OSHKOSH TRUCK CORP Form 10-Q August 03, 2005

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 1	.0-Q
(x) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15	5(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005.	
OR	
( ) TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15	5(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to	
Commission File N	Jumber 1-31371
Oshkosh Truck (Exact name of registrant as	
Wisconsin	39-0520270
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
2307 Oregon Street P.O. Box 2566 Oshkosh, Wisconsin	54903
(Address of principal executive offices) (920) 235	(Zip Code) 5-9151
(Registrant s telephone num	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as de	fined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes X No
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of	of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.
Common Stock Outstanding as of July 29, 2005: 36,662,463	
OSHKOSH TRUCK FORM 10-Q	

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

Page

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

		Page
DA DÆ I		
PART I.		
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2005	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2005 and 2004	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	32
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	33
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	34
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	34
Item 6.	Exhibits	34
SIGNATUR		35
	2	

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (In thousands, except per share amounts; unaudited)

		Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2005		2004		2005		2004		
Net sales	\$ 818,91		599,824	\$	2,136,184	\$	1,611,231		
Cost of sales	695,06	8	500,576		1,776,856		1,345,798		
Gross income	123,84	4	99,248		359,328		265,433		
Operating expenses:									
Selling, general and administrative	58,82	7	48,417		160,332		129,457		
Amortization of purchased intangibles	2,04	6	1,666		5,768		4,998		
Total operating expenses	60,87	3	50,083		166,100		134,455		
Operating income Other income (expense):	62,97	1	49,165		193,228		130,978		

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Nine Months Ended June 30,			
Interest expense Interest income Miscellaneous, net		(1,880) 481 (224)		(1,459) 411 119		(6,370) 1,499 (837)		(4,008) 992 679	
		(1,623)		(929)		(5,708)		(2,337)	
Income before provision for income taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated									
affiliates and minority interest Provision for income taxes		61,348 23,493		48,236 18,215		187,520 72,195		128,641 47,563	
							_		
Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and minority interest		37,855		30,021		115,325		81,078	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of income taxes Minority interest, net of income taxes		977 (143)		602		2,317 (189)		1,716 	
Net income	\$	38,689	\$	30,623	\$	117,453	\$	82,794	
Income available to Common Stock holders	\$	38,459	\$	30,008	\$	115,720	\$	81,117	
Earnings per share:									
Basic	\$	1.07	\$	0.87	\$	3.27	\$	2.37	
Diluted	\$	1.05	\$	0.85	\$	3.20	\$	2.30	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the	ese cor	idensed con	solida	ated financia	al stat	ements.			

3

# OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts; unaudited)

	June 30, 2005	Se	eptember 30, 2004
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43,062	\$	30,081
Receivables, net	290,437	,	252,253
Inventories, net	546,466	)	368,067
Deferred income taxes	37,912		41,033
Other current assets	25,118	j	19,273
Total current assets	942,995	· <del></del>	710,707
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	20,065	,	21,187
Property, plant and equipment, net	180,311		168,576
Goodwill, net	398,268	í	385,063
Purchased intangible assets, net	130,291		140,506
Other long-term assets	36,593		26,375

		June 30, 2005		eptember 30, 2004
Total assets	\$	1,708,523	\$	1,452,414
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities				
Revolving credit facility and	Φ.	20.020	Ф	72.720
current maturities of long-term debt	\$	20,938	\$	72,739
Accounts payable		213,079		200,290
Customer advances		306,091		209,656
Floor plan notes payable		37,204		25,841
Payroll-related obligations Income taxes		47,363		43,978
		12,033 38,825		17,575 35,760
Accrued warranty Other current liabilities		38,823 104,446		73,842
Other current habilities		104,440		73,642
Total current liabilities		779,979		679,681
Long-term debt		2,652		3,209
Deferred income taxes		64,829		66,543
Other long-term liabilities		66,902		64,259
Minority interest		2,760		2,629
Commitments and contingencies		•		•
Shareholders' equity				
Preferred stock (\$.01 par value; authorized 2,000,000 shares; none				
issued and outstanding)				
Class A Common Stock (\$.01 par value; 2,600,000 and 1,000,000 shares				
authorized, respectively; 0 and 810,231 shares issued, respectively)				8
Common Stock (\$.01 par value; 150,000,000 and 60,000,000 shares authorized,				
respectively; 36,658,463 and 34,853,827 shares issued, respectively)		367		348
Additional paid-in capital		189,825		142,455
Unearned compensation		(4,327)		(6,082)
Retained earnings		581,487		472,025
Common Stock in treasury, at cost (0 and 324,246 shares, respectively)				(1,832)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		24,049		29,171
Total shareholders' equity		791,401		636,093
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	1,708,523	\$	1,452,414
			_	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

# OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY NINE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

(In thousands, except per share amounts; unaudited)

	Common	Additional Paid-In		Unearned	Retained	mmon Stoc	k	Accumulated Other omprehensive	e	
	Stock	Capital	C	ompensation	Earnings	at Cost		Income		Total
Balance at September 30, 2004	\$ 356	\$ 142,455	\$	(6,082)	\$ 472,025	\$ (1,832)	\$	29,171	\$	636,093
Net income					117,453					117,453

	Comm		Additional Paid-In Capital	Unearned Compensation	Retained n Earnings	Common Stoc in Treasury at Cost	Accumulated k Other Comprehensive Income	e Total
Loss on derivative instruments (net of income tax benefit of \$842)							(1,042)	(1,042)
Currency translation adjustments							(4,080)	(4,080)
Cash dividends: Class A Common Stock (\$0.075 per share)					(60)			(60)
Common Stock (\$0.220 per share)					(7,931)			(7,931)
Issuance of restricted stock			462	(462)				
Amortization of unearned compensation				2,217				2,217
Exercise of stock options		11	22,306			1,832		24,149
Tax benefit related to stock options exercised			24,602					24,602
Balance at June 30, 2005	\$ 3	67	\$ 189,825	\$ (4,327)	\$ 581,487	\$	\$ 24,049	\$ 791,401

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

#### OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands; unaudited)

	Nine Mo	ided	
	2005		2004
Operating activities:		_	
Net income	\$ 117,453	\$	82,794
Non-cash and other adjustments	26,446		24,304
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	 (42,206)		(27,382)
Net cash provided by operating activities	101,693		79,716
Investing activities:			
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(31,302)		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(21,716)		(19,203)
Proceeds from sale of assets	194		108

		Nine Mo Jur	nths lee 30,	
Decrease (increase) in other long-term assets		4,986		(16,339)
Net cash used by investing activities		(47,838)		(35,434)
Financing activities:				
Net repayments under revolving credit facility		(52,263)		(37,000)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options		24,149		4,471
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt				965
Repayment of long-term debt		(603)		(1,872)
Dividends paid		(11,073)		(6,032)
Net cash used by financing activities		(39,790)		(39,468)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash		(1,084)		1,217
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		12,981		6,031
Cash and cash equivalents:				
Beginning of period		30,081		19,245
End of period	\$	43,062	\$	25,276
Supplementary disclosures:				
Depreciation and amortization	\$	25,707	\$	20,073
Cash paid for interest		5,872		3,722
Cash paid for income taxes		55,991		29,697
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidations are an integral part of these condensed consolidations.	ated financial stat	tements.		

6

#### OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts; unaudited)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (which include normal recurring adjustments except as disclosed herein) necessary to present fairly, the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2004 was derived from audited financial statements. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in Oshkosh Truck Corporation s (the Company ) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004. The interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The Company recorded cumulative adjustments in each of the first three quarters of fiscal 2005 to increase the life-to-date margin recognized on its multi-year Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement (MTVR) production contract, which is accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method, by a total of 2.5 percentage points for the nine months ended June 30, 2005, including 0.2 percentage points recognized in the three month period ended June 30, 2005. The adjustments resulted from negotiation with suppliers during the period of disputed pricing on two components of the MTVR truck, lower material costs as steel cost increases were less than anticipated, improved overhead absorption under the contract due to increased overall defense segment production volume, lower warranty costs due to favorable field experience and other items. The cumulative life-to-date adjustments in fiscal 2005 increased the margin percentage recognized on the contract from 7.6% to 10.1%. These

changes in estimate increased operating income for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2005 by \$2,100 and \$24,700, net income by \$1,200 and \$15,100 and earnings per share by \$0.03 and \$0.41, including amounts related to revenues recorded in prior periods of \$1,700 and \$23,100 in operating income, \$1,000 and \$14,200 in net income and \$0.03 and \$0.39 in earnings per share for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2005, respectively. During the first and third quarters of fiscal 2004, the Company recorded cumulative catch-up adjustments to increase the life-to-date margin on the MTVR contract from 5.5% to 7.1%. The cumulative catch-up adjustments increased operating income for the three and nine month periods ended June 30, 2004 by \$7,100 and \$14,200, net income by \$4,500 and \$9,000 and earnings per share by \$0.12 and \$0.25, respectively.

On May 3, 2005, a sufficient number of shareholders of unlisted Class A Common Stock converted their shares to New York Stock Exchange listed Common Stock, on a share-for-share basis, which resulted in the remaining Class A shares automatically converting into Common Stock on the same basis. As a result of this conversion to a single class of stock, shares of Common Stock that previously had limited voting rights now carry full voting rights.

#### 2. ACQUISITIONS

On March 9, 2005, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of London Machinery Inc. (London). London is based in Ontario, Canada and is a manufacturer and marketer of rear-discharge concrete mixers for the concrete placement market with sales throughout the Americas. The purchase price, including acquisition costs and net of cash acquired, of \$11,185, was allocated based on the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill.

On November 1, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of the Concrete Equipment Company, Inc. ( CON-E-CO ). CON-E-CO is a leading manufacturer of portable and stationary concrete batch plants headquartered in Blair, Nebraska. The purchase price, including acquisition costs and net of cash acquired, of \$20,117, was allocated based on the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill.

