

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Employers Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

R QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission file number: 001-33245

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction

of incorporation or organization)

04-3850065

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

10375 Professional Circle, Reno, Nevada 89521

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(888) 682-6671

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes R No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes R No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "non-accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer R Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No R

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Class

October 31, 2011

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share

34,878,399 shares outstanding

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<u>PART 1 – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u>
	<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months</u>
	<u>Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>
	<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>
	<u>2011 and 2010</u>
	<u>Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of</u>
	<u>Operations</u>
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
<u>Item 1A</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>
<u>Item 5</u>	<u>Other Information</u>
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

Employers Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands, except share data)

	As of September 30, 2011 (unaudited)	As of December 31, 2010
Assets		
Available for sale:		
Fixed maturity securities at fair value (amortized cost \$1,805,866 at September 30, 2011 and \$1,901,778 at December 31, 2010)	\$1,960,353	\$2,000,364
Equity securities at fair value (amortized cost \$50,119 at September 30, 2011 and \$49,281 at December 31, 2010)	74,422	80,130
Total investments	2,034,775	2,080,494
Cash and cash equivalents	206,834	119,825
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	6,192	16,949
Accrued investment income	20,252	23,022
Premiums receivable, less bad debt allowance of \$6,207 at September 30, 2011 and \$7,603 at December 31, 2010	154,714	109,987
Reinsurance recoverable for:		
Paid losses	10,621	14,415
Unpaid losses	927,670	956,043
Funds held by or deposited with reinsureds	1,615	3,701
Deferred policy acquisition costs	39,161	32,239
Federal income taxes recoverable	8,260	4,048
Deferred income taxes, net	25,146	38,078
Property and equipment, net	11,517	11,712
Intangible assets, net	12,076	13,279
Goodwill	36,192	36,192
Other assets	19,021	20,136
Total assets	\$3,514,046	\$3,480,120
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Claims and policy liabilities:		
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,249,264	\$2,279,729
Unearned premiums	194,218	149,485
Policyholders' dividends accrued	4,154	5,218
Total claims and policy liabilities	2,447,636	2,434,432
Commissions and premium taxes payable	26,086	17,313
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	29,226	18,601
Deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement	357,357	370,341
Notes payable	132,000	132,000
Other liabilities	15,645	17,317
Total liabilities	3,007,950	2,990,004
Commitments and contingencies		

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Stockholders' equity:

Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 53,930,227 and 53,779,118 shares issued and 36,230,399 and 38,965,126 shares outstanding at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	539	538
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	317,761	314,212
Retained earnings	340,823	319,341
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	115,801	84,133
Treasury stock, at cost (17,699,828 shares at September 30, 2011 and 14,813,992 shares at December 31, 2010)	(268,828) (228,108)
Total stockholders' equity	506,096	490,116
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,514,046	\$3,480,120
See accompanying unaudited notes to the consolidated financial statements.		

Employers Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues	(unaudited)			
Net premiums earned	\$92,601	\$80,695	\$263,156	\$238,221
Net investment income	19,584	20,689	60,383	62,592
Realized gains on investments, net	647	8	1,983	900
Other income	82	393	205	600
Total revenues	112,914	101,785	325,727	302,313
Expenses				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	67,438	52,764	191,009	138,097
Commission expense	10,968	9,971	32,368	29,052
Dividends to policyholders	840	1,584	2,766	3,386
Underwriting and other operating expenses	25,334	25,722	77,212	83,132
Interest expense	906	1,632	2,731	4,832
Total expenses	105,486	91,673	306,086	258,499
Net income before income taxes	7,428	10,112	19,641	43,814
Income tax expense (benefit)	(4,355)) 58	(8,738)) 1,164
Net income	\$11,783	\$10,054	\$28,379	\$42,650
Comprehensive income				
Unrealized gains during the period, before taxes	\$26,053	\$39,769	\$51,339	\$68,295
Less: reclassification adjustment for realized gains in net income	647	8	1,983	900
Other comprehensive income, before tax	25,406	39,761	49,356	67,395
Income tax expense (benefit) related to:				
Unrealized gains (losses) during the period	9,118	12,877	18,382	24,274
Realized gains in net income	226	3	694	315
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	16,514	26,887	31,668	43,436
Total comprehensive income	\$28,297	\$36,941	\$60,047	\$86,086
Earnings per common share (Note 10):				
Basic	\$0.31	\$0.25	\$0.74	\$1.02
Diluted	\$0.31	\$0.25	\$0.74	\$1.01
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.18	\$0.18
Realized gains on investments, net				
Net realized gains on investments before credit related impairments on fixed maturity securities	\$647	\$8	\$1,983	\$900
Other than temporary impairment, credit losses recognized in earnings	—	—	—	—
Portion of impairment recognized in other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Realized gains on investments, net	\$647	\$8	\$1,983	\$900
------------------------------------	-------	-----	---------	-------

See accompanying unaudited notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Employers Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
Operating activities		
Net income	\$28,379	\$42,650
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,861	5,477
Stock-based compensation	2,738	2,982
Amortization of premium on investments, net	5,791	4,238
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,396)	(1,764)
Deferred income tax expense	(4,756)	5,045
Realized gains on investments, net	(1,983)	(900)
Realized losses on retirement of assets	128	252
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accrued investment income	2,770	1,779
Premiums receivable	(43,331)	13,180
Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid losses	32,167	57,059
Funds held by or deposited with reinsureds	2,086	3,047
Federal income taxes recoverable	(4,212)	(5,360)
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(30,465)	(99,827)
Unearned premiums	44,733	(5,057)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	9,979	(1,014)
Deferred reinsurance gain – LPT Agreement	(12,984)	(13,514)
Other	1,472	(2,672)
Net cash provided by operating activities	35,977	5,601
Investing activities		
Purchase of fixed maturities	(112,895)	(165,273)
Purchase of equity securities	(4,314)	(454)
Proceeds from sale of fixed maturities	98,400	77,859
Proceeds from sale of equity securities	4,490	567
Proceeds from maturities and redemptions of investments	104,990	94,521
Capital expenditures and other	(3,591)	(1,684)
Restricted cash and cash equivalents provided by (used in) investing activities	10,757	(2,189)
Net cash provided by investing activities	97,837	3,347
Financing activities		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	—	74
Acquisition of treasury stock	(40,720)	(50,000)
Cash transactions related to stock-based compensation	800	(1,229)
Dividends paid to stockholders	(6,885)	(7,554)
Net cash used in financing activities	(46,805)	(58,709)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	87,009	(49,761)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	119,825	188,833
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period	\$206,834	\$139,072
See accompanying unaudited notes to the consolidated financial statements.		

Employers Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

Employers Holdings, Inc. (EHI) is a Nevada holding company. Through its wholly owned insurance subsidiaries, Employers Insurance Company of Nevada (EICN), Employers Compensation Insurance Company (ECIC), Employers Preferred Insurance Company (EPIC), and Employers Assurance Company (EAC), EHI is engaged in the commercial property and casualty insurance industry, specializing in workers' compensation products and services. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to the "Company" refer to EHI, together with its subsidiaries.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal, recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations for the periods presented have been included. The results of operations for an interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for an entire year. These financial statements have been prepared consistent with the accounting policies described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company considers an operating segment to be any component of its business whose operating results are regularly reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision makers to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and assess its performance based on discrete financial information. Currently, the Company has one operating segment, workers' compensation insurance and related services.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. As a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. The most significant areas that require management judgment are the estimate of unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE), evaluation of reinsurance recoverables, recognition of premium revenue, deferred income taxes, investments, and the valuation of goodwill and intangible assets.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

2. New Accounting Standards

In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) Number 2010-26, Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts. This update changes the definition of acquisition costs which may be capitalized to specify costs which relate directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts; adds to the definition the concept of incremental costs; further restricts costs to be capitalized by identifying only those costs which may be capitalized; and requires additional granularity in the disclosures related to the type of acquisition costs capitalized during the period. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company has not yet determined if it will adopt the standard on a prospective or retrospective basis and is evaluating the impact that retrospective adoption will have on its financial statements. Currently, the Company estimates that prospective adoption of ASU 2010-06 would increase its underwriting and other operating expenses by \$7.0 million to \$8.0 million in 2012 and decrease total assets on the consolidated balance sheet by the same amount.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU Number 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement. This update is a result of efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to develop common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This update changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The intent was to clarify

existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements and to ensure that GAAP and IFRS fair value measurements and disclosures are described in the same way. This update also requires additional disclosures related to valuation processes and the sensitivity of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities. It does not require additional fair value measures, nor does the FASB expect the amendment to affect current practice. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and early adoption is not permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact, if any, on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU Number 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other. This update is a result of efforts by the FASB to reduce complexity and costs for an entity testing goodwill impairment. This update will permit an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in Accounting Standard Codification Topic 350. If an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and early adoption is permitted. The Company will adopt this update for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact, if any, on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

3. Investments

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses, and estimated fair value of the Company's investments were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
At September 30, 2011	(in thousands)			
Fixed maturity securities				
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 129,381	\$ 19,601	\$ —	\$ 148,982
U.S. Agencies	97,247	7,510	—	104,757
States and municipalities	863,954	72,587	(79) 936,462
Corporate	438,369	35,617	(1,841) 472,145
Residential mortgage-backed securities	242,843	20,110	(471) 262,482
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	22,143	871	(3) 23,011
Asset-backed securities	11,929	585	—	12,514
Total fixed maturity securities	1,805,866	156,881	(2,394) 1,960,353
Equity securities				
Consumer goods	19,659	7,042	(432) 26,269
Energy and utilities	4,675	4,665	(36) 9,304
Financial	6,532	1,595	(1,097) 7,030
Technology and communications	8,099	9,199	(295) 17,003
Industrial and other	11,154	4,735	(1,073) 14,816
Total equity securities	50,119	27,236	(2,933) 74,422
Total investments	\$ 1,855,985	\$ 184,117	\$ (5,327) \$ 2,034,775

