recognized during 2015.

Results of Operations**Three months ended March 31, 2015 compared with three months ended March 31, 2014**

The tables below show the comparisons of net sales and income from operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 (2015) and the quarter ended March 31, 2014 (2014):

	Net Sales			
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$ Variance From Same Quarter Last Year	% Variance From Same Quarter Last Year
	(in thousands)			
SLPE	\$ 16,148	\$ 17,584	\$ (1,436)	(8%)
High Power Group	18,993	20,310	(1,317)	(6)
SL-MTI	11,543	10,852	691	6
Net Sales	\$ 46,684	\$ 48,746	\$ (2,062)	(4%)

	Income from Operations			
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$ Variance From Same Quarter Last Year	% Variance From Same Quarter Last Year
	(in thousands)			
SLPE	\$ 1,701	\$ 939	\$ 762	81%
High Power Group	2,450	2,917	(467)	(16)
SL-MTI	1,854	2,095	(241)	(12)
Unallocated Corporate Expenses	(1,968)	(1,503)	(465)	(31)

Income from Operations	\$ 4,037	\$ 4,448	\$ (411)	(9%)
------------------------	----------	----------	----------	------

During 2015, consolidated net sales decreased by \$2,062,000 or 4%, compared to net sales during the first quarter of 2014. Net sales for the first quarter of 2014 included a large project-based domestic order for harmonic filters at the High Power Group, and significant sales of low margin products to a medical customer at SLPE that did not reoccur in the first quarter of 2015. Also, the first quarter of 2015 included sales from the Dynetic Acquisition, which was completed in July 2014. Excluding these sales, net sales during the first quarter 2015 increased by 4% compared to net sales during the first quarter of 2014. When compared to 2014, net sales of SLPE decreased by \$1,436,000, or 8%; net sales of the High Power Group decreased by \$1,317,000, or 6%; and net sales of SL-MTI increased by \$691,000, or 6%.

Table of Contents

In 2015, the Company's income from operations decreased by \$411,000, or 9%, when compared to 2014. Income from operations was 9% of net sales in 2015 and 2014. All of the Company's operating entities recorded income from operations in 2015 and 2014. In addition, Unallocated Corporate Expenses increased by \$465,000, or 31%, in 2015 compared to 2014.

Income from continuing operations in 2015 was \$2,708,000, or \$0.65 per diluted share, compared to income from continuing operations in 2014 of \$2,708,000, or \$0.65 per diluted share. The Company's income from operations was flat during 2015 compared to 2014. Income from continuing operations was approximately 6% of net sales in 2015 and 2014.

The Company's business segments and the components of operating expenses are discussed in the following sections.

SLPE

SLPE recorded net sales of \$16,148,000 or 34% of consolidated net sales in 2015, compared to \$17,584,000, or 36% of consolidated net sales in 2014. The decrease in net sales at SLPE was primarily due to a decrease in sales in the medical product line, which was partially offset by an increase in sales in the data communications product line and an increase in sales the industrial product line. The decrease in the medical product line was primarily due to the Company's current strategy to exit certain low margin business. The increase in the data communications line was primarily due to an increase in new product sales to several domestic and international OEMs. The increase in the data communications line was also due to an increase in sales to a large international distributor. The increase in the industrial product line was primarily due to an increase in distributor sales. The increase the industrial product line was also due to sales to a large new international customer. Domestic sales decreased by 9% while international sales increased by 7% during 2015. Returns and distributor credits, which represented approximately 1% of SLPE gross sales in 2015 and 2014, also negatively affected net sales.

SLPE reported income from operations of \$1,701,000 in 2015, compared to \$939,000 in 2014. Income from operations increased in 2015 primarily due to an 8% decrease in cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales, which was partially offset by an 8% decrease in sales and a 5% increase in operating costs. Operating costs increased primarily due to an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses of \$119,000, or 5%, and an increase in depreciation and amortization expenses of \$49,000, or 27%.

High Power Group

The High Power Group reported net sales of \$18,993,000, or 41% of consolidated net sales in 2015, compared to \$20,310,000, or 42% of consolidated net sales in 2015. The decrease in net sales during 2015 was due to a decrease at MTE of \$1,427,000, or 12%, which was offset by an increase in net sales at TEAL of \$110,000, or 1%.

MTE's sales decrease during 2015 was primarily attributable to a decrease in filter sales, which was primarily due to a large project-based domestic order in 2014 for harmonic filters from a customer in the electronic equipment industry without a comparable large order in 2015. The decrease in sales was partially offset by increased filter sales in the oil and gas industry primarily due to an increase in sales to a large customer in South America and an increase in sales to a large domestic customer. Domestic sales decrease by 18% and international sales increased by 13%.

Table of Contents

TEAL's sales were relatively flat during 2015. TEAL recognized an increase in sales in the medical equipment imaging market primarily due to an increase in new product sales to a large international customer. The increase in the medical imaging equipment market was also due to the push out of orders into the second quarter of 2014 due to a business interruption at one of our large domestic customers. The increase in sales was partially offset by decreased sales to several domestic customers in the military and aerospace industry and decreased sales to two large domestic customers in the solar market. Domestic sales decreased by 24% while international sales increased by 55% during 2015.