7

The Company has performed or engaged a third party business valuation appraiser to assist in the valuation of the assets of the acquired companies. The following is a summary of the recorded fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed of CON-E-CO and London as of the dates of acquisition based on preliminary information:

Assets Acquired		
Current assets, excluding cash of \$200	\$	20,558
Property, plant and equipment		8,602
Intangible assets		5,501
Goodwill		9,670
Total assets acquired		44,331
Liabilities Assumed		
Current liabilities		9,319
Other long-term liabilities		3,710
Total liabilities assumed		13,029
Not accept a consist of	¢	21 202
Net assets acquired	\$	31,302

The valuation of intangible assets consists of \$1,603 of assets subject to amortization and \$3,898 assigned to tradenames not subject to amortization. The intangible assets subject to amortization consist of \$996 in customer-related assets with a twenty-year average life and \$607 of non-compete agreements with a five-year life.

The CON-E-CO and London acquisitions were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, their operating results were included in the Company s consolidated statements of income from the dates of acquisition. The allocation of the excess purchase price, including acquisition costs, of the CON-E-CO and London acquisitions over the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed amounted to \$9,670 and has been recorded as goodwill. All the goodwill was assigned to the Company s commercial segment and is not deductible for local income tax purposes. The allocations were tentative at June 30, 2005, pending finalization of appraisal valuations, which are expected to be finalized by September 30, 2005.

On July 8, 2004, the Company acquired 100% of the stock of JerrDan Corporation (JerrDan). JerrDan is a leading manufacturer of towing and recovery equipment headquartered in Greencastle, Pennsylvania. JerrDan sells light-, medium- and heavy-duty wreckers, as well as aluminum, steel and industrial carriers, to towing services and salvage companies.

On July 29, 2004, the Company completed the acquisition of 75% of BAI Brescia Antincendi International S.r.l. and BAI Tecnica S.r.l. (together BAI ). The Company has the right to acquire the remaining 25% interest in BAI three years after the closing of the acquisition. BAI manufactures and markets municipal and airport fire trucks and firefighting equipment and is headquartered in Brescia, Italy. The 25% after-tax interest not owned by the Company in BAI s reported operating results, before amortization of purchase accounting adjustments, has been reflected in the Company s consolidated financial statements as minority interest.

8

The operating results of JerrDan, BAI, CON-E-CO and London have been included in the Company s consolidated statements of income from the date of acquisition. JerrDan and BAI are included in the Company s fire and emergency segment and CON-E-CO and London are included in the Company s commercial segment. The purchase prices, including acquisition costs, were allocated based on the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the dates of acquisition with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill. Pro forma unaudited condensed consolidated operating results of the Company, assuming JerrDan, BAI, CON-E-CO and London had been acquired as of October 1, 2003, are summarized below:

		Nine Mo Jur		
		2005		2004
N 1	ф	2 1 47 272	Φ	1 705 022
Net sales	\$	2,147,272	\$	
Net income		117,915		87,055
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$	3.28	\$	2.50
Diluted		3.21		2.42

#### 3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for its stock options under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation costs related to stock options have been reflected in earnings as all options granted under these plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying Common Stock on the measurement date. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to stock-based employee compensation:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Mo Jur		
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Net income, as reported Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense recorded for restricted stock	\$ 38,689	\$	30,623	\$	117,453	\$	82,794
awards, net of related tax effects  Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all	646		172		1,880		514
awards, net of related tax effects	(1,607)		(970)		(4,098)		(2,903)
	 (961)		(798)		(2,218)		(2,389)
Pro forma net income	\$ 37,728	\$	29,825	\$	115,235	\$	80,405

	Three Months Ended June 30,				nded
Earnings per share: Basic - as reported Basic - pro forma	\$ 1.07 \$ 1.04	0.87 \$ 0.85	3.27 3.21	\$	2.37 2.31
Diluted - as reported Diluted - pro forma	\$ 1.05 \$ 1.02	0.85 \$ 0.83	3.20 3.13	\$	2.30 2.23

9

During December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), which requires companies to measure and recognize compensation expense for all stock-based payments at fair value. Stock-based payments include stock option grants and certain transactions under other Company stock plans. The Company grants options to purchase Common Stock to some of its employees and directors under various plans at prices equal to the market value of the stock on the dates the options were granted. In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission amended the effective date of SFAS 123(R) to the first interim period of the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company intends to adopt this new standard during the first quarter of fiscal 2006, as required, under the modified prospective method. The effect of adoption of SFAS 123(R) is currently estimated to be \$3,250 to \$3,750 (\$0.09 to \$0.10 per share) after-tax for fiscal 2006. However, the Company s actual share-based compensation expense in fiscal 2006 will depend on a number of factors, including the amount of awards granted and the fair value of those awards at the time of grant.

#### 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

On May 3, 2005, a sufficient number of shareholders of unlisted Class A Common Stock converted their shares to New York Stock Exchange listed Common Stock, on a share-for-share basis, which resulted in the remaining Class A shares automatically converting into Common Stock on the same basis. As a result, earnings per share for Class A Common Stock is no longer presented.

The following table reconciles net income to net income available to Common Stock holders for purposes of the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three Mo	onths ne 30.		Nine Mo Jui	onths ne 30	
	2005		2004	2005		2004
Income available to Common Stock holders						
Net income  Class A Common Stock	\$ 38,689 (230)	\$	30,623 (615)	\$ 117,453 (1,733)	\$	82,794 (1,677)
Basic income available to Common Stock holders	\$ 38,459	\$	30,008	\$ 115,720	\$	81,117
Diluted income available to Common Stock holders	\$ 38,689	\$	30,623	\$ 117,453	\$	82,794

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted weighted average shares used in the denominator of the per share calculations:

	Three Mon	Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	36,009,625	34,298,912	35,373,747	34,154,494

	Three Mor	onths Ended e 30,		oths Ended e 30,
Class A Common Stock Stock options and incentive compensation	282,817	810,700	631,424	813,142
awards	649,097	945,654	729,887	993,659
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	36,941,539	36,055,266	36,735,058	35,961,295

Options to purchase 15,250 shares of Common Stock were outstanding during the nine months ended June 30, 2005, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the options exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Common Stock and therefore, the effect would be anti-dilutive. Options to purchase 48,600 shares of Common Stock were outstanding during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2004, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

10

#### 5. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Total comprehensive income is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2005  \$ 38,689 \$ 30,623 (13,536) (1,459)  6,152 (367)  (7,384) (1,826)		Nine Mont June				
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Net income Currency translation adjustments Derivative instruments, net of	\$ ,	\$	,	\$	117,453 (4,080)	\$	82,794 8,883
income taxes	 6,152		(367)		(1,042)		(11,464)
Other comprehensive loss	(7,384)		(1,826)		(5,122)		(2,581)
Comprehensive income	\$ 31,305	\$	28,797	\$	112,331	\$	80,213

The Company funded a portion of the BAI purchase price utilizing proceeds of a euro-denominated debt obligation. This debt obligation has been designated as a hedge of the Company s net investment in BAI. To the extent that this debt obligation remains as an effective hedge, changes in value of the debt obligation due to changes in foreign currency are recorded within currency translation adjustments in other comprehensive income. Net gains of \$1,275 and \$398 have been recorded as part of the cumulative translation adjustment for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2005, respectively.

#### **6. INVENTORIES**

Inventories were comprised of the following:

		June 30, 2005	Sej	ptember 30, 2004
Raw materials	\$	238,977	\$	197,674
Partially finished products		243,179		140,835
Finished products	<u></u>	151,097		106,618
Inventories at FIFO cost		633,253		445,127
Less: Progress/performance-based payments				
on U.S. government contracts		(61,041)		(56,731)
Excess of FIFO cost over LIFO cost		(25,746)		(20,329)
	\$	546,466	\$	368,067

Title to all inventories related to government contracts, which provide for progress or performance-based payments, vests with the government to the extent of unliquidated progress or performance-based payments.

#### 7. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

The Company s investment in unconsolidated affiliates consists primarily of an interest in Oshkosh/McNeilus Financial Services Partnership (OMFSP). The Company and an unaffiliated third party are general partners in OMFSP. OMFSP was formed in 1998 when each partner contributed existing lease assets (and in the case of the Company, related notes payable to third party lenders that were secured by such leases) to capitalize the partnership. OMFSP manages the contributed assets and liabilities and engages in new vendor lease business providing financing, primarily to customers of the Company. OMFSP purchases trucks, truck bodies and concrete batch plants from the Company, the Company s affiliates and, occasionally, unrelated third parties for lease to user-lessees. Company sales to OMFSP were \$49,977 and \$31,298 for the nine months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Banks and other third party financial institutions lend to OMFSP a portion of the purchase price, with recourse solely to OMFSP, secured by a pledge of lease payments due from the user-lessees. Each partner funds one-half of the approximate 8.0% equity portion of the cost of new equipment purchases. Customers typically provide a 2.0% down payment. Each partner is allocated its proportionate share of OMFSP s cash flow and taxable income in accordance with the partnership agreement. Indebtedness of OMFSP is secured by the underlying leases and assets of, and is recourse to, OMFSP. However, all such OMFSP indebtedness is non-recourse to the Company and its partner. Each of the two general partners has identical voting, participating and protective rights and responsibilities, and each general partner materially participates in the activities of OMFSP. For these and other reasons, the Company has determined that OMFSP is a voting interest entity for purposes of Financial Interpretation No. 46 Revised. Accordingly, the Company accounts for its equity interest in OMFSP under the equity method.

11

Included in investments in unconsolidated affiliates in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2005 was the Company s investment in OMFSP of \$18,472, which represented the Company s maximum exposure to loss as a result of the Company s ownership interest in OMFSP. This exposure is a non-cash exposure. Further, the Company had recorded deferred income tax liabilities related to its investment in OMFSP at June 30, 2005 that were included in long-term deferred income tax liabilities in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Should the Company s investment in OMFSP be liquidated for any reason, deferred income tax liabilities of \$21,774 would reverse and result in an increase in the Company s current income taxes payable.

