

MFS GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST
Form N-CSR
January 27, 2017
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-05078

MFS GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

111 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02199

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Christopher R. Bohane

Massachusetts Financial Services Company

111 Huntington Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02199

(Name and address of agents for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (617) 954-5000

Date of fiscal year end: November 30

Date of reporting period: November 30, 2016

Table of Contents

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Table of Contents

ANNUAL REPORT

November 30, 2016

MFS® GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST

MGF-ANN

Table of Contents

MANAGED DISTRIBUTION POLICY DISCLOSURE

The MFS Government Markets Income Trust's (the fund) Board of Trustees adopted a managed distribution policy. The fund seeks to pay monthly distributions based on an annual rate of 7.25% of the fund's average monthly net asset value. The primary purpose of the managed distribution policy is to provide shareholders with a constant, but not guaranteed, fixed minimum rate of distribution each month. You should not draw any conclusions about the fund's investment performance from the amount of the current distribution or from the terms of the fund's managed distribution policy. The Board may amend or terminate the managed distribution policy at any time without prior notice to fund shareholders. The amendment or termination of the managed distribution policy could have an adverse effect on the market price of the fund's shares.

With each distribution, the fund will issue a notice to shareholders and an accompanying press release which will provide detailed information regarding the amount and composition of the distribution and other related information. The amounts and sources of distributions reported in the notice to shareholders are only estimates and are not being provided for tax reporting purposes. The actual amounts and sources of the amounts for tax reporting purposes will depend upon the fund's investment experience during its fiscal year and may be subject to changes based on tax regulations. The fund will send you a Form 1099-DIV for the calendar year that will tell you how to report these distributions for federal income tax purposes. Please refer to "Tax Matters and Distributions" under Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements for information regarding the tax character of the fund's distributions.

Under a managed distribution policy the fund may at times distribute more than its net investment income and net realized capital gains; therefore, a portion of your distribution may result in a return of capital. A return of capital may occur, for example, when some or all of the money that you invested in the fund is paid back to you. Any such returns of capital will decrease the fund's total assets and, therefore, could have the effect of increasing the fund's expense ratio. In addition, in order to make the level of distributions called for under its managed distribution policy, the fund may have to sell portfolio securities at a less than opportune time. A return of capital does not necessarily reflect the fund's investment performance and should not be confused with "yield" or "income". The fund's total return in relation to changes in net asset value is presented in the Financial Highlights.

Table of Contents

MFS® GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST

New York Stock Exchange Symbol: **MGF**

<u>Letter from the Chairman</u>	1
<u>Portfolio composition</u>	2
<u>Management review</u>	4
<u>Performance summary</u>	7
<u>Portfolio managers profile</u>	9
<u>Dividend reinvestment and cash purchase plan</u>	10
<u>Portfolio of investments</u>	11
<u>Statement of assets and liabilities</u>	20
<u>Statement of operations</u>	21
<u>Statements of changes in net assets</u>	22
<u>Financial highlights</u>	23
<u>Notes to financial statements</u>	25
<u>Report of independent registered public accounting firm</u>	36
<u>Results of shareholder meeting</u>	37
<u>Trustees and officers</u>	38
<u>Board review of investment advisory agreement</u>	43
<u>Proxy voting policies and information</u>	47
<u>Quarterly portfolio disclosure</u>	47
<u>Further information</u>	47
<u>Information about fund contracts and legal claims</u>	48
<u>Federal tax information</u>	48
<u>MFS® privacy notice</u>	49
<u>Contact information</u> back cover	

NOT FDIC INSURED MAY LOSE VALUE NO BANK GUARANTEE

Table of Contents

LETTER FROM THE CHAIRMAN

Dear Shareholders:

Despite June's unexpected vote by the United Kingdom to leave the European Union and the surprising result in November's U.S. presidential election, most markets have

proved resilient. U.S. share prices quickly reversed post-Brexit declines, and indices reached new highs following the November elections. U.S. bond yields rose after Trump's victory on hopes that his proposed policy mix of lower taxes, increased spending on infrastructure and a lower regulatory burden on businesses will lift both U.S. economic growth and inflation. However, even after a recent rise in bond yields, interest rates in most developed markets remain very low, with major central banks maintaining extremely accommodative monetary policies.

Globally, economic growth has shown signs of recovery of late, led by the United States and the eurozone. Despite better growth,

there are few immediate signs of worrisome inflation. Emerging market economies are recovering at a somewhat slower pace amid fears that restrictive U.S. trade policies could further hamper the already slow pace of global trade growth.

At MFS®, we believe in a patient, long-term approach to investing. Viewing investments with a long lens makes it possible to filter out short-term market noise and focus on achieving solid risk-adjusted returns over a full market cycle.

In our view, such an approach, along with the professional guidance of a financial advisor, will help you reach your investment objectives.

Respectfully,

Robert J. Manning

Executive Chairman

MFS Investment Management

January 13, 2017

The opinions expressed in this letter are subject to change and may not be relied upon for investment advice. No forecasts can be guaranteed.

Table of Contents**PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION****Portfolio structure (i)****Fixed income sectors (i)**

Mortgage-Backed Securities	51.5%
U.S. Treasury Securities	23.5%
Investment Grade Corporates	10.9%
U.S. Government Agencies	10.0%
Emerging Markets Bonds	3.4%
Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities	2.5%
High Yield Corporates	0.4%
Municipal Bonds	0.4%
Collateralized Debt Obligations	0.4%
Non-U.S. Government Bonds	0.1%
Asset-Backed Securities	0.1%

Composition including fixed income credit quality (a)(i)

AAA	1.6%
AA	2.4%
A	2.7%
BBB	10.5%
BB	0.7%
B	0.3%
U.S. Government	19.8%
Federal Agencies	61.5%
Not Rated	3.7%
Cash & Cash Equivalents	0.5%
Other	(3.7)%

Portfolio facts (i)

Average Duration (d)	5.6
Average Effective Maturity (m)	8.0 yrs.

(a) For all securities other than those specifically described below, ratings are assigned to underlying securities utilizing ratings from Moody's, Fitch, and Standard & Poor's rating agencies and applying the following hierarchy: If all three agencies provide a rating, the middle rating (after dropping the highest and lowest ratings) is assigned; if two of the three agencies rate a security, the lower of the two is assigned. Ratings are shown in the S&P and Fitch scale (e.g., AAA). Securities rated BBB or higher are considered investment grade. All ratings are subject to change. U.S. Government includes securities issued by the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Federal Agencies includes rated and unrated U.S. Agency fixed-income securities, U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities. Not Rated includes fixed income securities, including fixed income futures contracts, which have not been rated by any rating agency. The fund may or may not have held all of these instruments on this date. The fund is not rated by these agencies.

Table of Contents

Portfolio Composition continued

- (d) Duration is a measure of how much a bond's price is likely to fluctuate with general changes in interest rates, e.g., if rates rise 1.00%, a bond with a 5-year duration is likely to lose about 5.00% of its value due to the interest rate move.
- (i) For purposes of this presentation, the components include the value of securities, and reflect the impact of the equivalent exposure of derivative positions, if any. These amounts may be negative from time to time. Equivalent exposure is a calculated amount that translates the derivative position into a reasonable approximation of the amount of the underlying asset that the portfolio would have to hold at a given point in time to have the same price sensitivity that results from the portfolio's ownership of the derivative contract. When dealing with derivatives, equivalent exposure is a more representative measure of the potential impact of a position on portfolio performance than value. The bond component will include any accrued interest amounts.
- (m) In determining an instrument's effective maturity for purposes of calculating the fund's dollar-weighted average effective maturity, MFS uses the instrument's stated maturity or, if applicable, an earlier date on which MFS believes it is probable that a maturity-shortening device (such as a put, pre-refunding or prepayment) will cause the instrument to be repaid. Such an earlier date can be substantially shorter than the instrument's stated maturity. Where the fund holds convertible bonds, these are treated as part of the equity portion of the portfolio.

Cash & Cash Equivalents includes any cash, investments in money market funds, short-term securities, and other assets less liabilities. Please see the Statement of Assets and Liabilities for additional information related to the fund's cash position and other assets and liabilities.

Other includes equivalent exposure from currency derivatives and/or any offsets to derivative positions and may be negative.

Percentages are based on net assets as of 11/30/16.

The portfolio is actively managed and current holdings may be different.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT REVIEW

Summary of Results

MFS Government Markets Income Trust (fund) is a closed-end fund. The fund's investment objective is to seek high current income, but may also consider capital appreciation. MFS normally invests at least 80% of the fund's net assets, including borrowings for investment purposes, in U.S. and foreign government securities. MFS may invest the fund's assets in other types of debt instruments. MFS generally invests substantially all of the fund's assets in investment grade debt instruments. MFS invests the fund's assets in U.S. and foreign securities, including emerging market securities.

For the twelve months ended November 30, 2016, the fund provided a total return of 1.84%, at net asset value and a total return of 3.82%, at market value. This compares with a return of 1.26% for the fund's benchmark, the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index (formerly Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index). Over the same period, the fund's other benchmark, the MFS Government Markets Income Trust Blended Index (Blended Index), generated a return of 1.84%. The Blended Index reflects the blended returns of various fixed income market indices, with percentage allocations to each index designed to resemble the fixed income allocations of the fund. The market indices and related percentage allocations used to compile the Blended Index are set forth in the Performance Summary.

The performance commentary below is based on the net asset value performance of the fund which reflects the performance of the underlying pool of assets held by the fund. The total return at market value represents the return earned by owners of the shares of the fund which are traded publicly on the exchange.

Market Environment

Sluggish global growth weighed on both developed and emerging market (EM) economies during the reporting period. The US Federal Reserve began its long-anticipated monetary tightening cycle toward the end of the period, but the tightening cycle has proved to be more gradual than initially anticipated. Globally, central bank policy remained highly accommodative, which forced many government, and even some corporate, bond yields into negative territory. During the second half of the period, the United Kingdom voted to leave the European Union (EU), beginning a multi-year process of negotiation in order to achieve Brexit. While markets initially reacted to the vote with alarm, the spillover to European and EM economies was relatively short-lived, although risks of further hits to EU cohesiveness could re-emerge. Late in the period, the surprising US presidential election outcome prompted a significant rally in equities and a rise in bond yields in anticipation of a reflationary policy mix from the incoming Trump administration.

During much of the reporting period, US earnings headwinds expanded beyond the energy, materials and industrial sectors, to include most sectors of the market. Headwinds eased somewhat at the end of the period as stabilizing oil prices pushed energy earnings higher relative to expectations. The sharp rise in the US dollar also weighed on earnings. US consumer spending held up well during the second half of the period amid a modest increase in real wages and low gasoline prices. Demand for autos reached near-record territory before plateauing late in the period, while the

Table of Contents

Management Review continued

housing market continued its recovery. Slow global trade continued to mirror slow global growth, particularly for many EM countries. That said, EM countries began to show signs of a modest upturn in activity along with adjustment in their external accounts. These improved conditions appeared to have reassured investors and contributed to record inflows into the asset class during July and August as negative yields for an increasing share of developed market bonds drove yield-hungry investors further out on the risk spectrum. Similar investor inflows were experienced in the investment grade and high yield corporate markets. Late in the reporting period, however, new challenges emerged for emerging markets debt (EMD) as a result of the US presidential election, which raised concerns about the potential for a protectionist turn in US trade policy which could negatively impact EM economies. These concerns, along with rising expectations for US growth, inflation and rates, have turned the tables on flows into EMD. Since the election, flows have reversed. As of the end of the period, the markets seemed to be in wait-and-see mode, looking for evidence to either confirm or refute the repricing of risk that has occurred since Election Day.

While US Treasury yields finished slightly higher relative to where they started the reporting period, Treasuries experienced significant volatility during that time. According to data from the Fed, 10-year US Treasury yields started the reporting period at 2.21%, fell to as low as 1.37% in the wake of Brexit and finished the reporting period at 2.37%.

A significant portion of the increase in yields occurred in the wake of the results of the US presidential election, as President-elect Donald Trump and Republicans, who remained in control of both houses of Congress, discussed the prospects for greater-than-expected fiscal stimulus. The prospects for fiscal stimulus raised the possibility of stronger growth and higher inflation in the near-to-medium-term, which pushed Treasury yields higher.

Contributors to Performance

Relative to the Blended Index, the fund's greater exposure to *B-rated* issues was a primary contributor to relative performance. Additionally, the fund's lesser exposure to the *industrials* sector was an area of relative strength. A greater exposure to Chinese issued bonds further supported relative results.

The fund's shorter duration^(d) stance relative to the benchmark contributed to relative returns as interest rates rose during the period. The fund's yield curve^(y) positioning, particularly the fund's lesser exposure to shifts in the middle (centered around maturities of 7 years) portion of the curve, also contributed to performance during the reporting period.

Detractors from Performance

The portion of the fund's return derived from yield, which was less than that of the Blended Index, was a primary detractor from relative performance. Additionally, the fund's greater exposure to both the *mortgage* and *finance* sectors further hindered relative results.

Respectfully,

Table of Contents

Management Review continued

Geoffrey Schechter
Portfolio Manager

Ward Brown
Portfolio Manager

Robert Persons
Portfolio Manager

Matt Ryan
Portfolio Manager

- (d) Duration is a measure of how much a bond's price is likely to fluctuate with general changes in interest rates, e.g., if rates rise 1.00%, a bond with a 5-year duration is likely to lose about 5.00% of its value.
- (r) Bonds rated BBB-, Baa, or higher are considered investment grade; bonds rated BB+, Ba, or below are considered non-investment grade. The source for bond quality ratings is Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's and Fitch, Inc. and are applied using the following hierarchy: If all three agencies provide a rating, the middle rating (after dropping the highest and lowest ratings) is assigned; if two of the three agencies rate a security, the lower of the two is assigned. Ratings are shown in the S&P and Fitch scale (e.g., AAA). For securities which are not rated by any of the three agencies, the security is considered Not Rated.
- (y) A yield curve graphically depicts the yields of different maturity bonds of the same credit quality and type; a normal yield curve is upward sloping, with short-term rates lower than long-term rates.

The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio managers only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover and do not necessarily reflect the views of MFS or any other person in the MFS organization. These views are subject to change at any time based on market or other conditions, and MFS disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice or an indication of trading intent on behalf of any MFS portfolio. References to specific securities are not recommendations of such securities, and may not be representative of any MFS portfolio's current or future investments.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY THROUGH 11/30/16

The following chart presents the fund's historical performance in comparison to its benchmark(s). Investment return and principal value will fluctuate, and shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost; current performance may be lower or higher than quoted. The performance shown does not reflect the deduction of taxes, if any, that a shareholder would pay on fund distributions or the sale of fund shares. Performance data shown represents past performance and is no guarantee of future results.

Price Summary for MFS Government Markets Income Trust

		Date	Price
	Net Asset Value	11/30/16	\$5.35
		11/30/15	\$5.67
	New York Stock Exchange Price	11/30/16	\$5.06
Year		3/31/16 (high) (t)	\$5.50
Ended		11/15/16 (low) (t)	\$4.99
11/30/16		11/30/15	\$5.26

Total Returns vs Benchmarks

	MFS Government Markets Income Trust at	
	New York Stock Exchange Price (r)	3.82%
	Net Asset Value (r)	1.84%
Year	Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index (f)	1.26%
Ended	MFS Government Markets Income Trust Blended Index (f)(w)	1.84%
11/30/16	Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Credit Bond Index (f)	4.19%

(f) Source: FactSet Research Systems Inc.

(r) Includes reinvestment of dividends and capital gain distributions.

(t) For the period December 1, 2015 through November 30, 2016.

(w) As of November 30, 2016, the MFS Government Markets Income Trust Blended Index was comprised of 80% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index and 20% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Credit Bond Index.

Benchmark Definitions

Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Credit Bond Index (formerly Barclays U.S. Credit Bond Index) – a market capitalization-weighted index that measures the performance of publicly issued, SEC-registered, U.S. corporate and specified foreign debentures and secured notes that meet specified maturity, liquidity, and quality requirements.

Table of Contents

Performance Summary continued

Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index (formerly Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index) measures debt issued by the U.S. Government, and its agencies, as well as mortgage-backed pass-through securities of Ginnie Mae (GNMA), Fannie Mae (FNMA), and Freddie Mac (FHLMC).

It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

Notes to Performance Summary

The fund's shares may trade at a discount or premium to net asset value. When fund shares trade at a premium, buyers pay more than the net asset value underlying fund shares, and shares purchased at a premium would receive less than the amount paid for them in the event of the fund's concurrent liquidation.

The fund's monthly distributions may include a return of capital to shareholders to the extent that the fund's net investment income and net capital gains, determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, are insufficient to meet the fund's target annual distribution rate. Distributions that are treated for federal income tax purposes as a return of capital will reduce each shareholder's basis in his or her shares and, to the extent the return of capital exceeds such basis, will be treated as gain to the shareholder from a sale of shares. It may also result in a recharacterization of what economically represents a return of capital to ordinary income in those situations where a fund has long term capital gains and a capital loss carryforward. Returns of shareholder capital have the effect of reducing the fund's assets and increasing the fund's expense ratio.

The fund's target annual distribution rate is calculated based on an annual rate of 7.25% of the fund's average monthly net asset value, not a fixed share price, and the fund's dividend amount will fluctuate with changes in the fund's average monthly net assets.

Net asset values and performance results based on net asset value do not include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and may differ from amounts reported in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities or the Financial Highlights.

From time to time the fund may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which performance would be lower.

In accordance with Section 23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, the fund hereby gives notice that it may from time to time repurchase shares of the fund in the open market at the option of the Board of Trustees and on such terms as the Trustees shall determine.

Table of Contents

PORTFOLIO MANAGERS PROFILE

Portfolio Manager	Primary Role	Since	Title and Five Year History
Geoffrey Schechter	Lead and U.S. Government Securities Portfolio Manager	2006	Investment Officer of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 1993.
Ward Brown	Emerging Markets Debt Instruments Portfolio Manager	2012	Investment Officer of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 2005.
Robert Persons	Investment Grade Debt Instruments Portfolio Manager	2012	Investment Officer of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 2000.
Matt Ryan	Emerging Markets Debt Instruments Portfolio Manager	2012	Investment Officer of MFS; employed in the investment management area of MFS since 1997.

