

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC
Form 10-K
February 28, 2008

United States
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-11986

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

North Carolina
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

56-1815473
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3200 Northline Avenue, Suite 360
Greensboro, NC 27408
(Address of principal executive offices)

(336) 292-3010
(Registrant's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of exchange on which registered
Common Shares, \$.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
7.5% Class C Cumulative Preferred Shares, Liquidation Preference \$25 per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934). Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting shares held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$1,174,206,000 based on the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for such stock on February 1, 2008.

The number of Common Shares of the Registrant outstanding as of February 1, 2008 was 31,339,241.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Part III incorporates certain information by reference from the Registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with respect to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 16, 2008.

PART I

Item 1. Business

The Company

Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries is one of the largest owners and operators of factory outlet centers in the United States. We are a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, which focuses exclusively on developing, acquiring, owning, operating and managing factory outlet shopping centers. As of December 31, 2007, we owned 29 outlet centers, with a total gross leasable area, or GLA, of approximately 8.4 million square feet. These factory outlet centers were 98% occupied and contained over 1,800 stores, representing approximately 370 store brands. Also, we owned a 50% interest in two outlet centers with a GLA of approximately 667,000 square feet.

Our factory outlet centers and other assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted by, Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. Accordingly, the descriptions of our business, employees and properties are also descriptions of the business, employees and properties of the Operating Partnership. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term “Company” refers to Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries and the term “Operating Partnership” refers to Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. The terms “we”, “our” and “us” refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership together, as the text requires.

We own the majority of the units of partnership interest issued by the Operating Partnership, through our two wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Tanger GP Trust and the Tanger LP Trust. The Tanger GP Trust controls the Operating Partnership as its sole general partner. The Tanger LP Trust holds a limited partnership interest. The Tanger family, through its ownership of the Tanger Family Limited Partnership, or TFLP, holds the remaining units as a limited partner. Stanley K. Tanger, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is the sole general partner of TFLP.

As of December 31, 2007, our wholly-owned subsidiaries owned 15,664,621 units and TFLP owned the remaining 3,033,305 units. Each TFLP unit is exchangeable for two of our common shares, subject to certain limitations to preserve our status as a REIT. As of February 1, 2008, our management beneficially owned approximately 19% of all outstanding common shares (assuming TFLP’s units are exchanged for common shares but without giving effect to the exercise of any outstanding share and partnership unit options or the conversion of the exchangeable notes).

Ownership of our common shares is restricted to preserve our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Subject to certain exceptions, a person may not actually or constructively own more than 4% of our common shares or 9.8% of our 7.5% Class C Cumulative Preferred Shares, or Class C Preferred Shares. We also operate in a manner intended to enable us to preserve our status as a REIT, including, among other things, making distributions with respect to our outstanding common shares equal to at least 90% of our taxable income each year.

We are a North Carolina corporation that was formed in March 1993. Our executive offices are currently located at 3200 Northline Avenue, Suite 360, Greensboro, North Carolina, 27408 and our telephone number is (336) 292-3010. Our website can be accessed at www.tangeroutlet.com. A copy of our 10-K’s, 10-Q’s, and 8-K’s can be obtained, free of charge, on our website.

Recent Developments

On Going Development Projects: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and Deer Park (Long Island), New York

We continue the development, construction and leasing of two previously announced sites located in Washington County, south of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and in Deer Park (Long Island), New York. In response to strong tenant demand for space, we increased the size of the initial phase of the Pittsburgh center from 308,000 square feet to 370,000 square feet, with signed leases for approximately 63% of the first phase and an additional 20% under negotiation or out for signature. We currently expect delivery of the initial phase in the second quarter of 2008, with stores opening by the end of the third quarter of 2008. Upon completion of the project, the outlet center will total approximately 418,000 square feet. The Pittsburgh project is wholly owned by us. Tax incentive financing bonds have been issued related to the Pittsburgh project, and we expect to receive net proceeds of approximately \$16.8 million as we incur qualifying expenditures during construction of the center. As of December 31, 2007 we have received funding for qualified expenditures submitted totaling \$7.6 million.

The Deer Park project is owned through a joint venture in which we own a 33.3% interest. The joint venture currently expects the Deer Park center will contain over 800,000 square feet upon final build-out. Site work and construction continues on an initial phase of approximately 682,000 square feet. Deer Park has signed leases for approximately 51% of the initial phase and an additional 22% under negotiation or out for signature. The joint venture currently expects the project will be delivered in the second quarter of 2008, with stores opening by the end of the third quarter of 2008.

Potential Future Developments

We currently have an option for a new development site located in Mebane, North Carolina on the highly traveled Interstate 40/85 corridor, which sees over 83,000 cars daily. The site is located halfway between the Research Triangle Park area of Raleigh, Durham, and Chapel Hill, and the Triad area of Greensboro, High Point and Winston-Salem. The center is currently expected to be approximately 300,000 square feet. During the option period, we will be analyzing the viability of the site and determining whether to proceed with the development of a center at this location.

We have also started the initial pre-development and leasing for a site we have under control in Port St. Lucie, Florida at Exit 118 on Interstate I-95. Approximately 64,000 cars utilize this exit each day. Port St. Lucie is one of Florida's fastest growing cities and is located less than 40 miles north of Palm Beach, Florida and one exit south of the New York Mets' spring training facility. This center is expected to be approximately 350,000 square feet and initial reaction to the site from our magnet tenants has been very positive.

At this time, we are in the initial study period on these potential new locations. As such, there can be no assurance that any of these sites will ultimately be developed. During the third quarter of 2007 we put on hold our plans to develop a center in Burlington, New Jersey due to numerous development and site access issues.

2007 Expansion Projects

During 2007, we completed expansions in three of our outlet centers.

Center	Expansion GLA	New Total Center GLA
Gonzales, Louisiana	39,000	282,000
Branson, Missouri	25,000	303,000

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Tilton, New Hampshire	18,000	246,000
Total expansions	82,000	

A fourth expansion project, in Barstow, California, is near completion. Some stores opened during the fourth quarter of 2007 and the remaining stores will open during the first two quarters of 2008. The total expansion in Barstow of 62,000 square feet will bring the center's total GLA to 171,300 square feet.

Increase in and extension of unsecured credit facilities

During the fourth quarter of 2007, we extended the maturity dates on five of our six unsecured lines of credit from 2009 to June 2011. During the first quarter of 2008, we increased the maximum availability under our existing unsecured credit facilities by \$125.0 million, bringing our total availability to \$325.0 million. The terms of the increases are identical to those included within the existing unsecured credit facilities with the borrowing rate ranging from LIBOR plus 75 basis points to LIBOR plus 85 basis points.

On February 15, 2008, our \$100 million, 9.125% unsecured senior notes matured. We repaid these notes in the short term with amounts available under our unsecured lines of credit. On July 10, 2008, our only remaining mortgage loan with a principal balance of \$172.7 million and bearing interest at a coupon rate of 6.59% will become payable at our option. Because the mortgage was assumed as part of an acquisition of a portfolio of outlet centers, the debt was recorded at its fair value and carries an effective interest rate of 5.18%. On the optional payment date, we can decide to repay the loan in full, or we can continue to make monthly payments on the loan at a revised interest rate of 8.59%. We can then repay the loan in full on any monthly payment date without penalty. The final maturity date on the loan is July 10, 2028. We are currently analyzing our various options with respect to refinancing this mortgage.

The Factory Outlet Concept

Factory outlets are manufacturer-operated retail stores that sell primarily first quality, branded products at significant discounts from regular retail prices charged by department stores and specialty stores. Factory outlet centers offer numerous advantages to both consumers and manufacturers. Manufacturers selling in factory outlet stores are often able to charge customers lower prices for brand name and designer products by eliminating the third party retailer. Factory outlet centers also typically have lower operating costs than other retailing formats, which enhance the manufacturer's profit potential. Factory outlet centers enable manufacturers to optimize the size of production runs while continuing to maintain control of their distribution channels. In addition, factory outlet centers benefit manufacturers by permitting them to sell out-of-season, overstocked or discontinued merchandise without alienating department stores or hampering the manufacturer's brand name, as is often the case when merchandise is distributed via discount chains.

We believe that factory outlet centers continue to present attractive opportunities for capital investment, particularly with respect to strategic new developments, re-merchandising plans and expansions of existing centers. We believe that under present conditions such development or expansion costs, coupled with current market lease rates, permit attractive investment returns. We further believe, based upon our contacts with present and prospective tenants, that many companies, including prospective new entrants into the factory outlet business, desire to open a number of new factory outlet stores in the next several years, particularly in markets where there are successful factory outlet centers in which such companies do not have a significant presence or where there are few factory outlet centers.

Our Factory Outlet Centers

Each of our factory outlet centers carries the Tanger brand name. We believe that national manufacturers and consumers recognize the Tanger brand as one that provides factory outlet shopping centers where consumers can trust the brand, quality and price of the merchandise they purchase directly from the manufacturers.

As one of the original participants in this industry, we have developed long-standing relationships with many national and regional manufacturers. Because of our established relationships with many manufacturers, we believe we are well positioned to capitalize on industry growth.

Our factory outlet centers range in size from 24,619 to 729,315 square feet and are typically located at least 10 miles from major department stores and manufacturer-owned, full-price retail stores. Manufacturers prefer these locations so that they do not compete directly with their major customers and their own stores. Many of our factory outlet centers are located near tourist destinations to attract tourists who consider shopping to be a recreational activity. Our centers are typically situated in close proximity to interstate highways that provide accessibility and visibility to potential customers.

As of February 1, 2008, we had a diverse tenant base comprised of approximately 370 different well-known, upscale, national designer or brand name concepts, such as Liz Claiborne, GAP, Banana Republic, Old Navy, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Tommy Hilfiger, Nautica, Abercrombie & Fitch, Hollister, Eddie Bauer, Coach Leatherware, Brooks Brothers, Nike and others. Most of the factory outlet stores are directly operated by the respective manufacturer.

No single tenant (including affiliates) accounted for 10% or more of combined base and percentage rental revenues during 2007, 2006 and 2005. As of February 1, 2008, our largest tenant, including all of its store concepts, accounted for approximately 8.0% of our GLA. Because our typical tenant is a large, national manufacturer, we have not experienced any significant problems with respect to rent collections or lease defaults.

Revenues from fixed rents and operating expense reimbursements accounted for approximately 90% of our total revenues in 2007. Revenues from contingent sources, such as percentage rents, vending income and miscellaneous income, accounted for approximately 10% of 2007 revenues. As a result, only small portions of our revenues are dependent on contingent revenue sources.

Business History

Stanley K. Tanger, the Company's founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, entered the factory outlet center business in 1981. Prior to founding our company, Stanley K. Tanger and his son, Steven B. Tanger, our President and Chief Operating Officer, built and managed a successful family owned apparel manufacturing business, Tanger/Creighton Inc., or Tanger/Creighton, which business included the operation of five factory outlet stores. Based on their knowledge of the apparel and retail industries, as well as their experience operating Tanger/Creighton's factory outlet stores, they recognized that there would be a demand for factory outlet centers where a number of manufacturers could operate in a single location and attract a large number of shoppers.