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
At December 31, 2010	(in thousands)			
Fixed maturity securities				
U.S. Treasuries	\$135,265	\$9,619	\$(159)) \$144,725
U.S. Agencies	116,747	7,142	(87)) 123,802
States and municipalities	927,668	43,054	(4,720)) 966,002
Corporate	453,851	28,655	(3,082)) 479,424
Residential mortgage-backed securities	230,518	16,926	(688)) 246,756
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	23,877	1,201	(1)) 25,077
Asset-backed securities	13,852	727	(1)) 14,578
Total fixed maturity securities	1,901,778	107,324	(8,738)) 2,000,364
Equity securities				
Consumer goods	19,141	7,550	(45)) 26,646
Energy and utilities	5,106	5,160	(1)) 10,265
Financial	6,603	2,916	(19)) 9,500
Technology and communications	7,499	8,500	(9)) 15,990
Industrial and other	10,932	6,841	(44)) 17,729
Total equity securities	49,281	30,967	(118)) 80,130
Total investments	\$1,951,059	\$138,291	\$(8,856)) \$2,080,494

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2011, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(in thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$129,921	\$132,378
Due after one year through five years	478,905	515,067
Due after five years through ten years	631,926	695,267
Due after ten years	288,199	319,634
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	276,915	298,007
Total	\$1,805,866	\$1,960,353

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following is a summary of investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for 12 months or greater as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	September 30, 2011		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Less Than 12 Months		Estimated	Gross	Estimated	Gross
	Estimated	Gross	Estimated	Gross	Estimated	Gross
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
	(in thousands)					
Fixed maturity securities						
States and municipalities	\$4,187	\$(52)	\$1,025	\$(27)	\$5,212	\$(79)
Corporate	59,488	(1,803)	4,944	(38)	64,432	(1,841)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	4,929	(26)	3,059	(445)	7,988	(471)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	2,113	(3)	—	—	2,113	(3)
Total fixed maturity securities	70,717	(1,884)	9,028	(510)	79,745	(2,394)
Equity securities						
Consumer goods	4,161	(432)	—	—	4,161	(432)
Energy and utilities	211	(36)	—	—	211	(36)
Financial	2,695	(1,044)	76	(53)	2,771	(1,097)
Technology and communications	1,682	(295)	—	—	1,682	(295)
Industrial and other	4,992	(1,064)	124	(9)	5,116	(1,073)
Total equity securities	13,741	(2,871)	200	(62)	13,941	(2,933)
Total investments	\$84,458	\$(4,755)	\$9,228	\$(572)	\$93,686	\$(5,327)

	December 31, 2010		12 Months or Greater		Total	
	Less Than 12 Months		Estimated	Gross	Estimated	Gross
	Estimated	Gross	Estimated	Gross	Estimated	Gross
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
	(in thousands)					
Fixed maturity securities						
U.S. Treasuries	\$4,548	\$(159)	\$—	\$—	\$4,548	\$(159)
U.S. Agencies	14,500	(87)	—	—	14,500	(87)
States and municipalities	124,245	(4,720)	—	—	124,245	(4,720)
Corporate	123,216	(3,082)	—	—	123,216	(3,082)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	15,161	(304)	3,465	(384)	18,626	(688)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	1,365	(1)	—	—	1,365	(1)
Asset-backed securities	923	(1)	—	—	923	(1)
Total fixed maturity securities	283,958	(8,354)	3,465	(384)	287,423	(8,738)
Equity securities						
Consumer goods	4,993	(45)	—	—	4,993	(45)
Energy and utilities	163	(1)	—	—	163	(1)
Financial	1,695	(16)	66	(3)	1,761	(19)
	801	(9)	—	—	801	(9)

Edgar Filing: Employers Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Technology and communications									
Industrial and other	2,999	(44)	—	—	2,999	(44)	
Total equity securities	10,651	(115)	66	(3)	10,717	(118)
Total investments	\$294,609	\$(8,469)	\$3,531	\$(387)	\$298,140	\$(8,856)

9

Based on reviews of the fixed maturity securities, the Company determined that unrealized losses as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were primarily the result of changes in prevailing interest rates and not the credit quality of the issuers. The fixed maturity securities whose total fair value was less than amortized cost were not determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired given the severity and duration of the impairment, the credit quality of the issuers, the Company's intent on not selling the securities, and a determination that it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the securities until fair value recovers to above cost, or to maturity.

Based on reviews of the equity securities as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company determined that the unrealized losses as of those dates were not considered to be other-than-temporary due to the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuers.

Realized gains on investments, net and the change in unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity and equity securities are determined on a specific-identification basis and were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010	
	(in thousands)			
Realized gains on investments, net				
Fixed maturity securities				
Gross gains from sales	\$185	\$8	\$1,117	\$8,597
Gross losses from sales	—	—	(148)	(7,977)
Realized gains on fixed maturity securities, net	\$185	\$8	\$969	\$620
Equity securities				
Gross gains from sales	\$479	\$—	\$1,034	\$280
Gross losses from sales	(17)	—	(20)	—
Realized gains on equity securities, net	\$462	\$—	\$1,014	\$280
Total	\$647	\$8	\$1,983	\$900
Change in unrealized gains (losses)				
Fixed maturity securities	\$36,444	\$31,015	\$55,902	\$62,135
Equity securities	(11,038)	7,936	(6,546)	3,080
Total	\$25,406	\$38,951	\$49,356	\$65,215

Net investment income was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010	
	(in thousands)			
Fixed maturity securities	\$19,247	\$20,781	\$60,140	\$63,139
Equity securities	449	344	1,367	1,025
Cash equivalents and restricted cash	525	165	721	231
	20,221	21,290	62,228	64,395
Investment expenses	(637)	(601)	(1,845)	(1,803)
Net investment income	\$19,584	\$20,689	\$60,383	\$62,592

The Company is required by various state laws and regulations to keep securities or letters of credit in depository accounts with the states in which it does business. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, securities having a fair value of \$521.0 million and \$558.6 million, respectively, were on deposit. These laws and regulations govern not only the amount, but also the type of security that is eligible for deposit. The deposits are limited to fixed maturity securities in all states. Additionally, certain reinsurance contracts require Company funds to be held in trust for the benefit of the ceding reinsurer to secure the outstanding liabilities assumed by the Company. The fair value of securities held in trust for reinsurance at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$41.2 million and \$52.9 million, respectively. The Company's debt was secured by fixed maturity securities and restricted cash and cash equivalents that had a fair value of \$127.5 million and \$131.0 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010,

respectively.

10

4. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying value and the estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments as of September 30, 2011, were as follows:

	Carrying Value (in thousands)	Estimated Fair Value
Financial assets		
Investments	\$2,034,775	\$2,034,775
Cash and cash equivalents	206,834	206,834
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	6,192	6,192
Financial liabilities		
Notes payable	132,000	145,382

As of December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value of the Company's financial assets was also equal to the carrying value, while the carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company's notes payable were \$132.0 million and \$150.6 million, respectively. The Company's estimates of fair value for financial liabilities is based on the variable interest rate for the Company's existing line of credit to discount future payments on notes payable.

The Company's estimates of fair value for financial assets and liabilities are based on the inputs used in the valuations and give the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets and require that observable inputs be used in the valuations when available. The disclosure of fair value estimates is based on whether the significant inputs into the valuations are observable. In determining the level of the hierarchy in which the estimate is disclosed, the highest priority is given to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs that reflect the Company's significant market assumptions.

The three levels of the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 - Valuations based on unadjusted quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. The Company uses the quoted market prices as fair value and includes these prices in the amounts disclosed in Level 1 of the hierarchy.

Level 2 - Valuations based on observable inputs (other than Level 1 prices), such as quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities at the measurement date; quoted prices in inactive markets; or other inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly. When quoted market prices are unavailable, the Company estimates fair value based on objectively verifiable information, if available, and these estimates are included in the amount disclosed in Level 2 of the hierarchy.

Level 3 - Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement and involve management judgment. The fair value of certain privately held or thinly traded securities is determined using internal analytical methods based on the best information available.

If quoted market prices and an estimate determined by using objectively verifiable information are unavailable, the Company produces an estimate of fair value based on internally developed valuation techniques, which, depending on the level of observable market inputs, will render the fair value estimate as Level 2 or Level 3. The Company bases all of its estimates of fair value for assets on the bid price as it represents what a third party market participant would be willing to pay in an arm's length transaction. The valuation methods used by the Company, by type of investment, are described below.

Equity Securities. The Company utilizes market quotations for equity securities that have quoted prices in active markets.

Fixed Maturity Securities, Short-Term Investments. Fair value measurements for these securities are estimated using relevant inputs, including available market information, benchmark curves, benchmarking of like securities, sector groupings, and matrix pricing. An Option Adjusted Spread model is also used to develop prepayment and interest rate scenarios. Industry standard models are used to analyze and value securities with embedded options or prepayment sensitivities.

Each asset class is evaluated based on relevant market information, credit information, perceived market movements, and sector news. The market inputs utilized in the pricing evaluation include: benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, reference data, and

industry and economic events. The extent of the use of each market input depends on the asset class and the market conditions.