Table of Contents

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE PLAN

The fund offers a Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan (the Plan) that allows common shareholders to reinvest either all of the distributions paid by the fund or only the long-term capital gains. Generally, purchases are made at the market price unless that price exceeds the net asset value (the shares are trading at a premium). If the shares are trading at a premium, purchases will be made at a price of either the net asset value or 95% of the market price, whichever is greater. You can also buy shares on a quarterly basis in any amount \$100 and over. The Plan Agent will purchase shares under the Cash Purchase Plan on the 15th of January, April, July, and October or shortly thereafter.

If shares are registered in your own name, new shareholders will automatically participate in the Plan, unless you have indicated that you do not wish to participate. If your shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you may wish to request that your shares be re-registered in your own name so that you can participate. There is no service charge to reinvest distributions, nor are there brokerage charges for shares issued directly by the fund. However, when shares are bought on the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise on the open market, each participant pays a pro rata share of the transaction expenses, including commissions. Dividends and capital gains distributions are taxable whether received in cash or reinvested in additional shares – the automatic reinvestment of distributions does not relieve you of any income tax that may be payable (or required to be withheld) on the distributions.

If your shares are held directly with the Plan Agent, you may withdraw from the Plan at any time by going to the Plan Agent's website at www.computershare.com/investor, by calling 1-800-637-2304 any business day from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Eastern time or by writing to the Plan Agent at P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078. Please have available the name of the fund and your account number. For certain types of registrations, such as corporate accounts, instructions must be submitted in writing. Please call for additional details. When you withdraw from the Plan, you can receive the value of the reinvested shares in one of three ways: your full shares will be held in your account, the Plan Agent will sell your shares and send the proceeds to you, or you may transfer your full shares to your investment professional who can hold or sell them. Additionally, the Plan Agent will sell your fractional shares and send the proceeds to you.

If you have any questions or for further information or a copy of the Plan, contact the Plan Agent Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (the Transfer Agent for the fund) at 1-800-637-2304, at the Plan Agent's website at www.computershare.com/investor, or by writing to the Plan Agent at P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078.

Table of Contents**PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS**

11/30/16

The Portfolio of Investments is a complete list of all securities owned by your fund. It is categorized by broad-based asset classes.

Bonds - 98.8%		
Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
U.S. Bonds - 94.4%		
Agency - Other - 7.0%		
Financing Corp., 10.7%, 10/06/2017	\$ 4,095,000	\$ 4,429,288
Financing Corp., 9.4%, 2/08/2018	3,085,000	3,387,046
Financing Corp., 10.35%, 8/03/2018	3,820,000	4,401,328
		\$ 12,217,662
Asset-Backed & Securitized - 2.9%		
Citigroup Commercial Mortgage Trust, FRN, 5.9%, 12/10/2049	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,010,502
Citigroup/Deutsche Bank Commercial Mortgage Trust, 5.322%, 12/11/2049	70,119	70,082
CNH Equipment Trust, 2015-C, A2B, FRN, 1.008%, 12/17/2018	108,495	108,617
Commercial Mortgage Trust, 2015-DC1, A5, 3.35%, 2/10/2048	327,000	331,358
Credit Suisse Commercial Mortgage Trust, A4, FRN, 6.133%, 9/15/2039	312,132	317,468
Credit Suisse Commercial Mortgage Trust, AM, FRN, 5.876%, 6/15/2039	398,459	404,357
Credit Suisse Commercial Mortgage Trust, C4, FRN, 6.133%, 9/15/2039	378,313	386,546
CWCapital Cobalt Ltd., A4, FRN, 5.954%, 5/15/2046	480,579	487,468
Dryden XXIII Senior Loan Fund, 2012-23A, A1R, FRN, 2.13%, 7/17/2023 (n)	286,505	286,069
Fortress Credit BSL Ltd., 2013-1A, A, FRN, 2.057%, 1/19/2025 (n)	342,461	341,743
JPMorgan Chase Commercial Mortgage Securities Corp., A4, FRN, 5.753%, 6/15/2049	872,120	879,356
Morgan Stanley Capital I Trust, AM, FRN, 5.871%, 4/15/2049	431,000	420,531
		\$ 5,044,097
Automotive - 0.1%		
Ford Motor Credit Co. LLC, 2.551%, 10/05/2018	\$ 241,000	\$ 242,556
Building - 0.0%		
Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., 4.25%, 7/02/2024	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,939
Business Services - 0.7%		
Cisco Systems, Inc., 2.6%, 2/28/2023	\$ 299,000	\$ 295,223
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc., 3.875%, 6/05/2024	1,000,000	1,019,246
		\$ 1,314,469

11

Table of Contents*Portfolio of Investments continued*

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
Cable TV - 0.9%		
Charter Operating/CCO Capital Corp., 4.908%, 7/23/2025 (n)	\$ 242,000	\$ 252,612
Comcast Corp., 4.2%, 8/15/2034	96,000	97,979
Time Warner Cable, Inc., 8.25%, 4/01/2019	1,000,000	1,128,647
Time Warner Cable, Inc., 4.5%, 9/15/2042	100,000	88,022
		\$ 1,567,260
Computer Software - 0.1%		
Microsoft Corp., 3.125%, 11/03/2025	\$ 124,000	\$ 125,392
Computer Software - Systems - 0.3%		
Apple, Inc., 3.25%, 2/23/2026	\$ 400,000	\$ 402,513
Apple, Inc., 4.375%, 5/13/2045	121,000	123,722
		\$ 526,235
Consumer Products 0.2%		
Newell Rubbermaid, Inc., 3.15%, 4/01/2021	\$ 369,000	\$ 375,472
Food & Beverages - 0.4%		
Anheuser-Busch InBev Worldwide, Inc., 3.3%, 2/01/2023	\$ 167,000	\$ 168,714
Anheuser-Busch InBev Worldwide, Inc., 4.7%, 2/01/2036	219,000	229,665
J.M. Smucker Co., 4.25%, 3/15/2035	164,000	165,185
Kraft Heinz Foods Co., 5.2%, 7/15/2045	120,000	127,610
Tyson Foods, Inc., 5.15%, 8/15/2044	38,000	39,315
		\$ 730,489
Food & Drug Stores - 0.3%		
CVS Health Corp., 3.5%, 7/20/2022	\$ 277,000	\$ 284,430
CVS Health Corp., 5.125%, 7/20/2045	270,000	296,214
		\$ 580,644
Insurance - 0.1%		
American International Group, Inc., 4.7%, 7/10/2035	\$ 108,000	\$ 111,833
Insurance - Health - 0.4%		
UnitedHealth Group, Inc., 4.625%, 7/15/2035	\$ 672,000	\$ 724,543
Insurance - Property & Casualty - 0.1%		
Liberty Mutual Group, Inc., 4.85%, 8/01/2044 (n)	\$ 99,000	\$ 96,899
Local Authorities - 1.1%		
New Jersey Turnpike Authority Rev. (Build America Bonds), F , 7.414%, 1/01/2040	\$ 32,000	\$ 46,188
State of California (Build America Bonds), 7.6%, 11/01/2040	925,000	1,385,585
University of California Rev. (Build America Bonds), 5.77%, 5/15/2043	450,000	549,063
		\$ 1,980,836

Table of Contents

Portfolio of Investments continued

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
Major Banks - 0.4%		
Bank of America Corp., 7.625%, 6/01/2019	\$ 170,000	\$ 191,418
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., 3.625%, 1/22/2023	446,000	456,678
Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., 4.8%, 7/08/2044	94,000	98,096
		\$ 746,192
Medical & Health Technology & Services - 0.2%		
Becton, Dickinson and Co., 4.685%, 12/15/2044	\$ 179,000	\$ 183,542
Laboratory Corp. of America Holdings, 4.7%, 2/01/2045	106,000	105,425
		\$ 288,967
Medical Equipment - 0.5%		
Medtronic, Inc., 4.625%, 3/15/2045	\$ 237,000	\$ 251,572
Zimmer Holdings, Inc., 4.45%, 8/15/2045	607,000	572,562
		\$ 824,134
Metals & Mining - 0.3%		
Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold, Inc., 3.875%, 3/15/2023	\$ 500,000	\$ 470,000
Midstream - 1.0%		
Energy Transfer Partners LP, 5.15%, 3/15/2045	\$ 220,000	\$ 198,264
Enterprise Products Operating LLC, 3.9%, 2/15/2024	29,000	29,624
Kinder Morgan Energy Partners LP, 6.85%, 2/15/2020	1,000,000	1,113,705
Kinder Morgan Energy Partners LP, 5.4%, 9/01/2044	358,000	342,153
		\$ 1,683,746
Mortgage-Backed - 51.4%		
Fannie Mae, 5.05%, 1/01/2017	\$ 471,054	\$ 471,172
Fannie Mae, 1.9%, 6/01/2017	154,701	154,695
Fannie Mae, 6%, 8/01/2017 - 7/01/2037	1,203,743	1,378,893
Fannie Mae, 5.5%, 9/01/2017 - 3/01/2038	4,001,967	4,485,883
Fannie Mae, 4.88%, 3/01/2020	115,040	120,999
Fannie Mae, 3.87%, 7/01/2022	183,708	195,525
Fannie Mae, 2.152%, 1/25/2023	401,000	395,418
Fannie Mae, 2.41%, 5/01/2023	129,522	129,487
Fannie Mae, 2.55%, 5/01/2023	111,493	112,337
Fannie Mae, 2.59%, 5/01/2023	70,539	71,225
Fannie Mae, 3.78%, 10/01/2023	64,273	68,419
Fannie Mae, 2.7%, 7/01/2025	200,000	199,002
Fannie Mae, 3.43%, 6/01/2026	198,829	206,212
Fannie Mae, 3.59%, 9/01/2026	73,688	77,686
Fannie Mae, 3%, 4/01/2027 - 11/01/2046	7,624,313	7,771,728
Fannie Mae, 6.5%, 5/01/2031 - 2/01/2037	724,771	832,394
Fannie Mae, 5%, 6/01/2035 - 3/01/2042	780,756	857,292
Fannie Mae, 4.5%, 1/01/2040 - 4/01/2044	5,975,695	6,464,089

Table of Contents*Portfolio of Investments continued*

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
Mortgage-Backed - continued		
Fannie Mae, 4%, 9/01/2040 - 2/01/2045	\$ 10,929,137	\$ 11,537,831
Fannie Mae, 3.5%, 4/01/2043 - 5/01/2046	5,491,606	5,653,323
Fannie Mae, TBA, 3%, 12/01/2031	910,000	935,878
Fannie Mae, TBA, 3.5%, 12/01/2046	500,000	513,496
Fannie Mae, TBA, 4%, 1/01/2047	1,200,000	1,262,250
Freddie Mac, 2.699%, 5/25/2018	791,560	804,069
Freddie Mac, 2.412%, 8/25/2018	1,137,394	1,152,451
Freddie Mac, 2.303%, 9/25/2018	275,000	278,774
Freddie Mac, 2.323%, 10/25/2018	498,949	506,794
Freddie Mac, 2.13%, 1/25/2019	1,566,818	1,581,661
Freddie Mac, 5.085%, 3/25/2019	752,000	803,915
Freddie Mac, 2.456%, 8/25/2019	383,000	390,403
Freddie Mac, 4.186%, 8/25/2019	600,000	637,078
Freddie Mac, 3.808%, 8/25/2020	219,000	233,292
Freddie Mac, 3.034%, 10/25/2020	291,000	302,682
Freddie Mac, 2.856%, 1/25/2021	400,000	413,842
Freddie Mac, 6%, 5/01/2021 - 10/01/2038	632,054	730,662
Freddie Mac, 2.791%, 1/25/2022	517,000	532,589
Freddie Mac, 2.716%, 6/25/2022	399,000	408,755
Freddie Mac, 2.355%, 7/25/2022	500,000	502,276
Freddie Mac, 2.682%, 10/25/2022	189,000	192,711
Freddie Mac, 2.51%, 11/25/2022	496,000	501,020
Freddie Mac, 3.32%, 2/25/2023	433,000	456,240
Freddie Mac, 3.3%, 4/25/2023	429,471	452,275
Freddie Mac, 3.06%, 7/25/2023	181,000	187,871
Freddie Mac, 2.454%, 8/25/2023	379,000	379,294
Freddie Mac, 3.458%, 8/25/2023	367,000	389,046
Freddie Mac, 4.5%, 9/01/2024 - 5/01/2042	1,338,142	1,442,335
Freddie Mac, 5.5%, 10/01/2024 - 6/01/2036	883,346	993,033
Freddie Mac, 2.67%, 12/25/2024	827,000	828,565
Freddie Mac, 2.811%, 1/25/2025	642,000	650,295
Freddie Mac, 3.329%, 5/25/2025	678,000	708,736
Freddie Mac, 3.01%, 7/25/2025	225,000	229,792
Freddie Mac, 2.745%, 1/25/2026	629,000	627,013
Freddie Mac, 2.673%, 3/25/2026	900,000	891,223
Freddie Mac, 6.5%, 5/01/2037	181,399	212,929
Freddie Mac, 5%, 4/01/2040 - 7/01/2041	2,476,762	2,715,034
Freddie Mac, 4%, 1/01/2041 - 9/01/2045	2,307,031	2,427,037
Freddie Mac, 3.5%, 5/01/2042 - 4/01/2046	8,163,927	8,399,169
Freddie Mac, 3%, 4/01/2043 - 11/01/2046	4,986,192	4,983,318
Ginnie Mae, 5.5%, 7/15/2033 - 1/20/2042	1,249,359	1,416,992
Ginnie Mae, 4%, 8/15/2040 - 4/20/2041	204,638	218,007
Ginnie Mae, 4.5%, 9/20/2041	239,262	260,860

Table of Contents*Portfolio of Investments continued*

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
Mortgage-Backed - continued		
Ginnie Mae, 3.5%, 4/15/2042 - 5/20/2046	\$ 5,750,792	\$ 6,004,000
Ginnie Mae, 3%, 7/20/2043	858,760	875,950
Ginnie Mae, 6.286%, 4/20/2058	25,179	26,743
		\$ 89,643,965
Municipals - 0.4%		
State of California, 5%, 8/01/2027	\$ 360,000	\$ 424,447
State of Illinois, AGM 5.1%, 6/01/2033	245,000	240,242
		\$ 664,689
Network & Telecom - 1.0%		
Verizon Communications, Inc., 5.05%, 3/15/2034	\$ 750,000	\$ 784,462
Verizon Communications, Inc., 6.55%, 9/15/2043	793,000	988,402
		\$ 1,772,864
Oils - 0.1%		
Valero Energy Corp., 4.9%, 3/15/2045	\$ 130,000	\$ 120,405
Other Banks & Diversified Financials - 0.6%		
Discover Bank, 4.25%, 3/13/2026	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,024,527
Pharmaceuticals - 0.2%		
Actavis Funding SCS, 4.55%, 3/15/2035	\$ 261,000	\$ 257,223
Gilead Sciences, Inc., 4.5%, 2/01/2045	82,000	80,961
Gilead Sciences, Inc., 4.75%, 3/01/2046	80,000	82,211
		\$ 420,395
Real Estate - Healthcare - 0.1%		
HCP, Inc., REIT, 3.875%, 8/15/2024	\$ 267,000	\$ 264,986
Real Estate - Retail - 0.1%		
DDR Corp., REIT, 3.625%, 2/01/2025	\$ 122,000	\$ 117,803
Restaurants - 0.1%		
McDonald's Corp., 2.75%, 12/09/2020	\$ 134,000	\$ 135,567
Supranational - 0.1%		
Inter-American Development Bank, 4.375%, 1/24/2044	\$ 158,000	\$ 183,687
Tobacco - 0.8%		
Altria Group, Inc., 9.25%, 8/06/2019	\$ 55,000	\$ 65,270
Altria Group, Inc., 4%, 1/31/2024	14,000	14,788
Reynolds American, Inc., 8.125%, 6/23/2019	583,000	669,497

Table of Contents*Portfolio of Investments continued*

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
Tobacco - continued		
Reynolds American, Inc., 4%, 6/12/2022	\$ 295,000	\$ 310,728
Reynolds American, Inc., 4.45%, 6/12/2025	276,000	292,394
		\$ 1,352,677
Transportation - Services - 0.0%		
ERAC USA Finance LLC, 3.85%, 11/15/2024 (n)	\$ 34,000	\$ 34,687
U.S. Government Agencies and Equivalents - 2.8%		
AID-Tunisia, 2.452%, 7/24/2021	\$ 235,000	\$ 240,598
AID-Ukraine, 1.844%, 5/16/2019	444,000	443,333
AID-Ukraine, 1.847%, 5/29/2020	330,000	329,114
Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, 1.945%, 6/23/2019	443,000	448,514
Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, 2.503%, 10/30/2020	418,000	431,807
Private Export Funding Corp., 2.25%, 3/15/2020	86,000	87,550
Private Export Funding Corp., 2.3%, 9/15/2020	360,000	365,442
Private Export Funding Corp., 1.875%, 7/15/2018	460,000	464,647
Small Business Administration, 6.35%, 4/01/2021	52,482	55,938
Small Business Administration, 6.34%, 5/01/2021	50,065	53,340
Small Business Administration, 6.44%, 6/01/2021	63,237	66,897
Small Business Administration, 6.625%, 7/01/2021	70,702	75,517
Small Business Administration, 5.52%, 6/01/2024	141,673	151,684
Small Business Administration, 2.21%, 2/01/2033	242,552	239,737
Small Business Administration, 2.22%, 3/01/2033	395,311	391,368
Small Business Administration, 3.15%, 7/01/2033	354,040	366,873
Small Business Administration, 3.62%, 9/01/2033	316,417	333,130
Tennessee Valley Authority, 1.75%, 10/15/2018	292,000	294,968
		\$ 4,840,457
U.S. Treasury Obligations - 19.7%		
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 5.25%, 2/15/2029	\$ 48,000	\$ 61,774
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 4.75%, 2/15/2037	336,000	440,318
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 4.375%, 2/15/2038	4,842,000	6,063,661
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 4.5%, 8/15/2039	5,097,100	6,451,216
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 3.125%, 2/15/2043	453,700	463,997
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 2.875%, 5/15/2043	1,697,100	1,653,149
U.S. Treasury Bonds, 2.5%, 2/15/2045	2,183,000	1,960,351
U.S. Treasury Bonds, TIPS, 0.125%, 7/15/2024	2,069,127	2,036,507
U.S. Treasury Notes, 4.75%, 8/15/2017 (f)	838,000	861,274
U.S. Treasury Notes, 2.75%, 2/15/2019	1,654,000	1,709,823
U.S. Treasury Notes, 3.125%, 5/15/2019	300,000	313,442
U.S. Treasury Notes, 1%, 6/30/2019	3,593,000	3,566,053
U.S. Treasury Notes, 2.625%, 8/15/2020	162,000	167,740
U.S. Treasury Notes, 3.125%, 5/15/2021	5,026,000	5,310,085