In 1981, Stanley K. Tanger began developing successful factory outlet centers. Steven B. Tanger joined the Company in 1986 and by June 1993, the Tangers had developed 17 centers with a total GLA of approximately 1.5 million square feet. In June 1993, we completed our initial public offering, making Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. the first publicly traded outlet center company. Since our initial public offering, we have grown our portfolio through the strategic development, expansion and acquisition of outlet centers and are now one of the largest owner operators of factory outlet centers in the country.

Business Strategy

Our company has been built on a firm foundation of strong and enduring business relationships. We partner with many of the world's best known and most respected retailers and manufacturers. By fostering and maintaining strong tenant relationships with these successful, high volume companies, we have been able to solidify our position as a leader in the outlet industry for more than a quarter century. The confidence and trust that we have developed with our retail partners from the very beginning has allowed us to forge the impressive retail alliances that we enjoy today with approximately 370 brand name manufacturers.

5

Nothing takes the place of experience. We have had a solid track record of success in the outlet industry for the past 27 years. In 1993, Tanger led the way by becoming the industry's first outlet center company to be publicly traded. Our seasoned team of real estate professionals utilizes the knowledge and experience that we have gained to give us a competitive advantage and a history of accomplishments in the manufacturers' outlet business.

We are proud to report that as of December 31, 2007, our wholly owned outlet centers were 98% occupied with average tenant sales of \$342 per square foot. Our properties have had an average occupancy rate of 95% or greater on December 31st of each year since 1981. The ability to achieve this level of performance is a testament to our long-standing relationships, industry experience and our expertise in the development and operation of manufacturers' outlet centers.

Growth Strategy

Growth doesn't happen by chance. We build shareholder value with a comprehensive plan for sustained growth. We focus our efforts on increasing rents in our existing centers, renovation and expansion of our mature centers and reaching new markets through the ground-up development or acquisition of new outlet centers.

Increasing Rents at Existing Centers

Our leasing team implements an ongoing strategy designed to positively impact our bottom line. This is accomplished through the aggressive marketing of available space to maintain our standard for high occupancy levels. Leases are negotiated to provide for inflation-based contractual rent increases or periodic fixed contractual rent increases and percentage rents. Due to the overall high performance of our shopping centers, we are typically able to renew leases at higher base rents per square-foot and attract stronger, more popular brands to replace under performing tenants.

Developing New Centers and Expanding Existing Centers

We believe that there continues to be significant opportunities to introduce the Tanger brand in untapped or under-served markets across the United States of America. As we search the country looking for new markets, we do our homework and determine site viability on a timely and cost-effective basis. Our 27 years of outlet industry experience, extensive development expertise and strong retail relationships give us a distinct competitive advantage. Our company's access to capital facilitates our ability to react quickly when opportunities arise. Keeping our shopping centers across the nation vibrant and growing is a key part of our formula for success. In order to maintain our reputation as the premiere outlet shopping destination in the markets that we serve, we have an ongoing program of renovations and expansions taking place at our outlet centers coast to coast. We expect that the development of new centers and the expansion of existing centers will continue to be a substantial part of our future growth strategy.

We follow a general set of guidelines when evaluating opportunities for the development or acquisition of new centers. This typically includes seeking locations within markets that have at least 1 million people residing within a 30 to 40 mile radius with an average household income of at least \$65,000 per year, frontage on a major interstate or roadway that has excellent visibility and a traffic count of at least 55,000 cars per day. Leading tourist, vacation and resort markets that receive at least 5 million visitors annually are also on our development radar and are closely evaluated. Although our current goal is to target sites that are large enough to support centers with approximately 75 stores totaling at least 300,000 square feet, we maintain the flexibility to vary our minimum requirements based on the unique characteristics of a site and our prospects for future growth and success.

In order to help ensure the viability of proceeding with a project, we gauge the interest of our retail partners first. We generally require that at least 50% of the space in each center is pre-leased prior to acquiring the site and beginning construction. Construction of a new factory outlet center has normally taken us nine to twelve months from groundbreaking to the opening of the first tenant stores. Construction for expansion and renovation to existing

properties typically takes less time, usually between six to nine months depending on the scope of the project.

6

Acquiring Centers

As a means of creating a presence in key markets and to create shareholder value, we may selectively choose to acquire individual properties or portfolios of properties that meet our strategic investment criteria. We believe that our extensive experience in the outlet center business, access to capital markets, familiarity with real estate markets and our management experience will allow us to evaluate and execute our acquisition strategy successfully. Through our tenant relationships, our leasing professionals have the ability to implement a remerchandising strategy when needed to increase occupancy rates and value. We believe that our managerial skills, marketing expertise and overall outlet industry experience will also allow us to add long-term value and viability to these centers.

Operating Strategy

Increasing cash flow to enhance the value of our properties and operations remains a primary business objective. Through targeted marketing and operational efficiencies we strive to continue improving sales and profitability for our tenants and our shopping centers as a whole. Commanding higher base and percentage rents and generating additional income from temporary leasing, vending and other sources also remains an important focus.

Leasing

The long-standing retailer relationships that we enjoy allow us the ability to provide our shoppers with a collection of the world's most popular outlet stores. Tanger customers shop and save on their favorite brand name merchandise including men's, women's and children's ready-to-wear, lifestyle apparel, footwear, jewelry & accessories, tableware, housewares, luggage and domestic goods. In order that our centers can perform at a high level, our leasing professionals continually monitor and evaluate tenant mix, store size, store location and sales performance. They also work to assist our tenants through re-sizing and re-location of retail space within each of our centers for maximum sales of each retail unit across our portfolio.

Marketing

Our marketing plans deliver compelling, well-crafted messages or enticing promotions and events to targeted audiences for tangible, meaningful and measurable results. Our plans are based on a basic measure of success – increase sales and traffic for our retail partners and we will create successful centers. Utilizing a strategic mix of print, radio, television, direct mail, website, internet advertising and public relations, we consistently reinforce the message that “Tanger is the place to shop for the best brands and the biggest outlet savings - direct from the manufacturer”. Our marketing efforts are also designed to build loyalty with current Tanger shoppers and create awareness with potential customers. The majority of consumer-marketing expenses incurred by us are reimbursable by our tenants.

Capital Strategy

We achieve a strong and flexible financial position by: (1) managing our leverage position relative to our portfolio when pursuing new development and expansion opportunities, (2) extending and sequencing debt maturities, (3) managing our interest rate risk through a proper mix of fixed and variable rate debt, (4) managing our liquidity by maintaining and using our lines of credit in a conservative manner and (5) preserving internally generated sources of capital by strategically divesting our underperforming assets and maintaining a conservative distribution payout ratio.

We intend to retain the ability to raise additional capital, including public debt or equity, to pursue attractive investment opportunities that may arise and to otherwise act in a manner that we believe to be in our shareholders' best interests. At the 2007 Annual Shareholders' Meeting, we increased our authorized common shares from 50.0 million to 150.0 million and added four additional classes of preferred shares with an authorized number of four million shares each. During the third quarter of 2006, we updated our shelf registration as a well known seasoned issuer where we will be able to register unspecified amounts of different classes of securities on Form S-3. To generate capital to reinvest into other attractive investment opportunities, we may also consider the use of additional operational and developmental joint ventures, the sale or lease of outparcels on our existing properties and the sale of certain properties that do not meet our long-term investment criteria.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, we extended the maturity dates on five of our six unsecured lines of credit from 2009 to June 2011. During the first quarter of 2008, we increased the maximum availability under our existing unsecured credit facilities by \$125.0 million, bringing our total availability to \$325.0 million. The terms of the increases are identical to those included within the existing unsecured credit facilities with the borrowing rate ranging from LIBOR plus 75 basis points to LIBOR plus 85 basis points.

On February 15, 2008, our \$100 million, 9.125% unsecured senior notes matured. We repaid these notes in the short term with amounts available under our unsecured lines of credit. On July 10, 2008, our only remaining mortgage loan with a principal balance of \$172.7 million and bearing interest at a coupon rate of 6.59% will become payable at our option. Because the mortgage was assumed as part of an acquisition of a portfolio of outlet centers, the debt was recorded at its fair value and carries an effective interest rate of 5.18%. On the optional payment date, we can decide to repay the loan in full, or we can continue to make monthly payments on the loan at a revised interest rate of 8.59%. We can then repay the loan in full on any monthly payment date without penalty. The final maturity date on the loan is July 10, 2028. We are currently analyzing our various options with respect to refinancing this mortgage. Based on cash provided by operations, existing credit facilities, ongoing negotiations with certain financial institutions and our ability to sell debt or equity subject to market conditions, we believe that we have access to the necessary financing to fund the planned capital expenditures during 2008.

Competition

We carefully consider the degree of existing and planned competition in a proposed area before deciding to develop, acquire or expand a new center. Our centers compete for customers primarily with factory outlet centers built and operated by different developers, traditional shopping malls and full- and off-price retailers. However, we believe that the majority of our customers visit factory outlet centers because they are intent on buying name-brand products at discounted prices. Traditional full- and off-price retailers are often unable to provide such a variety of name-brand products at attractive prices.

Tenants of factory outlet centers typically avoid direct competition with major retailers and their own specialty stores, and, therefore, generally insist that the outlet centers be located not less than 10 miles from the nearest major department store or the tenants' own specialty stores. For this reason, our centers compete only to a very limited extent with traditional malls in or near metropolitan areas.

We compete with two large national owners of factory outlet centers and numerous small owners. During the last several years, the factory outlet industry has been consolidating with smaller, less capitalized operators struggling to compete with, or being acquired by, larger, national factory outlet operators. Since 2000 the number of factory outlet centers in the United States has decreased while the average size factory outlet center has increased. During this period of consolidation, the high barriers to entry in the factory outlet industry, including the need for extensive relationships with premier brand name manufacturers, have minimized the number of new factory outlet centers. This consolidation trend and the high barriers to entry, along with our national presence, access to capital and extensive

tenant relationships, have allowed us to grow our business and improve our market position.

Corporate and Regional Headquarters

We rent space in an office building in Greensboro, North Carolina in which our corporate headquarters are located. In addition, we rent a regional office in New York City, New York under a lease agreement and sublease agreement, respectively, to better service our principal fashion-related tenants, many of whom are based in and around that area.

We maintain offices and employ on-site managers at 29 centers. The managers closely monitor the operation, marketing and local relationships at each of their centers.

Insurance

We believe that as a whole our properties are covered by adequate comprehensive liability, fire, flood, earthquake and extended loss insurance provided by reputable companies with commercially reasonable and customary deductibles and limits. Northline Indemnity, LLC, or Northline, a wholly owned captive insurance subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, is responsible for losses up to certain levels for property damage (including wind damage from hurricanes) prior to third-party insurance coverage. Specified types and amounts of insurance are required to be carried by each tenant under their lease agreement with us. There are however, types of losses, like those resulting from wars or nuclear radiation, which may either be uninsurable or not economically insurable in some or all of our locations. An uninsured loss could result in a loss to us of both our capital investment and anticipated profits from the affected property.

Employees

As of February 1, 2008, we had 203 full-time employees, located at our corporate headquarters in North Carolina, our regional office in New York and our 29 business offices. At that date, we also employed 226 part-time employees at various locations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to our Business

We face competition for the acquisition of factory outlet centers, and we may not be able to complete acquisitions that we have identified.