These methods of valuation will only produce an estimate of fair value if there is objectively verifiable information to produce a valuation. If objectively verifiable information is not available, the Company would be required to produce an estimate of fair value using some of the same methodologies, making assumptions for market based inputs that are unavailable.

Most estimates of fair value for fixed maturity securities are based on estimates using objectively verifiable information and are included in the amount disclosed in Level 2 of the hierarchy. The fair value estimates for determining Level 3 fair value include the Company's assumptions about risk assessments and market participant assumptions based on the best information available,

including quotes from market makers and other broker/dealers recognized as market participants, using standard or trade derived inputs, new issue data, monthly payment information, cash flow generation, prepayment speeds, spread adjustments, or rating updates.

The following table presents the items on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets that are stated at fair value and the fair value measurements.

	Level 1 (in thousands)	Level 2	Level 3
At September 30, 2011			
Fixed maturity securities			
U.S. Treasuries	\$—	\$148,982	\$—
U.S. Agencies	—	104,757	—
States and municipalities	—	936,462	—
Corporate	—	472,145	—
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	262,482	—
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	23,011	—
Asset-backed securities	—	12,514	—
Total fixed maturity securities	\$—	\$1,960,353	\$—
Equity securities			
Consumer goods	\$26,269	\$—	\$—
Energy and utilities	9,304	—	—
Financial	7,030	—	—
Technology and communications	17,003	—	—
Industrial and other	14,816	—	—
Total equity securities	\$74,422	\$—	\$—
At December 31, 2010			
Fixed maturity securities			
U.S. Treasuries	\$—	\$144,725	\$—
U.S. Agencies	—	123,802	—
States and municipalities	—	966,002	—
Corporate	—	479,424	—
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	246,756	—
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	25,077	—
Asset-backed securities	—	14,578	—
Total fixed maturity securities	\$—	\$2,000,364	\$—
Equity securities			
Consumer goods	\$26,646	\$—	\$—
Energy and utilities	10,265	—	—
Financial	9,500	—	—
Technology and communications	15,990	—	—
Industrial and other	17,729	—	—
Total equity securities	\$80,130	\$—	\$—

5. Income Taxes

Income tax expense for interim periods is measured using an estimated effective tax rate for the annual period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized net income before taxes of \$19.6 million and \$43.8 million and an income tax (benefit) expense of \$(8.7) million and \$1.2 million, yielding effective tax rates of (44.5)% and 2.7%, respectively.

The following is a reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rates for the periods presented.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010	
Expense computed at statutory rate	35.0	%	35.0	%
Dividends received deduction and tax-exempt interest	(47.4)	(20.2)
LPT Agreement	(26.7)	(11.7)
Pre-privatization reserve adjustments	(6.6)	(2.4)
Stock based compensation	0.3		0.5	
Other	0.9		1.5	
Effective tax rate	(44.5)%	2.7	%

6. Liability for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The following table represents a reconciliation of changes in the liability for unpaid losses and LAE.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Unpaid losses and LAE, gross of reinsurance, at beginning of period	\$2,279,729	\$2,425,658
Less reinsurance recoverables, excluding bad debt allowance, on unpaid losses and LAE	956,043	1,052,505
Net unpaid losses and LAE at beginning of period	1,323,686	1,373,153
Losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, incurred in:		
Current period	203,362	166,618
Prior periods	631	(15,007)
Total net losses and LAE incurred during the period	203,993	151,611
Deduct payments for losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, related to:		
Current period	33,477	33,797
Prior periods	172,608	161,953
Total net payments for losses and LAE during the period	206,085	195,750
Ending unpaid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance	1,321,594	1,329,014
Reinsurance recoverable, excluding bad debt allowance in 2010, on unpaid losses and LAE	927,670	996,817
Unpaid losses and LAE, gross of reinsurance, at end of period	\$2,249,264	\$2,325,831

Total net losses and LAE included in the above table excludes the impact of the amortization of the deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement (Deferred Gain) (Note 7).

The change in the liability for unpaid losses and LAE attributable to insured events for prior periods was \$0.6 million and \$(15.0) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was related to the Company's assigned risk business, while the major sources of favorable development in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were actual paid losses being less than expected and the impact of new information on selected claim payments and emergence patterns used in the projection of future loss payments. The commutation of certain reinsurance treaties during the third quarter of 2010 increased losses and LAE incurred in prior periods by \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and is included in the \$(15.0) million prior period development.

7. LPT Agreement

The Company is party to a 100% quota share retroactive reinsurance agreement (LPT Agreement) under which \$1.53 billion in liabilities for losses and LAE related to claims incurred by EICN prior to July 1, 1995 were reinsured for consideration of \$775.0 million. The LPT Agreement provides coverage up to \$2.00 billion. The initial Deferred Gain resulting from the LPT Agreement was recorded as a liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and is

being amortized using the recovery method, whereby the amortization is determined by the proportion of actual reinsurance recoveries to total estimated recoveries. The Company amortized \$4.2 million and \$4.8 million of the Deferred Gain for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and amortized \$13.0 million and \$13.5 million of the Deferred Gain for the nine months ended September 30, 2011

and 2010, respectively. Any adjustments to the Deferred Gain are recorded in losses and LAE incurred in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. No adjustments occurred in the current period. The remaining Deferred Gain was \$357.4 million and \$370.3 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and is included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, net

Accumulated other comprehensive income is comprised of unrealized gains on investments classified as available-for-sale and unrealized losses on an interest rate swap, net of deferred tax expense. The following table summarizes the components of accumulated other comprehensive income, net:

	September 30,	
	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Net unrealized gain on investments, before taxes	\$178,790	\$195,765
Deferred tax expense on net unrealized gains	(62,989)	(68,517)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income, net	\$115,801	\$127,248

9. Stock-Based Compensation

On March 16, 2011, 355,063 stock options and 126,975 restricted stock units (RSUs) were awarded to certain officers of the Company. The fair value of the RSUs on the grant date and the per share exercise price of the stock options was \$19.81. The stock options have a service vesting period of four years and vest 25% on March 16, 2012, and 25% on each of the subsequent three anniversaries of such date. The stock options and RSUs are subject to accelerated vesting in circumstances of death or disability of the holder or in connection with a change of control of the Company and are subject to partial accelerated vesting in the case of retirement. The stock options expire seven years from the date of grant. The aggregate fair value of the stock options and RSUs on the date of grant was \$2.5 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

On May 26, 2011, 29,440 RSUs were awarded to the directors of the Company. The fair value of the RSUs on the grant date was \$16.30 per share and the aggregate fair value on the date of grant was \$0.5 million.

During the first quarter of 2010, the EHI Board of Directors certified the performance period results of the performance share units (PSUs) awarded in 2007, resulting in the vesting of 196,071 shares of common stock.

A total of 74,431 and 7,783 stock options were exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, respectively.

10. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share includes no dilution and is computed by dividing income applicable to stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilutive impact of all convertible securities on earnings per share. Diluted earnings per share includes shares assumed issued under the "treasury stock method," which reflects the potential dilution that would occur if outstanding options were to be exercised.

The following table presents the net income and the weighted average common shares outstanding used in the earnings per common share calculations for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except share data)			
Net income available to stockholders—basic and diluted	\$11,783	\$10,054	\$28,379	\$42,650
Weighted average number of shares outstanding—basic	37,623,935	40,765,528	38,251,561	41,991,051
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	—	11,828	61,055	—
Restricted stock units	12,577	142,372	67,751	107,593
Dilutive potential shares	12,577	154,200	128,806	107,593
Weighted average number of shares outstanding—diluted	37,636,512	40,919,728	38,380,367	42,098,644

Diluted earnings per share exclude outstanding options and other common stock equivalents in periods where the inclusion of such potential common stock instruments would be anti-dilutive. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, 1.5 million and 1.1 million stock options, respectively, were excluded from diluted earnings per share, as the options exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common stock during the period, compared to 0.7 million and 1.2 million for the corresponding periods of 2010. For both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, 0.7 million and 0.5 million outstanding RSUs and stock options, respectively, were excluded from diluted earnings per share under the treasury method, as the potential proceeds on settlement or exercise was greater than the value of shares acquired, compared to 0.4 million and 0.5 million for the corresponding periods of 2010.

11. Subsequent Events

In November 2010, the EHI Board of Directors (Board of Directors) authorized a share repurchase program for repurchases of up to \$100 million of the Company's common stock from November 8, 2010 through June 30, 2012 (the 2011 Program). On November 2, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized a \$100 million expansion of the 2011 Program, to \$200 million, and extended the repurchase authority pursuant to the program through June 30, 2013. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including the share price, corporate and regulatory requirements, and other market and economic conditions. Repurchases under the 2011 Program may be commenced, modified, or suspended from time-to-time without prior notice, and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto included in Item 1 of Part I. Unless otherwise indicated, all references to "we," "us," "our," "the Company" or similar terms refer to Employers Holdings, Inc. (EHI), together with its subsidiaries. The information contained in this quarterly report is not a complete description of our business or the risks associated with an investment in our common stock. We urge you to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this quarterly report and in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (Annual Report).

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements if accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed. You should not place undue reliance on these statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. Forward-looking statements include those related to our expected financial position, business, financing plans, litigation, future premiums, revenues, earnings, pricing, investments, business relationships, expected losses, loss reserves, acquisitions, competition, and rate increases with respect to our business and the insurance industry in general. Statements including words such as "expect," "intend," "plan," "believe," "estimate," "may," "anticipate," "will" or similar statements of a future or forward-looking nature identify forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, except as required by law. All forward-looking statements address matters that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical or anticipated results, depending on a number of factors. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in our Annual Report and other documents that we have filed with the SEC.