Table of Contents*Portfolio of Investments continued*

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
U.S. Bonds - continued		
U.S. Treasury Obligations - continued		
U.S. Treasury Notes, 1.75%, 5/15/2022	\$ 508,000	\$ 501,809
U.S. Treasury Notes, 2.75%, 2/15/2024	577,000	597,781
U.S. Treasury Notes, 2.5%, 5/15/2024	2,047,000	2,083,783
U.S. Treasury Notes, 2%, 8/15/2025	98,000	95,316
		\$ 34,338,079
Total U.S. Bonds		\$ 164,649,153
Foreign Bonds - 4.4%		
Brazil - 0.2%		
Federative Republic of Brazil, 5.625%, 1/07/2041	\$ 162,000	\$ 140,875
Vale Overseas Ltd., 6.875%, 11/10/2039	165,000	156,268
		\$ 297,143
Canada - 0.1%		
Teck Resources Ltd., 6%, 8/15/2040	\$ 200,000	\$ 194,000
Chile - 0.6%		
Engie Energia Chile S.A., 5.625%, 1/15/2021	\$ 566,000	\$ 608,197
GNL Quintero S.A., 4.634%, 7/31/2029 (n)	200,000	197,500
Transec S.A., 4.25%, 1/14/2025	200,000	200,754
		\$ 1,006,451
China - 0.7%		
Baidu, Inc., 3.25%, 8/06/2018	\$ 240,000	\$ 244,622
CNPC (HK) Overseas Capital Ltd., 4.5%, 4/28/2021 (n)	226,000	240,671
State Grid Overseas Investment (2014) Ltd., 4.125%, 5/07/2024 (n)	690,000	720,907
		\$ 1,206,200
France - 0.2%		
Banque Federative du Credit Mutuel S.A., 2.5%, 4/13/2021 (n)	\$ 395,000	\$ 394,151
Kazakhstan - 0.1%		
Republic of Kazakhstan, 5.125%, 7/21/2025 (n)	\$ 201,000	\$ 212,682
Mexico - 1.2%		
Comision Federal de Electricidad, 4.875%, 5/26/2021	\$ 277,000	\$ 281,155
Petroleos Mexicanos, 5.625%, 1/23/2046	23,000	17,878
Petroleos Mexicanos, 4.25%, 1/15/2025	15,000	13,274
Petroleos Mexicanos, 4.875%, 1/18/2024	95,000	88,996
Petroleos Mexicanos, 5.5%, 6/27/2044	12,000	9,282
Petroleos Mexicanos, 5.5%, 1/21/2021	130,000	131,690
Petroleos Mexicanos, 6%, 3/05/2020	202,000	210,585
Petroleos Mexicanos, 6.75%, 9/21/2047 (n)	21,000	18,420

Table of Contents*Portfolio of Investments continued*

Issuer	Shares/Par	Value (\$)
Bonds - continued		
Foreign Bonds - continued		
Mexico - continued		
Petroleos Mexicanos, 4.625%, 9/21/2023 (n)	\$ 53,000	\$ 49,740
Southern Copper Corp., 5.875%, 4/23/2045	80,000	76,326
United Mexican States, 3.625%, 3/15/2022	1,164,000	1,161,090
		\$ 2,058,436
Netherlands - 0.5%		
ING Bank N.V., 5.8%, 9/25/2023 (n)	\$ 769,000	\$ 840,167
Panama - 0.1%		
Republic of Panama, 3.875%, 3/17/2028	\$ 213,000	\$ 209,273
Peru - 0.3%		
El Fondo Mivivienda S.A., 3.5%, 1/31/2023	\$ 226,000	\$ 222,542
Republic of Peru, 8.75%, 11/21/2033	180,000	265,050
		\$ 487,592
Romania - 0.1%		
Republic of Romania, 4.375%, 8/22/2023 (n)	\$ 36,000	\$ 37,134
Republic of Romania, 4.875%, 1/22/2024 (n)	58,000	61,625
		\$ 98,759
Russia - 0.1%		
LUKOIL International Finance B.V., 4.563%, 4/24/2023	\$ 213,000	\$ 211,775
United Kingdom - 0.2%		
Imperial Tobacco Finance PLC, 3.75%, 7/21/2022 (n)	\$ 266,000	\$ 272,404
Royal Bank of Scotland Group PLC, 6%, 12/19/2023	124,000	125,428
		\$ 397,832
Uruguay - 0.0%		
Oriental Republic of Uruguay, 4.5%, 8/14/2024	\$ 26,762	\$ 27,873
Oriental Republic of Uruguay, 4.375%, 10/27/2027	47,300	47,182
		\$ 75,055
Total Foreign Bonds		\$ 7,689,516
Total Bonds (Identified Cost, \$167,369,039)		\$ 172,338,669
Money Market Funds - 5.9%		
MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio, 0.46% (v) (Identified Cost, \$10,282,023)	10,282,023	\$ 10,282,023
Total Investments (Identified Cost, \$177,651,062)		\$ 182,620,692
Other Assets, Less Liabilities - (4.7)%		(8,225,118)
Net Assets - 100.0%		\$ 174,395,574

Table of Contents

Portfolio of Investments continued

- (f) All or a portion of the security has been segregated as collateral for open futures contracts.
- (n) Securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in the ordinary course of business in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At period end, the aggregate value of these securities was \$4,057,411 representing 2.3% of net assets.
- (v) Underlying affiliated fund that is available only to investment companies managed by MFS. The rate quoted for the MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio is the annualized seven-day yield of the fund at period end.

The following abbreviations are used in this report and are defined:

- FRN Floating Rate Note. Interest rate resets periodically and the current rate may not be the rate reported at period end.
- PLC Public Limited Company
- REIT Real Estate Investment Trust
- TBA To Be Announced
- TIPS Treasury Inflation Protected Security

Insurers

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal

Derivative Contracts at 11/30/16

Futures Contracts at 11/30/16

Description	Currency	Contracts	Value	Expiration Date	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Asset Derivatives					
<i>Interest Rate Futures</i>					
U.S. Treasury Bond 30 yr (Short)	USD	45	\$6,807,656	March - 2017	\$7,217
U.S. Treasury Note 5 yr (Long)	USD	50	5,892,188	March - 2017	605
					\$7,822

Description	Currency	Contracts	Value	Expiration Date	Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation)
Liability Derivatives					
<i>Interest Rate Futures</i>					
U.S. Treasury Note 10 yr (Long)	USD	45	\$5,603,203	March - 2017	\$(12,986)
U.S. Ultra Bond (Long)	USD	11	1,775,469	March - 2017	(1,158)
					\$(14,144)

At November 30, 2016, the fund had liquid securities with an aggregate value of \$82,222 to cover any commitments for certain derivative contracts.

See Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents*Financial Statements***STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES**

At 11/30/16

This statement represents your fund's balance sheet, which details the assets and liabilities comprising the total value of the fund.

Assets	
Investments	
Non-affiliated issuers, at value (identified cost, \$167,369,039)	\$172,338,669
Underlying affiliated funds, at value (identified cost, \$10,282,023)	10,282,023
Total investments, at value (identified cost, \$177,651,062)	\$182,620,692
Receivables for	
Daily variation margin on open futures contracts	6,094
Investments sold	213,921
TBA sale commitments	8,144,629
Interest	1,126,486
Other assets	67,981
Total assets	\$192,179,803
Liabilities	
Payables for	
Distributions	\$63,612
Investments purchased	6,492,235
TBA purchase commitments	11,079,835
Payable to affiliates	
Investment adviser	5,248
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	1,958
Payable for independent Trustees' compensation	28,637
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	112,704
Total liabilities	\$17,784,229
Net assets	\$174,395,574
Net assets consist of	
Paid-in capital	\$177,956,740
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	4,963,308
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments	(8,432,241)
Accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income	(92,233)
Net assets	\$174,395,574
Shares of beneficial interest outstanding	32,601,117
Net asset value per share (net assets of \$174,395,574 / 32,601,117 shares of beneficial interest outstanding)	\$5.35
See Notes to Financial Statements	

Table of Contents*Financial Statements***STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

Year ended 11/30/16

This statement describes how much your fund earned in investment income and accrued in expenses. It also describes any gains and/or losses generated by fund operations.

Net investment income	
Income	
Interest	\$6,395,884
Other	5,224
Dividends from underlying affiliated funds	10,253
Total investment income	\$6,411,361
Expenses	
Management fee	\$970,072
Transfer agent and dividend disbursing costs	73,194
Administrative services fee	38,172
Independent Trustees compensation	40,754
Stock exchange fee	31,749
Custodian fee	16,918
Reimbursement of custodian expenses	(60,213)
Shareholder communications	91,967
Audit and tax fees	77,536
Legal fees	4,646
Miscellaneous	28,370
Total expenses	\$1,313,165
Net investment income	\$5,098,196
Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments	
Realized gain (loss) (identified cost basis)	
Investments:	
Non-affiliated issuers	\$1,455,622
Futures contracts	(579,761)
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	\$875,861
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	
Investments	\$(3,067,140)
Futures contracts	9,971
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments	\$(3,057,169)
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments	\$(2,181,308)
Change in net assets from operations	\$2,916,888

See Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents*Financial Statements***STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS**

These statements describe the increases and/or decreases in net assets resulting from operations, any distributions, and any shareholder transactions.

	Years ended 11/30	
	2016	2015
Change in net assets		
From operations		
Net investment income	\$5,098,196	\$5,450,453
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	875,861	884,699
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments	(3,057,169)	(5,360,944)
Change in net assets from operations	\$2,916,888	\$974,208
Distributions declared to shareholders		
From net investment income	\$(6,599,348)	\$(6,353,702)
From tax return of capital	(6,745,927)	(7,627,955)
Total distributions declared to shareholders	\$(13,345,275)	\$(13,981,657)
Total change in net assets	\$(10,428,387)	\$(13,007,449)
Net assets		
At beginning of period	184,823,961	197,831,410
At end of period (including accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income of \$92,233 and \$101,842, respectively)	\$174,395,574	\$184,823,961

See Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents*Financial Statements***FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS**

The financial highlights table is intended to help you understand the fund's financial performance for the past 5 years. Certain information reflects financial results for a single fund share. The total returns in the table represent the rate by which an investor would have earned (or lost) on an investment in the fund share class (assuming reinvestment of all distributions) held for the entire period.

	Years ended 11/30				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$5.67	\$6.07	\$6.24	\$6.88	\$7.01
Income (loss) from investment operations					
Net investment income (d)	\$0.16(c)	\$0.17	\$0.18	\$0.20	\$0.23
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments and foreign currency	(0.07)	(0.14)	0.10	(0.36)	0.15
Total from investment operations	\$0.09	\$0.03	\$0.28	\$(0.16)	\$0.38
Less distributions declared to shareholders					
From net investment income	\$(0.20)	\$(0.20)	\$(0.22)	\$(0.23)	\$(0.32)
From tax return of capital	(0.21)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.25)	(0.19)
Total distributions declared to shareholders	\$(0.41)	\$(0.43)	\$(0.45)	\$(0.48)	\$(0.51)
Net asset value, end of period (x)	\$5.35	\$5.67	\$6.07	\$6.24	\$6.88
Market value, end of period	\$5.06	\$5.26	\$5.80	\$5.62	\$6.76
Total return at market value (%)	3.82	(2.02)	11.46	(10.19)	6.12
Total return at net asset value (%) (j)(r)(s)(x)	1.84(c)	0.92	5.06	(2.02)	5.54
Ratios (%) (to average net assets) and Supplemental data:					
Expenses before expense reductions (f)	0.72(c)	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.78
Expenses after expense reductions (f)	N/A	N/A	0.75	0.75	0.78
Net investment income	2.78(c)	2.84	2.93	3.04	3.36
Portfolio turnover	41	74	62	100	41
Net assets at end of period (000 omitted)	\$174,396	\$184,824	\$197,831	\$203,337	\$223,942

Table of Contents

Financial Highlights continued

- (c) Amount reflects a one-time reimbursement of expenses by the custodian (or former custodian) without which net investment income and performance would be lower and expenses would be higher. See Note 2 in the Notes to Financial Statements for additional information.
- (d) Per share data is based on average shares outstanding.
- (f) Ratios do not reflect reductions from fees paid indirectly, if applicable.
- (j) Total return at net asset value is calculated using the net asset value of the fund, not the publicly traded price and therefore may be different than the total return at market value.
- (r) Certain expenses have been reduced without which performance would have been lower.
- (s) From time to time the fund may receive proceeds from litigation settlements, without which performance would be lower.
- (x) The net asset values and total returns at net asset value have been calculated on net assets which include adjustments made in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles required at period end for financial reporting purposes.

See Notes to Financial Statements

Table of Contents

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Business and Organization

MFS Government Markets Income Trust (the fund) is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a diversified closed-end management investment company.

The fund is an investment company and accordingly follows the investment company accounting and reporting guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946 Financial Services – Investment Companies.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

General The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In the preparation of these financial statements, management has evaluated subsequent events occurring after the date of the fund’s Statement of Assets and Liabilities through the date that the financial statements were issued.

In October 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) released its Final Rule on Investment Company Reporting Modernization (the Rule). The Rule, which introduces two new regulatory reporting forms for investment companies – Form N-PORT and Form N-CEN – also contains amendments to Regulation S-X which impact financial statement presentation, particularly the presentation of derivative investments. Although still evaluating the impacts of the Rule, management believes that many of the Regulation S-X amendments are consistent with the fund’s current financial statement presentation and expects that the fund will be able to comply with the Rule’s Regulation S-X amendments by the August 1, 2017 compliance date.

Balance Sheet Offsetting The fund’s accounting policy with respect to balance sheet offsetting is that, absent an event of default by the counterparty or a termination of the agreement, the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) Master Agreement does not result in an offset of reported amounts of financial assets and financial liabilities in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities across transactions between the fund and the applicable counterparty. The fund’s right to setoff may be restricted or prohibited by the bankruptcy or insolvency laws of the particular jurisdiction to which a specific master netting agreement counterparty is subject. Balance sheet offsetting disclosures, to the extent applicable to the fund, have been included in the fund’s Significant Accounting Policies note under the captions for each of the fund’s in-scope financial instruments and transactions.

Investment Valuations Debt instruments and floating rate loans, including restricted debt instruments, are generally valued at an evaluated or composite bid as provided by a third-party pricing service. Short-term instruments with a maturity at issuance of 60 days or less may be valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Futures contracts are generally valued at last posted settlement price on

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements continued

their primary exchange as provided by a third-party pricing service. Futures contracts for which there were no trades that day for a particular position are generally valued at the closing bid quotation on their primary exchange as provided by a third-party pricing service. Open-end investment companies are generally valued at net asset value per share. Securities and other assets generally valued on the basis of information from a third-party pricing service may also be valued at a broker/dealer bid quotation. Values obtained from third-party pricing services can utilize both transaction data and market information such as yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, trading characteristics, and other market data. The values of foreign securities and other assets and liabilities expressed in foreign currencies are converted to U.S. dollars using the mean of bid and asked prices for rates provided by a third-party pricing service.

The Board of Trustees has delegated primary responsibility for determining or causing to be determined the value of the fund's investments (including any fair valuation) to the adviser pursuant to valuation policies and procedures approved by the Board. If the adviser determines that reliable market quotations are not readily available, investments are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by the adviser in accordance with such procedures under the oversight of the Board of Trustees. Under the fund's valuation policies and procedures, market quotations are not considered to be readily available for most types of debt instruments and floating rate loans and many types of derivatives. These investments are generally valued at fair value based on information from third-party pricing services. In addition, investments may be valued at fair value if the adviser determines that an investment's value has been materially affected by events occurring after the close of the exchange or market on which the investment is principally traded (such as foreign exchange or market) and prior to the determination of the fund's net asset value, or after the halting of trading of a specific security where trading does not resume prior to the close of the exchange or market on which the security is principally traded. The adviser generally relies on third-party pricing services or other information (such as the correlation with price movements of similar securities in the same or other markets; the type, cost and investment characteristics of the security; the business and financial condition of the issuer; and trading and other market data) to assist in determining whether to fair value and at what value to fair value an investment. The value of an investment for purposes of calculating the fund's net asset value can differ depending on the source and method used to determine value. When fair valuation is used, the value of an investment used to determine the fund's net asset value may differ from quoted or published prices for the same investment. There can be no assurance that the fund could obtain the fair value assigned to an investment if it were to sell the investment at the same time at which the fund determines its net asset value per share.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the fund's assets or liabilities. These inputs are categorized into three broad levels. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fund's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the investment. Level 1 includes unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 includes other

Table of Contents*Notes to Financial Statements continued*

significant observable market-based inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speed, and credit risk). Level 3 includes unobservable inputs, which may include the adviser's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments. Other financial instruments are derivative instruments not reflected in total investments, such as futures contracts. The following is a summary of the levels used as of November 30, 2016 in valuing the fund's assets or liabilities:

Investments at Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. Treasury Bonds & U.S. Government Agency & Equivalents	\$	\$51,396,194	\$	\$51,396,194
Non-U.S. Sovereign Debt		4,351,610		4,351,610
Municipal Bonds		664,689		664,689
U.S. Corporate Bonds		17,716,516		17,716,516
Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities		89,643,970		89,643,970
Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities		4,307,668		4,307,668
Asset-Backed Securities (including CDOs)		736,430		736,430
Foreign Bonds		3,521,592		3,521,592
Mutual Funds	10,282,023			10,282,023
Total Investments	\$10,282,023	\$172,338,669	\$	\$182,620,692

Other Financial Instruments

Futures Contracts	\$(6,322)	\$	\$	\$(6,322)
-------------------	-----------	----	----	-----------

For further information regarding security characteristics, see the Portfolio of Investments.