Summarized financial information of OMFSP is as follows:

	June 30, 2005	Se	ptember 30, 2004
Cash and cash equivalents Investments in sales-type leases, net Net equipment under operating leases Other assets	\$ 2,862 177,916 7,650 659	\$	2,649 185,176  2,506
	\$ 189,087	\$	190,331
Notes payable Other liabilities Partners' equity	\$ 147,989 6,189 34,909	\$	148,681 2,179 39,471
	\$ 189,087	\$	190,331
	Nine Mo Jur 2005	onths ne 30	
Interest income Net interest income Excess of revenues over expenses 8. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	\$ 8,383 2,586 3,391	\$	9,746 2,906 2,726

The following table presents details of the Company s property, plant and equipment:

	June 30, 2005	September 30, 2004
Land and land improvements Equipment on operating lease to others	\$ 19,700 1,734	\$ 17,163 2,248
Buildings Machinery and equipment	116,064 205,399	104,195 192,932
Less: Accumulated depreciation	342,897 (162,586)	316,538 (147,962)
	\$ 180,311	\$ 168,576

12

#### 9. GOODWILL AND PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table presents the changes in goodwill during the nine months ended June 30, 2005:

Segment	alance at tember 30, 2004	Acquisitions	Translation and Other	Balance at June 30, 2005
Commercial Fire and emergency	\$ 245,389 139,674	\$ 9,670 	\$ (2,888) 6,423	\$ 252,171 146,097
Total	\$ 385,063	\$ 9,670	\$ 3,535	\$ 398,268

Translation and other includes a \$2,969 decrease resulting from currency translation adjustments and \$6,504 related to adjustments of preliminary valuations of tangible and intangible assets related to the acquisitions of JerrDan and BAI.

The Company is required to perform goodwill impairment tests on an annual basis and between annual tests in certain circumstances. The Company performs its annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of its fiscal year. In conjunction with the Company s fiscal 2004 review for potential impairment of goodwill, the Company considered the operating loss of the Geesink Norba Group in fiscal 2004 to be a possible indicator of an impairment. The Company determined that the fair value of the Company s interest in the Geesink Norba Group exceeded its carrying value at September 30, 2004. The Company s calculations of fair value reflected the Company s estimates of the benefits of fiscal 2004 initiatives to upgrade the Geesink Norba Group product lines and improve its manufacturing efficiencies, as well as assumptions regarding an assumed economic recovery in European refuse markets beginning in fiscal 2006.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company reduced its estimate of the earnings of the Geesink Norba Group for fiscal year 2005 from operating income of \$3,000 ( 2,500) to an operating loss of \$4,500 ( 3,500). Through the first nine months of fiscal 2005, the Geesink Norba Group incurred a larger operating loss than estimated of \$9,300 ( 7,700) due in large part to a workforce reduction charge of \$4,300 ( 3,500) in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. The Company expects marginal operating income in the remaining quarter of fiscal 2005, resulting in an operating loss of \$9,000 ( 7,500) for fiscal 2005. During the first nine months of fiscal 2005, the Company made a number of management changes at the Geesink Norba Group, and in January 2005 the Company assigned a team of Company representatives to support the turnaround activities of the business. The Company presently believes that it will be able to return the business to acceptable profitability over a nine to fifteen month period as it seeks to reduce its Geesink-branded rear loader product costs, strengthen its European branch operations and implement price increases. The Company also believes that European refuse market volumes are improving. The Company reviewed its valuation model for the Geesink Norba Group and estimates that the fair value of the Company s interest in the business continues to exceed its carrying value at June 30, 2005. Accordingly, no impairment of the goodwill has been recorded to date. The Company will continue to monitor its turnaround activities at the Geesink Norba Group and their impact on the Company s valuation of this investment.

The following tables present details of the Company s pur	chased intangible assets:					
			June 30, 2005			
	Weighted- Average <u>Life</u>	Gross		.ccumulated .mortization		Net
Amortizable intangible assets: Distribution network Non-compete Technology-related Other  Non-amortizable intangible assets	39.1 14.0 17.0 12.6	\$ 55,422 41,947 22,654 18,239 138,262 37,041	\$	(11,749) (20,784) (8,332) (4,147) (45,012)	\$	43,673 21,163 14,322 14,092 93,250 37,041
Ivon-amortizable intaligible assets		\$ 175,303	\$ Sente	(45,012) ember 30, 20	\$	130,291
	Weighted-		Sepie	ember 30, 20	U <del>4</del>	
	Average Life	Gross		ccumulated mortization		Net
Amortizable intangible assets: Distribution network Non-compete Technology-related Other	38.8 14.2 14.3 12.9	\$ 55,300 41,359 28,703 19,138	\$	(10,692) (18,381) (7,193) (3,021)	\$	44,608 22,978 21,510 16,117
Non-amortizable intangible assets	23.4	144,500 35,293		(39,287)		105,213 35,293
		\$ 179,793	\$	(39,287)	\$	140,506

Excluding the impact of any future acquisitions, the Company anticipates amortization of purchased intangible assets for the next five years as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending September 30,	Amount
2005 (remaining three months)	\$ 1,923
2006	7,463
2007	7,329
2008	7,252
2009	7,128
2010	6,689
10 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS	

Historically, the Company has used forward foreign exchange contracts to reduce the exchange rate risk of specific foreign currency transactions. These contracts require the exchange of a foreign currency for U.S. dollars at a fixed rate at a future date.

To protect against a reduction in value of certain forecasted foreign currency cash receipts from export sales from July 2005 through December 2006 that will be denominated in British Sterling, and to protect against increases in costs of purchases of certain components from July 2005 through October 2006 that are payable in British Sterling or euros, all in connection with the Company s contract to provide certain tactical military truck systems to the United Kingdom Ministry of Defence, the Company has instituted a foreign currency cash flow hedging program. The Company has hedged a significant portion of its estimated foreign currency cash flows in connection with this contract.

14

At June 30, 2005, outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts totaled \$236,875 in notional amounts, including \$172,612 in contracts to sell British Sterling, \$3,000 in contracts to sell euros and \$61,263 in contracts to purchase euros. Net unrealized losses (net of related tax effect of \$9,047) on outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts at June 30, 2005 totaled \$15,404 and have been included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

As of June 30, 2005, the Company expects to reclassify \$14,790 of net losses on derivative instruments from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during the next twelve months due to actual export sales denominated in foreign currencies and sales of product whose underlying costs contain purchases denominated in foreign currencies.

#### 11. CREDIT AGREEMENTS

Short-term borrowings consisted of the following:

		June 30, 2005	Se	ptember 30, 2004
Revolving credit facility Other short-term facilities Current maturities of long-term debt		18,099 2,278 561	\$	65,646 6,451 642
	\$	20,938	\$	72,739

The Company has a revolving credit facility of \$500,000. The Company may increase the revolving credit facility up to an aggregate maximum outstanding amount of \$750,000 at the Company s discretion, unless the Company is in default under the revolving credit facility. At June 30, 2005, bank borrowings of \$18,099, related to 15,000 incurred to finance the BAI acquisition, and outstanding letters of credit of \$20,519, reduced available capacity under the Company s revolving credit facility to \$461,382.

Interest rates on the borrowings under the Company s revolving credit facility are variable and are equal to the Base Rate (which is equal to the higher of a bank s reference rate and the federal funds rate plus 0.50%) or the Offshore Rate (which is the bank s inter-bank offered rate for U.S. dollars in off-shore markets) plus a margin of 0.70% for Offshore Rate loans under the Company s revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2005. The margins are subject to adjustment, up or down, based on whether certain financial criteria are met. The average interest rate on bank borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2005 was 2.81%.

The Company is charged a 0.125% to 0.300% annual commitment fee with respect to any unused balance under its revolving credit facility, and a 0.525% to 1.500% annual fee with respect to commercial letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility, based on the Company s leverage ratio as defined under the terms of the Company s revolving credit facility.

Restrictions and covenants under the revolving credit facility include: (1) requirements that the Company maintain certain financial ratios at prescribed levels; and (2) restrictions on the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to consolidate or merge, or create liens, incur additional indebtedness and dispose of assets. The Company believes that such limitations should not impair its future operating activities.

The Company s wholly-owned subsidiary in The Netherlands, Geesink Group B.V., and certain of its affiliates are party to a 2,500 bank credit facility (the euro facility ), which supports a cross-border cash pooling arrangement. There were no borrowings outstanding under the euro facility at June 30, 2005.

London had a \$6,107 (CAD 7,500) credit facility with \$776 (CAD 953) in borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2005, which bears interest at a variable rate based on a bank s prime rate plus 0.50% (4.75% at June 30, 2005).

Long-term	borrowings	consisted	of the	following:
Long term	como wings	Combible	or the	romo wing.

	June 30, 2005	Sep	otember 30, 2004
Mortgage notes payable Other	\$ 2,570 643	\$	3,063 788
Less current maturities of long-term debt	 3,213 (561)		3,851 (642)
	\$ 2,652	\$	3,209

At June 30, 2005, BAI had outstanding mortgage loans of \$2,570 (2,130), which bear interest at a variable rate based on the three-month Euribor rate plus a margin of between 0.75% and 1.50%. The average interest rate on outstanding mortgage loans at June 30, 2005 was 3.13%.

#### 12. WARRANTY AND GUARANTEE ARRANGEMENTS

The Company s products generally carry explicit warranties that extend from six months to two years, based on terms that are generally accepted in the marketplace. Selected components (such as engines, transmissions, tires, etc.) included in the Company s end products may include manufacturers warranties. These manufacturers warranties are generally passed on to the end customer of the Company s products, and the customer would generally deal directly with the component manufacturer.

The Company s policy is to record a provision for the expected cost of warranty-related claims at the time of the sale, and periodically adjust the provision to reflect actual experience. The amount of warranty liability accrued reflects management s best estimate of the expected future cost of honoring Company obligations under the warranty plans. Historically, the cost of fulfilling the Company s warranty obligations has principally involved replacement parts, labor and sometimes travel for any field retrofit campaigns. The Company s estimates are based on historical experience, the extent of pre-production testing, the number of units involved and the extent of features/components included in product models. In addition, the Company reviews actual warranty claims experience each quarter to determine if there are systemic defects that would require a field campaign.