One component of our business strategy is expansion through acquisitions, and we may not be successful in completing acquisitions that are consistent with our strategy. We compete with institutional pension funds, private equity investors, other REITs, small owners of factory outlet centers, specialty stores and others who are engaged in the acquisition, development or ownership of factory outlet centers and stores. These competitors may affect the supply/demand dynamics and, accordingly, increase the price we must pay for factory outlet centers we seek to acquire. These competitors may succeed in acquiring those factory outlet centers themselves. Also, our potential acquisition targets may find our competitors to be more attractive acquirers because they may have greater marketing and financial resources, may be willing to pay more, or may have a more compatible operating philosophy. In addition, the number of entities competing for factory outlet centers may increase in the future, which would increase demand for these factory outlet centers and the prices we must pay to acquire them. If we pay higher prices for factory outlet centers, our profitability may be reduced. Also, once we have identified potential acquisitions, such acquisitions are subject to the successful completion of due diligence, the negotiation of definitive agreements and the satisfaction of customary closing conditions. We cannot assure you that we will be able to reach acceptable terms with the sellers or that these conditions will be satisfied.

The economic performance and the market value of our factory outlet centers are dependent on risks associated with real property investments.

Real property investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. The economic performance and values of real estate may be affected by many factors, including changes in the national, regional and local economic climate, inflation, unemployment rates, consumer confidence, local conditions such as an oversupply of space or a reduction in demand for real estate in the area, the attractiveness of the properties to tenants, competition from other available space, our ability to provide adequate maintenance and insurance and increased operating costs.

Real property investments are relatively illiquid.

Our factory outlet centers represent a substantial portion of our total consolidated assets. These assets are relatively illiquid. As a result, our ability to sell one or more of our factory outlet centers in response to any changes in economic or other conditions is limited. If we want to sell a factory outlet center, there can be no assurance that we will be able to dispose of it in the desired time period or that the sales price will exceed the cost of our investment.

Our earnings and therefore our profitability is entirely dependent on rental income from real property.

Substantially all of our income is derived from rental income from real property. Our income and funds for distribution would be adversely affected if a significant number of our tenants were unable to meet their obligations to us or if we were unable to lease a significant amount of space in our centers on economically favorable lease terms. In addition, the terms of factory outlet store tenant leases traditionally have been significantly shorter than in other retail segments. There can be no assurance that any tenant whose lease expires in the future will renew such lease or that we will be able to re-lease space on economically favorable terms.

We are substantially dependent on the results of operations of our retailers.

Our operations are necessarily subject to the results of operations of our retail tenants. A portion of our rental revenues are derived from percentage rents that directly depend on the sales volume of certain tenants. Accordingly, declines in these tenants' results of operations would reduce the income produced by our properties. If the sales of our retail tenants decline sufficiently, such tenants may be unable to pay their existing rents as such rents would represent a higher percentage of their sales. Any resulting leasing delays, failures to make payments or tenant bankruptcies could result in the termination of such tenants' leases.

A number of companies in the retail industry, including some of our tenants, have declared bankruptcy or have voluntarily closed certain of their stores in recent years. The bankruptcy of a major tenant or number of tenants may result in the closing of certain affected stores, and we may not be able to re-lease the resulting vacant space for some time or for equal or greater rent. Such bankruptcy could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and could result in a lower level of funds for distribution.

We may be subject to environmental regulation.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be considered an owner or operator of real property and may be responsible for paying for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances released on or in our property or disposed of by us, as well as certain other potential costs which could relate to hazardous or toxic substances (including governmental fines and injuries to persons and property). This liability may be imposed whether or not we knew about, or were responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances.

We are required by law to make distributions to our shareholders.

To obtain the favorable tax treatment associated with our qualification as a REIT, generally, we are required to distribute to our common and preferred shareholders at least 90.0% of our net taxable income (excluding capital gains) each year. We depend upon distributions or other payments from our Operating Partnership to make distributions to our common and preferred shareholders.

Our failure to qualify as a REIT could subject our earnings to corporate level taxation.

We believe that we have operated and intend to operate in a manner that permits us to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. However, we cannot assure you that we have qualified or will remain qualified as a REIT. If in any taxable year we were to fail to qualify as a REIT and certain statutory relief provisions

were not applicable, we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to shareholders in computing taxable income and would be subject to U.S. federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. Our failure to qualify for taxation as a REIT would have an adverse effect on the market price and marketability of our securities.

We depend on distributions from our Operating Partnership to meet our financial obligations, including dividends.

Our operations are conducted by our Operating Partnership, and our only significant asset is our interest in our Operating Partnership. As a result, we depend upon distributions or other payments from our Operating Partnership in order to meet our financial obligations, including our obligations under any guarantees or to pay dividends or liquidation payments to our common and preferred shareholders. As a result, these obligations are effectively subordinated to existing and future liabilities of the Operating Partnership. Our Operating Partnership is a party to loan agreements with various bank lenders that require our Operating Partnership to comply with various financial and other covenants before it may make distributions to us. Although our Operating Partnership presently is in compliance with these covenants, we cannot assure you that it will continue to be in compliance and that it will be able to make distributions to us.

We are subject to the risks associated with debt financing.

We are subject to the risks associated with debt financing, including the risk that the cash provided by our operating activities will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest. Further, there is the risk that we will not be able to repay or refinance existing indebtedness or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, we might be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms, which might result in losses.

We may be unable to develop new factory outlet centers or expand existing factory outlet centers successfully.

We continue to develop new factory outlet centers and expand factory outlet centers as opportunities arise. However, there are significant risks associated with our development activities in addition to those generally associated with the ownership and operation of established retail properties. While we have policies in place designed to limit the risks associated with development, these policies do not mitigate all development risks associated with a project. These risks include the following:

- significant expenditure of money and time on projects that may be delayed or never be completed;
- higher than projected construction costs;
- shortage of construction materials and supplies;

failure to obtain zoning, occupancy or other governmental approvals or to the extent required, tenant approvals; and

late completion because of construction delays, delays in the receipt of zoning, occupancy and other approvals or other factors outside of our control.

Any or all of these factors may impede our development strategy and adversely affect our overall business.

An uninsured loss or a loss that exceeds the insurance policies on our factory outlet centers could subject us to lost capital or revenue on those centers.

Some of the risks to which our factory outlet centers are subject, including risks of war and earthquakes, hurricanes and other natural disasters, are not insurable or may not be insurable in the future. Should a loss occur that is uninsured or in an amount exceeding the combined aggregate limits for the insurance policies noted above or in the event of a loss that is subject to a substantial deductible under an insurance policy, we could lose all or part of our capital invested in and anticipated revenue from one or more of our factory outlet centers, which could adversely

affect our results of operations and financial condition, as well as our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Under the terms and conditions of our leases, tenants generally are required to indemnify and hold us harmless from liabilities resulting from injury to persons and contamination of air, water, land or property, on or off the premises, due to activities conducted in the leased space, except for claims arising from negligence or intentional misconduct by us or our agents. Additionally, tenants generally are required, at the tenant's expense, to obtain and keep in full force during the term of the lease, liability and property damage insurance policies issued by companies acceptable to us. These policies include liability coverage for bodily injury and property damage arising out of the ownership, use, occupancy or maintenance of the leased space. All of these policies may involve substantial deductibles and certain exclusions.

Historically high fuel prices may impact consumer travel and spending habits.

Our markets are currently experiencing historically high fuel prices. Most shoppers use private automobile transportation to travel to our factory outlet centers and many of our centers are not easily accessible by public transportation. Increasing fuel costs may reduce the number of trips to our centers thus reducing the amount spent at our centers. Many of our factory outlet center locations near tourist destinations may experience an even more acute reduction of shoppers if there were a reduction of people opting to drive to vacation destinations. Such reductions in traffic could adversely impact our percentage rents and ability to renew and release space at current rental rates.

Increasing fuel costs may also reduce disposable income and decrease demand for retail products. Such a decrease could adversely affect the results of operations of our retail tenants and adversely impact our percentage rents and ability to renew and release space at current rental rates.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no unresolved staff comments from the Securities Exchange Commission.

Item 2. Properties

As of February 1, 2008, our wholly owned portfolio consisted of 29 outlet centers totaling 8.4 million square feet located in 21 states. We own a 50% interest in each of two outlet centers totaling 667,000 square feet through unconsolidated joint ventures. Our centers range in size from 24,619 to 729,315 square feet. The centers are generally located near tourist destinations or along major interstate highways to provide visibility and accessibility to potential customers.

We believe that the centers are well diversified geographically and by tenant and that we are not dependent upon any single property or tenant. Our Rehoboth Beach, Delaware center is the only property that represented more than 10% of our consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2007. See "Business and Properties - Significant Property".

We have an ongoing strategy of acquiring centers, developing new centers and expanding existing centers. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of the cost of such programs and the sources of financing thereof.

Certain of our centers serve as collateral for mortgage notes payable. Of the 29 outlet centers in our wholly owned portfolio, we own the land underlying 26 and have ground leases on three. The land on which the Sevierville center is located is subject to long-term ground leases expiring in 2046. The land parcel on which the original Riverhead center is located, approximately 47 acres, is also subject to a ground lease with an initial term that was automatically renewed for an additional five years in 2004, with renewal at our option for up to six more additional terms of five years each. Terms on the Riverhead center ground lease are renewed automatically unless we give notice otherwise. The land parcel on which the Riverhead center expansion is located, containing approximately 43 acres, is

owned by us. The 2.7 acre land parcel on which part of the Rehoboth Beach center is located, is also subject to a ground lease with an initial term expiring in 2044, with renewal at our option for additional terms of twenty years each.

The initial term of our typical tenant lease averages approximately five years. Generally, leases provide for the payment of fixed monthly rent in advance. There are often contractual base rent increases during the initial term of the lease. In addition, the rental payments are customarily subject to upward adjustments based upon tenant sales volume. Most leases provide for payment by the tenant of real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance, advertising and promotion expenses incurred by the applicable center. As a result, the majority of our operating expenses for the centers are borne by the tenants.

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to our wholly owned outlet centers as of February 1, 2008.

State	Number of Centers	GLA (sq. ft.)	% of GLA
South Carolina	3	1,171,826	14
Georgia	3	826,643	10
New York	1	729,315	9
Texas	2	620,310	7
Delaware	1	568,926	7
Alabama	1	557,144	7
Michigan	2	436,751	5
Tennessee	1	419,038	5
Missouri	1	302,992	4
Utah	1	300,891	4
Connecticut	1	291,051	4
Louisiana	1	282,318	3
Iowa	1	277,230	3
Oregon	1	270,280	3
Illinois	1	256,514	3
Pennsylvania	1	255,152	3
New Hampshire	1	245,563	3
Florida	1	198,950	2
North Carolina	2	186,413	2
California	1	127,800	1
Maine	2	84,313	1
Total	29	8,409,420	100

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes certain information with respect to our existing outlet centers in which we have an ownership interest as of February 1, 2008. Except as noted, all properties are fee owned.