Inflation-Adjusted Debt Securities The fund invests in inflation-adjusted debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury. The principal value of these debt securities is adjusted through income according to changes in the Consumer Price Index. These debt securities typically pay a fixed rate of interest, but this fixed rate is applied to the inflation-adjusted principal amount. The principal paid at maturity of the debt security is typically equal to the inflation-adjusted principal amount, or the security's original par value, whichever is greater. Other types of inflation-adjusted securities may use other methods to adjust for other measures of inflation.

Foreign Currency Translation Purchases and sales of foreign investments, income, and expenses are converted into U.S. dollars based upon currency exchange rates prevailing on the respective dates of such transactions or on the reporting date for foreign denominated receivables and payables. Gains and losses attributable to foreign currency exchange rates on sales of securities are recorded for financial statement purposes as net realized gains and losses on investments. Gains and losses attributable to foreign exchange rate movements on receivables, payables, income and expenses are recorded for financial statement purposes as foreign currency transaction gains and losses. That portion of both realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments that results from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates is not separately disclosed.

Table of Contents*Notes to Financial Statements continued*

Derivatives The fund uses derivatives for different purposes, primarily to increase or decrease exposure to a particular market or segment of the market, or security, to increase or decrease interest rate or currency exposure, or as alternatives to direct investments. Derivatives are used for hedging or non-hedging purposes. While hedging can reduce or eliminate losses, it can also reduce or eliminate gains. When the fund uses derivatives as an investment to increase market exposure, or for hedging purposes, gains and losses from derivative instruments may be substantially greater than the derivative's original cost.

The derivative instruments used by the fund were futures contracts. The fund's period end derivatives, as presented in the Portfolio of Investments and the associated Derivative Contract tables, generally are indicative of the volume of its derivative activity during the period.

The following table presents, by major type of derivative contract, the fair value, on a gross basis, of the asset and liability components of derivatives held by the fund at November 30, 2016 as reported in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities:

Risk	Derivative Contracts	Fair Value (a)	
		Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives
Interest Rate	Interest Rate Futures	\$7,822	\$(14,144)

(a) The value of futures contracts includes cumulative appreciation (depreciation) as reported in the fund's Portfolio of Investments. Only the current day net variation margin for futures contracts is separately reported within the fund's Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

The following table presents, by major type of derivative contract, the realized gain (loss) on derivatives held by the fund for the year ended November 30, 2016 as reported in the Statement of Operations:

Risk	Futures Contracts
Interest Rate	\$(579,761)

The following table presents, by major type of derivative contract, the change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on derivatives held by the fund for the year ended November 30, 2016 as reported in the Statement of Operations:

Risk	Futures Contracts
Interest Rate	\$9,971

Derivative counterparty credit risk is managed through formal evaluation of the creditworthiness of all potential counterparties. On certain, but not all, uncleared derivatives, the fund attempts to reduce its exposure to counterparty credit risk whenever possible by entering into an ISDA Master Agreement on a bilateral basis. The ISDA Master Agreement gives each party to the agreement the right to terminate all transactions traded under such agreement if there is a certain deterioration in the credit quality of the other party. Upon an event of default or a termination of the ISDA Master Agreement, the non-defaulting party has the right to close out all transactions traded under such agreement and to net amounts owed under each agreement to one net amount payable by one party to the other. This right to close out and net payments across all transactions traded under the ISDA Master Agreement could result in a

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements continued

reduction of the fund's credit risk to such counterparty equal to any amounts payable by the fund under the applicable transactions, if any.

Collateral and margin requirements differ by type of derivative. Margin requirements are set by the clearing broker and the clearing house for cleared derivatives (e.g., futures contracts, cleared swaps, and exchange-traded options) while collateral terms are contract specific for uncleared derivatives (e.g., forward foreign currency exchange contracts, uncleared swap agreements, and uncleared options). For derivatives traded under an ISDA Master Agreement, which contains a collateral support annex, the collateral requirements are netted across all transactions traded under such agreement and one amount is posted from one party to the other to collateralize such obligations. Cash that has been segregated to cover the fund's collateral or margin obligations under derivative contracts, if any, will be reported separately in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Restricted cash or Deposits with brokers. Securities pledged as collateral or margin for the same purpose, if any, are noted in the Portfolio of Investments. The fund may be required to make payments of interest on uncovered collateral or margin obligations with the broker. Any such payments are included in Miscellaneous expense in the Statement of Operations.

Futures Contracts The fund entered into futures contracts which may be used to hedge against or obtain broad market exposure, interest rate exposure, currency exposure, or to manage duration. A futures contract represents a commitment for the future purchase or sale of an asset at a specified price on a specified date.

Upon entering into a futures contract, the fund is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an initial margin in an amount equal to a certain percentage of the notional amount of the contract. Subsequent payments (variation margin) are made or received by the fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract, and are recorded for financial statement purposes as unrealized gain or loss by the fund until the contract is closed or expires at which point the gain or loss on futures contracts is realized.

The fund bears the risk of interest rates, exchange rates or securities prices moving unexpectedly, in which case, the fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the futures contracts and may realize a loss. While futures contracts may present less counterparty risk to the fund since the contracts are exchange traded and the exchange's clearinghouse guarantees payments to the broker, there is still counterparty credit risk due to the insolvency of the broker. The fund's maximum risk of loss due to counterparty credit risk is equal to the margin posted by the fund to the broker plus any gains or minus any losses on the outstanding futures contracts.

Dollar Roll Transactions The fund enters into dollar roll transactions, with respect to mortgage-backed securities issued by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac, in which the fund sells mortgage-backed securities to financial institutions and simultaneously agrees to purchase similar (same issuer, type and coupon) securities at a later date at an agreed-upon price. During the period between the sale and repurchase in a dollar roll transaction the fund will not be entitled to receive interest and principal payments on the securities sold but is compensated by interest earned on the proceeds of the initial sale and by a lower purchase price on the securities to be repurchased

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements continued

which enhances the fund's total return. The fund accounts for dollar roll transactions as purchases and sales and realizes gains and losses on these transactions.

Indemnifications Under the fund's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to the fund. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the fund enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. The fund's maximum exposure under these agreements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the fund that have not yet occurred.

Investment Transactions and Income Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. All premium and discount is amortized or accreted for financial statement purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Some securities may be purchased on a when-issued or forward delivery basis, which means that the securities will be delivered to the fund at a future date, usually beyond customary settlement time. Inflation-indexed bonds are fixed-income securities whose principal value is periodically adjusted upward or downward based on the rate of inflation. Interest is accrued based on the principal value, which is adjusted for inflation. Any increase or decrease in the principal amount of an inflation-indexed bond is generally recorded as an increase or decrease in interest income, respectively, even though the adjusted principal is not received until maturity. Interest payments received in additional securities are recorded on the ex-interest date in an amount equal to the value of the security on such date.

The fund may receive proceeds from litigation settlements. Any proceeds received from litigation involving portfolio holdings are reflected in the Statement of Operations in realized gain/loss if the security has been disposed of by the fund or in unrealized gain/loss if the security is still held by the fund. Any other proceeds from litigation not related to portfolio holdings are reflected as other income in the Statement of Operations.

The fund invests a significant portion of its assets in asset-backed and/or mortgage-backed securities. The value of these securities may depend, in part, on the issuer's or borrower's credit quality or ability to pay principal and interest when due and that value may fall if an issuer or borrower defaults on its obligation to pay principal or interest or if the instrument's credit rating is downgraded by a credit rating agency. U.S. Government securities not supported as to the payment of principal or interest by the U.S. Treasury, such as those issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Home Loan Banks, are subject to greater credit risk than are U.S. Government securities supported by the U.S. Treasury, such as those issued by Ginnie Mae.

The fund purchased or sold debt securities on a when-issued or delayed delivery basis, or in a To Be Announced (TBA) or forward commitment transaction with delivery or payment to occur at a later date beyond the normal settlement period. At the time a fund enters into a commitment to purchase or sell a security, the transaction is recorded and the value of the security acquired is reflected in the fund's net asset value. The price of such security and the date that the security will be delivered and paid for are fixed at the time the transaction is negotiated. The value of the security may vary with market fluctuations. TBA securities resulting from these transactions are

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements continued

included in the Portfolio of Investments. TBA purchase commitments and TBA sale commitments are held at carrying amount, which approximates fair value and are categorized as level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. No interest accrues to the fund until payment takes place. At the time that a fund enters into this type of transaction, the fund is required to have sufficient cash and/or liquid securities to cover its commitments. Losses may arise due to changes in the value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract's terms, or if the issuer does not issue the securities due to political, economic or other factors. Additionally, losses may arise due to declines in the value of the securities prior to settlement date.

To mitigate this risk of loss on TBA securities and other types of forward settling mortgage-backed securities, the fund whenever possible enters into a Master Securities Forward Transaction Agreement (MSFTA) on a bilateral basis with each of the counterparties with whom it undertakes a significant volume of transactions. The MSFTA gives each party to the agreement the right to terminate all transactions traded under such agreement if there is a certain deterioration in the credit quality of the other party. Upon an event of default or a termination of the MSFTA, the non-defaulting party has the right to close out all transactions traded under such agreement and to net amounts owed under each transaction to one net amount payable by one party to the other. This right to close out and net payments across all transactions traded under the MSFTA could result in a reduction of the fund's credit risk to such counterparty equal to any amounts payable by the fund under the applicable transactions, if any.

For mortgage-backed securities traded under a MSFTA, the collateral and margining requirements are contract specific. Collateral amounts across all transactions traded under such agreement are netted and one amount is posted from one party to the other to collateralize such obligations. Cash that has been pledged to cover the fund's collateral or margin obligations under a MSFTA, if any, will be reported separately on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as restricted cash. Securities pledged as collateral or margin for the same purpose, if any, are noted in the Portfolio of Investments.

Reimbursement of Expenses by Custodian In December 2015, the fund's custodian (or former custodian), State Street Bank and Trust Company, announced that it intended to reimburse its asset servicing clients for expense amounts that it billed in error during the period 1998 through 2015. The amount of this one-time reimbursement attributable to the fund is reflected as Reimbursement of custodian expenses in the Statement of Operations.

Tax Matters and Distributions The fund intends to qualify as a regulated investment company, as defined under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code, and to distribute all of its taxable income, including realized capital gains. As a result, no provision for federal income tax is required. The fund's federal tax returns, when filed, will remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a three year period. Management has analyzed the fund's tax positions taken on federal and state tax returns for all open tax years and does not believe that there are any uncertain tax positions that require recognition of a tax liability. Foreign taxes, if any, have been accrued by the fund in the accompanying financial statements in accordance with the applicable foreign tax law. Foreign income taxes may be withheld by certain countries

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements continued

in which the fund invests. Additionally, capital gains realized by the fund on securities issued in or by certain foreign countries may be subject to capital gains tax imposed by those countries.

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The fund seeks to pay monthly distributions based on an annual rate of 7.25% of the fund's average monthly net asset value. As a result, distributions may exceed actual earnings which may result in a tax return of capital or, to the extent the fund has long-term gains, distributions of current year long-term gains may be recharacterized as ordinary income. Income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Certain capital accounts in the financial statements are periodically adjusted for permanent differences in order to reflect their tax character. These adjustments have no impact on net assets or net asset value per share. Temporary differences which arise from recognizing certain items of income, expense, gain or loss in different periods for financial statement and tax purposes will reverse at some time in the future. Distributions from other sources, in excess of net investment income or net realized gains are temporary overdistributions for financial statement purposes resulting from differences in the recognition or classification of income or distributions for financial statement and tax purposes.

Book/tax differences primarily relate to expiration of capital loss carryforwards, amortization and accretion of debt securities, and straddle loss deferrals.

The tax character of distributions declared to shareholders for the last two fiscal years is as follows:

	11/30/16	11/30/15
Ordinary income (including any short-term capital gains)	\$6,599,348	\$6,353,702
Tax return of capital (b)	6,745,927	7,627,955
Total distributions	\$13,345,275	\$13,981,657

(b) Distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as a tax return of capital.

The federal tax cost and the tax basis components of distributable earnings were as follows:

As of 11/30/16	
Cost of investments	\$182,866,450
Gross appreciation	5,468,693
Gross depreciation	(5,714,451)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$(245,758)
Capital loss carryforwards	(884,601)
Other temporary differences	(2,430,807)

Under the Regulated Investment Company Modernization Act of 2010 (the Act), net capital losses recognized for fund fiscal years beginning after November 30, 2011 may be carried forward indefinitely, and their character is retained as short-term and/or long-term losses (post-enactment losses). Previously, net capital losses were carried

Table of Contents*Notes to Financial Statements continued*

forward for eight years and treated as short-term losses (pre-enactment losses). As a transition rule, the Act requires that all post-enactment net capital losses be used before pre-enactment net capital losses. As of November 30, 2016, the fund had capital loss carryforwards available to offset future realized gains as follows:

Pre-enactment losses which expire as**follows:**

11/30/17	\$(129,764)
11/30/18	(199,149)
Total	\$(328,913)

Post-enactment losses which are characterized as follows:

Short-Term	\$(104,117)
Long-Term	(451,571)
Total	\$(555,688)

(3) Transactions with Affiliates

Investment Adviser The fund has an investment advisory agreement with MFS to provide overall investment management and related administrative services and facilities to the fund. The management fee is computed daily and paid monthly at an annual rate of 0.32% of the fund's average daily net assets and 5.33% of gross income. Gross income is calculated based on tax elections that generally include the accretion of discount and exclude the amortization of premium, which may differ from investment income reported in the Statement of Operations. MFS has agreed to reduce its management fee to the lesser of the contractual management fee as set forth above or 0.85% of the fund's average daily net assets. This written agreement will continue until modified by the fund's Board of Trustees, but such agreement will continue at least until November 30, 2017. For the year ended November 30, 2016, the fund's average daily net assets and gross income did not meet the thresholds required to waive the management fee under this agreement. The management fee, from net assets and gross income, incurred for the year ended November 30, 2016 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.53% of the fund's average daily net assets.

The investment adviser has agreed in writing to pay a portion of the fund's total annual operating expenses, excluding interest, taxes, extraordinary expenses, brokerage and transaction costs, and investment-related expenses, such that total annual operating expenses do not exceed 0.80% annually of the fund's average daily net assets. This written agreement will continue until modified by the fund's Board of Trustees, but such agreement will continue at least until November 30, 2017. For the year ended November 30, 2016, the fund's actual operating expenses did not exceed the limit and therefore, the investment adviser did not pay any portion of the fund's expenses related to this agreement.

Transfer Agent The fund engages Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (Computershare) as the sole transfer agent for the fund. MFS Service Center, Inc. (MFSC) monitors and supervises the activities of Computershare for an agreed upon fee approved by the Board of Trustees. For the year ended November 30, 2016, these fees paid to MFSC amounted to \$22,024.

Table of Contents

Notes to Financial Statements continued

Administrator MFS provides certain financial, legal, shareholder communications, compliance, and other administrative services to the fund. Under an administrative services agreement, the fund reimburses MFS the costs incurred to provide these services. The fund is charged an annual fixed amount of \$17,500 plus a fee based on average daily net assets. The administrative services fee incurred for the year ended November 30, 2016 was equivalent to an annual effective rate of 0.0208% of the fund's average daily net assets.

Trustees and Officers Compensation The fund pays compensation to independent Trustees in the form of a retainer, attendance fees, and additional compensation to Board and Committee chairpersons. The fund does not pay compensation directly to Trustees or officers of the fund who are also officers of the investment adviser, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the fund from MFS. Certain officers and Trustees of the fund are officers or directors of MFS and MFSC.

Prior to December 31, 2001, the fund had an unfunded defined benefit plan (DB plan) for independent Trustees. As of December 31, 2001, the Board took action to terminate the DB plan with respect to then-current and any future independent Trustees, such that the DB plan covers only certain of those former independent Trustees who retired on or before December 31, 2001. The DB plan resulted in a pension expense of \$3,927 and is included in Independent Trustees compensation in the Statement of Operations for the year ended November 30, 2016. The liability for deferred retirement benefits payable to certain independent Trustees under the DB plan amounted to \$28,621 at November 30, 2016, and is included in Payable for independent Trustees compensation in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Other This fund and certain other funds managed by MFS (the funds) have entered into a service agreement (the ISO Agreement) which provides for payment of fees solely by the funds to Tarantino LLC in return for the provision of services of an Independent Senior Officer (ISO) for the funds. Frank L. Tarantino serves as the ISO and is an officer of the funds and the sole member of Tarantino LLC. The funds can terminate the ISO Agreement with Tarantino LLC at any time under the terms of the ISO Agreement. For the year ended November 30, 2016, the fee paid by the fund under this agreement was \$376 and is included in Miscellaneous expense in the Statement of Operations. MFS has agreed to bear all expenses associated with office space, other administrative support, and supplies provided to the ISO.

The fund invests in the MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio which is managed by MFS and seeks current income consistent with preservation of capital and liquidity. This money market fund does not pay a management fee to MFS.

(4) Portfolio Securities

For the year ended November 30, 2016, purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, were as follows:

	Purchases	Sales
U.S. Government securities	\$70,445,506	\$73,880,693
Investments (non-U.S. Government securities)	\$ 4,982,855	\$14,723,860

Table of Contents*Notes to Financial Statements continued***(5) Shares of Beneficial Interest**

The fund's Declaration of Trust permits the Trustees to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional shares of beneficial interest. The Trustees have authorized the repurchase by the fund of up to 10% annually of its own shares of beneficial interest. During the year ended November 30, 2016 and the year ended November 30, 2015, there were no transactions in fund shares.

(6) Line of Credit

The fund and certain other funds managed by MFS participate in a \$1.25 billion unsecured committed line of credit, subject to a \$1 billion sublimit, provided by a syndication of banks under a credit agreement. Borrowings may be made for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, generally at a rate equal to the higher of the Overnight Federal Reserve funds rate or daily one month LIBOR plus an agreed upon spread. A commitment fee, based on the average daily, unused portion of the committed line of credit, is allocated among the participating funds at the end of each calendar quarter. In addition, the fund and other funds managed by MFS have established unsecured uncommitted borrowing arrangements with certain banks for temporary financing needs. Interest is charged to each fund, based on its borrowings, at a rate equal to the Overnight Federal Reserve funds rate plus an agreed upon spread. For the year ended November 30, 2016, the fund's commitment fee and interest expense were \$1,006 and \$0, respectively, and are included in Miscellaneous expense in the Statement of Operations.