Changes in the Company s warranty liability were as follows:

Nine Months Ended June 30,			
2005		2004	
35,760	\$	29,172	
18,824		13,878	
(15,903)		(12,087)	
(150)		(2,123)	
323			
(29)		121	
38,825	\$	28,961	
	2005 35,760 18,824 (15,903) (150) 323 (29)	2005 35,760 \$ 18,824 (15,903) (150) 323 (29)	

In the fire and emergency segment, the Company provides guarantees of lease payments by customer-lessees to a third-party lessor of equipment purchased from the Company. The guarantee is limited to \$1,000 per year in total and is supported by the residual value of the related equipment. The Company s actual losses under these guarantees over the last ten years have been negligible. In accordance with Financial Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, no liabilities for pre-January 1, 2003 guarantees have been recorded. For all such guarantees issued after January 1, 2003, the Company has recorded the fair value of the guarantee as a liability and a reduction of the initial revenue recognized on the sale of equipment. Amounts recorded since January 1, 2003 were not significant.

#### 13. RETIREMENT PLANS

Components of net periodic pension benefit cost were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	_:	2005		2004	2005		2004
Service cost	\$	1,495	\$	1,303	\$ 4,485	\$	3,909
Interest cost		1,593		1,446	4,779		4,338
Expected return on plan assets		(1,878)		(1,703)	(5,634)		(5,109)
Amortization of prior service cost		128		115	384		345
Amortization of transition asset		(17)		(16)	(51)		(48)
Amortization of net loss		461		325	1,383		975
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	1,782	\$	1,470	\$ 5,346	\$	4,410

The Company made a \$12,000 contribution to its pension plans in the second quarter of fiscal 2005 and may make a further contribution of up to \$10,000 in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. The Company estimates that its contributions to its pension plans in fiscal 2006 will approximate fiscal 2005 contribution levels.

Components of net periodic other post-employment benefit costs were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Nine Months Ended June 30,		
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Service cost Interest cost	\$ 265 272	\$	228 260	\$	795 816	\$	684 780
Amortization of net losses	 24		13		72		39
	\$ 561	\$	501	\$	1,683	\$	1,503

The Company made contributions to fund benefit payments of \$176 and \$141 for the three month periods and \$552 and \$461 for the nine month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, under its other post-employment benefit plans. The Company estimates additional contributions of approximately \$200 will be made under these other post-employment plans prior to the end of fiscal 2005.

#### 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As part of its routine business operations, the Company disposes of and recycles or reclaims certain industrial waste materials, chemicals and solvents at third party disposal and recycling facilities, which are licensed by appropriate governmental agencies. In some instances, these facilities have been or may be designated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or a state environmental agency for remediation. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (the Superfund law) and similar state laws, each potentially responsible party (PRP) that contributed hazardous substances may be jointly and severally liable for the costs associated with cleaning up these sites. Typically, PRPs negotiate a resolution with the EPA and/or the state environmental agencies. PRPs also negotiate with each other regarding allocation of the cleanup cost.

As to one such Superfund site, the Company s Pierce Manufacturing Inc. (Pierce) subsidiary is one of 338 PRPs participating in the costs of addressing the site and has been assigned an allocation share of approximately 0.04%. At June 30, 2005, the PRP project coordinator is seeking approval of the majority of the 338 PRPs to proceed with a proposed site remediation plan. Based on the estimated cost of the site remediation plan of \$13.5 million to \$18.0 million and the assigned allocations, the Company believes its liability at the site is not material and its share is adequately covered through reserves established by the Company at June 30, 2005. Actual liability could vary based on results of the study, the resources of other PRPs, and the Company s final share of liability.

The Company is addressing a regional trichloroethylene ( TCE ) groundwater plume on the south side of Oshkosh, Wisconsin. The Company believes there may be multiple sources in the area. TCE was detected at the Company s North Plant facility with testing showing the highest concentrations in a monitoring well located near the upgradient property line. Because the investigation process is still ongoing, it is not possible for the Company to estimate its long-term total liability associated with this issue at this time. Also, as part of the regional TCE groundwater investigation, the Company conducted a groundwater investigation of a former landfill located on Company property. The landfill, acquired by the Company in 1972, is approximately 2.0 acres in size and is believed to have been used for the disposal of household waste. Based on the investigation, the Company does not believe the landfill is one of the sources of the TCE contamination. Based upon current knowledge, the Company believes its liability associated with the TCE issue will not be material and is adequately covered through reserves established by the Company. However, this may change as investigations proceed by the Company, other unrelated property owners, and the government.

In connection with the acquisition of the Geesink Norba Group, the Company identified potential soil and groundwater contamination impacts from solvents and metals at one of its manufacturing sites. The Company is conducting a study to identify the remediation options available. Based on current estimates, the Company believes its liability at this site will not be material and any responsibility of the Company is adequately covered through reserves established by the Company.

In connection with the recent acquisition of a manufacturing facility in northeast Oshkosh, the Company identified low-level soil and groundwater contamination from solvents and petroleum products in localized, relatively small areas of the site. The Company believes that the contamination is limited to the property and is in the process of preparing monitoring and project closure plans for the identified areas. Based on current estimates, the Company believes its liability at this site will not be material and any responsibility of the Company is adequately covered through reserves established by the Company.

At June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004, the Company had reserves for environmental matters of \$5,999 and \$5,884, respectively.

The Company is also contingently liable under bid, performance and specialty bonds totaling approximately \$194,688 and open standby letters of credit issued by the Company s bank in favor of third parties totaling approximately \$20,519 at June 30, 2005.

Product and general liability claims arise against the Company from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The Company is generally self-insured for future claims up to \$1,000 per claim. Accordingly, a reserve is maintained for the estimated costs of such claims. At June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2004, the reserve for product and general liability claims was \$10,805 and \$17,203, respectively, based on available information. There is inherent uncertainty as to the eventual resolution of unsettled claims. Management, however, believes that any losses in excess of established reserves will not have a material effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is subject to other environmental matters and legal proceedings and claims, including patent, antitrust, product liability and state dealership regulation compliance proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. Although the final results of all such matters and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes that the ultimate resolution of all such matters and claims, after taking into account the liabilities accrued with respect to such matters and claims, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Actual results could vary, among other things, due to the uncertainties involved in litigation.

18

15. SEGMENT INFORMATION							
	Three Months Ended June 30,			Nine Months Ended June 30,			
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Net sales to unaffiliated customers:							
Fire and emergency	\$ 222,670	\$	142,572	\$	630,051	\$	401,072
Defense	280,985		191,051		706,095		549,575
Commercial	322,346		272,019		819,186		672,817
Intersegment eliminations	 (7,089)		(5,818)		(19,148)		(12,233)
Consolidated	\$ 818,912	\$	599,824	\$	2,136,184	\$	1,611,231

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Nine Months Ended June 30,				
Operating income (loss): Fire and emergency Defense Commercial Corporate and other	\$	23,132 45,955 7,212 (13,328)	\$	13,186 33,946 13,359 (11,326)	\$	60,580 147,037 19,295 (33,684)	\$	36,003 94,145 29,985 (29,155)
Consolidated operating income Net interest expense Miscellaneous other		62,971 (1,399) (224)		49,165 (1,048) 119		193,228 (4,871) (837)		130,978 (3,016) 679
Income before provision for income taxes, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates and minority interest	\$	61,348	\$	48,236	\$	187,520	\$	128,641
						June 30, 2005	S	eptember 30, 2004
Identifiable assets: Commercial: U.S. <sup>(a)</sup> The Netherlands Other European Canada Mexico					\$	553,681 156,606 94,882 19,147 1,593	\$	470,609 157,614 89,022
Total commercial Fire and emergency: U.S Italy						825,909 494,461 56,201		718,093 489,926 58,454
Total fire and emergency Defense - U.S. Corporate and other - U.S.						550,662 311,782 20,170		548,380 183,955 1,986
Consolidated					\$	1,708,523	\$	1,452,414

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes investment in unconsolidated partnership.

19

Net sales by geographic region based on product shipment destination were as follows:

	Nine	Months Ended June 30,
	2005	2004
United States Other North America Europe and Middle East Other	\$ 1,781,3 23,4 266,9 64,3	64 8,894 81 234,010

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2,136,184 \$ 1,611,231

Consolidated

#### 16. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 151, Inventory Costs, an Amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4 (SFAS 151). SFAS 151 clarifies that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges and requires the allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. SFAS 151 is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Adoption of SFAS 151 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets, an Amendment of APB No. 29 (SFAS 153). SFAS 153 requires that exchanges of productive assets for similar productive assets should be measured based on the fair value of the assets exchanged except in those instances in which the exchanges of nonmonetary assets do not have commercial substance. SFAS 153 is effective prospectively for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Adoption of SFAS 151 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 19, 2005, the Company s Board of Directors declared a two-for-one split of the Company s Common Stock and a proportionate increase in the number of shares of Common Stock authorized from 150 million to 300 million. Each shareholder of record at the close of business on August 16, 2005 will receive one additional share for every outstanding share held on the record date. The additional shares are payable August 26, 2005. Per share and weighted average share amounts have not been restated in the accompanying financial statements and related notes to reflect this split. As a part of its action to declare this stock split, the Rights under the Company s Rights Agreement were proportionally adjusted, as were authorizations, outstanding options and option exercise prices under the 1990 Incentive Stock Plan and 2004 Incentive Stock and Awards Plan.

20

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Cautionary Statements About Forward-Looking Information**

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this Form 10-Q contain statements that Oshkosh Truck Corporation (the Company or Oshkosh ) believes to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this report, including, without limitation, statements regarding the Company's future financial position, business strategy, targets, projected sales, costs, earnings, capital spending, debt levels and cash flows, and plans and objectives of management for future operations, including those under the captions, Executive Overview, Fiscal 2005 Outlook and Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook are forward-looking statements. When used in this Form 10-Q, words such as may, will, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, believe, should or plan or the negative thereof or variation similar terminology are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, some of which are beyond the Company s control, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include the Company s ability to turn around its Geesink Norba Group and McNeilus businesses, the cyclical nature of the Company s commercial and fire and emergency markets, risks related to reductions in government expenditures, the uncertainty of government contracts, the challenges of identifying acquisition candidates and integrating acquired businesses, higher steel and component costs and the Company s ability to avoid such cost increases based on its supply contracts or to recover such cost increases with increases in selling prices of its products, the success of the launch of the Revolution® composite concrete mixer drum, the availability of commercial chassis and certain chassis components, risks associated with international operations and sales, including foreign currency fluctuations, disruptions in the supply of parts or components from sole source suppliers and subcontractors and competition. In addition, the Company s expectations for fiscal 2005 and 2006 are based in part on certain assumptions made by the Company, which are set forth under the caption Certain Assumptions. Additional information concerning factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements is contained from time to time in the Company s SEC filings, including, but not limited to, the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ) on August 2, 2005.