Overview

We are a Nevada holding company. Through our insurance subsidiaries, we provide workers' compensation insurance coverage to select, small businesses in low to medium hazard industries. Workers' compensation insurance is provided under a statutory system wherein most employers are required to provide coverage for their employees' medical, disability, vocational rehabilitation, and/or death benefit costs for work-related injuries or illnesses. We provide workers' compensation insurance in 30 states and the District of Columbia, with a concentration in California. Our revenues are primarily comprised of net premiums earned, net investment income, and net realized gains on investments.

We target small businesses, as we believe that this market is traditionally characterized by fewer competitors, more attractive pricing, and stronger persistency when compared to the U.S. workers' compensation insurance industry in general. We believe we are able to price our policies at levels which are competitive and profitable over the long-term. Our underwriting approach is to consistently underwrite small business accounts at an appropriate and competitive price without sacrificing long-term profitability and stability for short-term top-line revenue growth.

We market and sell our workers' compensation insurance products through independent local, regional and national agents and brokers; through our strategic partnerships and alliances, including our principal partners ADP, Inc. and Anthem Blue Cross of California; and through relationships with national and regional trade groups and associations, including the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB).

Results of Operations

Overall, net income was \$11.8 million and \$28.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$10.1 million and \$42.7 million for the corresponding periods of 2010. We recognized underwriting losses of \$12.0 million and \$40.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to underwriting losses of \$9.3 million and \$15.4 million for the same periods of 2010.

Underwriting income or loss is determined by deducting losses and LAE, commission expense, dividends to policyholders, and underwriting and other operating expenses from net premiums earned. Key factors that affected our financial performance during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same periods of 2010, include:

- 26% increase in gross premiums written during the third quarter and 30% increase year-to-date (15% and 10% increase in net earned premium for the same periods);

28% increase in losses and LAE during the third quarter and 38% increase year-to-date (due to increased earned premium, favorable prior accident year loss development in 2010, and an increase in our current accident year loss estimate to 77.2% and 77.3% for the third quarter and year-to-date 2011, respectively);

Income tax benefit of \$4.4 million and \$8.7 million for the third quarter and year-to-date 2011, respectively, compared to income tax expense of \$0.1 million and \$1.2 million for the same periods of 2010.

We measure our performance by our ability to increase stockholders' equity, including the impact of the deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement (Deferred Gain), over the long-term. Our stockholders' equity, including the Deferred Gain, was \$863.5 million and \$860.5 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Stockholders' equity, including the Deferred Gain, is a non-GAAP measure that is defined as total stockholders' equity plus the Deferred Gain, which we believe is an important supplemental measure of our capital position. Stockholders' equity on a GAAP basis was \$506.1 million and \$490.1 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Shares outstanding declined to 36,230,399 from 38,965,126 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as a result of accretive share repurchases. Additionally, we have returned \$6.9 million to shareholders in 2011 through quarterly dividends.

Our goal is to maintain our focus on disciplined underwriting and to continue to pursue profitable growth opportunities across market cycles; however, we continue to be affected by the impacts of the most recent economic recession. The pace of recovery remains persistently slow and, although it appears to us that the declines in total employment and payroll have leveled-off, we do not believe the situation will significantly improve in the near-term. The comparative components of net income are set forth in the following table:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 2010	
	(in thousands)			
Gross premiums written	\$104,514	\$83,265	\$315,571	\$242,064
Net premiums written	102,557	81,312	309,249	234,812
Net premiums earned	\$92,601	\$80,695	\$263,156	\$238,221
Net investment income	19,584	20,689	60,383	62,592
Realized gains on investments	647	8	1,983	900
Other income	82	393	205	600
Total revenues	112,914	101,785	325,727	302,313
Losses and LAE	67,438	52,764	191,009	138,097
Commission expense	10,968	9,971	32,368	29,052
Dividends to policyholders	840	1,584	2,766	3,386
Underwriting and other operating expenses	25,334	25,722	77,212	83,132
Interest expense	906	1,632	2,731	4,832
Income tax expense (benefit)	(4,355)) 58	(8,738)) 1,164
Total expenses	101,131	91,731	297,348	259,663
Net income	\$11,783	\$10,054	\$28,379	\$42,650
Less impact of the deferred reinsurance gain— LPT Agreement	\$4,203	\$4,792	\$12,984	\$13,514
Net income before impact of the deferred reinsurance gain— LPT Agreement ⁽¹⁾	\$7,580	\$5,262	\$15,395	\$29,136

(1) We define net income before impact of the deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement as net income less: (a) amortization of Deferred Gain and (b) adjustments to LPT Agreement ceded reserves. Deferred Gain reflects the unamortized gain from our LPT Agreement. Under GAAP, this gain is deferred and is being amortized using the recovery method, whereby the amortization is determined by the proportion of actual reinsurance recoveries to total estimated recoveries, and the amortization is reflected in losses and LAE. We periodically reevaluate the remaining direct reserves subject to the LPT Agreement. Our reevaluation results in corresponding adjustments, if needed, to reserves, ceded reserves, reinsurance recoverable, and the deferred reinsurance gain, with the net effect being an increase or decrease, as the case may be, to net income. Net income before impact of the deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement is not a measurement of financial performance under GAAP, but rather reflects the difference in accounting treatment between statutory and GAAP, and should not be considered in isolation or as an alternative to net income before income taxes and net income or any other measure of performance derived in

accordance with GAAP.

We present net income before impact of the deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement because we believe that it is an important supplemental measure of operating performance to be used by analysts, investors and other interested parties in evaluating us. The LPT Agreement was a non-recurring transaction, which does not result in ongoing cash benefits, and, consequently, we believe this presentation is useful in providing a meaningful understanding of our operating performance. In addition, we believe this non-GAAP measure, as we have defined it, is helpful to our management in identifying trends in our performance because the excluded item has limited significance on our current and ongoing operations.

Net Premiums Earned

Net premiums earned increased 14.8% and 10.5% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2010. The year-over-year change in the accrual for final audit premium decreased our net premiums earned by \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010. The change in the accrual for final audit premiums increased our net premiums earned by \$15.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010.

Changes in the accrual for final audit premium are driven by various factors, including general economic factors such as unemployment and payroll trends.

The following table shows the percentage change in our in-force premium, policy count, average policy size, and payroll exposure upon which our premiums are based, and net rate.

	September 30, 2011			
	Year-to-Date Percentage Increase (Decrease)		Year-Over-Year Percentage Increase (Decrease)	
In-force premium	14.8	%	12.3	%
In-force policy count	27.0		30.1	
Average in-force policy size	(9.6)	(13.7)
In-force payroll exposure	17.4		16.2	
Net rate ⁽¹⁾	(2.3)	(3.4)

Net rate, defined as total premium in-force divided by total insured payroll exposure, is a function of a variety of (1) factors, including rate changes, underwriting risk profiles and pricing, and changes in business mix related to economic and competitive pressures.

Our total in-force premiums and number of policies in-force for our five largest states and all other states combined are shown in the table below as of the dates shown.

State	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010		September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Premium	Policies	Premium	Policies	Premium	Policies	Premium	Policies
	In-force	In-force	In-force	In-force	In-force	In-force	In-force	In-force
	(dollars in thousands)							
California	\$206,272	35,139	\$172,621	29,244	\$172,693	28,586	\$180,474	27,812
Illinois	23,041	2,045	18,617	932	19,150	850	19,389	801
Florida	14,993	2,309	15,071	1,963	15,724	1,932	27,964	2,630
Nevada	14,675	3,630	16,940	3,596	18,624	3,662	24,050	4,119
Georgia	14,530	1,716	10,773	757	11,118	537	12,744	539
Other	95,080	11,762	87,115	8,068	90,992	7,944	120,404	8,253
Total	\$368,591	56,601	\$321,137	44,560	\$328,301	43,511	\$385,025	44,154

Our strategic partnerships and alliances generated \$87.9 million and \$70.4 million, or 23.8% and 21.4%, of our in-force premiums as of September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This increase was primarily due to the higher retention rates for this business than for business produced by our independent agents. We believe that the bundling of products and services through these relationships has contributed to the higher retention rates. These relationships also allow us to access new customers that we may not have access to through our independent agent distribution channel. We continue to expand our existing relationships and actively seek new partnerships and alliances.

As of September 30, 2011, over one-half of our business was generated in California, where our policy count increased 20.2% year-to-date.

In April 2011, the Workers' Compensation Insurance Rating Bureau (WCIRB) of California provided an informational filing highlighting the cost drivers that indicated a cumulative 39.8% increase in the claims cost benchmark since January 1, 2009 based on an analysis of December 31, 2010 loss experience. This includes deterioration of more than 12 percentage points in the claims cost benchmark since the WCIRB's previous recommendation for a 27.7% increase based on an analysis of June 30, 2010 loss experience. The WCIRB indicated that this further deterioration was due to: (a) continued adverse loss development on the 2009 accident year; (b) high emerging costs on the 2010 accident

year, primarily due to increased claims frequency; (c) less optimistic forecasts for statewide wage growth in California; and (d) increased LAE that is likely as a result of certain Workers' Compensation Appeals Board decisions. In August 2011, the WCIRB modified its benchmark for pure premium rates. The benchmark is now based on the industry average

filed pure premium rate, rather than the pure premium rate approved by the California Commissioner of Insurance. The WCIRB submitted its new proposed pure premium rate proposed to be effective January 1, 2012. The WCIRB noted that while 2012 projected costs continue to be below pre-reform highs and the new proposed pure premium rate is slightly less than the industry average filed rate, these new proposed rates reflect significant deterioration in projected losses and LAE and less optimistic economic forecasts, compared to last year. We set our own premium rates in California based upon actuarial analyses of current and anticipated loss trends with a goal of maintaining underwriting profitability. Due to increasing loss costs, primarily medical cost inflation, we have increased our filed premium rates by a cumulative 33.3% since February 1, 2009. The following table sets forth the percentage increases to our filed California rates effective for new and renewal policies incepting on or after the dates shown.