Location	GLA (sq. ft.)	% Occupied
Wholly Owned Properties		
Riverhead, New York (1)	729,315	97
Rehoboth, Delaware (1)	568,926	98
Foley, Alabama	557,144	97
San Marcos, Texas	442,510	99
Myrtle Beach Hwy 501, South Carolina	426,417	93
Sevierville, Tennessee (1)	419,038	98
Hilton Head, South Carolina	393,094	88
Charleston, South Carolina	352,315	92
Commerce II, Georgia	347,025	98
Howell, Michigan	324,631	95
Branson, Missouri	302,992	92
Park City, Utah	300,891	98
Locust Grove, Georgia	293,868	97
Westbrook, Connecticut	291,051	99
Gonzales, Louisiana	282,318	95
Williamsburg, Iowa	277,230	99
Lincoln City, Oregon	270,280	100
Tuscola, Illinois	256,514	80
Lancaster, Pennsylvania	255,152	96
Tilton, New Hampshire	245,563	100
Fort Meyers, Florida	198,950	92
Commerce I, Georgia	185,750	82
Terrell, Texas	177,800	100
Barstow, California	127,800	98
West Branch, Michigan	112,120	100
Blowing Rock, North Carolina	104,235	100
Nags Head, North Carolina	82,178	100
Kittery I, Maine	59,694	100
Kittery II, Maine	24,619	94
	8,409,420	96
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		
Myrtle Beach Hwy17, South Carolina (1) (50% owned)	402,013	97
Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin (50% owned)	264,929	100

(1) These properties or a portion thereof are subject to a ground lease.

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes certain information related to GLA as of February 1, 2008 and debt as of December 31, 2007 with respect to our wholly owned outlet centers which serve as collateral for an existing mortgage loan.

Lender/Location	GLA (sq. ft.)	Mortgage		Maturity Date
		Debt (000's) as of December 31, 2007	Coupon Interest Rate	
Capmark				
Rehoboth Beach, DE	568,926			
Foley, AL	557,144			
Myrtle Beach Hwy 501, SC	426,417			
Hilton Head, SC	393,094			
Park City, UT	300,891			
Westbrook, CT	291,051			
Lincoln City, OR	270,280			
Tuscola, IL	256,514			
Tilton, NH	245,563			
		\$ 172,678	6.590% (1)	7/10/2008 (2)
Debt premium			1,046	
Totals	3,309,880	\$ 173,724		

(1) Because the Capmark mortgage debt was assumed as part of an acquisition of a portfolio of outlet centers, the debt was recorded at its fair value and carries an effective interest rate of 5.18%.

(2) On July 10, 2008, we can decide to repay the loan in full, or we can continue to make monthly payments on the loan at a revised interest rate of 8.59%. We can then repay the loan in full on any monthly payment date without penalty. The final maturity date on the loan is July 10, 2028.

Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth, as of February 1, 2008, scheduled lease expirations for our wholly owned outlet centers, assuming none of the tenants exercise renewal options.

Year	No. of Leases Expiring	Approx. GLA (sq. ft.(1))	Average Annualized Base Rent per sq. ft	Annualized Base Rent (2)	% of Gross Annualized Base Rent Represented by Expiring Leases
2008	168	590,000	\$ 16.07	\$ 9,482,000	7
2009	305	1,346,000	15.39	20,714,000	16
2010	310	1,301,000	18.40	23,941,000	18
2011	322	1,462,000	15.30	22,371,000	17
2012	272	1,303,000	17.24	22,465,000	17

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

2013	157	810,000	16.99	13,764,000	11
2014	28	157,000	17.03	2,674,000	2
2015	32	144,000	17.88	2,574,000	2
2016	35	137,000	19.76	2,707,000	2
2017	59	258,000	18.16	4,684,000	4
2018 & thereafter	35	202,000	22.16	4,477,000	4
	1,723	7,710,000	\$16.84	\$129,853,000	100

- (1) Excludes leases that have been entered into but which tenant has not yet taken possession, vacant suites, space under construction, temporary leases and month-to-month leases totaling in the aggregate approximately 699,000 square feet.
- (2) Annualized base rent is defined as the minimum monthly payments due as of February 1, 2008 annualized, excluding periodic contractual fixed increases and rents calculated based on a percentage of tenants' sales.

Rental and Occupancy Rates

The following table sets forth information regarding the expiring leases for our wholly owned outlet centers during each of the last five calendar years.

Year	Total Expiring		Renewed by Existing Tenants	
	GLA (sq. ft.)	% of Total Center GLA	GLA (sq. ft.)	% of Expiring GLA
2007	1,572,000	19	1,246,000	79
2006	1,760,000	21	1,466,000	83
2005	1,812,000	22	1,525,000	84
2004	1,790,000	20	1,571,000	88
2003	1,070,000	12	854,000	80

The following tables set forth the weighted average base rental rate increases per square foot on both a cash and straight-line basis for our wholly owned outlet centers upon re-leasing stores that were turned over or renewed during each of the last five calendar years.

Cash Basis (excludes periodic, contractual fixed rent increases)

Year	Renewals of Existing Leases				Stores Re-leased to New Tenants (1)			
	GLA (sq. ft.)	Expiring	New	% Increase	GLA (sq. ft.)	Expiring	New	% Increase
2007	1,246,000	\$ 16.11	\$ 17.85	11	610,000	\$ 17.07	\$ 22.26	30
2006	1,466,000	\$ 15.91	\$ 17.22	8	465,000	\$ 16.43	\$ 19.16	17
2005	1,525,000	\$ 15.44	\$ 16.37	6	419,000	\$ 16.56	\$ 17.74	7
2004	1,571,000	\$ 13.63	\$ 14.40	6	427,000	\$ 16.43	\$ 17.27	5
2003	854,000	\$ 13.29	\$ 13.32	--	272,000	\$ 16.47	\$ 17.13	4

Straight-line Basis (includes periodic, contractual fixed rent increases) (2)

Year	Renewals of Existing Leases				Stores Re-leased to New Tenants (1)			
	GLA (sq. ft.)	Expiring	New	% Increase	GLA (sq. ft.)	Expiring	New	% Increase
2007	1,246,000	\$ 15.94	\$ 18.15	14	610,000	\$ 16.75	\$ 23.41	40
2006	1,466,000	\$ 15.65	\$ 17.43	11	465,000	\$ 16.19	\$ 19.90	23

(1) The square footage released to new tenants for 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 contains 164,000, 129,000, 112,000, 94,000 and 49,000 square feet, respectively, that was released

to new tenants upon expiration of an existing lease during the current year.

(2) Information not available prior to 2006.

Occupancy Costs

We believe that our ratio of average tenant occupancy cost (which includes base rent, common area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance, advertising and promotions) to average sales per square foot is low relative to other forms of retail distribution. The following table sets forth for tenants that report sales, for each of the last five years, tenant occupancy costs per square foot as a percentage of reported tenant sales per square foot for our wholly owned centers.

Year	Occupancy Costs as a % of Tenant Sales
2007	7.7
2006	7.4
2005	7.5
2004	7.3
2003	7.4

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Tenants

The following table sets forth certain information for our wholly owned centers with respect to our ten largest tenants and their store concepts as of February 1, 2008.

Tenant	Number of Stores	GLA (sq. ft.)	% of Total GLA
The Gap, Inc.:			
Old Navy	20	301,344	3.6
GAP	23	216,261	2.6
Banana Republic	15	124,290	1.5
Gap Kids	5	29,799	0.3
	63	671,694	8.0
Phillips-Van Heusen Corporation:			
Bass Shoe	28	180,618	2.1
Van Heusen	26	110,847	1.3
Calvin Klein, Inc.	11	56,561	0.7
Geoffrey Beene Co. Store	13	48,185	0.6
Izod	15	40,052	0.5
	93	436,263	5.2
Liz Claiborne:			
Liz Claiborne	23	241,525	2.9
Liz Claiborne Women	5	15,084	0.2
Ellen Tracy	3	12,474	0.1
DKNY Jeans	2	5,820	0.1
Claiborne Mens	1	3,100	0.1
Kate Spade	1	2,500	*
Juicy Couture	1	2,475	*
Dana Buchman	1	2,000	*
	37	284,978	3.4
VF Factory Outlet:			
VF Factory Outlet, Inc.	7	172,541	2.1
Nautica Factory Stores	19	89,904	1.1
Nautica Kids	2	5,841	*
Vans	2	5,000	*
	30	273,286	3.2
Nike:			
Nike	19	264,185	3.1
Cole-Haan	2	6,223	0.1
	21	270,408	3.2
Adidas:			
Reebok	21	198,058	2.3
Adidas	7	55,572	0.7
Rockport	3	9,046	0.1
	31	262,676	3.1
Dress Barn, Inc.:			
Dress Barn	24	190,155	2.3
Maurice's	8	31,157	0.4
Dress Barn Petite	2	9,570	0.1
Dress Barn Woman	2	7,470	0.1
	36	238,352	2.9

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Carter's:

OshKosh B''Gosh	23	117,988	1.4
Carter's	20	94,233	1.1
	43	212,221	2.5

Polo Ralph Lauren:

Polo Ralph Lauren	20	180,728	2.2
Polo Jeans Outlet	1	5,000	0.1
Polo Ralph Lauren Children	1	3,000	*
	22	188,728	2.3

Jones Retail Corporation:

Jones Retail Corporation	15	52,377	0.6
Nine West	20	51,827	0.6
Easy Spirit	15	41,336	0.5
Kasper	10	24,934	0.3
Anne Klein	6	14,655	0.2
	66	185,129	2.2

Total of all tenants listed in table

442 3,023,73536.0

* Less than 0.1%.

Significant Property

The Rehoboth Beach, Delaware outlet center is the only property that comprises more than 10% of our consolidated gross revenues or consolidated total assets. The Rehoboth Beach center, acquired in December 2003, represented 11% of our consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2007. The Rehoboth Beach center is 568,926 square feet.

Tenants at the Rehoboth Beach outlet center principally conduct retail sales operations. The following table shows occupancy and certain base rental information related to this property as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

Center Occupancy	2007	2006	2005
Rehoboth Beach, DE	99%	99%	99%

Average base rental rates per weighted average GLA	2007	2006	2005
Rehoboth Beach, DE	\$ 21.71	\$ 22.02	\$ 20.04

Depreciation on the Rehoboth Beach outlet center is computed on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. We generally use estimated lives ranging from 25 to 33 years for buildings, 15 years for land improvements and seven years for equipment. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred while significant renovations and improvements, including tenant finishing allowances, which improve and/or extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful life. At December 31, 2007, the net federal tax basis of this center was approximately \$114.3 million. Real estate taxes assessed on this center during 2007 amounted to \$225,000. Real estate taxes for 2008 are estimated to be approximately \$230,000.

The following table sets forth, as of February 1, 2008, scheduled lease expirations at the Rehoboth Beach outlet center assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal options:

Year	No. of Leases Expiring (1)	GLA (sq. ft.) (1)	Annualized Base Rent per sq. ft.	Annualized Base Rent (2)	% of Gross Annualized Base Rent Represented by Expiring Leases
2008	11	38,000	\$ 23.03	\$ 875,000	8
2009	13	50,000	21.12	1,056,000	10
2010	41	180,000	20.38	3,669,000	33
2011	21	77,000	23.43	1,804,000	16
2012	12	49,000	17.51	858,000	8
2013	12	81,000	17.48	1,416,000	13
2014	4	16,000	24.06	385,000	3
2015	3	15,000	20.67	310,000	3
2016	2	11,000	21.91	241,000	2
2017	1	12,000	20.75	249,000	2
2018 and thereafter	2	9,000	20.78	187,000	2
Total	122	538,000	\$ 20.54	\$ 11,050,000	100

(1) Excludes leases that have been entered into but which tenant has not taken possession, vacant suites, temporary leases and month-to-month leases totaling in the aggregate approximately 31,000 square feet.