All forward-looking statements, including those under the captions Executive Overview, Fiscal 2005 Outlook and Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook speak only as of the date the Company files this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the SEC. The Company has adopted a policy that if the Company makes a determination that it expects the Company s earnings per share for future periods for which projections are contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to be lower than those projections, then the Company will publicly disseminate that fact. The Company s policy also provides that if the Company makes a determination that it expects earnings per share for future periods to be at or above the projections contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, then the Company does not intend to publicly disseminate that fact. Except as set forth above, the Company assumes no obligation, and disclaims any obligation, to update information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Investors should be aware that the Company may not update such information until the Company s next quarterly conference call, if at all.

All references herein to earnings per share refer to earnings per share assuming dilution.

#### Stock Split

On July 19, 2005, the Company s Board of Directors declared a two-for-one stock split of the Company s Common Stock and a proportionate increase in the number of shares of Common Stock authorized from 150 million to 300 million. Each shareholder of record at the close of business on August 16, 2005 will receive one additional share for every outstanding share held on the record date. The additional shares are payable August 26, 2005. Unless specifically described otherwise, per share amounts presented in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations have not been restated to reflect this stock split.

21

#### General

The major products manufactured and marketed by each of the Company s business segments are as follows:

Commercial concrete mixer systems, refuse truck bodies, mobile and stationary refuse compactors and waste transfer stations, portable and stationary concrete batch plants and truck components sold to ready-mix companies and commercial and municipal waste haulers in North America, Europe and other international markets.

Fire and emergency commercial and custom fire trucks, aircraft rescue and firefighting trucks, snow removal trucks, ambulances, wreckers, carriers and other emergency vehicles primarily sold to fire departments, airports, other governmental units and towing companies in the U.S. and abroad.

Defense heavy- and medium-payload tactical trucks and supply parts and services sold to the U.S. military and to other militaries around the world.

#### **Executive Overview**

Since the onset of Operation Iraqi Freedom in 2003, the Company s operating results have benefited substantially from increasing U.S. Department of Defense ( DoD ) requirements for the supply of parts, service, armoring and major overhauls of Oshkosh defense vehicles operated in Iraq. The Company believes that these DoD requirements, along with recoveries in its fire and emergency and commercial segments and the benefits of recent acquisitions, will result in higher sales and earnings for the Company through fiscal 2006. The Company believes that the length and severity of Operation Iraqi Freedom, the impact of a diesel engine emissions standards change on demand for concrete mixers and refuse packers and the impact of the Company s growth strategies will ultimately determine the Company s ability to increase its sales and earnings in fiscal 2007. See Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook.

The Company has reported substantially higher operating results in the three and nine months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same periods last year and expects to continue this trend of higher operating results for its full fiscal year 2005 and 2006, as follows:

Percentage Increase vs. Prior Year

	Actual Third	Actual First	Full Year	Full Year
	Quarter	Nine Months	Fiscal	Fiscal
	Fiscal 2005	Fiscal 2005	2005 Estimate <sup>(1)</sup>	2006 Estimate <sup>(1)</sup>
Sales	36.5%	32.6%	30.8%	9.0% - 12.3%
Operating income	28.1%	47.5%	44.4%	14.2% - 19.0%

Executive Overview 20

#### Percentage Increase vs. Prior Year

Net income	26.3%	41.9%	40.2%	15.3% - 20.1%
Earnings per share				
assuming dilution	23.5%	39.1%	37.4%	11.6% - 16.3%
(1) Company estimates as of August 2, 2005				

The improved results in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 have been driven by the Company's defense and fire and emergency segments. The Company's defense segment benefited from a more than doubling in parts and service sales compared to the prior year due to requirements arising from conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan and cumulative life-to-date adjustments in fiscal 2005 that added \$24.7 million of operating income from increases in the estimated margin on the Company's multi-year Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement (MTVR) contract from 7.6% to 10.1%, which is recorded utilizing the percentage-of-completion accounting method. This contract was completed in June 2005. These factors caused the Company's defense sales and operating income to increase 28.5% and 56.2%, respectively, during the first nine months of fiscal 2005. For the full fiscal year, the Company expects its defense sales to grow approximately 37.6% and its defense operating income to grow approximately 61.1% to \$206.0 million due to substantially higher parts and service sales and increased truck remanufacturing business.

In the Company s fire and emergency segment, sales were up 57.1% in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and operating income increased 68.3%. The Company s orders have remained high since fiscal 2004 due to improved market conditions and an increased emphasis on homeland security apparatus. The Company estimates that the acquisitions of JerrDan Corporation (JerrDan) and BAI Brescia Antincendi International S.r.l. and BAI Tecnica S.r.l. (together BAI) will contribute sales and operating income of \$180.0 million and \$12.5 million in fiscal 2005, respectively. Together, the Company estimates that improving order rates and these acquisitions will result in fire and emergency segment sales growth of approximately 41.7% and operating income growth of approximately 41.9% to \$78.0 million in fiscal 2005.

22

The Company s commercial segment has underperformed compared to prior year earnings levels in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Commercial segment sales increased 21.8% during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, however operating income during the same period declined 35.7%. The primary reasons for the lower earnings are outlined under Results of Operations and primarily involved operating losses in the Company s European refuse business and relatively flat pricing for U.S product lines while steel and component costs were escalating. The Company does not expect this segment to begin reporting improved earnings until fiscal 2006 when the Company anticipates improved pricing will take effect.

The losses in the Company s European refuse business were due in part to a \$4.3 million charge for workforce reductions, weak industry conditions in Europe, competitive pricing conditions and higher start-up costs on new product launches. The Company expects this business to incur an operating loss, including the workforce reduction charge, of \$9.0 million (7.5 million) for fiscal 2005. Due to operating losses in the European refuse business in fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2005 to date, the Company is in the process of re-designing certain products and restructuring manufacturing processes, among other actions, resulting in lower projected material costs, the outsourcing of certain processes and a reduction in the workforce at its Emmeloord manufacturing plant. The Company expects these actions will enable the European refuse business to be modestly profitable in fiscal 2006.

The profitability of the commercial segment s domestic refuse and concrete placement operations during the first nine months was adversely impacted by rapidly rising steel and component costs that were not fully recovered by selling price increases for the Company s products. The Company believes its pricing initiatives to recover these cost increases will not benefit earnings sufficiently to report increases in operating income until fiscal 2006. The Company estimates its commercial segment sales will grow by approximately 17.9% in fiscal 2005, but expects its operating income will decline approximately 34.0% to \$23.0 million as a result of these conditions. The Company estimates that the acquisitions of the Concrete Equipment Company, Inc. ( CON-E-CO ) and London Machinery Inc. ( London ) will add sales and operating income of \$46.0 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, to segment results in fiscal 2005.

The Company s cash flow has been strong in fiscal 2005 due to earnings growth and higher customer advances. Assuming no further acquisitions, the Company estimates that debt will approximate \$25.0 million at September 30, 2005 and that its cash position at that date will exceed \$50.0 million. The Company believes its strong cash flow has contributed to a significant increase in the Company s borrowing capacity that is available to support the Company s acquisition strategy.

Based on the Company s financial performance in its third quarter of fiscal 2005 and new business originated during the period, the Company announced on August 2, 2005 that it had increased its estimate of fiscal 2005 earnings per share assuming dilution from \$4.25 per share, as previously estimated on May 3, 2005, to \$4.30 per share.

Please refer to Fiscal 2005 Outlook, Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook and Certain Assumptions for a detailed discussion of the Company s sales, operating income, net income, and earnings per share estimates for fiscal 2005 and 2006.

Executive Overview 21

During fiscal 2004 and the first six months of fiscal 2005, costs rose sharply for steel and component parts containing steel, and the availability of steel has at times been limited, especially for small consumers of steel, including certain of the Company s suppliers. The Company uses thousands of tons of steel annually and some industry experts have estimated the increase in steel costs over this period at more than 120%, although steel costs recently have declined slightly from peak levels. A surge in over-the-road truck sales has also created a shortage of certain components utilized by the Company and resulted in periodic delays in receipt of chassis scheduled for mounting of the Company struck bodies. Although the Company believes steel costs have stabilized, the Company could face further steel cost increases in fiscal 2005 or 2006. Based on long-term agreements with suppliers, the Company has been able to avoid some of the impact of these cost increases, but not all the Company s suppliers have been able to honor their contracts with the Company. To mitigate these increases, the Company announced multiple price increases in fiscal 2004 and in fiscal 2005, some of which take effect in fiscal 2006, in all of its commercial and fire and emergency business units. The new prices apply to all new orders received after their respective effective dates and the Company does not anticipate being able to recover all the cost increases from customers due to the significant amount of orders in the Company s backlog prior to the effective dates of product selling price increases. In addition, reaction to these price increases has been adverse from some customers and competitive conditions have limited, and may limit in the future, price increases in some market sectors. If steel and component cost increases resume, then the Company would expect to announce further price increases as necessary. Due to the nature of its defense business, which is generally based on firm, fixed-price contracts, the Company is generally limited in its ability to raise prices in response to rising steel and component costs. The Company generally has firm pricing from its suppliers to its defense business at the time of contract award, but it does not expect these supply contracts to fully protect the Company from steel and component cost increases in its defense segment. The Company has sought substantially higher pricing for all new defense contracts executed since the second half of fiscal 2004 to recover the higher steel and component costs experienced to date.

23

#### **Results of Operations**

#### **Analysis of Consolidated Net Sales**

The following table presents net sales by business segment (in thousands):

	Third Quarter Fiscal			First Nine Months Fiscal			
	2005		2004		2005		2004
Net sales to unaffiliated customers:	· <u> </u>						
Fire and emergency	\$ 222,670	\$	142,572	\$	630,051	\$	401,072
Defense	280,985		191,051		706,095		549,575
Commercial	322,346		272,019		819,186		672,817
Intersegment eliminations	(7,089)		(5,818)		(19,148)		(12,233)
Consolidated	\$ 818,912	\$	599,824	\$	2,136,184	\$	1,611,231

#### Third Quarter Fiscal 2005 Compared to 2004

Consolidated net sales increased 36.5% to \$818.9 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004. Net sales increases were recorded in all segments.