(7) Transactions in Underlying Affiliated Funds-Affiliated Issuers

An affiliated issuer may be considered one in which the fund owns 5% or more of the outstanding voting securities, or a company which is under common control. For the purposes of this report, the fund assumes the following to be an affiliated issuer:

Underlying Affiliated Fund	Beginning Shares/Par Amount	Acquisitions Shares/Par Amount	Dispositions Shares/Par Amount	Ending Shares/Par Amount
MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio	6,796,142	43,402,625	(39,916,744)	10,282,023
Underlying Affiliated Fund	Realized Gain (Loss)	Capital Gain Distributions	Dividend Income	Ending Value
MFS Institutional Money Market Portfolio	\$	\$	\$10,253	\$10,282,023

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trustees and the Shareholders of MFS Government Markets Income Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolio of investments, of MFS Government Markets Income Trust (the Fund) as of November 30, 2016, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Fund is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2016, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; when replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MFS Government Markets Income Trust as of November 30, 2016, the results of its operations for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

January 13, 2017

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF SHAREHOLDER MEETING**

(unaudited)

At the annual meeting of shareholders of MFS Government Markets Income Trust, which was held on October 6, 2016, the following action was taken:

Item 1: To elect the following individuals as Trustees:

Nominee	Number of Shares	
	For	Withheld Authority
Maureen R. Goldfarb	24,381,397.130	3,865,629.382
Robert J. Manning	24,432,350.360	3,814,676.152
Maryanne L. Roepke	24,392,728.021	3,854,298.491
Laurie J. Thomsen	24,387,035.435	3,859,991.077

37

Table of Contents**TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS IDENTIFICATION AND BACKGROUND**

The Trustees and Officers of the Trust, as of January 1, 2017, are listed below, together with their principal occupations during the past five years. (Their titles may have varied during that period.) The address of each Trustee and Officer is 111 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-7618.

Name, Age	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	Principal Occupations During	Other
	with Fund	Since ^(b)	Expiring ^(l)	the Past Five Years	Directorships ^(j)
INTERESTED TRUSTEES					
Robert J. Manning ^(k) (age 53)	Trustee	February 2004	2019	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Executive Chairman	N/A
Robin A. Stelmach ^(k) (age 55)	Trustee	January 2014	2018	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice Chair	N/A
INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES					
David H. Gunning (age 74)	Trustee and Chair of Trustees	January 2004	2018	Private investor	Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc., Director; Development Alternatives, Inc., Director/Non-Executive Chairman
Steven E. Buller (age 65)	Trustee	February 2014	2017	Chairman, Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Council (until 2015); Standing Advisory Group, Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, Member (until 2014); BlackRock, Inc. (investment management), Managing Director (until 2014), BlackRock Finco UK (investment management), Director (until 2014)	N/A
Maureen R. Goldfarb (age 61)	Trustee	January 2009	2019	Private investor	N/A

Table of Contents*Trustees and Officers continued*

Name, Age	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	Principal Occupations During the Past Five Years	Other
Michael Hegarty (age 72)	Trustee	December 2004	2017	Private investor	Rouse Properties Inc., Director; Capmark Financial Group Inc., Director (until 2015)
John P. Kavanaugh (age 62)	Trustee and Vice Chair of Trustees	January 2009	2017	Private investor	N/A
Maryanne L. Roepke (age 60)	Trustee	May 2014	2019	American Century Investments (investment management), Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer (until 2014)	N/A
Laurie J. Thomsen (age 59)	Trustee	March 2005	2019	Private investor	The Travelers Companies, Director; Dycom Industries, Inc., Director
OFFICERS					
Christopher R. Bohane ^(k) (age 42)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel	N/A
Kino Clark ^(k) (age 48)	Assistant Treasurer	January 2012	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President	N/A
Thomas H. Connors ^(k) (age 57)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	September 2012	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Senior Counsel; Deutsche Investment Management Americas Inc. (financial service provider), Director and Senior Counsel (until 2012)	N/A
Ethan D. Corey ^(k) (age 53)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President and Associate General Counsel	N/A

Table of Contents*Trustees and Officers continued*

Name, Age	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer	Term	Principal Occupations During	Other
	with Fund	Since ^(h)	Expiring ⁽ⁱ⁾	the Past Five Years	Directorships ^(j)
David L. DiLorenzo ^(k) (age 48)	President	July 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President	N/A
Brian E. Langenfeld ^(k) (age 43)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	June 2006	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Senior Counsel	N/A
Susan A. Pereira ^(k) (age 46)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	July 2005	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Senior Counsel	N/A
Kasey L. Phillips ^(k) (age 46)	Assistant Treasurer	September 2012	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President; Wells Fargo Funds Management, LLC, Senior Vice President, Fund Treasurer (until 2012)	N/A
Mark N. Polebaum ^(k) (age 64)	Secretary and Clerk	January 2006	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	N/A
Matthew A. Stowe ^(k) (age 42)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	October 2014	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Vice President and Assistant General Counsel	N/A
Frank L. Tarantino (age 72)	Independent Senior Officer	June 2004	N/A	Tarantino LLC (provider of compliance services), Principal	N/A
Richard S. Weitzel ^(k) (age 46)	Assistant Secretary and Assistant Clerk	October 2007	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President and Associate General Counsel	N/A

Table of Contents

Trustees and Officers continued

Name, Age	Position(s) Held	Trustee/Officer Since ^(h)	Term Expiring ⁽ⁱ⁾	Principal Occupations During the Past Five Years	Other Directorships ^(j)
Martin J. Wolin ^(k) (age 49)	Chief Compliance Officer	July 2015	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President and Chief Compliance Officer (since July 2015); Mercer (financial service provider), Chief Risk and Compliance Officer, North America and Latin America (until June 2015)	N/A
James O. Yost ^(k) (age 56)	Treasurer	September 1990	N/A	Massachusetts Financial Services Company, Senior Vice President	N/A

(h) Date first appointed to serve as Trustee/officer of an MFS Fund. Each Trustee has served continuously since appointment unless indicated otherwise. For the period from December 15, 2004 until February 22, 2005, Mr. Manning served as Advisory Trustee. Prior to January 2012, Messrs. DiLorenzo and Yost served as Assistant Treasurers of the Funds. From January 2012 through December 2016, Messrs. DiLorenzo and Yost served as Treasurer and Deputy Treasurer of the Funds, respectively.

(j) Directorships or trusteeships of companies required to report to the Securities and Exchange Commission (i.e., public companies).

(k) Interested person of the Trust within the meaning of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (referred to as the 1940 Act), which is the principal federal law governing investment companies like the fund, as a result of a position with MFS. The address of MFS is 111 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-7618.

(l) Under the terms of the Board's retirement policy, an Independent Trustee shall retire at the end of the calendar year in which he or she reaches the earlier of 75 years of age or 15 years of service on the Board (or, in the case of any Independent Trustee who joined the Board prior to 2015, 20 years of service on the Board).

The Trust holds annual shareholder meetings for the purpose of electing Trustees, and Trustees are elected for fixed terms. The Board of Trustees is currently divided into three classes, each having a term of three years which term expires on the date of the third annual meeting following the election to office of the Trustee's class. Each year the term of one class expires. Each Trustee and officer will serve until next elected or his or her earlier death, resignation, retirement or removal.

Messrs. Buller and Kavanaugh and Ms. Roepke are members of the Fund's Audit Committee.

Table of Contents

Trustees and Officers continued

Each of the Fund's Trustees and officers holds comparable positions with certain other funds of which MFS or a subsidiary is the investment adviser or distributor, and, in the case of the officers, with certain affiliates of MFS. As of January 1, 2017, the Trustees served as board members of 138 funds within the MFS Family of Funds.

Investment Adviser

Massachusetts Financial Services Company
111 Huntington Avenue
Boston MA 02199-7618

Portfolio Managers

Geoffrey Schechter
Ward Brown
Robert Persons
Matt Ryan

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company
1 Lincoln Street
Boston, MA 02111-2900

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Deloitte & Touche LLP
200 Berkeley Street
Boston, MA 02116

Table of Contents

BOARD REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT

The Investment Company Act of 1940 requires that both the full Board of Trustees and a majority of the non-interested (independent) Trustees, voting separately, annually approve the continuation of the Fund s investment advisory agreement with MFS. The Trustees consider matters bearing on the Fund and its advisory arrangements at their meetings throughout the year, including a review of performance data at each regular meeting. In addition, the independent Trustees met several times over the course of three months beginning in May and ending in July, 2016 (contract review meetings) for the specific purpose of considering whether to approve the continuation of the investment advisory agreement for the Fund and the other investment companies that the Board oversees (the MFS Funds). The independent Trustees were assisted in their evaluation of the Fund s investment advisory agreement by independent legal counsel, from whom they received separate legal advice and with whom they met separately from MFS during various contract review meetings. The independent Trustees were also assisted in this process by the MFS Funds Independent Senior Officer, a senior officer appointed by and reporting to the independent Trustees.

In connection with their deliberations regarding the continuation of the investment advisory agreement, the Trustees, including the independent Trustees, considered such information and factors as they believed, in light of the legal advice furnished to them and their own business judgment, to be relevant. The investment advisory agreement for the Fund was considered separately, although the Trustees also took into account the common interests of all MFS Funds in their review. As described below, the Trustees considered the nature, quality, and extent of the various investment advisory, administrative, and shareholder services performed by MFS under the existing investment advisory agreement and other arrangements with the Fund.

In connection with their contract review meetings, the Trustees received and relied upon materials that included, among other items: (i) information provided by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (Broadridge), an independent third party, on the investment performance (based on net asset value) of the Fund for various time periods ended December 31, 2015 and the investment performance (based on net asset value) of a group of funds with substantially similar investment classifications/objectives (the Lipper performance universe), (ii) information provided by Broadridge on the Fund s advisory fees and other expenses and the advisory fees and other expenses of comparable funds identified by Broadridge (the Broadridge expense group), (iii) information provided by MFS on the advisory fees of portfolios of other clients of MFS, including institutional separate accounts and other clients, (iv) information as to whether and to what extent applicable expense waivers, reimbursements or fee breakpoints are observed for the Fund, (v) information regarding MFS financial results and financial condition, including MFS and certain of its affiliates estimated profitability from services performed for the Fund and the MFS Funds as a whole, and compared to MFS institutional business, (vi) MFS views regarding the outlook for the mutual fund industry and the strategic business plans of MFS, (vii) descriptions of various functions performed by MFS for the Funds, such as compliance monitoring and portfolio trading practices, and (viii) information regarding the overall organization of MFS, including information about MFS senior management and other personnel

Table of Contents

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

providing investment advisory, administrative and other services to the Fund and the other MFS Funds. The comparative performance, fee and expense information prepared and provided by Broadridge was not independently verified and the independent Trustees did not independently verify any information provided to them by MFS.

The Trustees' conclusion as to the continuation of the investment advisory agreement was based on a comprehensive consideration of all information provided to the Trustees and not the result of any single factor. Some of the factors that figured particularly in the Trustees' deliberations are described below, although individual Trustees may have evaluated the information presented differently from one another, giving different weights to various factors. It is also important to recognize that the fee arrangements for the Fund and other MFS Funds are the result of years of review and discussion between the independent Trustees and MFS, that certain aspects of such arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than in others, and that the Trustees' conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of these same arrangements during the course of the year and in prior years.

Based on information provided by Broadridge and MFS, the Trustees reviewed the Fund's total return investment performance as well as the performance of peer groups of funds over various time periods. The Trustees placed particular emphasis on the total return performance of the Fund's common shares in comparison to the performance of funds in its Lipper performance universe over the three-year period ended December 31, 2015, which the Trustees believed was a long enough period to reflect differing market conditions. The total return performance of the Fund's common shares ranked 6th out of a total of 8 funds in the Lipper performance universe for this three-year period (a ranking of first place out of the total number of funds in the performance universe indicating the best performer and a ranking of last place out of the total number of funds in the performance universe indicating the worst performer). The total return performance of the Fund's common shares ranked 2nd out of a total of 8 funds for the one-year period and 7th out of a total of 8 funds for the five-year period ended December 31, 2015. Given the size of the Lipper performance universe and information previously provided by MFS regarding differences between the Fund and the other funds in its Lipper performance universe, the Trustees also reviewed the Fund's performance in comparison to a custom benchmark developed by MFS. The Fund under-performed its custom benchmark for the one-, three-, and five-year periods ended December 31, 2015 (one-year: 0.5% total return for the Fund versus 0.7% total return for the benchmark; three-year: 1.3% total return for the Fund versus 1.4% total return for the benchmark; three-year: 3.0% total return for the Fund versus 3.1% total return for the benchmark). Because of the passage of time, these performance results may differ from the performance results for more recent periods, including those shown elsewhere in this report.

The Trustees expressed continued concern to MFS about the substandard investment performance of the Fund. In the course of their deliberations, the Trustees took into account information provided by MFS in connection with the contract review meetings, as well as during investment review meetings conducted with portfolio management personnel during the course of the year, as to MFS' efforts to improve the Fund's performance. In addition, the Trustees requested that they receive a separate update

Table of Contents

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

on the Fund's performance at each of their regular meetings. The Trustees observed that there were significant limitations to the usefulness of the comparative data provided by Broadridge, noting that the applicable Lipper performance universe for the Fund included funds that pursue substantially different investment programs as compared to that pursued by the Fund. After reviewing these and related factors, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that MFS' responses and efforts and plans to improve investment performance were sufficient to support approval of the continuance of the investment advisory agreement for an additional one-year period, but that they would continue to closely monitor the performance of the Fund.

In assessing the reasonableness of the Fund's advisory fee, the Trustees considered, among other information, the Fund's advisory fee and the total expense ratio of the Fund's common shares as a percentage of average daily net assets and the advisory fee and total expense ratios of peer groups of funds based on information provided by Broadridge. The Trustees considered that MFS has agreed in writing to reduce its advisory fee, and that MFS currently observes an expense limitation for the Fund, each of which may not be changed without the Trustees' approval. The Trustees also considered that, according to the data by Broadridge (which takes into account any fee reductions or expense limitations that were in effect during the Fund's last fiscal year), the Fund's effective advisory fee rate was higher the Broadridge expense group median and total expense ratio was approximately at the Broadridge expense group median.

The Trustees also considered the advisory fees charged by MFS to any institutional separate accounts advised by MFS ("separate accounts") and unaffiliated investment companies for which MFS serves as subadviser ("subadvised funds") that have comparable investment strategies to the Fund. In comparing these fees, the Trustees considered information provided by MFS as to the generally broader scope of services provided by MFS to the Fund, as well as the more extensive regulatory burdens imposed on MFS in managing the Fund, in comparison to separate accounts and subadvised funds.

The Trustees considered that, as a closed-end fund, the Fund is unlikely to experience meaningful asset growth. As a result, the Trustees did not view the potential for realization of economies of scale as the Fund's assets grow to be a material factor in their deliberations. The Trustees noted that they would consider economies of scale in the future in the event the Fund experiences significant asset growth, such as through an offering of preferred shares (which is not currently contemplated) or a material increase in the market value of the Fund's portfolio securities.

The Trustees also considered information prepared by MFS relating to MFS' costs and profits with respect to the Fund, the MFS Funds considered as a group, and other investment companies and accounts advised by MFS, as well as MFS' methodologies used to determine and allocate its costs to the MFS Funds, the Fund and other accounts and products for purposes of estimating profitability.

After reviewing these and other factors described herein, the Trustees concluded, within the context of their overall conclusions regarding the investment advisory agreement, that the advisory fees charged to the Fund represent reasonable compensation in light of the services being provided by MFS to the Fund.

Table of Contents

Board Review of Investment Advisory Agreement continued

In addition, the Trustees considered MFS resources and related efforts to continue to retain, attract and motivate capable personnel to serve the Fund. The Trustees also considered current and developing conditions in the financial services industry, including the presence of large and well-capitalized companies which are spending, and appear to be prepared to continue to spend, substantial sums to engage personnel and to provide services to competing investment companies. In this regard, the Trustees also considered the financial resources of MFS and its ultimate parent, Sun Life Financial Inc. The Trustees also considered the advantages and possible disadvantages to the Fund of having an adviser that also serves other investment companies as well as other accounts.

The Trustees also considered the nature, quality, cost, and extent of administrative services provided to the Fund by MFS under agreements other than the investment advisory agreement. The Trustees also considered the nature, extent and quality of certain other services MFS performs or arranges for on the Fund's behalf, which may include securities lending programs, directed expense payment programs, class action recovery programs, and MFS interaction with third-party service providers, principally custodians and sub-custodians. The Trustees concluded that the various non-advisory services provided by MFS and its affiliates on behalf of the Fund were satisfactory.

The Trustees also considered benefits to MFS from the use of the Fund's portfolio brokerage commissions, if applicable, to pay for investment research and various other factors. Additionally, the Trustees considered so-called "fall-out benefits" to MFS such as reputational value derived from serving as investment manager to the Fund.

Based on their evaluation of factors that they deemed to be material, including those factors described above, the Board of Trustees, including the independent Trustees, concluded that the Fund's investment advisory agreement with MFS should be continued for an additional one-year period, commencing August 1, 2016.

Table of Contents

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND INFORMATION

MFS votes proxies on behalf of the fund pursuant to proxy voting policies and procedures that are available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-225-2606, by visiting *mfs.com* (once you have selected Individual Investor as your role, click on Individual Investor Home in the top navigation and then select Learn More About Proxy Voting under the I want to header on the left hand column of the page), or by visiting the SEC's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Information regarding how the fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30 is available by August 31 of each year without charge by visiting *mfs.com* (once you have selected Individual Investor as your role, click on Individual Investor Home in the top navigation and then select Learn More About Proxy Voting under the I want to header on the left hand column of the page), or by visiting the SEC's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

QUARTERLY PORTFOLIO DISCLOSURE

The fund will file a complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. A shareholder can obtain the quarterly portfolio holdings report at *mfs.com*. The fund's Form N-Q is also available on the EDGAR database on the Commission's Internet Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>, and may be reviewed and copied at the:

Public Reference Room

Securities and Exchange Commission

100 F Street, NE, Room 1580

Washington, D.C. 20549

Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. Copies of the Fund's Form N-Q also may be obtained, upon payment of a duplicating fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing the Public Reference Section at the above address.