The High Power Group reported income from operations of \$2,450,000 in 2015, compared to \$2,917,000 in 2014. The decrease in income from operations during 2015 was due to a decrease at MTE of \$994,000, which was offset by an increase at TEAL of \$528,000. Income from operations decreased in 2015 primarily due to a 6% decrease in sales and a 3% increase in cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales, which were partially offset by a 12% decrease in operating costs. Operating costs decreased by \$446,000 during 2015 primarily due \$463,000 of restructuring charges incurred during 2014 at TEAL.

SL-MTI

SL-MTI recorded net sales of \$11,543,000, or 25% of consolidated net sales in 2015, compared to \$10,852,000, or 22% of consolidated net sales in 2014. During 2015, SL-MTI benefited from \$936,000 of sales related to the Dynetic Acquisition, which was completed on July 25, 2014. As a result, comparable sales, net of the acquisition, decreased by \$245,000, or 2%, during 2015 as compared to 2014. Excluding the Dynetic Acquisition, the decrease in net sales was primarily due to a decrease in the industrial product line, which was partially offset by an increase in the military and medical industries. The decrease in the industrial product line was primarily due to a decrease in sales to a large domestic customer and a decrease in downhole exploration sales in the domestic oil and gas market. The increase in net sales to the military industry was primarily due to a general increase in demand for military components in the domestic and international markets, which was partially offset by a decrease in net sales to a large domestic customer. The increase in the medical industry was primarily due to an increase in sales to a large domestic customer. Excluding the Dynetic Acquisition, domestic sales decreased by 2% while international sales were relatively flat during 2015.

SL-MTI reported income from operations of \$1,854,000 in 2015, compared to \$2,095,000 in 2014. Income from operations decreased by \$241,000 in 2014 primarily due to a 3% increase in cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales and a 6% increase in operating costs, which was partially offset by a 6% increase in sales. Operating costs increased by \$109,000 during 2015 primarily due an increase in depreciation and amortization expenses of \$84,000, or 66%, and a \$28,000 increase in selling, general and administrative expenses, both of which were primarily related to the Dynetic Acquisition.

Cost of Products Sold

Cost of products sold was approximately 67% of net sales in 2015, compared to 68% for the quarter ended 2014. Cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales decreased 1% while net sales decreased 4% during 2015.

Table of Contents

SLPE recorded an improvement in cost of products sold as a percentage of sales, while the High Power Group and SL-MTI each recorded an increase in cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales. SLPE's cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales improved by approximately 8% during 2015 primarily due to the current strategy to exit certain low margin business. The improvement at SLPE was also due to an improved product mix as the result of the introduction of new products into the market at higher margins. Cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales at the High Power Group increased by approximately 3% during 2015 due to a 3% increase at MTE. The increase in cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales at MTE was primarily due to an unfavorable product mix. Cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales at TEAL was relatively flat during 2015. SL-MTI recorded a 3% increase in its cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales during 2015. Excluding the Dynetic Acquisition, SL-MTI recorded a 4% increase in cost of products sold as a percentage of net sales primarily due to an unfavorable sales mix. All operating entities are at various stages of emphasizing lean initiatives throughout the factory floor to reduce costs of products sold.

Engineering and Product Development Expenses

Engineering and product development expenses were approximately 6% of net sales in 2015 and 2014. Engineering and product development expenses decreased by \$79,000, or 3%, during the first quarter of 2015 primarily due to a decrease of \$83,000 at the High Power Group. The decrease in engineering and product development costs at the High Power Group was primarily due to decreased employee compensation costs at TEAL due to a reduction in staffing levels associated with the implementation of a prior year restructuring plan. Engineering and product development costs at SLPE and SL-MTI were relatively flat during 2015.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were approximately 17% of net sales for 2015, compared to 15% in 2014. During 2015, selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$760,000, or 10%, while sales decreased by 4%.

The High Power Group recorded an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses of \$147,000 primarily due to an increase at MTE. The increase at MTE was primarily due to increased commissions and increased compensation costs as a result of higher staffing levels. Selling, general and administrative expenses at TEAL were relatively flat during 2015. Selling, general and administrative expenses at SLPE increased by \$119,000 in 2015 primarily due a large reversal of bad debt expense in 2014, and an increase in consulting fees and marketing costs during 2015. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in commissions and other compensation costs as a result of lower sales volumes. Selling, general and administrative expenses at SL-MTI increased by \$28,000 primarily due to integration and other costs related to Dynetics. Unallocated Corporate Expenses increased by \$465,000 primarily due to an increase in the bonus accrual, an increase in non-cash stock compensation costs, and an increase in consulting fees.

Depreciation And Amortization Expenses

Depreciation and amortization expenses in 2015 were \$589,000, an increase of \$85,000, or 17%, compared to depreciation and amortization expenses in 2014.

Table of Contents

Restructuring Costs

Restructuring costs were \$463,000 in 2014 and consisted of severance costs and other employee related charges. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company announced to its employees a restructuring plan to align its costs with current and projected sales activity. The costs reductions were primarily production, engineering, selling and administration employees at TEAL, which is part of the High Power Group. No restructuring costs were incurred during 2015.