Fire and emergency segment net sales increased 56.2% to \$222.7 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004. The acquisitions of JerrDan and BAI contributed sales totaling \$42.0 million in the quarter. Sales rose 26.7% for other businesses in the segment, reflecting strong order flow for fire apparatus and substantially higher airport product sales.

Defense segment net sales increased 47.1% to \$281.0 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004. Parts and service sales nearly doubled during the quarter as a result of the conflict in Iraq. Sales of heavy-payload trucks for the DoD were up sharply and the Company began recognizing revenue on a wheeled tanker contract for the United Kingdom Ministry of Defence during the quarter.

Connecial segment net sales increased 18.5% to \$322.3 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004. CON-E-CO and London contributed net sales of \$20.0 million in the third quarter. Concrete placement product sales, other than sales by CON-E-CO and London, were up 3.0% in 2005 compared to 2004, largely due to higher unit sales volume. U.S. refuse product sales increased 30.5% for the quarter, primarily due to higher unit sales, especially to large, U.S. commercial waste-haulers. European refuse product sales were up 25.9% compared to 2004, generally as a result of higher unit sales and favorable currency translation adjustments as a result of the increased

24

#### First Nine Months of Fiscal 2005 Compared to 2004

Consolidated net sales increased 32.6% to \$2,136.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2004. Net sales increases were recorded in all segments.

Fire and emergency segment net sales increased 57.1% to \$630.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2004. Sales were up due to strong order rates for fire apparatus and substantially higher airport product sales. In this segment, due to long lead times, orders generally ship six to nine months after receipt. In addition, JerrDan and BAI contributed net sales of \$128.3 million during the nine-month period.

Defense segment net sales increased 28.5% to \$706.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in the prior year due to a more than doubling in parts and service sales and higher sales of new and remanufactured heavy-payload trucks to the DoD.

Commercial segment net sales increased 21.8% to \$819.2 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in the prior year. CON-E-CO and London contributed net sales of \$32.9 million during the nine-month period. Concrete placement sales, other than sales by CON-E-CO and London, were up 17.8% primarily due to higher unit sales volumes as industry order levels began to improve following a two-year downturn and an increased mix of package sales. Package sales include a purchased chassis and a concrete mixer. Domestic refuse sales were 28.9% higher due to increased shipments to large U.S. commercial waste haulers. European refuse sales increased 0.4% in U.S. dollars due to lower unit volumes and lower pricing in many end markets offset by favorable currency translation adjustments as a result of the increased strength of the euro compared to the U.S dollar.

#### **Analysis of Consolidated Operating Income**

The following table presents operating income by business segment (in thousands):

	Third Quarter Fiscal			First Nine Months Fiscal		
	2005		2004	2005		2004
Operating income (expense):						
Fire and emergency	\$ 23,132	\$	13,186	\$ 60,580	\$	36,003
Defense	45,955		33,946	147,037		94,145
Commercial	7,212		13,359	19,295		29,985
Corporate and other	(13,328)		(11,326)	(33,684)		(29,155)
Consolidated operating income	\$ 62,971	\$	49,165	\$ 193,228	\$	130,978

#### Third Quarter Fiscal 2005 Compared to 2004

Consolidated operating income increased 28.1% to \$63.0 million, or 7.7% of sales, in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to \$49.2 million, or 8.2% of sales, in the third quarter of fiscal 2004.

Fire and emergency segment operating income increased 75.4% to \$23.1 million, or 10.4% of sales, in the quarter compared to \$13.2 million, or 9.2% of sales, in the prior year quarter. The JerrDan and BAI acquisitions contributed operating income of \$4.1 million during the third quarter. Operating income for the other businesses in the segment increased 44.0% for the quarter, reflecting both the higher sales in the quarter and a substantially improved sales mix of custom pumpers, aerials and airport products.

Defense segment operating income increased 35.4% to \$46.0 million, or 16.4% of sales, in the quarter compared to \$33.9 million, or 17.8% of sales, in the prior year quarter due to a near doubling of relatively higher-margin parts and service sales and an increase in truck sales offset by substantially higher new product development spending during the quarter. In the third quarter of fiscal 2005, the Company raised its MTVR base contract margins by 0.2 percentage points to 10.1% recognizing a cumulative life-to-date adjustment to increase operating income by \$2.1 million. In the third quarter of fiscal 2004, the Company raised its MTVR base contract margins by 0.8% to 7.1% recognizing a cumulative catch-up adjustment to increase earnings by \$7.1 million.

25

Commercial segment operating income decreased 46.0% to \$7.2 million, or 2.2% of sales, in the third quarter compared to \$13.4 million, or 4.9% of sales, in the prior year quarter. Operating income margins fell in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the prior year quarter due to an operating loss of \$5.1 million sustained at the Company s European refuse business compared to an operating loss of \$3.4 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004. Included in the third quarter results for the Company s European refuse business in fiscal 2005 and 2004 were charges for workforce reductions of \$4.3 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. Operating income of concrete placement and domestic refuse operations in the segment, other than CON-E-CO and London, was down 34.8% in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the prior year. In the U.S., relatively flat pricing at a time of higher steel and component cost increases offset the overhead absorption benefits of higher unit volumes, resulting in the lower operating income in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the prior year.

Corporate operating expenses and inter-segment profit elimination increased \$2.0 million to \$13.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004 largely due to increased personnel costs related to new hires, restricted stock awards granted last autumn, employee termination costs and higher incentive bonuses.

Consolidated operating expenses increased 21.5% to \$60.9 million, or 7.4% of sales, in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to \$50.1 million, or 8.3% of sales, in the third quarter of fiscal 2004. Consolidated operating expenses declined as a percentage of sales due to efficiencies realized from the substantially higher sales during the quarter.

#### First Nine Months of Fiscal 2005 Compared to 2004

Consolidated operating income increased 47.5% to \$193.2 million, or 9.0% of sales, in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to \$131.0 million, or 8.1% of sales, in the first nine months of fiscal 2004.

Fire and emergency segment operating income increased 68.3% to \$60.6 million, or 9.6% of sales, in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to \$36.0 million, or 9.0% of sales, in the prior year period. JerrDan and BAI contributed operating income of \$9.3 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Operating income for the other businesses in the segment grew 42.5% in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to the same period in the prior year due to higher sales and a substantially improved sales mix of custom pumpers, aerials and airport products.

Defense segment operating income increased 56.2% to \$147.0 million, or 20.8% of sales, in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to \$94.1 million, or 17.1% of sales, in the prior year period. The largest contributors to the increase in operating income included a more than doubling of relatively higher-margin parts and service sales and cumulative life-to-date adjustments totaling \$24.7 million, including \$23.1 million related to prior period revenues, to increase the margins recognized on the MTVR base contract from 7.6% to 10.1%. A cumulative life-to-date adjustment of \$14.2 million was recognized in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 to increase MTVR base contract margins from 5.5% to 7.1%.

Commercial segment operating income decreased 35.7% to \$19.3 million, or 2.4% of sales, in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to \$30.0 million, or 4.5% of sales, in the prior year. CON-E-CO and London contributed operating income of \$1.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Operating income margins were lower in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 principally due to an operating loss of \$9.3 million for the Company s European refuse operations compared to operating income of \$0.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004. The operating loss arose from a \$4.3 million workforce reduction charge, lower unit volumes, lower pricing in many end markets and increased material, labor and warranty costs associated with the launch of a new Geesink-branded rear loader. Operating income of concrete placement and domestic refuse operations in the segment, other than CON-E-CO and London, was down 7.5% in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to the same period in fiscal 2004. This decrease in operating income was the result of relatively flat pricing at a time of higher steel and component costs which offset the overhead absorption benefits of higher unit volumes.

Corporate operating expenses and inter-segment profit eliminations increased \$4.5 million to \$33.7 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2005 compared to the same period in the prior year due to increases in personnel costs related to new hires, restricted stock awards granted last autumn, employee termination costs and higher incentive bonuses which more than offset a \$4.2 million favorable product liability settlement in the first quarter of fiscal 2005.

26

Consolidated operating expenses increased 23.5% during the first nine months of fiscal 2005 to \$166.1 million compared to \$134.5 million in the prior year period. Consolidated operating expenses as a percent of sales declined between periods to 7.8% in fiscal 2005 compared to 8.3% in fiscal 2004, generally due to efficiencies realized from the substantially higher sales during the period.

#### **Analysis of Non-Operating Income Statement Items**

#### Third Quarter Fiscal 2005 Compared to 2004

Net interest expense increased \$0.4 million to \$1.4 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004, largely as a result of borrowings for acquisitions.

The effective income tax rate increased to 38.3% for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 compared to 37.8% in the third quarter of fiscal 2004 due to higher state income taxes associated with higher earnings in relatively higher-tax rate states.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates of \$1.0 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and \$0.6 million in fiscal 2004 primarily represents the Company s equity interest in a lease financing partnership.

#### First Nine Months of Fiscal 2005 Compared to 2004

Net interest expense increased \$1.9 million to \$4.9 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to the prior year largely due to higher average borrowings in fiscal 2005 as a result of recent acquisitions.

The effective income tax rate was 38.5% for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to 37.0% in fiscal 2004. The higher effective tax rate in 2005 relates to increased state income taxes associated with higher earnings in relatively higher-tax rate states.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates of \$2.3 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and \$1.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 primarily represents the Company s equity interest in a lease financing partnership.

#### **Financial Condition**

During the first nine months of fiscal 2005, cash from operating activities of \$101.7 million and proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$24.1 million funded the acquisitions of CON-E-CO and London for \$31.3 million, repayments of borrowings of \$52.9 million, capital expenditures of \$21.7 million, dividends of \$11.1 million and an increase in cash of \$13.0 million. Cash provided from operations during the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2004 generally due to the increase in net income and increases in customer advances, largely from the DoD.