FURTHER INFORMATION

From time to time, MFS may post important information about the fund or the MFS funds on the MFS web site (*mfs.com*). This information is available by visiting the Market Commentary and Announcements sub sections in the Market Outlooks section of *mfs.com* or by clicking on the fund's name under Closed-End Funds in the Products section of *mfs.com*.

Additional information about the fund (e.g. performance, dividends and the fund's price history) is also available by clicking on the fund's name under Closed-End Funds in the Products section of *mfs.com*.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION ABOUT FUND CONTRACTS AND LEGAL CLAIMS

The fund has entered into contractual arrangements with an investment adviser, administrator, transfer agent, and custodian who each provide services to the fund. Unless expressly stated otherwise, shareholders are not parties to, or intended beneficiaries of these contractual arrangements, and these contractual arrangements are not intended to create any shareholder right to enforce them against the service providers or to seek any remedy under them against the service providers, either directly or on behalf of the fund.

Under the Trust's By-Laws and Declaration of Trust, any claims asserted against or on behalf of the MFS Funds, including claims against Trustees and Officers, must be brought in state and federal courts located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION (unaudited)

The fund will notify shareholders of amounts for use in preparing 2016 income tax forms in January 2017.

Table of Contents

rev. 3/16

FACTS

WHAT DOES MFS DO WITH YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION?

Why?

Financial companies choose how they share your personal information. Federal law gives consumers the right to limit some but not all sharing. Federal law also requires us to tell you how we collect, share, and protect your personal information. Please read this notice carefully to understand what we do.

What?

The types of personal information we collect and share depend on the product or service you have with us. This information can include:

- Social Security number and account balances
- Account transactions and transaction history
- Checking account information and wire transfer instructions

When you are *no longer* our customer, we continue to share your information as described in this notice.

How?

All financial companies need to share customers' personal information to run their everyday business. In the section below, we list the reasons financial companies can share their customers' personal information; the reasons MFS chooses to share; and whether you can limit this sharing.

Reasons we can share your personal information	Does MFS share?	Can you limit this sharing?
For our everyday business purposes such as to process your transactions, maintain your account(s), respond to court orders and legal investigations, or report to credit bureaus	Yes	No
For our marketing purposes to offer our products and services to you	No	We don't share
For joint marketing with other financial companies	No	We don't share
For our affiliates' everyday business purposes information about your transactions and experiences	No	We don't share
For our affiliates' everyday business purposes	No	We don't share

Edgar Filing: MFS GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR

information about your creditworthiness

For nonaffiliates to market to you

No

We don't share

Questions?

Call **800-225-2606** or go to **mfs.com**.

Table of Contents

Page 2

Who we are

Who is providing this notice? MFS Funds, MFS Investment Management, MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc., and MFS Heritage Trust Company.

What we do

How does MFS protect my personal information? To protect your personal information from unauthorized access and use, we use security measures that comply with federal law. These measures include procedural, electronic, and physical safeguards for the protection of the personal information we collect about you.

How does MFS collect my personal information? We collect your personal information, for example, when you

open an account or provide account information

direct us to buy securities or direct us to sell your securities

make a wire transfer

Why can't I limit all sharing?

We also collect your personal information from others, such as credit bureaus, affiliates, or other companies.

Federal law gives you the right to limit only

sharing for affiliates everyday business purposes information about your creditworthiness

affiliates from using your information to market to you

sharing for nonaffiliates to market to you

State laws and individual companies may give you additional rights to limit sharing.

Definitions

Affiliates Companies related by common ownership or control. They can be financial and nonfinancial companies.

Nonaffiliates

MFS does not share personal information with affiliates, except for everyday business purposes as described on page one of this notice.

Companies not related by common ownership or control. They can be financial and nonfinancial companies.

Joint marketing

MFS does not share with nonaffiliates so they can market to you.

A formal agreement between nonaffiliated financial companies that together market financial products or services to you.

MFS doesn't jointly market.

Other important information

If you own an MFS product or receive an MFS service in the name of a third party such as a bank or broker-dealer, their privacy policy may apply to you instead of ours.

Table of Contents

CONTACT US

TRANSFER AGENT, REGISTRAR, AND

DIVIDEND DISBURSING AGENT

CALL

1-800-637-2304

9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Eastern time

WRITE

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.

P.O. Box 43078

Providence, RI 02940-3078

New York Stock Exchange Symbol: **MGF**

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

The Registrant has adopted a Code of Ethics pursuant to Section 406 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and as defined in Form N-CSR that applies to the Registrant's principal executive officer and principal financial and accounting officer. During the period covered by this report, the Registrant has not amended any provision in its Code of Ethics (the "Code") that relates to an element of the Code's definition enumerated in paragraph (b) of Item 2 of this Form N-CSR. However, effective January 1, 2017, the Code was amended to (i) clarify that the term "for profit company" as used in Section II.B of the Code excludes the investment adviser and its subsidiaries and pooled investment vehicles sponsored by the investment adviser or its subsidiaries, (ii) align the Code's provisions regarding receipt of gifts and entertainment in Section II.B of the Code with the gifts and entertainment policy of the Funds' investment adviser, and (iii) make other administrative changes. During the period covered by this report, the Registrant did not grant a waiver, including an implicit waiver, from any provision of the Code.

A copy of the Code of Ethics amended effective as of January 1, 2017 is filed as an exhibit to this Form N-CSR.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Messrs. Steven E. Buller and John P. Kavanaugh and Ms. Maryanne L. Roepke, members of the Audit Committee, have been determined by the Board of Trustees in their reasonable business judgment to meet the definition of "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined in Form N-CSR. In addition, Messrs. Buller and Kavanaugh and Ms. Roepke are "independent" members of the Audit Committee (as such term has been defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission in regulations implementing Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002). The Securities and Exchange Commission has stated that the designation of a person as an audit committee financial expert pursuant to this Item 3 on the Form N-CSR does not impose on such a person any duties, obligations or liability that are greater than the duties, obligations or liability imposed on such person as a member of the Audit Committee and the Board of Trustees in the absence of such designation or identification.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Items 4(a) through 4(d) and 4(g):

The Board of Trustees has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte") to serve as independent accountants to the Registrant (hereinafter the "Registrant" or the "Fund"). The tables below set forth the audit fees billed to the Fund as well as fees for non-audit services provided to the Fund and/or to the Fund's investment adviser, Massachusetts Financial Services Company ("MFS"), and to various entities either controlling, controlled by, or under common control with MFS that provide ongoing services to the Fund ("MFS Related Entities").

Table of Contents

For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2016 and 2015, audit fees billed to the Fund by Deloitte were as follows:

	Audit Fees	
	2016	2015
Fees billed by Deloitte:		
MFS Government Markets Income Trust	59,720	59,720

For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2016 and 2015, fees billed by Deloitte for audit-related, tax and other services provided to the Fund and for audit-related, tax and other services provided to MFS and MFS Related Entities were as follows:

	Audit-Related Fees ¹		Tax Fees ²		All Other Fees ³	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Fees billed by Deloitte:						
To MFS Government Markets Income Trust	10,000	10,000	6,474	6,474	0	39
Fees billed by Deloitte:						
To MFS and MFS Related Entities of MFS Government Markets Income Trust*	0	186,019	0	0	5,000	5,000

	Aggregate Fees for Non-audit Services	
	2016	2015
Fees Billed by Deloitte:		
To MFS Government Markets Income Trust, MFS and MFS Related Entities [#]	86,752	207,532

* This amount reflects the fees billed to MFS and MFS Related Entities for non-audit services relating directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Fund (portions of which services also related to the operations and financial reporting of other funds within the MFS Funds complex).

This amount reflects the aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for non-audit services rendered to the Fund and for non-audit services rendered to MFS and the MFS Related Entities.

¹ The fees included under **Audit-Related Fees** are fees related to assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of financial statements, but not reported under **Audit Fees**, including accounting consultations, agreed-upon procedure reports, attestation reports, comfort letters and internal control reviews.

² The fees included under **Tax Fees** are fees associated with tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning, including services relating to the filing or amendment of federal, state or local income tax returns, regulated investment company qualification reviews and tax distribution and analysis.

³ The fees included under **All Other Fees** are fees for products and services provided by Deloitte other than those reported under **Audit Fees**, **Audit-Related Fees** and **Tax Fees**, including fees for services related to review of internal controls and review of Rule 38a-1 compliance program.

Table of Contents

Item 4(e)(1):

Set forth below are the policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees relating to the pre-approval of audit and non-audit related services:

To the extent required by applicable law, pre-approval by the Audit Committee of the Board is needed for all audit and permissible non-audit services rendered to the Fund and all permissible non-audit services rendered to MFS or MFS Related Entities if the services relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant. Pre-approval is currently on an engagement-by-engagement basis. In the event pre-approval of such services is necessary between regular meetings of the Audit Committee and it is not practical to wait to seek pre-approval at the next regular meeting of the Audit Committee, pre-approval of such services may be referred to the Chair of the Audit Committee for approval; provided that the Chair may not pre-approve any individual engagement for such services exceeding \$50,000 or multiple engagements for such services in the aggregate exceeding \$100,000 between such regular meetings of the Audit Committee. Any engagement pre-approved by the Chair between regular meetings of the Audit Committee shall be presented for ratification by the entire Audit Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Item 4(e)(2):

None, or 0%, of the services relating to the Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees paid by the Fund and MFS and MFS Related Entities relating directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant disclosed above were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraphs (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X (which permits audit committee approval after the start of the engagement with respect to services other than audit, review or attest services, if certain conditions are satisfied).

Item 4(f): Not applicable.

Item 4(h): The Registrant's Audit Committee has considered whether the provision by a Registrant's independent registered public accounting firm of non-audit services to MFS and MFS Related Entities that were not pre-approved by the Committee (because such services were provided prior to the effectiveness of SEC rules requiring pre-approval or because such services did not relate directly to the operations and financial reporting of the Registrant) was compatible with maintaining the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm as the Registrant's principal auditors.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

The Registrant has an Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Steven E. Buller and John P. Kavanaugh and Ms. Maryanne L. Roepke.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

A schedule of investments of the Registrant is included as part of the report to shareholders of the Registrant under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

MASSACHUSETTS FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANY

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

February 1, 2016

Massachusetts Financial Services Company, MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc., MFS International (UK) Limited, MFS Heritage Trust Company, MFS Investment Management (Canada) Limited, MFS Investment Management Company (Lux) S.à r.l., MFS International Singapore Pte. Ltd., MFS Investment Management K.K., and MFS other subsidiaries that perform discretionary investment management activities (collectively, MFS) have adopted proxy voting policies and procedures, as set forth below (MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures), with respect to securities owned by the clients for which MFS serves as investment adviser and has the power to vote proxies, including the pooled investment vehicles sponsored by MFS (the MFS Funds). References to clients in these policies and procedures include the MFS Funds and other clients of MFS, such as funds organized offshore, sub-advised funds and separate account clients, to the extent these clients have delegated to MFS the responsibility to vote proxies on their behalf under the MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures.

The MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures include:

- A. Voting Guidelines;
- B. Administrative Procedures;
- C. Records Retention; and
- D. Reports.

A. VOTING GUIDELINES

1. General Policy: Potential Conflicts of Interest

MFS policy is that proxy voting decisions are made in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients, and not in the interests of any other party or in MFS corporate interests, including interests such as the distribution of MFS Fund shares and institutional client relationships.

Table of Contents

MFS reviews corporate governance issues and proxy voting matters that are presented for shareholder vote by either management or shareholders of public companies. Based on the overall principle that all votes cast by MFS on behalf of its clients must be in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of such clients, MFS has adopted proxy voting guidelines, set forth below, that govern how MFS generally will vote on specific matters presented for shareholder vote.

As a general matter, MFS votes consistently on similar proxy proposals across all shareholder meetings. However, some proxy proposals, such as certain excessive executive compensation, environmental, social and governance matters, are analyzed on a case-by-case basis in light of all the relevant facts and circumstances of the proposal. Therefore, MFS may vote similar proposals differently at different shareholder meetings based on the specific facts and circumstances of the issuer or the terms of the proposal. In addition, MFS also reserves the right to override the guidelines with respect to a particular proxy proposal when such an override is, in MFS' best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS' clients.

MFS also generally votes consistently on the same matter when securities of an issuer are held by multiple client accounts, unless MFS has received explicit voting instructions to vote differently from a client for its own account. From time to time, MFS may also receive comments on the MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures from its clients. These comments are carefully considered by MFS when it reviews these guidelines and revises them as appropriate.

These policies and procedures are intended to address any potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its subsidiaries that are likely to arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS' clients. If such potential material conflicts of interest do arise, MFS will analyze, document and report on such potential material conflicts of interest (see Sections B.2 and D below), and shall ultimately vote the relevant proxies in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of its clients. The MFS Proxy Voting Committee is responsible for monitoring and reporting with respect to such potential material conflicts of interest.

MFS is also a signatory to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment. In developing these guidelines, MFS considered environmental, social and corporate governance issues in light of MFS' fiduciary obligation to vote proxies in the best long-term economic interest of its clients.

Table of Contents

2. MFS Policy on Specific Issues **Election of Directors**

MFS believes that good governance should be based on a board with at least a simple majority of directors who are independent of management, and whose key committees (e.g., compensation, nominating, and audit committees) consist entirely of independent directors. While MFS generally supports the board's nominees in uncontested or non-contentious elections, we will not support a nominee to a board of a U.S. issuer (or issuer listed on a U.S. exchange) if, as a result of such nominee being elected to the board, the board would consist of a simple majority of members who are not independent or, alternatively, the compensation, nominating (including instances in which the full board serves as the compensation or nominating committee) or audit committees would include members who are not independent.

MFS will also not support a nominee to a board if we can determine that he or she attended less than 75% of the board and/or relevant committee meetings in the previous year without a valid reason stated in the proxy materials or other company communications. In addition, MFS may not support some or all nominees standing for re-election to a board if we can determine: (1) the board or its compensation committee has re-priced or exchanged underwater stock options since the last annual meeting of shareholders and without shareholder approval; (2) the board or relevant committee has not taken adequately responsive action to an issue that received majority support or opposition from shareholders; (3) the board has implemented a poison pill without shareholder approval since the last annual meeting and such poison pill is not on the subsequent shareholder meeting's agenda, (including those related to net-operating loss carry-forwards); (4) the board or relevant committee has failed to adequately oversee risk by allowing the hedging and/or significant pledging of company shares by executives; or (5) there are governance concerns with a director or issuer.

MFS may not support certain board nominees of U.S. issuers under certain circumstances where MFS deems compensation to be egregious due to pay-for-performance issues and/or poor pay practices. Please see the section below titled *MFS Policy on Specific Issues - Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation* for further details.

MFS evaluates a contested or contentious election of directors on a case-by-case basis considering the long-term financial performance of the company relative to its industry, management's track record, the qualifications of all nominees, and an evaluation of what each side is offering shareholders.

Majority Voting and Director Elections

MFS votes for reasonably crafted proposals calling for directors to be elected with an affirmative majority of votes cast and/or the elimination of the plurality standard for electing directors (including binding resolutions requesting that the board amend the company's bylaws), provided the proposal includes a carve-out for a plurality voting standard when there are more director nominees than board seats (e.g., contested elections) (*Majority Vote Proposals*).

Table of Contents

Classified Boards

MFS generally supports proposals to declassify a board (i.e.; a board in which only one-third of board members is elected each year) for all issuers other than for certain closed-end investment companies. MFS generally opposes proposals to classify a board for issuers other than for certain closed-end investment companies.

Proxy Access

MFS believes that the ability of qualifying shareholders to nominate a certain number of directors on the company's proxy statement (Proxy Access) may have corporate governance benefits. However, such potential benefits must be balanced by its potential misuse by shareholders. Therefore, we support Proxy Access proposals at U.S. issuers that establish an ownership criteria of 3% of the company held continuously for a period of 3 years. In our view, such qualifying shareholders should have the ability to nominate at least 2 directors. Companies should be mindful of imposing any undue impediments within its bylaws that may render Proxy Access impractical.

MFS analyzes all other proposals seeking Proxy Access on a case-by-case basis. In its analysis, MFS will consider the proposed ownership criteria for qualifying shareholders (such as ownership threshold and holding period) as well as the proponent's rationale for seeking Proxy Access.

Stock Plans

MFS opposes stock option programs and restricted stock plans that provide unduly generous compensation for officers, directors or employees, or that could result in excessive dilution to other shareholders. As a general guideline, MFS votes against restricted stock, stock option, non-employee director, omnibus stock plans and any other stock plan if all such plans for a particular company involve potential dilution, in the aggregate, of more than 15%. However, MFS will also vote against stock plans that involve potential dilution, in aggregate, of more than 10% at U.S. issuers that are listed in the Standard and Poor's 100 index as of December 31 of the previous year. In the cases where a stock plan amendment is seeking qualitative changes and not additional shares, MFS will vote its shares on a case-by-case basis.

Table of Contents

MFS also opposes stock option programs that allow the board or the compensation committee to re-price underwater options or to automatically replenish shares without shareholder approval. MFS also votes against stock option programs for officers, employees or non-employee directors that do not require an investment by the optionee, that give free rides on the stock price, or that permit grants of stock options with an exercise price below fair market value on the date the options are granted. MFS will consider proposals to exchange existing options for newly issued options, restricted stock or cash on a case-by-case basis, taking into account certain factors, including, but not limited to, whether there is a reasonable value-for-value exchange and whether senior executives are excluded from participating in the exchange.

MFS supports the use of a broad-based employee stock purchase plans to increase company stock ownership by employees, provided that shares purchased under the plan are acquired for no less than 85% of their market value and do not result in excessive dilution.

Shareholder Proposals on Executive Compensation

MFS believes that competitive compensation packages are necessary to attract, motivate and retain executives. However, MFS also recognizes that certain executive compensation practices can be excessive and not in the best, long-term economic interest of a company's shareholders. We believe that the election of an issuer's board of directors (as outlined above), votes on stock plans (as outlined above) and advisory votes on pay (as outlined below) are typically the most effective mechanisms to express our view on a company's compensation practices.