(2) Annualized base rent is defined as the minimum monthly payments due as of February 1, 2008, excluding periodic contractual fixed increases and rents calculated based on a percentage of tenants' sales.

Item Legal Proceedings

3.

We are subject to legal proceedings and claims that have arisen in the ordinary course of our business and have not been finally adjudicated. In our opinion, the ultimate resolution of these matters will have no material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Item Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

4.

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders, through solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

20

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our executive officers:

NAME	AGE	POSITION
Stanley K. Tanger	84	Founder, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer
Steven B Tanger	59	Director, President and Chief Operating Officer
Frank C. Marchisello, Jr.	49	Executive Vice President – Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
Joseph N. Nehmen	59	Senior Vice President – Operations
Carrie A. Warren	45	Senior Vice President – Marketing
Kevin M. Dillon	49	Senior Vice President – Construction and Development
Lisa J. Morrison	48	Senior Vice President – Leasing
James F. Williams	43	Senior Vice President – Controller
Virginia R. Summerell	49	Vice President – Treasurer and Assistant Secretary

The following is a biographical summary of the experience of our executive officers:

Stanley K. Tanger. Mr. Tanger is the founder, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company. He also served as President from inception of the Company to December 1994. Mr. Tanger opened one of the country’s first outlet shopping centers in Burlington, North Carolina in 1981. Before entering the factory outlet center business, Mr. Tanger was President and Chief Executive Officer of his family’s apparel manufacturing business, Tanger/Creighton, Inc., for 30 years.

Steven B. Tanger. Mr. Tanger is a director of the Company and was named President and Chief Operating Officer effective January 1, 1995. Previously, Mr. Tanger served as Executive Vice President since joining the Company in 1986. He has been with Tanger-related companies for most of his professional career, having served as Executive Vice President of Tanger/Creighton for 10 years. He is responsible for all phases of project development, including site selection, land acquisition and development, leasing, marketing and overall management of existing outlet centers. Mr. Tanger is a graduate of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and the Stanford University School of Business Executive Program. Mr. Tanger is the son of Stanley K. Tanger.

Frank C. Marchisello, Jr. Mr. Marchisello was named Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in April 2003 and was additionally named Secretary in May 2005. Previously he was named Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in January 1999 after being named Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in November 1994. Previously, he served as Chief Accounting Officer since joining the Company in January 1993 and Assistant Treasurer since February 1994. He was employed by Gilliam, Coble & Moser, certified public accountants, from 1981 to 1992, the last six years of which he was a partner of the firm in charge of various real estate clients. Mr. Marchisello is a graduate of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and is a certified public accountant.

Joseph H. Nehmen. Mr. Nehmen was named Senior Vice President - Operations in January 1999. He joined the Company in September 1995 and was named Vice President of Operations in October 1995. Mr. Nehmen has over 20 years experience in private business. Prior to joining Tanger, Mr. Nehmen was owner of Merchants Wholesaler, a privately held distribution company in St. Louis, Missouri. He is a graduate of Washington University. Mr. Nehmen is the son-in-law of Stanley K. Tanger and brother-in-law of Steven B. Tanger.

Carrie A. Warren. Ms. Warren was named Senior Vice President - Marketing in May 2000. Previously, she held the position of Vice President – Marketing since September 1996 and Assistant Vice President - Marketing since

joining the Company in December 1995. Prior to joining Tanger, Ms. Warren was with Prime Retail, L.P. for 4 years where she served as Regional Marketing Director responsible for coordinating and directing marketing for five outlet centers in the southeast region. Prior to joining Prime Retail, L.P., Ms. Warren was Marketing Manager for North Hills, Inc. for five years and also served in the same role for the Edward J. DeBartolo Corp. for two years. Ms. Warren is a graduate of East Carolina University.

Kevin M. Dillon. Mr. Dillon was named Senior Vice President – Construction and Development in August 2004. Previously, he held the positions of Vice President – Construction and Development from May 2002 to August 2004, Vice President – Construction from October 1997 to May 2002, Director of Construction from September 1996 to October 1997 and Construction Manager from November 1993, the month he joined the Company, to September 1996. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Dillon was employed by New Market Development Company for six years where he served as Senior Project Manager. Prior to joining New Market, Mr. Dillon was the Development Director of Western Development Company where he spent 6 years.

Lisa J. Morrison. Ms. Morrison was named Senior Vice President – Leasing in August 2004. Previously, she held the positions of Vice President – Leasing from May 2001 to August 2004, Assistant Vice President of Leasing from August 2000 to May 2001 and Director of Leasing from April 1999 until August 2000. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Morrison was employed by the Taubman Company and Trizec Properties, Inc. where she served as a leasing agent. Her major responsibilities include managing the leasing strategies for our operating properties, as well as expansions and new development. She also oversees the leasing personnel and the merchandising and occupancy for Tanger properties.

James F. Williams. Mr. Williams was named Senior Vice President and Controller in February 2006. Mr. Williams joined the Company in September 1993, was promoted to Controller in January 1995 and was named Assistant Vice President in January 1997 and Vice President in April 2004. Prior to joining the Company Mr. Williams was the Financial Reporting Manager of Guilford Mills, Inc. from April 1991 to September 1993 and was employed by Arthur Andersen for 5 years from 1987 to 1991. Mr. Williams graduated from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in December 1986 and is a certified public accountant.

Virginia R. Summerell. Ms. Summerell was named Vice President, Treasurer and Assistant Secretary of the Company in May 2005. Since joining the Company in August 1992, she has held various positions including Treasurer, Assistant Secretary and Director of Finance. Her major responsibilities include developing and maintaining banking relationships, oversight of all project and corporate finance transactions and management of treasury systems. Previously she served as a Vice President and in other capacities at Bank of America and its predecessors in Real Estate and Corporate Lending for nine years. Ms. Summerell is a graduate of Davidson College and holds an MBA from the Babcock School at Wake Forest University.

PART II

Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

The common shares commenced trading on the New York Stock Exchange on May 28, 1993. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of the common shares as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape, during the periods indicated.

	High	Low	Common Dividends Paid
2007			
First Quarter	\$ 43.56	\$ 37.34	\$.3400
Second Quarter	42.57	36.34	.3600
Third Quarter	41.25	32.32	.3600
Fourth Quarter	44.43	37.04	.3600
Year 2007	\$ 44.43	\$ 32.32	\$ 1.4200

	High	Low	Common Dividends Paid
2006			
First Quarter	\$ 35.45	\$ 28.00	\$.3225
Second Quarter	34.31	30.37	.3400
Third Quarter	36.22	30.61	.3400
Fourth Quarter	40.09	35.23	.3400
Year 2006	\$ 40.09	\$ 28.00	\$ 1.3425

Holders

As of February 1, 2008, there were approximately 603 common shareholders of record.

Dividends

We operate in a manner intended to enable us to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code. A REIT is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income to its shareholders each year. We intend to continue to qualify as a REIT and to distribute substantially all of our taxable income to our shareholders through the payment of regular quarterly dividends. Certain of our debt agreements limit the payment of dividends such that dividends shall not exceed funds from operations, or FFO, as defined in the agreements, for the prior fiscal year on an annual basis or 95% of FFO on a cumulative basis.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this Item is set forth in Part III Item 12 of this document.

Performance Graph

The following Performance Graph and related information shall not be deemed "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference into such filing.

The following share price performance chart compares our performance to the index of equity REITs prepared by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") and the SNL Shopping Center REIT index prepared by SNL Financial. Equity REITs are defined as those that derive more than 75% of their income from equity investments in real estate assets. The NAREIT equity index includes all tax qualified real estate investment trusts listed on the New York Stock Exchange, American Stock Exchange or the NASDAQ National Market System.

All share price performance assumes an initial investment of \$100 at the beginning of the period and assumes the reinvestment of dividends. Share price performance, presented for the five years ended December 31, 2007, is not necessarily indicative of future results.

Total Return Performance

Index	Period Ending					
	12/31/02	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07
Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc.	100.00	141.09	194.87	222.73	315.20	315.49
NAREIT All Equity REIT Index	100.00	137.13	180.44	202.38	273.34	230.45
SNL REIT Retail Shopping Ctr Index	100.00	141.78	192.62	210.19	282.93	232.94

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

During 1998, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$6 million of our common shares. The timing and amount of the repurchases is at the discretion of management. We have not made any repurchases since 1999 and the amount authorized for future repurchases remaining at December 31, 2007 totaled \$4.8 million.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
	(in thousands, except per share and center data)				
OPERATING DATA					
Total revenues	\$ 228,765	\$ 210,962	\$ 197,949	\$ 189,651	\$ 112,748
Operating income	71,565	68,942	73,769	68,961	39,602
Income from continuing operations	28,478	25,465	6,372	6,329	10,214
Net income	28,576	37,309	5,089	7,046	12,849
SHARE DATA					
Basic:					
Income from continuing operations	\$.74	\$.65	\$.07	\$.23	\$.47
Net income available to common shareholders	\$.74	\$ 1.04	\$.16	\$.26	\$.60
Weighted average common shares	30,821	30,599	28,380	27,044	20,103
Diluted:					
Income from continuing operations	\$.72	\$.64	\$.07	\$.23	\$.46
Net income available to common shareholders	\$.72	\$ 1.03	\$.16	\$.26	\$.59
Weighted average common shares	31,668	31,081	28,646	27,261	20,566
Common dividends paid	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.23
BALANCE SHEET DATA					
Real estate assets, before depreciation	\$ 1,287,137	\$ 1,216,847	\$ 1,152,866	\$ 1,077,393	\$ 1,078,553
Total assets	1,060,280	1,040,877	1,000,605	936,378	987,437
Debt	706,345	678,579	663,607	488,007	540,319
Shareholders' equity	249,204	274,676	250,214	161,133	167,418
OTHER DATA					
Cash flows provided by (used in):					
Operating activities	\$ 98,588	\$ 88,390	\$ 83,902	\$ 84,816	\$ 46,561
Investing activities	\$ (84,803)	\$ (63,336)	\$ (336,563)	\$ 2,607	\$ (327,068)
Financing activities	\$ (19,826)	\$ (19,531)	\$ 251,488	\$ (93,156)	\$ 289,271
Gross Leasable Area Open:					
Wholly-owned	8,398	8,388	8,261	5,066	5,299
Partially-owned (consolidated)	---	---	---	3,271	3,273
Partially-owned (unconsolidated)	667	667	402	402	324
Managed	---	293	64	105	434
Number of outlet centers:					
Wholly-owned	29	30	31	23	26
Partially-owned (consolidated)	---	---	---	9	9
Partially-owned (unconsolidated)	2	2	1	1	1
Managed	---	3	1	3	4

In December 2003, COROC Holdings, LLC, or COROC, a joint venture in which we initially had a one-third ownership interest and consolidated for financial reporting purposes under the provisions of FIN 46R, purchased the 3.3 million square foot Charter Oak portfolio of outlet center properties for \$491.0 million, including the assumption of \$186.4 million of cross-collateralized debt which has a stated, fixed interest rate of 6.59% and matures in July

2008. We recorded the debt at its fair value of \$198.3 million, with an effective interest rate of 4.97%. We funded the majority of our share of the equity required for the transaction through the issuance of 4.6 million common shares on December 10, 2003, generating approximately \$88.0 million in net proceeds. The results of the Charter Oak portfolio have been included in the consolidated financial statements since December 2003.