The Company expects to liquidate a large portion of its receivables and inventories in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005, following the period during which the Company s commercial segment sales generally peak due to seasonally higher shipments of concrete mixers to meet stronger construction periods experienced by its customers during the spring through autumn period. As the Company liquidates these receivables and inventories, the Company expects its cash flow to improve throughout the remainder of fiscal 2005 to permit a build of cash during this period, excluding the impact of any acquisitions. At June 30, 2005, the Company had repaid all of its revolving credit facility borrowings, with the exception of 15.0 million used as a hedge against its investment in BAI.

The Company s debt-to-total capital ratio at June 30, 2005 was 2.9% compared to 10.7% at September 30, 2004.

27

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$43.1 million and \$461.4 million of unused availability under the terms of its revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2005. The Company s primary cash requirements include working capital, interest and principal payments on indebtedness, capital expenditures, dividends, and, potentially, future acquisitions. The Company expects its primary sources of cash will be cash flow from operations, cash and cash equivalents on hand at June 30, 2005 and borrowings under the Company s revolving credit facility.

The Company s cash flow from operations has fluctuated, and will likely continue to fluctuate, significantly from quarter to quarter due to changes in working capital requirements arising principally from seasonal fluctuations in sales, the start-up or conclusion of large defense contracts and the timing of receipt of individually large performance-based payments from the DoD.

The Company s revolving credit facility contains various restrictions and covenants, including (1) requirements that the Company maintain certain financial ratios at prescribed levels; and (2) restrictions on the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to consolidate or merge, create liens, incur additional indebtedness and dispose of assets. Given the Company s limited borrowings and its estimated cash flow, the Company believes that it is unlikely that these restrictions and covenants would limit the Company s ability to respond to market conditions, to

provide for unanticipated capital investments, to raise additional debt or equity capital, to pay dividends or to take advantage of business opportunities, including future acquisitions.

Interest rates on borrowings under the Company s revolving credit facility are variable and are equal to the Base Rate (which is equal to the higher of a bank s reference rate and the federal funds rate plus 0.50%) or the Offshore Rate (which is a bank s inter-bank offered rate for U.S. dollars in off-shore markets) plus a margin of 0.70% for Offshore Rate loans under the Company s revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2005. The margins are subject to adjustment, up or down, based on whether certain financial criteria are met. The average interest rate on revolving credit facility borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2005 was 2.81%. The Company presently has no plans to enter into interest rate swap arrangements to limit exposure to future increases in interest rates.

In addition to the Company s revolving credit facility, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary in The Netherlands, Geesink Group B.V., and certain of its affiliates are party to a 2.5 million bank credit facility (the euro facility) which supports a cross-border cash pooling arrangement. There were no borrowings outstanding under the euro facility at June 30, 2005.

Also, the Company s 75%-owned subsidiary, BAI, had outstanding mortgage loans of \$2.6 million (2.1 million) which bear interest at a variable rate based on the three-month Euribor rate, plus a margin of between 0.75% and 1.50%. The average interest rate on outstanding mortgage loans at June 30, 2005 was 3.13%.

London has a \$6.1 million (CAD 7.5 million) credit facility with \$0.8 million (CAD 1.0 million) in borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2005 which bear interest at a variable rate based on a bank s prime rate plus 0.50% (4.75% at June 30, 2005). Other subsidiaries of the Company had other unsecured debt outstanding of \$2.1 million with varying interest rates at June 30, 2005.

Based upon current and anticipated future operations, the Company believes that capital resources will be adequate to meet future working capital, debt service and other capital requirements for the remainder of fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2006. See Fiscal 2005 Outlook and Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook. Debt levels and capital resource requirements may change, however, because the Company maintains an active acquisitions strategy and the Company cannot reasonably estimate the capital requirements of this strategy.

#### Contractual Obligations, Commercial Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company s contractual obligations, commercial commitments and off-balance sheet arrangements disclosures in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004 have not materially changed since that report was filed.

28

#### **Application of Critical Accounting Policies**

The Company s application of critical accounting policies disclosures in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004 have not materially changed since that report was filed.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The Company s disclosures of critical accounting estimates in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004 have not materially changed since that report was filed.

#### **New Accounting Standards**

Refer to Notes 3 and 16 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the impact on the Company s financial statements of new accounting standards.

#### **Customers and Backlog**

Sales to the DoD comprised approximately 31.0% of the Company s sales in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. No other single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company s net sales for this period. A substantial majority of the Company s net sales are derived from customer orders prior to commencing production.

The Company s backlog at June 30, 2005 increased 24.3% to \$1,930.1 million compared to \$1,552.7 million at June 30, 2004. Fire and emergency segment backlog increased 14.0% to \$521.0 million at June 30, 2005 compared to June 30, 2004, due to \$79.6 million attributable to acquisitions and higher fire apparatus and ambulance backlog, offset in part by lower airport products backlog. The defense segment backlog

increased 32.7% to \$1,163.1 million at June 30, 2005 compared to June 30, 2004 following the passage of a federal supplemental spending bill to fund the activities of Operation Iraqi Freedom. Commercial segment backlog increased 12.2% to \$246.0 million at June 30, 2005 compared to June 30, 2004, primarily driven by refuse product backlog. Unit backlog for refuse products was up 43.1% domestically and up 20.7% in Europe. Unit backlog for front-discharge concrete mixers was down 9.6% while unit backlog for rear-discharge concrete mixers was down 15.2% as the Company expects to see lower volumes associated with its increased pricing strategy. Approximately 62.9% of the Company s June 30, 2005 backlog is not expected to be filled in fiscal 2005.

Reported backlog excludes purchase options and announced orders for which definitive contracts have not been executed. Additionally, backlog excludes unfunded portions of the DoD Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles and MTVR contracts. Backlog information and comparisons thereof as of different dates may not be accurate indicators of future sales or the ratio of the Company s future sales to the DoD versus its sales to other customers.

#### Fiscal 2005 Outlook

The Company estimates that fiscal 2005 consolidated net sales will approximate \$2,960.0 million, up 30.8% from fiscal 2004 net sales, with \$226.0 million of the sales increase from recently consummated acquisitions. All comparisons are to fiscal 2004 actual results and assume no acquisitions other than JerrDan, BAI, CON-E-CO and London.

The Company expects that fire and emergency segment sales will be up about 41.7% to approximately \$850.0 million in fiscal 2005. The Company expects the acquisitions of JerrDan and BAI to contribute \$180.0 million to segment sales in fiscal 2005, up from \$35.4 million in fiscal 2004. The balance of the estimated increase in segment sales in fiscal 2005 is expected to result from higher orders due to improving market conditions and an emphasis on homeland security apparatus purchases.

The Company is projecting defense segment sales to increase 37.6% to \$1,065.0 million in fiscal 2005 due to DoD requirements associated with Operation Iraqi Freedom, including higher parts and service and new and remanufactured truck requirements.

29

The Company estimates that commercial segment sales will increase about 17.9% to approximately \$1,070.0 million in fiscal 2005. The Company is projecting an increase in concrete placement sales of approximately 20.0% in fiscal 2005, due to \$46.0 million of combined post-acquisition sales of CON-E-CO, which was acquired on November 1, 2004, and London, which was acquired on March 9, 2005, and the balance of the increase is expected to primarily involve unit volume increases and to a lesser extent due to price increases. The Company is projecting domestic refuse sales to increase approximately 20.0% in fiscal 2005, largely due to higher unit volume estimates and, to a lesser extent, due to price increases. The Company expects that Geesink Norba Group refuse product sales will be up approximately 5.0% in fiscal 2005.

The Company is projecting consolidated operating income to be up about 44.4% to approximately \$260.5 million in fiscal 2005, with approximately \$13.7 million of the increase due to the acquisitions of JerrDan, BAI, CON-E-CO and London.

The Company is projecting fire and emergency segment operating income to increase 41.9% to \$78.0 million in fiscal 2005. The Company expects that the JerrDan and BAI acquisitions will contribute \$12.5 million to segment operating income in fiscal 2005, up from \$1.2 million in fiscal 2004. The Company expects the operating income of its other fire and emergency businesses to grow approximately 21.8% in fiscal 2005, largely consistent with the estimated sales increase for these businesses.

The Company is projecting defense operating income to increase 61.1% to \$206.0 million in fiscal 2005, reflecting higher estimated sales of relatively higher-margin parts and service sales, higher truck sales and higher MTVR base contract margins. This estimate assumes the MTVR base contract margins remain at 10.1%. In fiscal 2005, cumulative life-to-date adjustments to increase MTVR base contract margins in the first nine months added \$24.7 million of operating income. In fiscal 2004, cumulative life-to-date adjustments to increase MTVR base contract margins added \$19.5 million of operating income.

In the commercial segment, the Company projects operating income to decrease 34.0% to \$23.0 million. In this segment, the Company is projecting concrete placement operating income to be down about 10.0% in fiscal 2005. The decrease reflects a decrease in margins due to unrecovered steel cost increases offset by estimated operating income of CON-E-CO and London of \$2.4 million. The Company expects domestic refuse operating income also to be down about 10.0% in fiscal 2005 due to unrecovered steel cost increases. The Company expects the Company s European refuse business to generate an operating loss of \$9.0 million in fiscal 2005 as the Company is attempting to turn around this business, including \$4.3 million for costs related to workforce reductions.

The Company expects corporate expenses to approximate \$46.5 million in fiscal 2005, up 24.9% compared to fiscal 2004, primarily due to personnel cost increases, offset in part by a \$4.2 million favorable product liability settlement. The Company is projecting net interest and

Fiscal 2005 Outlook 27

miscellaneous costs to increase \$3.1 million in fiscal 2005 to \$7.0 million due to acquisition-related indebtedness.

The Company estimates that in fiscal 2005 its effective tax rate will approximate 38.5%, that equity in earnings of its unconsolidated affiliates will approximate \$2.8 million after taxes and that minority interest in BAI earnings will approximate \$0.4 million. These estimates result in the Company s estimate of fiscal 2005 net income of \$158.2 million and earnings per share of \$4.30.