Effective Date	Premium Rate Change Filed in California	%
February 1, 2009	10.0	
August 15, 2009	10.5	
March 15, 2010	3.0	
March 15, 2011	2.5	
September 15, 2011	3.9	

We expect that premiums in 2011 will continue to reflect the following:

- rate changes (increases and reductions) in the states in which we operate;
- increasing policy count as we continue to execute our growth strategy;
- lower average policy size;
- competitive pressures; and
- the residual effects of the recession.

Net Investment Income and Realized Gains on Investments.

We invest our holding company assets, statutory surplus, and the funds supporting our insurance liabilities, including unearned premiums and unpaid losses and LAE. We invest in fixed maturity securities, equity securities, and cash equivalents. Net investment income includes interest and dividends earned on our invested assets and amortization of premiums and discounts on our fixed maturity securities, less bank service charges and custodial and portfolio management fees. We have established a high quality/short duration bias in our investment portfolio with high underlying credit quality of our municipal bond holdings.

Net investment income decreased 5.3% and 3.5% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2010. The decreases were primarily related to decreases in the average pre-tax book yield on invested assets to 4.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 4.1% for the same period of 2010, and to 4.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 4.2% for the same period of 2010. The tax-equivalent yield on invested assets decreased to 5.2% at September 30, 2011, compared to 5.4% at September 30, 2010.

Realized gains and losses on our investments are reported separately from our net investment income. Realized gains and losses on investments include the gain or loss on a security at the time of sale compared to its original or adjusted cost (equity securities) or amortized cost (fixed maturity securities). Realized losses are also recognized when securities are written down as a result of an other-than-temporary impairment.

Net realized gains on investments were \$0.6 million and \$2.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$8 thousand and \$0.9 million for the corresponding periods of 2010. The increase in realized gains on investments for both periods was primarily due to the sale of equity securities, as we shifted to a high-yield equity securities portfolio.

Additional information regarding our Investments is set forth under “—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Investments.”

Combined Ratio

The combined ratio, expressed as a percentage, is a key measurement of underwriting profitability. The combined ratio is the sum of the losses and LAE ratio, the commission expense ratio, dividends to policyholders' ratio, and

underwriting and other operating expenses ratio. When the combined ratio is below 100%, we have recorded underwriting income, and conversely, when the combined ratio is greater than 100%, we cannot be profitable without investment income. Because we only have one operating segment, holding company expenses are included in our calculation of the combined ratio.

The following table provides the calculation of our calendar year combined ratios.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010		2011	2010	
Losses and LAE ratio	72.8	% 65.3	%	72.6	% 58.0	%
Underwriting and other operating expenses ratio	27.4	31.9		29.3	34.9	
Commission expense ratio	11.8	12.4		12.3	12.2	
Dividends to policyholders' ratio	0.9	2.0		1.1	1.4	
Combined ratio	112.9	% 111.6	%	115.3	% 106.5	%

Losses and LAE Ratio. Expressed as a percentage, this is the ratio of losses and LAE to net premiums earned.

Losses and LAE represents our largest expense item and includes claim payments made, amortization of the Deferred Gain, estimates for future claim payments and changes in those estimates for current and prior periods, and costs associated with investigating, defending and adjusting claims. The quality of our financial reporting depends in large part on accurately predicting our losses and LAE, which are inherently uncertain as they are estimates of the ultimate cost of individual claims based on actuarial estimation techniques.

In California, we are experiencing an increase in indemnity claims frequency (the number of claims expressed as a percentage of payroll). Our loss experience also indicates an upward trend in medical and indemnity costs that are reflected in our current accident year loss estimate. We are also seeing increased medical and indemnity costs in many of our other states, partially offset by long-term favorable loss cost trends in Nevada. We believe our current accident year loss estimate is adequate; however, ultimate losses will not be known with any certainty for several years. We assume that increasing medical and indemnity cost trends will continue to impact our long-term claims costs and current accident year loss estimate.

Overall, losses and LAE increased 27.8% and 38.3% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2010, primarily due to an increase in the current accident year loss estimates and an increase in net earned premiums. Additionally, the change during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was also due to the impact of favorable prior accident year loss development in 2010. Prior accident year loss development in 2011 is entirely related to our assigned risk business. Our current accident year loss estimates were 77.2% and 77.3% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 71.3% and 70.6% for the same periods of 2010. The current accident year loss estimates for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, exclude a \$1.6 million expense related to the commutation of certain reinsurance treaties in the third quarter of 2010. The increases in the current accident year loss estimates are primarily due to continuing increases in loss costs in California.

The table below reflects the losses and LAE reserve adjustments.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010		2011	2010	
	(in millions)					
Prior accident year favorable (unfavorable) loss development, net	\$(0.2) \$—		\$(0.6) \$16.6	
LPT amortization of the deferred reinsurance gain	\$4.2	\$4.8		\$13.0	\$13.5	

Excluding the impact from the LPT Agreement, losses and LAE would have been \$71.6 million and \$57.6 million, or 77.4% and 71.3% of net premiums earned, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 losses and LAE would have been \$204.0 million and \$151.6 million, or 77.5% and 63.6% of net premiums earned, respectively.

Underwriting and Other Operating Expenses Ratio. The underwriting and other operating expenses ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of underwriting and other operating expenses to net premiums earned and measures an insurance company's operational efficiency in producing, underwriting, and administering its insurance business.

Underwriting and other operating expenses are those costs that we incur to underwrite and maintain the insurance policies we issue, excluding commission. These expenses include premium taxes and certain other general expenses that vary with, and are primarily related to, producing new or renewal business. Other underwriting expenses include

changes in estimates of future write-offs of premiums receivable, general administrative expenses such as salaries and benefits, rent, office supplies, depreciation, and all other operating expenses not otherwise classified separately. Policy acquisition costs are variable based on premiums earned; however, other operating costs are more fixed in nature and become a smaller percentage of net premiums earned as premiums increase.

In July 2010, we announced a reorganization of our operations to eliminate duplicative services and better align resources with business activity and growth opportunities. We combined our four regional operating units into two units, Eastern and Western, with the Strategic Partnerships and Alliances unit remaining structurally unchanged. In connection with these efforts and with general cost control efforts, we eliminated approximately 160 positions. These changes to our workforce were substantially completed in the third quarter of 2010.

Underwriting and other operating expenses decreased 1.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, compensation and facilities related expenses declined \$1.8 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, partially offset by a \$1.7 million increase in professional services fees and by a \$1.1 million increase in premium taxes, compared to the same period of 2010.

Underwriting and other operating expenses decreased 7.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010, reflecting efforts to manage our expenses. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compensation and facilities related expenses declined \$7.5 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, partially offset by a \$3.3 million increase in premium taxes and assessments and a \$1.5 million increase in professional services fees, year-over-year.

Underwriting and other operating expenses include one-time charges of: \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2010 related to staffing reductions to adjust our insurance operations to reflect current and expected activity levels at that time; and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, for professional services fees related to acquisition due diligence activity in those periods.

Commission Expense Ratio. The commission expense ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of commission expense to net premiums earned and measures the cost of compensating agents and brokers for the business we have underwritten.

Commission expense includes direct commissions to our agents and brokers for the premiums that they produce for us, as well as incentive payments, other marketing costs, and fees. Commission expense is net of contingent profit commission income related to the LPT Agreement.

Commission expense increased 10.0% and 11.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2010, primarily due to higher net premiums earned in both periods of 2011.

Dividends to Policyholders Ratio. The dividends to policyholders ratio is the ratio (expressed as a percentage) of the dividends to policyholders expense to net premiums earned and measures the cost of returning premium to policyholders in the form of dividends.

In administered pricing states such as Florida and Wisconsin, insurance rates are set by state insurance regulators. Rate competition generally is not permitted and policyholder dividend programs are an important competitive factor in these states. We offer dividend programs to eligible policyholders, under which a portion of the policyholders' premium may be returned in the form of a dividend.

Dividends to policyholders were \$0.8 million and \$2.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$1.6 million and \$3.4 million for the corresponding periods of 2010. Dividends to policyholders may fluctuate from time to time due to changes in premium levels on dividend policies and the eligibility of policyholders to receive dividend payments.

Interest Expense

We incur interest expenses on notes payable. We also had an interest rate swap agreement on our credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo), which expired on September 30, 2010.