In November 2005, we purchased for \$286.0 million (including acquisition costs) the remaining two-thirds interest in this joint venture. The transaction was funded with a combination of common and preferred shares and senior unsecured notes.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statements

Certain statements made below are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995 and included this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words 'believe', 'expect', 'intend', 'anticipate', 'estimate', 'project', or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect our actual results, performance or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 1A – Risk Factors.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and percentage relationships set forth in the consolidated statements of operations, including trends which might appear, are not necessarily indicative of future operations.

General Overview

At December 31, 2007, we had 29 wholly-owned centers in 21 states totaling 8.4 million square feet compared to 30 centers in 21 states totaling 8.4 million square feet as of December 31, 2006. The changes in the number of centers and GLA are due to the following events:

	No. of Centers	GLA (000's)	States
As of December 31, 2006	30	8,388	21
Center expansions:			
Barstow, California	---	7	---
Branson, Missouri	---	25	---
Gonzales, Louisiana	---	39	---
Tilton, New Hampshire	---	18	---
Dispositions:			
Boaz, Alabama	(1)	(80)	---
Other	---	1	---
As of December 31, 2007	29	8,398	21

Results of Operations

2007 Compared to 2006

Base rentals increased \$8.7 million, or 6%, in the 2007 period compared to the 2006 period. Our base rental income increased \$5.5 million due to increases in rental rates on lease renewals and incremental rents from re-tenanting vacant space. During 2007, we executed 460 leases totaling 1.9 million square feet at an average increase of 18%. This compares to our execution of 479 leases totaling 1.9 million square feet at an average increase of 10% during 2006. Base rentals also increased approximately \$3.7 million related to a full year of operations for our outlet center in Charleston, South Carolina, which opened in August 2006. However, decreases were recognized in the net amortization of above or below market leases totaling \$317,000.

The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. For the 2007 period, we recorded \$1.2 million to rental income for the net amortization of market lease values compared with \$1.5 million for the 2006 period. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related above or below market lease value will be written off and could materially impact our net income positively or negatively. At December 31, 2007, the net liability representing the amount of unrecognized below market lease values totaled \$916,000.

Percentage rentals, which represent revenues based on a percentage of tenants' sales volume above predetermined levels (the "breakpoint"), increased \$1.6 million or 22%. The increase is due partially to the addition of high volume tenants during the last twelve months that have exceeded their breakpoints. Reported same-space sales per square foot for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 were \$342 per square foot, a 1.2% increase over the prior year ended December 31, 2006. Same-space sales is defined as the weighted average sales per square foot reported in space open for the full duration of each comparison period. Our ability to attract high volume tenants to many of our outlet centers continues to improve the average sales per square foot throughout our portfolio.

Expense reimbursements, which represent the contractual recovery from tenants of certain common area maintenance, insurance, property tax, promotional, advertising and management expenses generally fluctuate consistently with the related reimbursable property operating expenses to which they relate. Expense reimbursements increased \$7.6 million, or 13%, in the 2007 period versus the 2006 period. During 2006, we incurred a \$1.5 million charge when we wrote off due diligence costs related to an abandoned potential acquisition. These costs were included in other property operating expenses. The acquisition due diligence costs were incurred in connection with structuring, performing due diligence and submitting a proposal to acquire a significant portfolio from a public REIT that was exploring its strategic alternatives. The bid was requested, but ultimately not accepted, by the public REIT. Excluding these abandoned acquisition costs, expense reimbursements, expressed as a percentage of property operating expenses, were 88% and 87% respectively, in the 2007 and 2006 periods. The reimbursement percentage increase is due to decreases during 2007 in miscellaneous non-reimbursable expenses such as state franchise and excise taxes.

Property operating expenses increased by \$7.6 million, or 11%, in the 2007 period as compared to the 2006 period, excluding the \$1.5 million charge mentioned in the previous paragraph. Of this increase, \$2.2 million relates incrementally to our Charleston, South Carolina outlet center which opened in August 2006. In addition, our common area maintenance costs increased as a result of higher snow removal costs and higher costs related to operating our mall offices at the outlet centers in our portfolio. Further, our fiscal 2007 property insurance premiums increased significantly upon renewal and remained at that level for the fiscal 2008 renewal. Also, several high performing centers experienced significant property tax increases upon revaluation.

General and administrative expenses increased \$2.3 million, or 14%, in the 2007 period as compared to the 2006 period. The increase is primarily due to compensation expense related to restricted shares issued during the 2007 period as well as an increase in bonus compensation for senior executives in the 2007 period. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses were 8% in both the 2007 and 2006 periods.

Depreciation and amortization increased from \$57.0 million in the 2006 period to \$63.8 million in the 2007 period. A full year of depreciation and amortization related to the assets at our outlet center in Charleston, South Carolina which opened in August 2006 accounted for \$2.0 million of the increase. Also, during the first quarter of 2007, our Board of Directors formally approved a plan to reconfigure our center in Foley, Alabama. As a part of this plan, approximately 42,000 square feet was relocated within the property by September 2007. The depreciable useful lives of the buildings demolished were shortened to coincide with their demolition dates throughout the first three quarters of 2007 and the change in estimated useful life was accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. Approximately 28,000 relocated square feet had opened as of December 31, 2007 with the remaining 14,000 square feet expected to open in the next two quarters. Accelerated depreciation recognized related to the reconfiguration was \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. These increases were offset by a decrease in lease cost amortization of approximately \$2.0 million, primarily related to the amortization of the intangibles from the COROC acquisitions in 2003 and 2005.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures increased \$205,000, or 16%, in the 2007 period as compared to the 2006 period. During August 2006, we opened a 264,900 square foot center in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin, which is owned by Tanger Wisconsin Dells, in which we have a 50% ownership interest and account for as an unconsolidated joint venture under the equity method. This center was open for all of 2007 which resulted in the increase in our equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures.

Discontinued operations includes the results of operations and gains on sale of real estate of our Boaz, Alabama; Pigeon Forge, Tennessee and North Branch, Minnesota centers, which were sold in 2007 and 2006, respectively. The following table summarizes the results of operations and gains on sale of real estate for the 2007 and 2006 periods:

Summary of discontinued operations	2007	2006
Operating income from discontinued operations	\$ 112	\$ 365
Gain on sale of real estate	6	13,833
Income from discontinued operations	118	14,198
Minority interest in discontinued operations	(20)	(2,354)
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	\$ 98	\$ 11,844

2006 Compared to 2005

Base rentals increased \$7.5 million, or 6%, in the 2006 period compared to the 2005 period. Our overall occupancy rates for our stabilized outlet centers were comparable from year to year at 97.5% and 97.0%. Our base rental income increased \$4.5 million due to increases in rental rates on lease renewals and incremental rents from re-tenanting vacant space. During 2006, we executed 479 leases totaling 1.9 million square feet at an average increase of 10%. This compares to our execution of 460 leases totaling 1.9 million square feet at an average increase of 6% during 2005. Base rentals also increased approximately \$2.1 million due to the August 2006 opening of our new center in Charleston, South Carolina. Additionally, increases were recognized in the net amortization of above or below market leases totaling \$723,000.

The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. For the 2006 period, we recorded \$1.5 million to rental income for the net amortization of market lease values compared with \$741,000 for the 2005 period. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related above or below market lease value will be written off and could materially impact our net income positively or negatively. At December 31, 2006, the net liability representing the amount of unrecognized below market lease

values totaled \$2.1 million.

Percentage rentals, which represent revenues based on a percentage of tenants' sales volume above predetermined levels (the "breakpoint"), increased \$840,000 or 13%. Reported same-space sales per square foot for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 were \$338 per square foot, a 4.8% increase over the prior year ended December 31, 2005. Same-space sales is defined as the weighted average sales per square foot reported in space open for the full duration of each comparison period. Our ability to attract high volume tenants to many of our outlet centers continues to improve the average sales per square foot throughout our portfolio.

Expense reimbursements, which represent the contractual recovery from tenants of certain common area maintenance, insurance, property tax, promotional, advertising and management expenses generally fluctuate consistently with the related reimbursable property operating expenses to which they relate. Expense reimbursements increased \$3.1 million, or 6%, in the 2006 period versus the 2005 period. During 2006, we incurred a \$1.5 million charge when we wrote off due diligence costs related to an abandoned potential acquisition. These costs were included in other property operating expenses. The acquisition due diligence costs were incurred in connection with structuring, performing due diligence and submitting a proposal to acquire a significant portfolio from a public REIT that was exploring its strategic alternatives. The bid was requested, but ultimately not accepted, by the public REIT. Excluding these abandoned acquisition costs, expense reimbursements, expressed as a percentage of property operating expenses, were 87% and 89% respectively, in the 2006 and 2005 periods. The reimbursement percentage decrease is due to increases during 2006 in miscellaneous non-reimbursable expenses such as state franchise and excise taxes.

Other income increased \$1.6 million, or 28%, in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily due to the recognition of leasing, marketing and development fee income from our Tanger Wisconsin Dells joint venture, fees from third party management services, gains on sales of outparcels of land and increases in miscellaneous vending income.

Property operating expenses increased by \$4.4 million, or 7%, in the 2006 period as compared to the 2005 period, excluding the \$1.5 million charge mentioned in the previous paragraph. Of this increase, \$1.8 million relates incrementally to our Charleston, South Carolina outlet center which opened in August 2006. Excluding these costs associated with the Charleston, South Carolina outlet center and the abandoned acquisition costs, operating expenses increased 4.3%. This increase was due to higher property insurance costs upon renewal of our annual policies during the year and non-reimbursable expenses such as state franchise and excise taxes.

General and administrative expenses increased \$2.9 million, or 21%, in the 2006 period as compared to the 2005 period. The increase is primarily due to compensation expense related to restricted shares issued during the 2006 period as well as an increase in bonus compensation for senior executives in the 2006 period. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses increased from 7% in the 2005 period to 8% in the 2006 period.

Depreciation and amortization increased from \$48.0 million in the 2005 period to \$57.0 million in the 2006 period. This was due a full year of depreciation of the assets acquired in December 2005 in the final COROC joint venture acquisition and the accelerated depreciation and amortization of certain assets in the initial acquisition of the COROC properties in December 2003 accounted for under FAS 141 for tenants that terminated their leases during the 2006 period.

As shown in the table below, total interest expense decreased \$2.2 million, or 5%, during the 2006 period as compared to the 2005 period. However, the 2005 period included a large prepayment premium and the write off of deferred loan costs totaling \$9.9 million incurred related to the early extinguishment of the \$77.4 million John Hancock Life Insurance Company mortgages. Actual interest expense from borrowings increased during 2006 due to higher overall debt levels related to the \$250 million senior unsecured note issuance in November 2005 and the \$149.5 million exchangeable senior unsecured note issuance in August 2006.