Amortization of Deferred Financing Costs

In connection with entering into the 2012 Credit Facility and related amendments, the Company incurred deferred financing costs which are amortized over the term of the 2012 Credit Facility. During 2015 and 2014, the amortization of deferred financing costs equaled \$27,000 and \$21,000, respectively.

Interest Income

Interest income was \$13,000 in 2015 and \$2,000 in 2014. During the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company opened a performance money market account. As of March 31, 2015, the performance money market account reported a balance of \$17,067,000 and earned \$11,000 of interest income. Interest income during 2015 and 2014 also included \$2,000 of interest income earned on other deposit accounts.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$6,000 in 2015 and \$8,000 in 2014. The Company had no outstanding balance related to borrowings under the Company's 2012 Credit Facility of as of March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014.

Other Gain (Loss), net

Other gain (loss), net in 2015 was a net gain of \$131,000 compared to net loss of \$250,000 in 2014. Other gain (loss), net in 2015 included a \$131,000 gain on foreign currency forward contracts. Other gain (loss), net in 2014 included a \$363,000 loss on foreign currency forward contracts, which was partially offset by a \$106,000 gain recognized from the sale of available-for-sale securities and \$7,000 of dividend income received from investments in available-for-sale securities.

During 2014 and 2015, the Company entered into a series of foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its exposure to foreign exchange rate movements in its forecasted expenses in China and Mexico. The gain recognized in 2015 and the loss recognized in 2014 represent the change in fair value of foreign currency forward contracts that are marked to market at quarter end.

Taxes (Continuing Operations)

The effective tax rate from continuing operations during 2015 and 2014 was approximately 35%, respectively.

Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations

Net loss from discontinued operations was \$162,000 in 2015 compared to \$181,000 in 2014. The loss from discontinued operations during 2015 and 2014 relates to environmental remediation costs, consulting fees, and legal expenses associated with the past operations of the Company's five environmental sites (see Note 13 - Commitments and Contingencies for further information concerning the environmental sites). The loss from discontinued operations during 2014 also includes the net loss of the Company's formerly owned RFL business, which is classified as discontinued operations (see Note 16 - Discontinued Operations for further information).

Net Income

Net income was \$2,546,000, or \$0.61 per diluted share, for 2015 compared to \$2,527,000, or \$0.61 per diluted share, for 2014. The weighted average number of shares used in the diluted earnings per share computation was 4,160,000 and 4,161,000 for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15e and 15d-15e promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Conclusion of Evaluation

Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures

In designing and evaluating the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any control, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the first quarter of 2015 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect its internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Also, see Note 16 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, for additional disclosure related to the Company's legal proceedings.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On December 24, 2014, the Board of Directors authorized a plan that allows for the repurchase up to an aggregate of 420,000 shares of the Company's outstanding common stock (the 2014 Repurchase Plan). Any repurchases pursuant to the 2014 Repurchase Plan would be made in the open market or in negotiated transactions. During the first three months of 2015, the Company purchased approximately 89,000 shares of Company stock at an average price of \$39.37 a share. As a result, as of March 31, 2015, approximately 331,000 shares remained available for purchase under the 2014 Repurchase Plan. Currently, the 2014 Repurchase Plan has no expiration date. The 2014 Repurchase Plan superseded the 2010 Repurchase Plan.

The following table presents information related to the repurchases of common stock that the Company made during the three months ended March 31, 2015:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased under Publicly Announced Plans or Programs
January 2015	41,000	\$ 37.99	41,000	379,000
February 2015	21,000	40.80	21,000	358,000
March 2015	27,000	40.32	27,000	331,000
Total	89,000	\$ 39.37	89,000	331,000

(1) The number of shares purchased pursuant to the 2014 Repurchase Plan.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

10.1 Restricted Stock Unit Grant Letter and Agreement between the Company and each of William Fejes, Jr. and Louis J. Belardi, dated February 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to form of Grant Letter and Agreement filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 29, 2011). +

10.2 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated March 25, 2015, by and among the Company, the Company's subsidiaries, PNC Bank National Association, as administrative agent and lender, and the lenders from time to time party thereto, amending the Credit Agreement entered into as of August 9, 2012, by and among the Company, the Company's subsidiaries, PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the lenders from time to time party thereto. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's report on Form 8-K filed on March 26, 2015.

10.3 Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated May 5, 2015, by and among the Company, the Company's subsidiaries, PNC Bank National Association, as administrative agent and lender, and the lenders from time to time party thereto, amending the Credit Agreement entered into as of August 9, 2012, by and among the Company, the Company's subsidiaries, PNC Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and the lenders from time to time party thereto. *

31.1 Certification by Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *

31.2 Certification by Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *

32.1 Certification by Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *

101.INS XBRL Instance Document. *

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. *

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. *

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. *

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. *

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. *

* Filed herewith.

+ Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 6, 2015

SL INDUSTRIES, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ William T. Fejes
William T. Fejes
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Louis J. Belardi
Louis J. Belardi
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)