MFS generally opposes shareholder proposals that seek to set rigid restrictions on executive compensation as MFS believes that compensation committees should retain some flexibility to determine the appropriate pay package for executives. Although we support linking executive stock option grants to a company's performance, MFS also opposes shareholder proposals that mandate a link of performance-based pay to a specific metric. MFS generally supports reasonably crafted shareholder proposals that (i) require the issuer to adopt a policy to recover the portion of performance-based bonuses and awards paid to senior executives that were not earned based upon a significant negative restatement of earnings unless the company already has adopted a satisfactory policy on the matter, (ii) expressly prohibit the backdating of stock options, and (iii) prohibit the acceleration of vesting of equity awards upon a broad definition of a change-in-control (e.g.; single or modified single-trigger).

Table of Contents

Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation

MFS will analyze advisory votes on executive compensation on a case-by-case basis. MFS will vote against an advisory vote on executive compensation if MFS determines that the issuer has adopted excessive executive compensation practices and will vote in favor of an advisory vote on executive compensation if MFS has not determined that the issuer has adopted excessive executive compensation practices. Examples of excessive executive compensation practices may include, but are not limited to, a pay-for-performance disconnect, employment contract terms such as guaranteed bonus provisions, unwarranted pension payouts, backdated stock options, overly generous hiring bonuses for chief executive officers, unnecessary perquisites, or the potential reimbursement of excise taxes to an executive in regards to a severance package. In cases where MFS (i) votes against consecutive advisory pay votes, or (ii) determines that a particularly egregious excessive executive compensation practice has occurred, then MFS may also vote against certain or all board nominees. MFS may also vote against certain or all board nominees if an advisory pay vote for a U.S. issuer is not on the agenda, or the company has not implemented the advisory vote frequency supported by a plurality/ majority of shareholders.

MFS generally supports proposals to include an advisory shareholder vote on an issuer's executive compensation practices on an annual basis.

Golden Parachutes

From time to time, MFS may evaluate a separate, advisory vote on severance packages or golden parachutes to certain executives at the same time as a vote on a proposed merger or acquisition. MFS will support an advisory vote on a severance package on a case-by-case basis, and MFS may vote against the severance package regardless of whether MFS supports the proposed merger or acquisition.

Shareholders of companies may also submit proxy proposals that would require shareholder approval of severance packages for executive officers that exceed certain predetermined thresholds. MFS votes in favor of such shareholder proposals when they would require shareholder approval of any severance package for an executive officer that exceeds a certain multiple of such officer's annual compensation that is not determined in MFS's judgment to be excessive.

Anti-Takeover Measures

In general, MFS votes against any measure that inhibits capital appreciation in a stock, including proposals that protect management from action by shareholders. These types of proposals take many forms, ranging from poison pills and shark repellents to super-majority requirements.

Table of Contents

MFS generally votes for proposals to rescind existing poison pills and proposals that would require shareholder approval to adopt prospective poison pills, unless the company already has adopted a clearly satisfactory policy on the matter. MFS may consider the adoption of a prospective poison pill or the continuation of an existing poison pill if we can determine that the following two conditions are met: (1) the poison pill allows MFS clients to hold an aggregate position of up to 15% of a company's total voting securities (and of any class of voting securities); and (2) either (a) the poison pill has a term of not longer than five years, provided that MFS will consider voting in favor of the poison pill if the term does not exceed seven years and the poison pill is linked to a business strategy or purpose that MFS believes is likely to result in greater value for shareholders; or (b) the terms of the poison pill allow MFS clients the opportunity to accept a fairly structured and attractively priced tender offer (e.g. a chewable poison pill that automatically dissolves in the event of an all cash, all shares tender offer at a premium price). MFS will also consider on a case-by-case basis proposals designed to prevent tenders which are disadvantageous to shareholders such as tenders at below market prices and tenders for substantially less than all shares of an issuer.

MFS will consider any poison pills designed to protect a company's net-operating loss carryforwards on a case-by-case basis, weighing the accounting and tax benefits of such a pill against the risk of deterring future acquisition candidates.

Proxy Contests

From time to time, a shareholder may express alternative points of view in terms of a company's strategy, capital allocation, or other issues. Such shareholder may also propose a slate of director nominees different than the slate of director nominees proposed by the company (a Proxy Contest). MFS will analyze Proxy Contests on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the track record and current recommended initiatives of both company management and the dissident shareholder(s). Like all of our proxy votes, MFS will support the slate of director nominees that we believe is in the best, long-term economic interest of our clients.

Reincorporation and Reorganization Proposals

When presented with a proposal to reincorporate a company under the laws of a different state, or to effect some other type of corporate reorganization, MFS considers the underlying purpose and ultimate effect of such a proposal in determining whether or not to support such a measure. MFS generally votes with management in regards to these types of proposals, however, if MFS believes the proposal is in the best long-term economic interests of its clients, then MFS may vote against management (e.g. the intent or effect would be to create additional inappropriate impediments to possible acquisitions or takeovers).

Table of Contents

Issuance of Stock

There are many legitimate reasons for the issuance of stock. Nevertheless, as noted above under **Stock Plans**, when a stock option plan (either individually or when aggregated with other plans of the same company) would substantially dilute the existing equity (e.g. by approximately 10-15% as described above), MFS generally votes against the plan. In addition, MFS typically votes against proposals where management is asking for authorization to issue common or preferred stock with no reason stated (a **blank check**) because the unexplained authorization could work as a potential anti-takeover device. MFS may also vote against the authorization or issuance of common or preferred stock if MFS determines that the requested authorization is excessive or not warranted.

Repurchase Programs

MFS supports proposals to institute share repurchase plans in which all shareholders have the opportunity to participate on an equal basis. Such plans may include a company acquiring its own shares on the open market, or a company making a tender offer to its own shareholders.

Cumulative Voting

MFS opposes proposals that seek to introduce cumulative voting and for proposals that seek to eliminate cumulative voting. In either case, MFS will consider whether cumulative voting is likely to enhance the interests of MFS clients as minority shareholders.

Written Consent and Special Meetings

The right to call a special meeting or act by written consent can be a powerful tool for shareholders. As such, MFS supports proposals requesting the right for shareholders who hold at least 10% of the issuer's outstanding stock to call a special meeting. MFS also supports proposals requesting the right for shareholders to act by written consent.

Independent Auditors

MFS believes that the appointment of auditors for U.S. issuers is best left to the board of directors of the company and therefore supports the ratification of the board's selection of an auditor for the company. Some shareholder groups have submitted proposals to limit the non-audit activities of a company's audit firm or prohibit *any* non-audit services by a company's auditors to that company. MFS opposes proposals recommending the prohibition or limitation of the performance of non-audit services by an auditor, and proposals recommending the removal of a company's auditor due to the performance of non-audit work for the company by its auditor. MFS believes that the board, or its audit committee, should have the discretion to hire the company's auditor for specific pieces of non-audit work in the limited situations permitted under current law.

Table of Contents

Other Business

MFS generally votes against other business proposals as the content of any such matter is not known at the time of our vote.

Adjourn Shareholder Meeting

MFS generally supports proposals to adjourn a shareholder meeting if we support the other ballot items on the meeting's agenda. MFS generally votes against proposals to adjourn a meeting if we do not support the other ballot items on the meeting's agenda.

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Issues

MFS believes that a company's ESG practices may have an impact on the company's long-term economic financial performance and will generally support proposals relating to ESG issues that MFS believes are in the best long-term economic interest of the company's shareholders. For those ESG proposals for which a specific policy has not been adopted, MFS considers such ESG proposals on a case-by-case basis. As a result, it may vote similar proposals differently at various shareholder meetings based on the specific facts and circumstances of such proposal.

MFS generally supports proposals that seek to remove governance structures that insulate management from shareholders (*i.e.*, anti-takeover measures) or that seek to enhance shareholder rights. Many of these governance-related issues, including compensation issues, are outlined within the context of the above guidelines. In addition, MFS typically supports proposals that require an issuer to reimburse successful dissident shareholders (who are not seeking control of the company) for reasonable expenses that such dissident incurred in soliciting an alternative slate of director candidates. MFS also generally supports reasonably crafted shareholder proposals requesting increased disclosure around the company's use of collateral in derivatives trading. MFS typically supports proposals for an independent board chairperson. However, we may not support such proposals if we determine there to be an appropriate and effective counter-balancing leadership structure in place (e.g.; a strong, independent lead director with an appropriate level of powers and duties). For any governance-related proposal for which an explicit guideline is not provided above, MFS will consider such proposals on a case-by-case basis and will support such proposals if MFS believes that it is in the best long-term economic interest of the company's shareholders.

Table of Contents

MFS generally supports proposals that request disclosure on the impact of environmental issues on the company's operations, sales, and capital investments. However, MFS may not support such proposals based on the facts and circumstances surrounding a specific proposal, including, but not limited to, whether (i) the proposal is unduly costly, restrictive, or burdensome, (ii) the company already provides publicly-available information that is sufficient to enable shareholders to evaluate the potential opportunities and risks that environmental matters pose to the company's operations, sales and capital investments, or (iii) the proposal seeks a level of disclosure that exceeds that provided by the company's industry peers. MFS will analyze all other environmental proposals on a case-by-case basis and will support such proposals if MFS believes such proposal is in the best long-term economic interest of the company's shareholders.

MFS will analyze social proposals on a case-by-case basis. MFS will support such proposals if MFS believes that such proposal is in the best long-term economic interest of the company's shareholders. Generally, MFS will support shareholder proposals that (i) seek to amend a company's equal employment opportunity policy to prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity; and (ii) request additional disclosure regarding a company's political contributions (including trade organizations and lobbying activity) (unless the company already provides publicly-available information that is sufficient to enable shareholders to evaluate the potential opportunities and risks that such contributions pose to the company's operations, sales and capital investments).

The laws of various states or countries may regulate how the interests of certain clients subject to those laws (e.g. state pension plans) are voted with respect to social issues. Thus, it may be necessary to cast ballots differently for certain clients than MFS might normally do for other clients.

Foreign Issuers

MFS generally supports the election of a director nominee standing for re-election in uncontested or non-contentious elections unless it can be determined that (1) he or she failed to attend at least 75% of the board and/or relevant committee meetings in the previous year without a valid reason given in the proxy materials; (2) since the last annual meeting of shareholders and without shareholder approval, the board or its compensation committee has re-priced underwater stock options; or (3) since the last annual meeting, the board has either implemented a poison pill without shareholder approval or has not taken responsive action to a majority shareholder approved resolution recommending that the poison pill be rescinded. In such circumstances, we will vote against director nominee(s). Also, certain markets outside of the U.S. have adopted best practice guidelines relating to corporate governance matters (e.g. the United Kingdom's and Japan Corporate Governance Codes). Many of these guidelines operate on a "comply or explain" basis. As such, MFS will evaluate any explanations by companies relating to their compliance with a particular corporate governance guideline on a case-by-case basis and may vote against the board nominees or other relevant ballot item if such explanation is not satisfactory. In some circumstances, MFS may submit a vote to abstain from certain director nominees or the relevant ballot items if we have concerns with the nominee or ballot item, but do not believe these concerns rise to the level where a vote against is warranted.

Table of Contents

MFS generally supports the election of auditors, but may determine to vote against the election of a statutory auditor in certain markets if MFS reasonably believes that the statutory auditor is not truly independent.

Some international markets have also adopted mandatory requirements for all companies to hold shareholder votes on executive compensation. MFS will vote against such proposals if MFS determines that a company's executive compensation practices are excessive, considering such factors as the specific market's best practices that seek to maintain appropriate pay-for-performance alignment and to create long-term shareholder value. We may alternatively submit an abstention vote on such proposals in circumstances where our executive compensation concerns are not as severe.

Many other items on foreign proxies involve repetitive, non-controversial matters that are mandated by local law. Accordingly, the items that are generally deemed routine and which do not require the exercise of judgment under these guidelines (and therefore voted with management) for foreign issuers include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) receiving financial statements or other reports from the board; (ii) approval of declarations of dividends; (iii) appointment of shareholders to sign board meeting minutes; (iv) discharge of management and supervisory boards; and (v) approval of share repurchase programs (absent any anti-takeover or other concerns). MFS will evaluate all other items on proxies for foreign companies in the context of the guidelines described above, but will generally vote against an item if there is not sufficient information disclosed in order to make an informed voting decision. For any ballot item where MFS wishes to express a more moderate level of concern than a vote of against, we will cast a vote to abstain.

In accordance with local law or business practices, some foreign companies or custodians prevent the sale of shares that have been voted for a certain period beginning prior to the shareholder meeting and ending on the day following the meeting (share blocking). Depending on the country in which a company is domiciled, the blocking period may begin a stated number of days prior or subsequent to the meeting (e.g. one, three or five days) or on a date established by the company. While practices vary, in many countries the block period can be continued for a longer period if the shareholder meeting is adjourned and postponed to a later date. Similarly, practices vary widely as to the ability of a shareholder to have the block restriction lifted early (e.g. in some countries shares generally can be unblocked up to two days prior to the meeting whereas in other countries the removal of the block appears to be discretionary with the issuer's transfer agent). Due to these restrictions, MFS must balance the benefits to its clients of voting proxies against the potentially serious portfolio management

Table of Contents

consequences of a reduced flexibility to sell the underlying shares at the most advantageous time. For companies in countries with share blocking periods or in markets where some custodians may block shares, the disadvantage of being unable to sell the stock regardless of changing conditions generally outweighs the advantages of voting at the shareholder meeting for routine items. Accordingly, MFS will not vote those proxies in the absence of an unusual, significant vote that outweighs the disadvantage of being unable to sell the stock.

From time to time, governments may impose economic sanctions which may prohibit us from transacting business with certain companies or individuals. These sanctions may also prohibit the voting of proxies at certain companies or on certain individuals. In such instances, MFS will not vote at certain companies or on certain individuals if it determines that doing so is in violation of the sanctions.

In limited circumstances, other market specific impediments to voting shares may limit our ability to cast votes, including, but not limited to, late delivery of proxy materials, untimely vote cut-off dates, power of attorney and share re-registration requirements, or any other unusual voting requirements. In these limited instances, MFS votes securities on a best efforts basis in the context of the guidelines described above.

B. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

1. MFS Proxy Voting Committee

The administration of these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures is overseen by the MFS Proxy Voting Committee, which includes senior personnel from the MFS Legal and Global Investment Support Departments. The Proxy Voting Committee does not include individuals whose primary duties relate to client relationship management, marketing, or sales. The MFS Proxy Voting Committee:

- a. Reviews these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures at least annually and recommends any amendments considered to be necessary or advisable;
- b. Determines whether any potential material conflict of interest exists with respect to instances in which MFS (i) seeks to override these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures; (ii) votes on ballot items not governed by these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures; (iii) evaluates an excessive executive compensation issue in relation to the election of directors; or (iv) requests a vote recommendation from an MFS portfolio manager or investment analyst (e.g. mergers and acquisitions); and
- c. Considers special proxy issues as they may arise from time to time.

Table of Contents

2. *Potential Conflicts of Interest*

The MFS Proxy Voting Committee is responsible for monitoring potential material conflicts of interest on the part of MFS or its subsidiaries that could arise in connection with the voting of proxies on behalf of MFS clients. Due to the client focus of our investment management business, we believe that the potential for actual material conflict of interest issues is small. Nonetheless, we have developed precautions to assure that all proxy votes are cast in the best long-term economic interest of shareholders.¹ Other MFS internal policies require all MFS employees to avoid actual and potential conflicts of interests between personal activities and MFS client activities. If an employee (including investment professionals) identifies an actual or potential conflict of interest with respect to any voting decision (including the ownership of securities in their individual portfolio), then that employee must recuse himself/herself from participating in the voting process. Any significant attempt by an employee of MFS or its subsidiaries to unduly influence MFS voting on a particular proxy matter should also be reported to the MFS Proxy Voting Committee.

In cases where proxies are voted in accordance with these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, no material conflict of interest will be deemed to exist. In cases where (i) MFS is considering overriding these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, (ii) matters presented for vote are not governed by these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, (iii) MFS evaluates a potentially excessive executive compensation issue in relation to the election of directors or advisory pay or severance package vote, or (iv) a vote recommendation is requested from an MFS portfolio manager or investment analyst (e.g. mergers and acquisitions); (collectively, Non-Standard Votes); the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will follow these procedures:

- a. Compare the name of the issuer of such proxy against a list of significant current (i) distributors of MFS Fund shares, and (ii) MFS institutional clients (the MFS Significant Distributor and Client List);
- b. If the name of the issuer does not appear on the MFS Significant Distributor and Client List, then no material conflict of interest will be deemed to exist, and the proxy will be voted as otherwise determined by the MFS Proxy Voting Committee;
- c. If the name of the issuer appears on the MFS Significant Distributor and Client List, then the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will be apprised of that fact and each member of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will carefully evaluate the proposed vote in order to ensure that the proxy ultimately is voted in what MFS believes to be the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients, and not in MFS corporate interests; and

¹ For clarification purposes, note that MFS votes in what we believe to be the best, long-term economic interest of our clients entitled to vote at the shareholder meeting, regardless of whether other MFS clients hold short positions in the same issuer.

Table of Contents

- d. For all potential material conflicts of interest identified under clause (c) above, the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will document: the name of the issuer, the issuer's relationship to MFS, the analysis of the matters submitted for proxy vote, the votes as to be cast and the reasons why the MFS Proxy Voting Committee determined that the votes were cast in the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients, and not in MFS corporate interests. A copy of the foregoing documentation will be provided to MFS Conflicts Officer.

The members of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee are responsible for creating and maintaining the MFS Significant Distributor and Client List, in consultation with MFS distribution and institutional business units. The MFS Significant Distributor and Client List will be reviewed and updated periodically, as appropriate.

For instances where MFS is evaluating a director nominee who also serves as a director of the MFS Funds, then the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will adhere to the procedures described in section (d) above regardless of whether the portfolio company appears on our Significant Distributor and Client List.

If an MFS client has the right to vote on a matter submitted to shareholders by Sun Life Financial, Inc. or any of its affiliates (collectively Sun Life), MFS will cast a vote on behalf of such MFS client pursuant to the recommendations of Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc.'s (ISS) benchmark policy, or as required by law.