Interest expense was \$0.9 million and \$2.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$1.6 million and \$4.8 million for the corresponding periods of 2010. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to the interest rate swap that was in place in 2010.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

Income tax expense (benefit) was \$(4.4) million and \$(8.7) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$0.1 million and \$1.2 million for the corresponding periods of 2010. The effective tax rates were (58.6)% and (44.5)% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to 0.6% and 2.7%, for the same periods of 2010. The increased tax benefits were primarily due to increases in tax exempt

interest income as a percentage of pretax net income to approximately 106% and 123% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to 55% and 78% for the corresponding periods of 2010. The impact of the deferred reinsurance gain—LPT Agreement also increased the year-over-year tax benefit in both periods. Additionally, there were \$3.9 million and \$4.6 million increases in non-taxable favorable reserve development related to periods prior to January 1, 2000 during the first quarter of 2010 and the third quarter of 2011, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Parent Company

Operating Cash and Cash Equivalents. We are a holding company and our ability to fund our operations is contingent upon our insurance subsidiaries and their ability to pay dividends up to the holding company. Payment of dividends by our insurance subsidiaries is restricted by state insurance laws, including laws establishing minimum solvency and liquidity thresholds. We require cash to pay stockholder dividends, repurchase common stock, make interest and principal payments on our outstanding debt obligations, fund our operating expenses, and support our growth strategy. During the second quarter of 2011, EICN and EPIC paid dividends of \$51.9 million and \$15.5 million, respectively, to Employers Group, Inc. (EGI), their immediate holding company, which were subsequently paid from EGI to EHI. As of September 30, 2011, the holding company had \$137.8 million of cash and cash equivalents and fixed maturity securities maturing within the next 24 months. Ten million dollars of our line of credit is payable on each of December 31, 2011 and 2012. We believe that the liquidity needs of the holding company over the next 24 months will be met with cash, maturing investments, and dividends from our insurance subsidiaries.

Share Repurchases. In November 2010, the EHI Board of Directors (Board of Directors) authorized a share repurchase program for repurchases of up to \$100 million of the Company's common stock from November 8, 2010 through June 30, 2012 (the 2011 Program). On November 2, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized a \$100 million expansion of the 2011 Program, to \$200 million, and extended the repurchase authority pursuant to the program through June 30, 2013. Repurchases under this program may be commenced or suspended from time-to-time without prior notice, and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time. From inception of the 2011 Program through September 30, 2011, we repurchased a total of 3,752,985 shares of common stock at an average price of \$14.68 per share, including commissions, for a total of \$55.1 million.

Outstanding Debt. In December 2010, we entered into the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo (Amended Credit Facility) under which we were provided with: (a) \$100.0 million line of credit through December 31, 2011; (b) \$90.0 million line of credit from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012; (c) \$80.0 million line of credit from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013; (d) \$70.0 million line of credit from January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014; and (e) \$60.0 million line of credit from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. Amounts outstanding bear interest at a rate equal to, at our option: (a) a fluctuating rate of 1.75% above prime rate or (b) a fixed rate that is 1.75% above the LIBOR rate then in effect. The Amended Credit Facility is secured by fixed maturity securities and restricted cash and cash equivalents that had a fair value of \$127.5 million and \$131.0 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Amended Credit Facility contains customary non-financial covenants and requires us to maintain \$5.0 million of cash and cash equivalents at all times at the holding company. We are currently in compliance with all applicable covenants.

Our capital structure is comprised of outstanding debt and stockholders' equity. As of September 30, 2011, our capital structure consisted of \$100.0 million principal balance on our Amended Credit Facility, \$32.0 million in surplus notes maturing in 2034, and \$863.5 million of stockholders' equity, including the Deferred Gain. Outstanding debt was 13.3% of total capitalization, including the Deferred Gain, as of September 30, 2011.

Operating Subsidiaries

Operating Cash and Cash Equivalents. The primary sources of cash for our insurance operating subsidiaries are funds generated from underwriting operations, investment income, and maturing investments. The primary uses of cash are payments of claims and operating expenses, purchases of investments, and payments of dividends to the parent holding company, which are subject to state insurance laws and regulations.

Our insurance subsidiaries had total cash and cash equivalents and fixed maturity securities of \$301.5 million maturing within the next 24 months at September 30, 2011. We believe that our subsidiaries' liquidity needs over the next 24 months will be met with cash from operations, investment income, and maturing investments.

We purchase reinsurance to protect us against the costs of severe claims and catastrophic events. On July 1, 2011, we entered into a new reinsurance program that is effective through June 30, 2012. The reinsurance program consists of one treaty covering excess of loss and catastrophic loss events in five layers of coverage. Our reinsurance coverage is \$195 million in excess of our \$5.0 million retention on a per occurrence basis, subject to a \$2.0 million annual aggregate deductible and certain exclusions. We believe that our reinsurance program meets our needs and that we are

sufficiently capitalized.

Various state regulations require us to keep securities or letters of credit on deposit with the states in which we do business. Securities having a fair value of \$521.0 million and \$558.6 million were on deposit at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. These laws and regulations govern both the amount and type of fixed maturity security that is eligible for deposit. Additionally, certain reinsurance contracts require Company funds to be held in trust for the benefit of the ceding reinsurer to secure the outstanding liabilities we assumed. The fair value of securities held in trust for reinsurance at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$41.2 million and \$52.9 million, respectively.

Cash Flows

We monitor cash flows at both the consolidated and subsidiary levels. We use trend and variance analyses to project future cash needs, making adjustments to our forecasts as appropriate.

The table below shows our net cash flows for the nine months ended:

	September 30, 2011 2010 (in thousands)	
Cash and cash equivalents provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$35,977	\$5,601
Investing activities	97,837	3,347
Financing activities	(46,805)	(58,709)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$87,009	\$(49,761)

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$30.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the same period of 2010.

Significant items increasing net cash from operations included:

- increased premiums received of \$17.3 million;
- decreased underwriting and other operating expenses paid of \$11.0 million;
- decreased commissions paid of \$6.0 million;
- increased investment income received of \$4.0 million;
- decreased interest paid of \$2.4 million; and
- decreased dividends to policyholders paid of \$1.9 million.

Significant items decreasing net cash from operations included:

- increased losses and LAE paid of \$10.6 million; and
- increased premium taxes paid of \$1.9 million.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$97.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$3.3 million for the same period of the prior year. The difference was primarily due to the sale of fixed maturity securities and lower levels of reinvestments of funds from maturities and redemptions of investments for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$46.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to \$58.7 million for the same period in 2010. The majority of cash used in financing activities was to repurchase our common stock and to pay dividends to stockholders. The year-over-year decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a \$9.3 million decrease in cash used for the repurchase of our common stock.

Investments

The amortized cost of our investment portfolio was \$1.86 billion and the fair value was \$2.03 billion as of September 30, 2011.

We employ an investment strategy that emphasizes asset quality and considers the durations of fixed maturity securities against anticipated claim payments and expenditures, other liabilities and capital needs. Our investment portfolio is structured so that investments mature periodically in reasonable relation to current expectations of future claim payments. Currently, we make claim payments from positive cash flow from operations and use excess cash to invest in operations, invest in marketable securities, return capital to our stockholders, and fund our growth strategy. As of September 30, 2011, our investment portfolio, which is classified as available-for-sale, consisted of 96.3% fixed maturity securities whose fair values may fluctuate due to interest rate changes. We strive to limit interest rate risk by

managing the duration of our fixed maturity securities. Our fixed maturity securities (excluding cash and cash equivalents) had a duration of 4.65 at September 30, 2011. To minimize interest rate risk, our portfolio is weighted toward short-term and intermediate-term bonds;

however, our investment strategy balances consideration of duration, yield, and credit risk. Our investment guidelines require that the minimum weighted average quality of our fixed maturity securities portfolio shall be "AA." During the third quarter of 2011, U.S. Treasuries, U.S. Agencies, and U.S. Agency backed securities were downgraded to "AA+" by S&P, from "AAA." This downgrade reduced the percentage of our fixed maturity portfolio that was rated "AAA" by 27.1 percentage points during the quarter, to 10.6% as of September 30, 2011; however, our fixed maturity securities portfolio continued to have an average quality of "AA" as of September 30, 2011, with 72.3% of the market value rated "AA" or better.

We carry our portfolio of equity securities on our balance sheet at fair value. We minimize our exposure to equity price risk by investing primarily in the equity securities of mid-to-large capitalization issuers and by diversifying our equity holdings across several industry sectors. At September 30, 2011, equity securities represented 3.7% of our investment portfolio.

Given the economic uncertainty and continued market volatility, we believe that our asset allocation best meets our strategy to preserve capital for policyholders, to provide sufficient income to support insurance operations, and to effectively grow book value over a long-term investment horizon.

We seek to maximize total investment returns within the constraints of prudent portfolio management. The asset allocation is reevaluated by the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. We employ Conning Asset Management (Conning) to act as our independent investment manager. Conning follows our written investment guidelines based upon strategies approved by the Board of Directors. In addition to the construction and management of the portfolio, we utilize the investment advisory services of Conning. These services include investment accounting and company modeling using Dynamic Financial Analysis (DFA). The DFA tool is utilized to develop portfolio targets and objectives, which in turn are used in constructing an optimal portfolio.

The following table shows the estimated fair value, the percentage of the fair value to total invested assets and the average tax equivalent yield based on the fair value of each category of invested assets as of September 30, 2011.

Category	Estimated Fair Value	Percentage of Total	Yield	
	(in thousands, except percentages)			
U.S. Treasuries	\$148,982	7.3	% 3.5	%
U.S. Agencies	104,757	5.2	4.1	
States and municipalities	936,462	46.0	5.9	
Corporate securities	472,145	23.2	4.8	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	262,482	12.9	5.1	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	23,011	1.1	5.0	
Asset-backed securities	12,514	0.6	4.0	
Equity securities	74,422	3.7	4.7	
Total	\$2,034,775	100.0	%	
Weighted average yield			5.2	%

The following table shows the percentage of total estimated fair value of our fixed maturity securities as of September 30, 2011 by credit rating category, using the lower of ratings assigned by Moody's and/or S&P.