Assuming no further acquisitions, the Company estimates that debt will approximate \$25.0 million at September 30, 2005 compared to \$75.9 million at September 30, 2004, and that its cash balances will grow to more than \$50.0 million at September 30, 2005. The Company anticipates capital spending to approximate \$30.0 to \$35.0 million in fiscal 2005.

#### Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook

The Company estimates that fiscal 2006 consolidated net sales will range between \$3,225.0 and \$3,325.0 million, resulting in an increase from fiscal 2005 net sales of 9.0% to 12.3%, with \$22 million of the sales increase from acquisitions. All comparisons are to fiscal 2005 estimates and assume no new acquisitions.

The Company expects fire and emergency segment sales to grow in the high single digits percentage range in fiscal 2006, reflecting improving markets and higher pricing across the segment.

Based on additional funding provided for the Company s truck programs in a recently enacted federal supplemental spending bill intended to fund Operation Iraqi Freedom, the Company is projecting defense sales to increase 15.0% to 20.0% in fiscal 2006. The Company estimates a decrease in defense parts and service sales to be offset by higher new and remanufactured truck requirements.

30

The Company estimates commercial sales to grow in the low single digits percentage range in fiscal 2006, primarily due to higher pricing offset by lower volume. While the Company believes that industry demand for concrete mixers and refuse packers in the U.S. in fiscal 2006 will increase 5% to 10% in advance of a diesel engine emissions standards change that becomes effective on January 1, 2007, the Company is projecting a loss in market share due to its need to increase prices to recover increasing steel and component costs. The Company estimates the acquisitions of CON-E-CO and London will add \$22 million to segment sales in fiscal 2006. The Company expects that Geesink Norba Group refuse product sales will be up slightly in fiscal 2006.

The Company is projecting consolidated operating income to be up between 14.2% and 19.0% in fiscal 2006 resulting in operating income of between \$297.5 million and \$310.0 million.

The Company is projecting fire and emergency segment margins will be relatively flat in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005 as expansion costs are expected to offset other margin improvement initiatives.

The Company is projecting defense segment operating income margins to decrease slightly in fiscal 2006 due to a shift in mix to relatively higher margin remanufacturing business in fiscal 2006 offset by a \$24.7 million MTVR margin adjustment which benefited fiscal 2005 results.

In the commercial segment, the Company projects operating income margins to double in fiscal 2006 as a result of its pricing strategy implemented to recover steel and component costs increases experienced in fiscal 2004 and 2005. The Company expects that the CON-E-CO and London acquisitions will add \$2.0 million to segment operating income in fiscal 2006. The Company also expects its European refuse business to be modestly profitable in fiscal 2006 as a result of the restructuring of that business in fiscal 2004 and 2005 compared to a projected \$9.0 million operating loss in fiscal 2005.

The Company estimates that corporate operating expenses and inter-segment profit eliminations will increase \$8.5 million to approximately \$55.0 million in fiscal 2006. The increase reflects higher personnel costs related to new hires and stock option awards as a result of the adoption of FAS 123(R). Also, fiscal 2005 results benefited from a \$4.2 million favorable product liability settlement in the first quarter. The Company estimates that net interest and other expenses will decrease \$3.5 million to \$3.5 million in fiscal 2006 largely due to the repayment of the debt associated with the acquisitions in fiscal 2005.

The Company estimates that in fiscal 2006 its effective income tax rate will remain at 38.5%, equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates will approximate \$2.0 million and minority interest in earnings will approximate \$0.5 million. These estimates result in the Company s estimate of fiscal 2005 net income between \$182.4 million and \$190.0 million and earnings per share between \$4.80 and \$5.00 per share. The Company expects its earnings per share to be down in the first quarter of fiscal 2006 to about \$1.00-\$1.10 per share compared to \$1.11 per share in the first quarter of fiscal 2005 and that about 55.0% of its estimated annual earnings per share in fiscal 2006 will be realized in its second and third quarters.

By September 30, 2006, assuming no further acquisitions, the Company expects to generate cash balances of \$150.0 to \$200.0 million that the Company could utilize to advance its acquisition strategy, or for stock repurchases and/or higher dividend payments to contribute to shareholder returns. The Company anticipates capital spending to approximate \$60.0 million in fiscal 2006.

In fiscal 2007 through fiscal 2009, the Company believes that the requirements resulting from Operation Iraqi Freedom will remain high, but total defense segment sales and earnings may decline in this period, depending on the length and severity of the conflict. Due to the unpredictable nature of military conflicts and the complexities of U.S. funding of Operation Iraqi Freedom, it is uncertain that the Company s defense segment sales and earnings will remain at relatively high levels during the period from fiscal 2007 through fiscal 2009.

31

In January 2007, new U.S. emission standards are scheduled to be effective for diesel engines in the classes of chassis the Company sells and/or utilizes for mounting of the Company s truck bodies in the U.S. When the last diesel engine emission standards changes became effective in 2003, the Company experienced an acceleration of purchases of trucks and truck bodies in its commercial segment immediately prior to the effective date of the new standards and lower purchases immediately following such date. The Company believes that a similar acceleration of purchases could occur in fiscal 2006 and early fiscal 2007, with lower purchases possible beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

The Company presently intends to focus on reducing costs through lean initiatives, accelerating new product development, increasing the demand and geographic distribution for the Revolution drum and acquisitions to offset potential declines in defense and commercial segment demand in fiscal 2007 and to drive overall Company sales and earnings growth.

#### **Certain Assumptions**

The expectations set forth in Executive Overview, Fiscal 2005 Outlook and Fiscal 2006 and Beyond Outlook are forward-looking statements and are based in part on certain assumptions made by the Company, some of which are referred to in, or as part of, the forward-looking statements. These assumptions include, without limitation, that the Company will be able to turnaround the business of the Geesink Norba Group sufficiently to support its current valuation resulting in no non-cash impairment charge for Geesink Norba Group goodwill; the Company s targets for the Geesink Norba Group sales and operating income and losses; the Company s ability to increase its operating income margins at McNeilus; the Company s ability to recover steel and component costs with increases in prices of its products; the Company s estimates for concrete placement activity, housing starts and mortgage rates; the performance of the U.S. and European economies generally; the Company s expectations as to timing of receipt of sales orders and payments and execution and funding of defense contracts; the Company s ability to achieve cost reductions and operating efficiencies, in particular at McNeilus and the Geesink Norba Group; the anticipated level of production and margins associated with the Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles contract, the Indefinite Demand/Indefinite Quantity contract, the MTVR follow-on contract and international defense truck contracts; the expected level of DoD procurement of replacement parts and services and remanufacturing of trucks and funding thereof; the Company s estimates for capital expenditures of municipalities for fire and emergency and refuse products, of airports for aircraft rescue and snow removal products and of large commercial waste haulers generally and with the Company; federal funding levels for Department of Homeland Security and spending by governmental entities on homeland security apparatus; the level of concrete placement and domestic refuse sales due in advance of a diesel engine emissions standards change effective January 1, 2007; the availability of chassis components and commercial chassis generally; the Company s planned spending on product development and bid and proposal activities with respect to defense truck procurement competitions and the outcome of such competitions; the expected level of commercial package body and purchased chassis sales compared to body only sales; the Company s ability to integrate acquired businesses and achieve expected synergies; the Company s estimates of the impact of changing fuel prices and credit availability on capital spending of towing operators; the Company s ability to sustain market share gains by its fire and emergency business; anticipated levels of capital expenditures; the Company s estimates for costs relating to litigation, product warranty, insurance, personnel costs and raw materials; and the Company s estimates for debt levels, interest rates, working capital needs and effective tax rates. The Company cannot provide any assurance that the assumptions referred to in the forward-looking statements or otherwise are accurate or will prove to have been correct. Any assumptions that are inaccurate or do not prove to be correct could have a material adverse effect on the Company s ability to achieve the results that the forward-looking statements contemplate.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company s quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk for changes in interest rates and foreign exchange risk are incorporated by reference in Item 7A of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2004 and have not materially changed since that report was filed except as noted below.

The Company s export sales have historically been denominated in the Company s functional currency, the U.S. dollar. In March 2003, the Company entered into a multi-year contract to provide Wheeled Tanker systems to the U.K. Ministry of Defence. This contract, which is included in the Company s backlog at June 30, 2005, calls for deliveries in fiscal 2005 through fiscal 2007 with payments to the Company denominated in British Sterling. Additionally, in connection with this Wheeled Tanker contract, the Company has entered into requirements subcontracts with various third parties. Certain of these subcontracts call for payments in euros and British Sterling. The Company has hedged a

significant portion of the forecasted cash flows related to this contract by entering into forward foreign exchange contracts. Any portion of these contractual cash flows that remain unhedged will subject the Company to foreign currency transaction risk and related financial volatility. See Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details regarding the Company s use of forward foreign exchange contracts in connection with the Wheeled Tanker contract and other forecasted purchases and sales denominated in foreign currency.

32

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), the Company s management evaluated, with the participation of the Company s Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the quarter ended June 30, 2005. Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the quarter ended June 30, 2005 to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, was made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was being prepared.

Changes in internal control. There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

33

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In July 1995, the Company s Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 3,000,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock. The Company did not repurchase any shares under the authorization during the quarter ended June 30, 2005. As of June 30, 2005, the Company had authority to repurchase 1,615,395 shares of Common Stock under that program. The repurchase authorization does not expire.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Oshkosh Truck Corporation.
31.1	Certification by the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, dated August 3, 2005.
31.2	Certification by the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, dated August 3, 2005.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS 30

Written Statement of the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.ss.1350, dated August 3, 2005.
 Written Statement of the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.ss.1350, dated August 3, 2005.

34

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION

	/S/ R. G. Bohn					
August 3, 2005	R. G. Bohn Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)					
August 3, 2005	/S/ C. L. Szews  C. L. Szews  Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)					
August 3, 2005	/S/ T. J. Polnaszek T. J. Polnaszek Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)					

35

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS 31

# OSHKOSH TRUCK CORPORATION EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Oshkosh Truck Corporation.
31.1	Certification by the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, dated August 3, 2005.
31.2	Certification by the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, dated August 3, 2005.
32.1	Written Statement of the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.ss.1350, dated August 3, 2005.
32.2	Written Statement of the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.ss.1350, dated August 3, 2005.