Except as described in the MFS Fund's prospectus, from time to time, certain MFS Funds (the top tier fund) may own shares of other MFS Funds (the underlying fund). If an underlying fund submits a matter to a shareholder vote, the top tier fund will generally vote its shares in the same proportion as the other shareholders of the underlying fund. If there are no other shareholders in the underlying fund, the top tier fund will vote in what MFS believes to be in the top tier fund's best long-term economic interest. If an MFS client has the right to vote on a matter submitted to shareholders by a pooled investment vehicle advised by MFS, MFS will cast a vote on behalf of such MFS client in the same proportion as the other shareholders of the pooled investment vehicle.

Table of Contents

3. Gathering Proxies

Most proxies received by MFS and its clients originate at Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. (Broadridge). Broadridge and other service providers, on behalf of custodians, send proxy related material to the record holders of the shares beneficially owned by MFS clients, usually to the client's proxy voting administrator or, less commonly, to the client itself. This material will include proxy ballots reflecting the shareholdings of Funds and of clients on the record dates for such shareholder meetings, as well as proxy materials with the issuer's explanation of the items to be voted upon.

MFS, on behalf of itself and certain of its clients (including the MFS Funds) has entered into an agreement with an independent proxy administration firm pursuant to which the proxy administration firm performs various proxy vote related administrative services such as vote processing and recordkeeping functions. Except as noted below, the proxy administration firm for MFS and its clients, including the MFS Funds, is ISS. The proxy administration firm for MFS Development Funds, LLC is Glass, Lewis & Co., Inc. (Glass Lewis); Glass Lewis and ISS are each hereinafter referred to as the Proxy Administrator).

The Proxy Administrator receives proxy statements and proxy ballots directly or indirectly from various custodians, logs these materials into its database and matches upcoming meetings with MFS Fund and client portfolio holdings, which are input into the Proxy Administrator's system by an MFS holdings data-feed. Through the use of the Proxy Administrator system, ballots and proxy material summaries for all upcoming shareholders' meetings are available on-line to certain MFS employees and members of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee.

It is the responsibility of the Proxy Administrator and MFS to monitor the receipt of ballots. When proxy ballots and materials for clients are received by the Proxy Administrator, they are input into the Proxy Administrator's on-line system. The Proxy Administrator then reconciles a list of all MFS accounts that hold shares of a company's stock and the number of shares held on the record date by these accounts with the Proxy Administrator's list of any upcoming shareholder's meeting of that company. If a proxy ballot has not been received, the Proxy Administrator contacts the custodian requesting the reason as to why a ballot has not been received.

4. Analyzing Proxies

Proxies are voted in accordance with these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures. The Proxy Administrator, at the prior direction of MFS, automatically votes all proxy matters that do not require the particular exercise of discretion or judgment with respect to these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures as determined by MFS. With respect to proxy matters that require the particular exercise of discretion or judgment, the MFS Proxy Voting Committee considers and votes on those proxy matters. MFS also receives research and recommendations from the Proxy Administrator which it may take into account in deciding how to vote. MFS uses the research of ISS to identify (i) circumstances in which a board may have approved excessive executive compensation, (ii)

Table of Contents

environmental and social proposals that warrant further consideration or (iii) circumstances in which a non-U.S. company is not in compliance with local governance or compensation best practices. In those situations where the only MFS fund that is eligible to vote at a shareholder meeting has Glass Lewis as its Proxy Administrator, then we will utilize research from Glass Lewis to identify such issues. MFS analyzes such issues independently and does not necessarily vote with the ISS or Glass Lewis recommendations on these issues. MFS may also use other research tools in order to identify the circumstances described above. Representatives of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee review, as appropriate, votes cast to ensure conformity with these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures.

As a general matter, portfolio managers and investment analysts have little involvement in most votes taken by MFS. This is designed to promote consistency in the application of MFS voting guidelines, to promote consistency in voting on the same or similar issues (for the same or for multiple issuers) across all client accounts, and to minimize the potential that proxy solicitors, issuers, or third parties might attempt to exert inappropriate influence on the vote. In certain types of votes (e.g. mergers and acquisitions, proxy contests, capitalization matters, potentially excessive executive compensation issues, or certain shareholder proposals) a representative of MFS Proxy Voting Committee may consult with or seek recommendations from MFS investment analysts and/or portfolio managers.² However, the MFS Proxy Voting Committee will ultimately determine the manner in which all proxies are voted.

As noted above, MFS reserves the right to override the guidelines when such an override is, in MFS best judgment, consistent with the overall principle of voting proxies in the best long-term economic interests of MFS clients. Any such override of the guidelines shall be analyzed, documented and reported in accordance with the procedures set forth in these policies.

5. Voting Proxies

In accordance with its contract with MFS, the Proxy Administrator also generates a variety of reports for the MFS Proxy Voting Committee, and makes available on-line various other types of information so that the MFS Proxy Voting Committee or proxy team may review and monitor the votes cast by the Proxy Administrator on behalf of MFS clients.

For those markets that utilize a record date to determine which shareholders are eligible to vote, MFS generally will vote all eligible shares pursuant to these guidelines regardless of whether all (or a portion of) the shares held by our clients have been sold prior to the meeting date.

² From time to time, due to travel schedules and other commitments, an appropriate portfolio manager or research analyst may not be available to provide a vote recommendation. If such a recommendation cannot be obtained within a reasonable time prior to the cut-off date of the shareholder meeting, the MFS Proxy Voting Committee may determine to abstain from voting.

Table of Contents

6. Securities Lending

From time to time, the MFS Funds or other pooled investment vehicles sponsored by MFS may participate in a securities lending program. In the event MFS or its agent receives timely notice of a shareholder meeting for a U.S. security, MFS and its agent will attempt to recall any securities on loan before the meeting's record date so that MFS will be entitled to vote these shares. However, there may be instances in which MFS is unable to timely recall securities on loan for a U.S. security, in which cases MFS will not be able to vote these shares. MFS will report to the appropriate board of the MFS Funds those instances in which MFS is not able to timely recall the loaned securities. MFS generally does not recall non-U.S. securities on loan because there may be insufficient advance notice of proxy materials, record dates, or vote cut-off dates to allow MFS to timely recall the shares in certain markets on an automated basis. As a result, non-U.S. securities that are on loan will not generally be voted. If MFS receives timely notice of what MFS determines to be an unusual, significant vote for a non-U.S. security whereas MFS shares are on loan, and determines that voting is in the best long-term economic interest of shareholders, then MFS will attempt to timely recall the loaned shares.

7. Engagement

The MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures are available on www.mfs.com and may be accessed by both MFS clients and the companies in which MFS clients invest. From time to time, MFS may determine that it is appropriate and beneficial for representatives from the MFS Proxy Voting Committee to engage in a dialogue or written communication with a company or other shareholders regarding certain matters on the company's proxy statement that are of concern to shareholders, including environmental, social and governance matters. A company or shareholder may also seek to engage with representatives of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee in advance of the company's formal proxy solicitation to review issues more generally or gauge support for certain contemplated proposals.

C. RECORDS RETENTION

MFS will retain copies of these MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures in effect from time to time and will retain all proxy voting reports submitted to the Board of Trustees of the MFS Funds for the period required by applicable law. Proxy solicitation materials, including electronic versions of the proxy ballots completed by representatives of the MFS Proxy Voting Committee, together with their respective notes and comments, are maintained in an electronic format by the Proxy Administrator and are accessible on-line by the MFS Proxy Voting

Table of Contents

Committee. All proxy voting materials and supporting documentation, including records generated by the Proxy Administrator's system as to proxies processed, including the dates when proxy ballots were received and submitted, and the votes on each company's proxy issues, are retained as required by applicable law.

D. REPORTS

U.S. Registered MFS Funds

MFS publicly discloses the proxy voting records of the U.S. registered MFS Funds on a quarterly basis. MFS will also report the results of its voting to the Board of Trustees of the U.S. registered MFS Funds. These reports will include: (i) a summary of how votes were cast (including advisory votes on pay and golden parachutes); (ii) a summary of votes against management's recommendation; (iii) a review of situations where MFS did not vote in accordance with the guidelines and the rationale therefore; (iv) a review of the procedures used by MFS to identify material conflicts of interest and any matters identified as a material conflict of interest; (v) a review of these policies and the guidelines; (vi) a review of our proxy engagement activity; (vii) a report and impact assessment of instances in which the recall of loaned securities of a U.S. issuer was unsuccessful; and (viii) as necessary or appropriate, any proposed modifications thereto to reflect new developments in corporate governance and other issues. Based on these reviews, the Trustees of the U.S. registered MFS Funds will consider possible modifications to these policies to the extent necessary or advisable.

Other MFS Clients

MFS may publicly disclose the proxy voting records of certain other clients (including certain MFS Funds) or the votes it casts with respect to certain matters as required by law. A report can also be printed by MFS for each client who has requested that MFS furnish a record of votes cast. The report specifies the proxy issues which have been voted for the client during the year and the position taken with respect to each issue and, upon request, may identify situations where MFS did not vote in accordance with the MFS Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures.

Except as described above, MFS generally will not divulge actual voting practices to any party other than the client or its representatives because we consider that information to be confidential and proprietary to the client. However, as noted above, MFS may determine that it is appropriate and beneficial to engage in a dialogue with a company regarding certain matters. During such dialogue with the company, MFS may disclose the vote it intends to cast in order to potentially effect positive change at a company in regards to environmental, social or governance issues.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Portfolio Manager(s)

Information regarding the portfolio manager(s) of the MFS Government Markets Income Trust (the Fund) is set forth below. Each portfolio manager is primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Fund.

Portfolio Manager	Primary Role	Since	Title and Five Year History
Geoffrey Schechter	Lead and U.S. Government Securities Portfolio Manager	2006	Investment Officer of MFS; employed in the investment area of MFS since 1993.
Ward Brown	Emerging Markets Debt Instruments Portfolio Manager	2012	Investment Officer of MFS; Employed in the investment area of MFS since 2005.
Robert Persons	Investment Grade Debt Instruments Portfolio Manager	2012	Investment Officer of MFS; Employed in the investment area of MFS since 2000.
Matt Ryan	Emerging Markets Debt Instruments Portfolio Manager	2012	Investment Officer of MFS; Employed in the investment area of MFS since 1997.

Compensation

Portfolio manager compensation is reviewed annually. As of December 31, 2015, portfolio manager total cash compensation is a combination of base salary and performance bonus:

Base Salary Base salary represents a smaller percentage of portfolio manager total cash compensation than performance bonus.

Performance Bonus Generally, the performance bonus represents more than a majority of portfolio manager total cash compensation.

The performance bonus is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors, generally with more weight given to the former and less weight given to the latter.

The quantitative portion is based on the pre-tax performance of assets managed by the portfolio manager over one-, three-, and five-year periods relative to peer group universes and/or indices (benchmarks). As of December 31, 2015, the following benchmarks were used to measure the following portfolio manager's performance for the Fund:

Fund	Portfolio Manager	Benchmark(s)
MFS Government Markets Income Trust	Geoffrey Schechter	Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Credit Bond Index
		Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index
	Ward Brown	JPMorgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global
	Robert Persons	Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Government/Mortgage Bond Index
	Matt Ryan	JPMorgan Emerging Markets Bond Index Global

Table of Contents

Additional or different benchmarks, including versions and components of indices, custom indices, and linked indices that combine performance of different indices for different portions of the time period, may also be used. Consideration is given to portfolio performance over one, three, and five years with emphasis placed on the longer periods. For portfolio managers who have served for more than five years, additional longer-term performance periods are also considered. For portfolio managers who have served for less than five years, performance periods are adjusted as appropriate.

The qualitative portion is based on the results of an annual internal peer review process (conducted by other portfolio managers, analysts, and traders) and management’s assessment of overall portfolio manager contributions to investor relations and the investment process (distinct from fund and other account performance). This performance bonus may be in the form of cash and/or a deferred cash award, at the discretion of management. A deferred cash award is issued for a cash value and becomes payable over a three-year vesting period if the portfolio manager remains in the continuous employ of MFS or its affiliates. During the vesting period, the value of the unfunded deferred cash award will fluctuate as though the portfolio manager had invested the cash value of the award in an MFS Fund(s) selected by the portfolio manager. A selected fund may be, but is not required to be, a fund that is managed by the portfolio manager.

Portfolio managers also typically benefit from the opportunity to participate in the MFS Equity Plan. Equity interests are awarded by management, on a discretionary basis, taking into account tenure at MFS, contribution to the investment process, and other factors.

Finally, portfolio managers also participate in benefit plans (including a defined contribution plan and health and other insurance plans) and programs available generally to other employees of MFS. The percentage such benefits represent of any portfolio manager’s compensation depends upon the length of the individual’s tenure at MFS and salary level, as well as other factors.

Ownership of Fund Shares

The following table shows the dollar range of equity securities of the Fund beneficially owned by the Fund’s portfolio manager(s) as of the Fund’s fiscal year ended November 30, 2016. The following dollar ranges apply:

- N. None
- A. \$1 – \$10,000
- B. \$10,001 – \$50,000
- C. \$50,001 – \$100,000
- D. \$100,001 – \$500,000
- E. \$500,001 – \$1,000,000
- F. Over \$1,000,000

Name of Portfolio Manager	Dollar Range of Equity Securities in Fund
Geoffrey Schechter	N
Ward Brown	N
Robert Persons	N
Matt Ryan	N

Table of Contents**Other Accounts**

In addition to the Fund, each portfolio manager of the Fund is named as a portfolio manager of certain other accounts managed or subadvised by MFS or an affiliate. The number and assets of these accounts were as follows as of November 30, 2016:

Name	Registered Investment Companies*		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts	
	Number of Accounts	Total Assets	Number of Accounts	Total Assets	Number of Accounts	Total Assets
Geoffrey Schechter	12	\$ 15.3 billion	2	\$ 562.8 million	0	N/A
Ward Brown	9	\$ 11.1 billion	6	\$ 3.4 billion	5	\$ 1.7 billion
Robert Persons	13	\$ 16.6 billion	8	\$ 4.4 billion	3	\$ 430.5 million
Matt Ryan	11	\$ 11.7 billion	7	\$ 4.1 billion	5	\$ 1.7 billion

* Includes the Fund

Advisory fees are not based upon performance of any of the accounts identified in the table above.

Potential Conflicts of Interest

MFS seeks to identify potential conflicts of interest resulting from a portfolio manager's management of both the Fund and other accounts, and has adopted policies and procedures designed to address such potential conflicts.

The management of multiple funds and accounts (including proprietary accounts) gives rise to conflicts of interest if the funds and accounts have different objectives and strategies, benchmarks, time horizons and fees as a portfolio manager must allocate his or her time and investment ideas across multiple funds and accounts. In certain instances there are securities which are suitable for the Fund's portfolio as well as for accounts of MFS or its subsidiaries with similar investment objectives. The Fund's trade allocation policies may give rise to conflicts of interest if the Fund's orders do not get fully executed or are delayed in getting executed due to being aggregated with those of other accounts of MFS or its subsidiaries. A portfolio manager may execute transactions for another fund or account that may adversely affect the value of the Fund's investments. Investments selected for funds or accounts other than the Fund may outperform investments selected for the Fund.

When two or more clients are simultaneously engaged in the purchase or sale of the same security, the securities are allocated among clients in a manner believed by MFS to be fair and equitable to each. Allocations may be based on many factors and may not always be pro rata based on assets managed. The allocation methodology could have a detrimental effect on the price or volume of the security as far as the Fund is concerned.

MFS and/or a portfolio manager may have a financial incentive to allocate favorable or limited opportunity investments or structure the timing of investments to favor accounts other than the Fund, for instance, those that pay a higher advisory fee and/or have a performance adjustment and/or include an investment by the portfolio manager.

Table of Contents**ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.****MFS Government Markets Income Trust**

Period	(a) Total number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs
12/01/15-12/31/15	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
1/01/16-1/31/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
2/01/16-2/28/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
3/01/16-3/31/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
4/01/16-4/30/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
5/01/16-5/31/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
6/01/16-6/30/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
7/01/16-7/31/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
8/01/16-8/31/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
9/1/16-9/30/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
10/1/16-10/31/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
11/1/16-11/30/16	0	N/A	0	3,260,111
Total	0		0	

Note: The Board approved procedures to repurchase shares and reviews the results periodically. The notification to shareholders of the program is part of the semi-annual and annual reports sent to shareholders. These annual programs begin on October 1st of each year. The programs conform to the conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and limit the aggregate number of shares that may be purchased in each annual period (October 1 through the following September 30) to 10% of the Registrant's outstanding shares as of the first day of the plan year (October 1). The aggregate number of shares available for purchase for the October 1, 2016 plan year is 3,260,111.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may send recommendations to the Board for nominees to the Registrant's Board since the Registrant last provided disclosure as to such procedures in response to the requirements of Item 407 (c)(2)(iv) of Regulation S-K or this Item.

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) Based upon their evaluation of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the Act)) as conducted within 90 days of the filing date of this Form N-CSR, the registrant's principal financial officer and principal executive officer have concluded that those disclosure controls and procedures provide reasonable assurance that the material information required to be disclosed by the registrant on this report is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter covered by the report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- (a) File the exhibits listed below as part of this form. Letter or number the exhibits in the sequence indicated.
- (1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Code of Ethics attached hereto.
 - (2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2): Attached hereto.
 - (3) Notices to Trust s common shareholders in accordance with Investment Company Act Section 19(a) and Rule 19a-1.
- (b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)), Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed filed for the purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: Attached hereto.

Table of Contents

Notice

A copy of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of the Registrant is on file with the Secretary of State of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and notice is hereby given that this instrument is executed on behalf of the Registrant by an officer of the Registrant as an officer and not individually and the obligations of or arising out of this instrument are not binding upon any of the Trustees or shareholders individually, but are binding only upon the assets and property of the respective constituent series of the Registrant.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant: MFS GOVERNMENT MARKETS INCOME TRUST

By (Signature and Title)* DAVID L. DILORENZO
David L. DiLorenzo, President

Date: January 13, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title)* DAVID L. DILORENZO
David L. DiLorenzo, President
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: January 13, 2017

By (Signature and Title)* JAMES O. YOST
James O. Yost, Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer
and Accounting Officer)

Date: January 13, 2017

* Print name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.