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Summary of interest expense	2006	2005
Interest expense from borrowings, net of capitalization	\$ 38,463	\$ 31,816
Prepayment penalty and deferred loan cost write off related to early extinguishment of debt	917	9,866
Loan cost amortization, net of capitalization	1,395	1,245
Total interest expense	\$ 40,775	\$ 42,927

29

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

During August 2006, we opened a 264,900 square foot center in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin, which is owned by Tanger Wisconsin Dells, in which we have a 50% ownership interest and account for as an unconsolidated joint venture under the equity method. Our equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures increased from 2005 to 2006 as a result of the opening of this center.

In November 2005, we purchased our consolidated joint venture partner's interest in COROC. Therefore, consolidated joint venture minority interest decreased \$24.0 million as there was no allocation of earnings to this joint venture partner during 2006. The allocation of earnings to our joint venture partner was based on a preferred return on investment as opposed to their ownership percentage and accordingly had a significant impact on our earnings during 2005.

Discontinued operations includes the results of operations of our Boaz, Alabama center which was sold in 2007 and the results of operations and gains on sales of real estate for our Pigeon Forge, Tennessee and North Branch, Minnesota centers, both of which were sold in the first quarter of 2006. The following table summarizes the results of operations and gains on sale of real estate for the 2006 and 2005 periods:

Summary of discontinued operations	2006	2005
Operating income from discontinued operations	\$ 365	\$ 3,089
Gain on sale of real estate	13,833	---
Income from discontinued operations	14,198	3,089
Minority interest in discontinued operations	(2,354)	(529)
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	\$ 11,844	\$ 2,560

During the first quarter of 2005, we sold our outlet center at our Seymour, Indiana property. Due to significant continuing involvement, the sale did not qualify as discontinued operations under the provisions of FAS 144. We recorded a loss on sale of real estate of \$3.8 million, net of minority interest of \$847,000, as a result of the sale. Net proceeds received for the center were approximately \$2.0 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$98.6 million, \$88.4 million and \$83.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in cash provided by operating activities from 2006 to 2007 is due primarily to higher operating cash flow from the addition of the Charleston, South Carolina center in August 2006 and higher renewal and re-tenant base rental rates throughout our portfolio. The increase in cash provided from operating activities from 2005 to 2006 is primarily due to the incremental income from the COROC acquisition in November 2005.

Net cash used in investing activities amounted to \$84.8 million, \$63.3 million and \$336.6 million during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and reflects the acquisitions, expansions and dispositions of real estate during each year. Both 2007 and 2006 included significant construction activities for new projects with the Charleston, SC outlet center constructed in 2006 and the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania outlet center construction on-going in 2007 and several other outlet center expansions during the year. Cash used in 2006 was reduced by proceeds from the sale of our Pigeon Forge, Tennessee and North Branch, Minnesota outlet centers during the first quarter of 2006. In November 2005 we completed the acquisition of the final two-thirds interest of the COROC joint venture. We originally purchased a one-third interest in December 2003.

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities amounted to \$(19.8) million, \$(19.5) million and \$251.5 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These periods reflect increases in cash dividends paid, minority interest distributions and shares outstanding during 2007, 2006 and 2005. Tax incentive proceeds received related to our Pittsburgh, PA project for the year ended December 31, 2007 of \$7.1 million further reduced our 2007 cash outflows from financing activities. The 2006 period included net proceeds of \$19.4 million from the sale of 800,000 preferred

shares, a significant portion of which was used to repay amounts outstanding on our unsecured lines of credit. During 2005 we raised approximately \$381.3 million in the public debt and equity markets in order to fund the acquisition described in Item 6, Selected Financial Data, and to repay the John Hancock Life Insurance mortgages.

Current Developments and Dispositions

We intend to continue to grow our portfolio by developing, expanding or acquiring additional outlet centers. In the section below, we describe the new developments that are either currently planned, underway or recently completed. However, you should note that any developments or expansions that we, or a joint venture that we are involved in, have planned or anticipated may not be started or completed as scheduled, or may not result in accretive net income or funds from operations. In addition, we regularly evaluate acquisition or disposition proposals and engage from time to time in negotiations for acquisitions or dispositions of properties. We may also enter into letters of intent for the purchase or sale of properties. Any prospective acquisition or disposition that is being evaluated or which is subject to a letter of intent may not be consummated, or if consummated, may not result in an increase in net income or funds from operations.

WHOLLY OWNED CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we closed on the acquisition of our development site located south of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania in Washington County for \$4.8 million. In response to strong tenant demand for space, we increased the size of the initial phase of the Pittsburgh center from 308,000 square feet to 370,000 square feet, with signed leases for approximately 63% of the first phase and an additional 20% under negotiation or out for signature. We currently expect delivery of the initial phase in the second quarter of 2008, with stores opening by the end of the third quarter of 2008. Upon completion of the project, the outlet center will total approximately 418,000 square feet. The Pittsburgh project is wholly owned by us. Tax incentive financing bonds have been issued related to the Pittsburgh project, and we expect to receive net proceeds of approximately \$16.8 million as we incur qualifying expenditures during construction of the center. As of December 31, 2007 we have received funding for qualified expenditures submitted totaling \$7.6 million.

Potential Future Developments

We currently have an option for a new development site located in Mebane, North Carolina on the highly traveled Interstate 40/85 corridor, which sees over 83,000 cars daily. The site is located halfway between the Research Triangle Park area of Raleigh, Durham, and Chapel Hill, and the Triad area of Greensboro, High Point and Winston-Salem. The center is currently expected to be approximately 300,000 square feet. During the option period we will be analyzing the viability of the site and determining whether to proceed with the development of a center at this location.

We have also started the initial pre-development and leasing for a site we have under control in Port St. Lucie, Florida at Exit 118 on Interstate I-95. Approximately 64,000 cars utilize this exit each day. Port St. Lucie is one of Florida's fastest growing cities and is located less than 40 miles north of Palm Beach, Florida and one exit south of the New York Mets' spring training facility. This center is expected to be approximately 350,000 square feet and initial reaction to the site from our magnet tenants has been very positive.

At this time, we are in the initial study period on these potential new locations. As such, there can be no assurance that any of these sites will ultimately be developed. During the third quarter of 2007 we put on hold our plans to develop a center in Burlington, New Jersey due to numerous development and site access issues.

Expansions at Existing Centers

During 2007, we completed expansions in three of our outlet centers.

Center	Expansion GLA	New Total Center GLA
Gonzales, Louisiana	39,000	282,000
Branson, Missouri	25,000	303,000
Tilton, New Hampshire	18,000	246,000
Total expansions	82,000	

31

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

A fourth expansion project, in Barstow, California, is near completion. Some stores opened during the fourth quarter of 2007 and the remaining stores will open during the first two quarters of 2008. The total expansion in Barstow of 62,000 square feet will bring the center's total GLA to 171,300 square feet.

WHOLLY OWNED DISPOSITIONS

In October 2007, we completed the sale of our property in Boaz, Alabama. Net proceeds received from the sale of the property were approximately \$2.0 million. We recorded a gain on sale of real estate of approximately \$6,000.

During the first quarter of 2006, we completed the sale of two outlet centers located in Pigeon Forge, Tennessee and North Branch, Minnesota. Net proceeds received from the sales of the centers were approximately \$20.2 million. We recorded gains on sales of real estate of \$13.8 million associated with these sales during the first quarter of 2006.

CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

COROC Holdings, LLC

On December 19, 2003, COROC, a joint venture in which we had an initial one-third ownership interest and consolidated for financial reporting purposes under the provisions of FIN 46R, purchased the 3.3 million square foot Charter Oak portfolio of outlet center properties for \$491.0 million, including the assumption of \$186.4 million of cross-collateralized debt which has a stated, fixed interest rate of 6.59% and matures in July 2028. We can repay the loan in full without penalty on July 10, 2008, or we can continue to make monthly payments on the loan at a revised interest rate of 8.59%. We can then repay the loan in full on any monthly payment date without penalty. We recorded the debt at its fair value of \$198.3 million, with an effective interest rate of 4.97%. Accordingly, a debt premium of \$11.9 million was recorded and is being amortized over the life of the debt. We funded the majority of our share of the equity required for the transaction through the issuance of 4.6 million common shares on December 10, 2003, generating approximately \$88.0 million in net proceeds. The results of the Charter Oak portfolio have been included in the consolidated financial statements from December 2003.

In November 2005, we purchased for \$286.0 million (including acquisition costs) the remaining two-thirds interest from our joint venture partner. We recorded a debt discount of \$883,000 with an effective interest rate of 5.25% to reflect the fair value of the debt deemed to have been acquired in the acquisition. The all cash transaction was funded with a combination of the issuance of common and preferred shares and unsecured senior notes. The transaction completed the Charter Oak acquisition and solidified our position in the outlet industry.

UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

The following table details certain information as of December 31, 2007 about various unconsolidated real estate joint ventures in which we have an ownership interest:

Joint Venture	Center Location	Opening Date	Ownership %	Square Feet	Carrying Value of Investment (in millions)	Total Joint Venture Debt (in millions)
Myrtle Beach Hwy 17	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	2002	50%	402,013	\$0.9	\$35.8
Wisconsin Dells	Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin	2006	50%	264,929	\$6.0	\$25.3

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

	Deer Park, Long Island	Under		800,000		
Deer Park	NY	construction	33%	estimated	\$3.8	\$87.3

We may issue guarantees on the debt of a joint venture primarily because it allows the joint venture to obtain funding at a lower cost than could be obtained otherwise. This results in a higher return for the joint venture on its investment and in a higher return on our investment in the joint venture. We have joint and several guarantees for a portion of the debt outstanding for Wisconsin Dells and Deer Park as of December 31, 2007.

As is typical in real estate joint ventures, each of the above ventures contains provisions where a venture partner can trigger certain provisions and force the other partners to either buy or sell their investment in the joint venture. Should this occur, we may be required to incur a significant cash outflow in order to maintain ownership of these outlet centers.

Myrtle Beach Hwy 17

The Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture, in which we have a 50% ownership interest, has owned a Tanger Outlet Center located on Highway 17 in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina since June 2002. The Myrtle Beach center now consists of approximately 402,000 square feet and has over 90 name brand tenants.

During March 2005, Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Bank of America with a notional amount of \$35 million for five years. Under this agreement, the joint venture receives a floating interest rate based on the 30 day LIBOR index and pays a fixed interest rate of 4.59%. This swap effectively changes the rate of interest on \$35 million of variable rate mortgage debt to a fixed rate of 5.99% for the contract period.

In April 2005, the joint venture obtained non-recourse, permanent financing to replace the construction loan debt that was utilized to build the outlet center. The new mortgage amount is \$35.8 million with a rate of LIBOR + 1.40%. The note is for a term of five years with payments of interest only. In April 2010, the joint venture has the option to extend the maturity date of the loan two more years until 2012. All debt incurred by this unconsolidated joint venture is collateralized by its property.