Rating	Percentage of Total Estimated Fair Value	
"AAA"	10.6	%
"AA"	61.7	
"A"	19.6	
"BBB"	7.9	
Below investment grade	0.2	
Total	100.0	%

Investments that we currently own could be subject to default by the issuer or could suffer declines in fair value that become other-than-temporary. We regularly assess individual securities as part of our ongoing portfolio management, including the identification of other-than-temporary declines in fair value. Our other-than-temporary assessment

includes reviewing the extent and duration of declines in fair value of investments below amortized cost, historical and projected financial performance and near-term prospects of the issuer, the outlook for industry sectors, credit rating, and macro-economic changes. We also make a determination as to whether it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before its fair value recovers above cost, or to maturity.

Based on our review of fixed maturity and equity securities, we believe that we appropriately identified the declines in the fair values of our unrealized losses at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. We also determined that the unrealized losses on equity securities at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were not considered to be other-than-temporary due to the financial condition and the near term prospects of the issuers. We determined that the unrealized losses on fixed maturity securities were primarily the result of prevailing interest rates and not the credit quality of the issuers.

The cost or amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses, and estimated fair value of our investments were as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
September 30, 2011	(in thousands)			
Fixed maturity securities				
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 129,381	\$ 19,601	\$—	\$ 148,982
U.S. Agencies	97,247	7,510	—	104,757
States and municipalities	863,954	72,587	(79)	936,462
Corporate	438,369	35,617	(1,841)	472,145
Residential mortgaged-backed securities	242,843	20,110	(471)	262,482
Commercial mortgaged-backed securities	22,143	871	(3)	23,011
Asset-backed securities	11,929	585	—	12,514
Total fixed maturity securities	1,805,866	156,881	(2,394)	1,960,353
Equity securities				
Consumer goods	19,659	7,042	(432)	26,269
Energy and utilities	4,675	4,665	(36)	9,304
Financial	6,532	1,595	(1,097)	7,030
Technology and communications	8,099	9,199	(295)	17,003
Industrial and other	11,154	4,735	(1,073)	14,816
Total equity securities	50,119	27,236	(2,933)	74,422
Total investments	\$ 1,855,985	\$ 184,117	\$ (5,327)	\$ 2,034,775

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table identifies our long-term debt and contractual obligations as of September 30, 2011.

	Payment Due By Period				
	Total	Less Than 1-Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More Than 5-Years
	(in thousands)				
Operating leases	\$32,551	\$2,005	\$14,404	\$10,318	\$5,824
Purchased liabilities	200	100	100	—	—
Notes payable ⁽¹⁾	170,550	12,579	25,453	74,846	57,672
Capital leases	1,242	125	1,117	—	—
Losses and LAE reserves ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	2,249,264	242,951	316,669	220,300	1,469,344
Total contractual obligations	\$2,453,807	\$257,760	\$357,743	\$305,464	\$1,532,840

Notes payable obligations reflect payments for the principal and estimated interest expense based on LIBOR rates (1) plus a margin. The estimated interest expense was based on the contractual obligations of the debt outstanding as of September 30, 2011. The interest rates range from 1.49% to 4.56%.

(2) The losses and LAE reserves are presented gross of reinsurance recoverables for unpaid losses, which are as follows for each of the periods presented above:

	Recoveries Due By Period				
	Total	Less Than 1-Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More Than 5-Years
	(in thousands)				
Reinsurance recoverables	\$927,670	\$44,189	\$87,706	\$84,848	\$710,927

Estimated losses and LAE reserve payment patterns have been computed based on historical information. Our calculation of loss and LAE reserve payments by period is subject to the same uncertainties associated with (3) determining the level of reserves and to the additional uncertainties arising from the difficulty of predicting when claims (including claims that have not yet been reported to us)

will be paid. For a discussion of our reserving process, see "–Critical Accounting Policies–Reserves for Losses and LAE." Actual payments of losses and LAE by period will vary, perhaps materially, from the above table to the extent that current estimates of losses and LAE reserves vary from actual ultimate claims amounts due to variations between expected and actual payout patterns.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

These unaudited interim consolidated financial statements include amounts based on the use of estimates and judgments of management for those transactions that are not yet complete. We believe that the estimates and judgments that were most critical to the preparation of the financial statements involved the following: (a) reserves for losses and LAE; (b) reinsurance recoverables; (c) recognition of premium income; (d) deferred income taxes; (e) valuation of investments; and (f) goodwill and intangible asset impairment. These estimates and judgments require the use of assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain and therefore are subject to change as facts and circumstances develop. Our accounting policies are discussed under "Critical Accounting Policies" in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report. Additional information regarding our accounting policy for reserves for losses and LAE and reinsurance recoverables follows.

Reserves for Losses and LAE

Accounting for workers' compensation insurance requires us to estimate the liability for the expected ultimate cost of unpaid losses and LAE (loss reserves) as of a balance sheet date. Loss reserve estimates are inherently uncertain because the ultimate amount we pay for many of the claims we have incurred as of the balance sheet date will not be known for many years. Our estimate of loss reserves is intended to equal the difference between the expected ultimate losses and LAE of all claims that have occurred as of a balance sheet date and amounts already paid. We establish loss reserves based on our own analysis of emerging claims experience and environmental conditions in our markets and review of the results of various actuarial projections. Our aggregate carried reserve for unpaid losses and LAE is the sum of our reserves for each accident year (point estimate) and represents our best estimate of outstanding loss reserves.

Although claims for which reserves are established may not be paid for several years or more, we do not discount loss reserves in our financial statements for the time value of money.

The three main components of our reserves for unpaid losses and LAE are case reserves, incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves, and LAE reserves.

When losses are reported to us, we establish, individually, estimates of the ultimate cost of the claims (case reserves).

These case reserves are continually monitored and revised in response to new information and for amounts paid.

IBNR is an actuarial estimate of future payments on claims that have occurred but have not yet been reported to us. In addition to this provision for late reported claims, we also estimate, and make a provision for, the extent to which the case reserves on known claims may develop and for additional payments on closed claims, known as "reopening." IBNR reserves apply to the entire body of claims arising from a specific time period, rather than a specific claim.

Most of our IBNR reserves relate to estimated future claim payments on recorded open claims. Workers' compensation claims are generally reported to the employer and to the insurance company relatively quickly and relatively small amounts are paid on claims that have already been closed. Consequently, late reporting and reopening of claims are a less significant part of IBNR for our insurance subsidiaries.

LAE reserves are our estimate of the future expenses of investigating, administering, and settling claims, including legal expenses that will be paid to manage claims that have occurred. LAE reserves are established in the aggregate, rather than on a claim-by-claim basis.

A portion of our obligations for losses and LAE are ceded to unaffiliated reinsurers. The amount of reinsurance that will be recoverable on our losses and LAE reserves includes both the reinsurance recoverable from our excess of loss reinsurance policies, as well as reinsurance recoverable under the terms of the LPT Agreement.

Our reserve for unpaid losses and LAE (gross and net of reinsurance), including the main components of such reserves, were as follows:

	September 30, 2011 (in thousands)	December 31, 2010
Case reserves	\$934,056	\$897,401
IBNR	1,028,314	1,089,498
LAE	286,894	292,830
Gross unpaid losses and LAE	2,249,264	2,279,729
Less: Reinsurance recoverables for unpaid losses and LAE	927,670	956,043
Net unpaid losses and LAE	\$1,321,594	\$1,323,686

Actuarial methodologies are used by workers' compensation insurance companies, including us, to analyze and estimate the aggregate amount of unpaid losses and LAE. As mentioned above, management considers the results of various actuarial projection methods and their underlying assumptions, among other factors, in establishing reserves for unpaid losses and LAE.

Judgment is required in the actuarial estimation of loss reserves, including the selection of various actuarial methodologies to project the following: the ultimate cost of claims; the selection of projection parameters based on historical company data, industry data, and other benchmarks; the identification and quantification of potential changes in parameters from historical levels to current and future levels due to changes in future claims development expectations; and the weighting of differing reserve indications resulting from alternative methods and assumptions. The adequacy of our ultimate loss reserves is inherently uncertain and represents a significant risk to our business. We attempt to mitigate this risk through our claims management process and by monitoring and reacting to statistics relating to the cost and duration of claims.

We retain an independent actuarial consulting firm (Consulting Actuary) to perform comprehensive studies of our liability for losses and LAE on a semi-annual basis. The role of the Consulting Actuary is to conduct sufficient analyses to produce a range of reasonable estimates, as well as a point estimate, of our liability for unpaid losses and LAE, and to present those results to our actuarial staff and to management. In 2009, we changed our Consulting Actuary.

Prior to this change, the Consulting Actuary based its point estimate for EICN strictly on the basis of paid loss development methods. Beginning in 2009, our new Consulting Actuary determined its point estimate for EICN based on a combination of methodologies, similar to those utilized for our other insurance subsidiaries, as described below. While such a determination, based on a combination of methodologies is valid, this change in methodologies prevents any direct year-over-year comparison of the Consulting Actuaries' point estimates. The new Consulting Actuary has provided us with a separate calculation for EICN that is based strictly on the historically utilized paid loss methods. This calculation in combination with the new Consulting Actuary's point estimate for our other insurance subsidiaries allows for comparability of our overall carried reserves, relative to the previous Consulting Actuary's calculations. Management believes that using strictly paid loss methods for Nevada losses is the preferred approach given our depth of knowledge of Nevada losses and the consistency of paid data over time resulting from and related to the statutory prohibition of entering into full and final settlements of Nevada claims.

We compile and aggregate our claims data by grouping the claims according to the year or quarter in which the claim occurred ("accident year" or "accident quarter") when analyzing claim payment and emergence patterns and trends over time. Additionally, claims data is aggregated and compiled separately for different types of claims or claimant benefits, or for different states or groups of states in which we do business, or both.

Our internal actuaries and the Consulting Actuary prepare reserve estimates for all accident years using our own historical claims data and many of the generally accepted actuarial methodologies for estimating loss reserves, such as paid loss development methods, incurred loss development methods, and Bornhuetter-Ferguson methods. These methods vary in their responsiveness to different information, characteristics and dynamics in the data, and the results assist the actuary in considering these characteristics and dynamics in the historical data. The methods employed for each segment of claims data, and the relative weight accorded to each method, vary depending on the nature of the claims segment and on the age of the claims.

Management along with internal actuarial staff and the Consulting Actuary separately analyze LAE and estimate unpaid LAE. These analyses rely primarily on examining the relationship between the aggregate amounts that have been spent on LAE historically, compared to the volume of claims activity for the corresponding historical calendar periods. The portion of unpaid LAE that will be recoverable from reinsurers is estimated based on the contractual reinsurance terms.

Management formally establishes loss reserves for financial statement purposes on a quarterly basis. In doing so, we make reference to the most current analyses of our Consulting Actuary, including a review of the assumptions and the results of the various actuarial methods used. Comprehensive studies are conducted as of June 30 and December 31 by both internal actuarial staff and the Consulting Actuary. On the alternate quarters, the results of the preceding quarter's studies are updated for actual claim payment

activity by internal actuarial staff.

The aggregate carried reserve calculated by management represents our best estimate of our outstanding unpaid losses and LAE. We believe that we should be conservative in our reserving practices due to the “long-tail” nature of workers' compensation claims payouts, the susceptibility of those future payments to unpredictable external forces such as medical cost inflation and other economic conditions, and the actual variability of loss reserve adequacy that we have observed in the workers' compensation insurance industry.

The following table reconciles the changes in loss reserves for the nine months ended:

	September 30, 2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
Unpaid losses and LAE, gross of reinsurance, at beginning of period	\$2,279,729	\$2,425,658
Less reinsurance recoverables, excluding bad debt allowance, on unpaid losses and LAE	956,043	1,052,505
Net unpaid losses and LAE at beginning of period	1,323,686	1,373,153
Losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, incurred in:		
Current period	203,362	166,618
Prior periods	631	(15,007)
Total net losses and LAE incurred during the period	203,993	151,611
Deduct payments for losses and LAE, net of reinsurance, related to:		
Current period	33,477	33,797
Prior periods	172,608	161,953
Total net payments for losses and LAE during the period	206,085	195,750
Ending unpaid losses and LAE, net of reinsurance	1,321,594	1,329,014
Reinsurance recoverable, excluding bad debt allowance in 2010, on unpaid losses and LAE	927,670	996,817
Unpaid losses and LAE, gross of reinsurance, at end of period	\$2,249,264	\$2,325,831
Total net losses and LAE included in the above table excludes the impact of the amortization of the LPT Deferred Gain.		

The sources of favorable development include actual paid losses that have been less than expected and the impact of new information on selected patterns of claims emergence and payment used in the projection of future loss payments. New information includes our own data regarding patterns of claims emergence, development and payment that have been observed in the most recent periods, and external information regarding the workers' compensation environments in the states in which we operate. Unfavorable development is related to our assigned risk business.

Our insurance subsidiaries have been operating in a period of drastically changing environmental conditions in our major markets, entry into new markets, and operational changes. During periods characterized by such changes, at each evaluation, the actuaries and management must make judgments as to the relative weight to accord to long-term historical and recent company data, external data, evaluations of environmental and operational changes, and other factors in selecting the methods to use in projecting ultimate losses and LAE, the parameters to incorporate in those methods, and the relative weights to accord to the different projection indications. At each evaluation, management has given weight to new data, recent indications, and evaluations of environmental conditions and changes that implicitly reflect management's expectation as to the degree to which the future will resemble the most recent information and most recent changes, compared with long-term claim payment, claims emergence, and claim cost inflation patterns.

It is likely that ultimate losses and LAE will differ from the loss reserves recorded in our September 30, 2011 consolidated balance sheet. Actual payments for losses and LAE could be greater or less than our projections, perhaps significantly.

Our reserve estimates reflect expected increases in the costs of contested claims, but do not assume any losses resulting from significant new legal liability theories. Our reserve estimates also assume that there will not be significant future changes in the regulatory and legislative environment. In the event of significant new legal liability

theories or new regulation or legislation, we will attempt to quantify its impact on our business.

Reinsurance Recoverables

LPT Agreement. Under the LPT Agreement, \$1.53 billion in liabilities for incurred but unpaid losses and LAE related to claims incurred by EICN prior to July 1, 1995 was ceded for consideration of \$775.0 million in cash. The estimated remaining liabilities subject to the LPT Agreement were approximately \$817.1 million and \$846.7 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Losses and LAE paid with respect to the LPT Agreement totaled \$560.4 million and \$530.7 million through

September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We account for the LPT Agreement as retroactive reinsurance. Entry into the LPT Agreement resulted in a deferred reinsurance gain that was recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as a liability. This deferred gain is being amortized using the recovery method, whereby the amortization is determined by the proportion of actual reinsurance recoveries to total estimated recoveries, and the amortization is reflected in losses and LAE. In addition, we are entitled to receive a contingent commission under the LPT Agreement. Changes in the estimated contingent commission are reflected in our commission expense in the period that the estimate is revised.

New Accounting Standards

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) Number 2010-26, Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts, which is expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. This update changes the definition of acquisition costs which may be capitalized to specify costs which relate directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts; adds to the definition the concept of incremental costs; further restricts costs to be capitalized by identifying only those costs which may be capitalized; and requires additional granularity in the disclosures related to the type of acquisition costs capitalized during the period. This guidance becomes effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We have not yet determined if we will adopt the standard on a prospective or retrospective basis and are evaluating the impact that retrospective adoption will have on our financial statements. Currently, we estimate that prospective adoption of ASU 2010-06 would increase our underwriting and other operating expenses by \$7.0 million to \$8.0 million in 2012.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of potential economic loss principally arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments. The major components of market risk affecting us are credit risk, interest rate risk, and equity price risk and are described in detail in our Annual Report. We have not experienced any material changes in market risk since December 31, 2010.

The primary market risk exposure to our investment portfolio, which consists primarily of fixed maturity securities, is interest rate risk. We have the ability to hold fixed maturity securities to maturity and we strive to limit interest rate risk by managing duration. As of September 30, 2011, our fixed maturity securities portfolio had a duration of 4.65. We continually monitor the impact of interest rate changes on our investment portfolio and liquidity obligations. Changes to our market risk, if any, since December 31, 2010 are reflected in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in the financial statements contained in this Form 10-Q.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report to provide assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that are filed or submitted under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms specified by the SEC.

There have not been any changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time-to-time, the Company is involved in pending and threatened litigation in the normal course of business in which claims for monetary damages are asserted. In the opinion of management, the ultimate liability, if any, arising from such pending or threatened litigation is not expected to have a material effect on our results of operations, liquidity, or financial position.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have disclosed in our Annual Report the most significant risk factors that can impact year-to-year comparisons and that may affect the future performance of the Company's business. On a quarterly basis, we review these disclosures and update the risk factors, as appropriate. As of the date of this report, there have been no material changes to the risk factors described in our Annual Report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table summarizes the repurchases of our common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2011:

Period	Total Numbers of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share ⁽¹⁾	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Program ⁽²⁾ (in millions)
July 1 – July 31, 2011	—	\$—	—	\$64.6
August 1 – August 31, 2011	260,000	11.75	260,000	61.5
September 1 – September 30, 2011	1,365,000	12.16	1,365,000	44.9
Total	1,625,000	\$12.10	1,625,000	

(1) Includes fees and commissions paid on stock repurchases.

On November 3, 2010, the Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program for repurchases of up to \$100 million of the Company's common stock (the 2011 Program). We expect that shares may be purchased at (2) prevailing market prices from November 8, 2010 through June 30, 2012 through a variety of methods, including open market or private transactions, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and as determined by management.

On November 2, 2011, the Board of Directors authorized a \$100 million expansion of the 2011 Program, to \$200 million, and extended the repurchase authority pursuant to the program through June 30, 2013. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including the share price, corporate and regulatory requirements, and other market and economic conditions. Repurchases under the 2011 Program may be commenced, modified, or suspended from time-to-time without prior notice, and the program may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit	Included Herewith	Incorporated by Reference Herein		
			Form	Exhibit	Filing Date
31.1	Certification of Douglas D. Dirks Pursuant to Section 302	X			
31.2	Certification of William E. Yocke Pursuant to Section 302	X			
32.1	Certification of Douglas D. Dirks Pursuant to Section 906	X			
32.2	Certification of William E. Yocke Pursuant to Section 906	X			
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	X			
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	X			
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	X			
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	X			
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	X			
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	X			

*XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EMPLOYERS HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: November 3, 2011

/s/ Douglas D. Dirks
Douglas D. Dirks
President and Chief Executive Officer
Employers Holdings, Inc.

Date: November 3, 2011

/s/ William E. Yocke
William E. Yocke
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Employers Holdings, Inc.