Wisconsin Dells

In March 2005, we established the Tanger Wisconsin Dells joint venture to construct and own a Tanger Outlet center in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin. The 264,900 square foot center opened in August 2006. In February 2006, in conjunction with the construction of the center, the Wisconsin Dells joint venture closed on a construction loan in the amount of \$30.3 million with Wells Fargo Bank, NA due in February 2009. The construction loan is repayable on an interest only basis with interest floating based on the 30, 60 or 90 day LIBOR index plus 1.30%. The construction loan incurred by this unconsolidated joint venture is collateralized by its property as well as joint and several guarantees by us and designated guarantors of our venture partner. During the second quarter of 2007, the Wisconsin Dells joint venture received \$5.0 million in tax incentive financing proceeds which were used to repay amounts outstanding on the construction loan. The construction loan balance as of December 31, 2007 was approximately \$25.3 million.

Deer Park

In October 2003, we established the Deer Park joint venture to develop and own a Tanger Outlet center in Deer Park, New York. Construction has begun on the initial phase that will contain approximately 682,000 square feet including a 32,000 square foot Neiman Marcus Last Call store, which will be the first and only one on Long Island. Other tenants will include Anne Klein, Banana Republic, BCBG, Christmas Tree Shops, Disney, Eddie Bauer, Reebok, New York Sports Club and many more. Regal Cinemas has also leased 71,000 square feet for a 16-screen Cineplex, one of the few state of the art cineplexes on Long Island. We currently expect to open the first phase of the center by the end of the third quarter of 2008. Upon completion of the project, the shopping center will contain over 800,000 square feet.

In May 2007, the joint venture closed on a \$284 million construction loan for the project arranged by Bank of America with a weighted average interest rate of 30 day LIBOR plus 1.49%. Over the life of the loan, if certain criteria are met, the weighted average interest rate can decrease to 30 day LIBOR plus 1.23%. The loan, which had a balance as of December 31, 2007 of \$87.3 million, is originally scheduled to mature in May 2010 with a one year extension option at that date. The loan is collateralized by the property as well as joint and several guarantees by all three venture partners. The joint venture entered into two interest rate swap agreements during June 2007. The first swap is for a notional amount of \$49.0 million and the second is a forward starting interest rate swap agreement with escalating notional amounts that totaled \$22.3 million as of December 31, 2007. The notional amount of the forward starting interest rate swap agreement will total \$121.0 million by November 1, 2008. The agreements expire on June 1, 2009. These swaps will effectively change the rate of interest on up to \$170.0 million of variable rate mortgage debt to a fixed rate of 6.75%. See Note 8, Derivatives, for further discussion relating to these interest rate swap agreements.

Our guarantee of the construction loan debt is accounted for under the provisions of FIN 45. As construction draws are funded, we record the fair value of our guarantee of the Deer Park joint venture's debt as an increase to our investment in Deer Park and an increase to a corresponding guarantee liability. We have elected to account for the release from the obligation under the guarantee by the straight-line method over the life of the guarantee. The current value of the guarantee liability as of December 31, 2007 was approximately \$879,000.

The original purchase of the property was in the form of a sale-leaseback transaction, which consisted of the sale of the property to Deer Park for \$29.0 million, including a 900,000 square foot industrial building, which was then leased back to the seller under an operating lease agreement. Through May 2006, the Deer Park joint venture accounted for the lease revenues under the provisions of FASB Statement No. 67 "Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects", where the rent received from the tenant prior to May 2005 and that accrued from June 2005 through May 2006, net of applicable expenses, were treated as incidental revenues and were recorded as a reduction in the basis of the assets.

At the end of the lease in May 2005, the tenant vacated the building. However, the tenant did not satisfy all of the conditions necessary to terminate the lease until May 2006. Deer Park is currently in litigation to recover from the tenant its monthly lease payments from June 2005 through May 2006, approximately \$3.4 million, and will continue to do so until recovered. Deer Park discontinued the accrual of rental revenues associated with the sale-leaseback transaction as of May 2006.

Financing Arrangements

In February 2006, we completed the sale of an additional 800,000 Class C Preferred Shares with net proceeds of approximately \$19.4 million, bringing the total amount of Class C Preferred Shares outstanding to 3,000,000. The proceeds were used to repay amounts outstanding on our unsecured lines of credit. We pay annual dividends equal to \$1.875 per share.

In August 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$149.5 million of exchangeable senior unsecured notes that mature on August 15, 2026. The notes bear interest at a fixed coupon rate of 3.75%. The notes are exchangeable into the Company's common shares, at the option of the holder, at a current exchange ratio, subject to adjustment if we change our dividend rate in the future, of 27.6991 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (or a current exchange price of \$36.1023 per common share). The notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and are guaranteed by the Company on a senior unsecured basis. On and after August 18, 2011, holders may exchange their notes for cash in an amount equal to the lesser of the exchange value and the aggregate principal amount of the notes to be exchanged, and, at our option, Company common shares, cash or a combination thereof for any excess. Note holders may exchange their notes prior to August 18, 2011 only upon the occurrence of specified events. In addition, on August 18, 2011, August 15, 2016 or August 15, 2021, note holders may require us to repurchase the notes for an

amount equal to the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the repurchase date.

At December 31, 2007, approximately 75% of our outstanding long-term debt represented unsecured borrowings and approximately 57% of the gross book value of our real estate portfolio was unencumbered.

34

We intend to retain the ability to raise additional capital, including public debt or equity, to pursue attractive investment opportunities that may arise and to otherwise act in a manner that we believe to be in our shareholders' best interests. At the 2007 Annual Shareholders' Meeting, we increased our authorized common shares from 50.0 million to 150.0 million and added four additional classes of preferred shares with an authorized number of four million shares each. During the third quarter of 2006, we updated our shelf registration as a well known seasoned issuer where we will be able to register unspecified amounts of different classes of securities on Form S-3. To generate capital to reinvest into other attractive investment opportunities, we may also consider the use of additional operational and developmental joint ventures, the sale or lease of outparcels on our existing properties and the sale of certain properties that do not meet our long-term investment criteria.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, we extended the maturity dates on five of our six unsecured lines of credit from 2009 to June 2011. During the first quarter of 2008, we increased the maximum availability under our existing unsecured credit facilities by \$125.0 million, bringing our total availability to \$325.0 million. The terms of the increases are identical to those included within the existing unsecured credit facilities with the borrowing rate ranging from LIBOR plus 75 basis points to LIBOR plus 85 basis points.

On February 15, 2008, our \$100 million, 9.125% unsecured senior notes matured. We repaid these notes in the short term with amounts available under our unsecured lines of credit. On July 10, 2008, our only remaining mortgage loan with a principal balance of \$172.7 million and bearing interest at a coupon rate of 6.59% will become payable at our option. Because the mortgage was assumed as part of an acquisition of a portfolio of outlet centers, the debt was recorded at its fair value and carries an effective interest rate of 5.18%. On the optional payment date, we can decide to repay the loan in full, or we can continue to make monthly payments on the loan at a revised interest rate of 8.59%. We can then repay the loan in full on any monthly payment date without penalty. The final maturity date on the loan is July 10, 2028. We are currently analyzing our various options with respect to refinancing this mortgage. Based on cash provided by operations, existing credit facilities, ongoing negotiations with certain financial institutions and our ability to sell debt or equity subject to market conditions, we believe that we have access to the necessary financing to fund the planned capital expenditures during 2008.

We anticipate that adequate cash will be available to fund our operating and administrative expenses, regular debt service obligations, and the payment of dividends in accordance with REIT requirements in both the short and long term. Although we receive most of our rental payments on a monthly basis, distributions to shareholders are made quarterly and interest payments on the senior, unsecured notes are made semi-annually. Amounts accumulated for such payments will be used in the interim to reduce any outstanding borrowings under the existing lines of credit or invested in short-term money market or other suitable instruments.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table details our contractual obligations over the next five years and thereafter as of December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	Total
Debt (1)	\$272,678	\$ ---	\$ ---	\$ 33,880	\$ ---	\$ 399,500	\$ 706,058
Operating leases	4,200	4,040	3,695	3,521	3,041	79,258	97,755
Preferred share dividends (2)	5,625	5,625	80,625	---	---	---	91,875
Interest payments	34,134	22,877	22,877	21,929	20,981	124,613	247,411
	\$ 316,637	\$ 32,542	\$ 107,197	\$ 59,330	\$ 24,022	\$ 603,371	\$ 1,143,099

- (1) These amounts represent total future cash payments related to debt obligations outstanding as of December 31, 2007.
- (2) Preferred share dividends reflect dividends on our Class C Preferred Shares on which we pay an annual dividend of \$1.875 per share on 3,000,000 outstanding shares as of December 31, 2007. The Class C Preferred Shares are redeemable at the option of the Company for \$25.00 per share after the respective optional redemption date. The future obligations include future dividends on preferred shares through the optional redemption date and the redemption amount is included on the optional redemption date.
- (3) These amounts represent future interest payments related to our debt obligations based on the fixed and variable interest rates specified in the associated debt agreements. All of our variable rate agreements are based on the 30 day LIBOR rate. For purposes of calculating future interest amounts on variable interest rate debt, the 30 day LIBOR rate as of December 31, 2007 was used.

In addition to the contractual payment obligations shown in the table above, we also have a property under development and several in the process of being expanded or renovated. To complete these projects we expect to spend approximately \$139.7 million in 2008 and \$91.0 million in 2009. The timing of these expenditures may vary due to delays in construction or acceleration of the opening date of a particular project.

Also, we currently have a total of \$200.0 million of US Treasury rate lock contracts that expire in July 2008. As of December 31, 2007, the amount of funds we would have to pay to settle these contracts was \$8.8 million. Given the current interest rate environment, this amount could change significantly by July 2008 in either a positive or negative manner. See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk for further discussion.

Our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain ratios, including debt service coverage and leverage, and limit the payment of dividends such that dividends and distributions will not exceed funds from operations, as defined in the agreements, for the prior fiscal year on an annual basis or 95% on a cumulative basis. We have historically been and currently are in compliance with all of our debt covenants. We expect to remain in compliance with all our existing debt covenants; however, should circumstances arise that would cause us to be in default, the various lenders would have the ability to accelerate the maturity on our outstanding debt.

We operate in a manner intended to enable us to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code. A REIT which distributes at least 90% of its taxable income to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions is not taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. Based on our 2007 taxable income to shareholders, we were required to distribute approximately \$30.3 million to our common shareholders in order to maintain our REIT status as described above. We distributed approximately \$44.4 million to common shareholders which significantly exceeds our required distributions. If events were to occur that would cause our dividend to be reduced, we believe we still have an adequate margin regarding required dividend payments based

on our historic dividend and taxable income levels to maintain our REIT status.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are party to a joint and several guarantee with respect to the construction loan obtained by the Wisconsin Dells joint venture during the first quarter of 2006, which currently has a balance of \$25.3 million. We are also party to a joint and several guarantee with respect to the loan obtained by Deer Park which currently has a balance of \$87.3 million. See “Joint Ventures” section above for further discussion of off-balance sheet arrangements and their related guarantees. Our pro-rata portion of the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 mortgage secured by the center is \$17.9 million. There is no guarantee provided for the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 mortgage by us.

Related Party Transactions

As noted above in “Unconsolidated Joint Ventures”, we are 50% owners of the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 and Wisconsin Dells joint ventures. These joint ventures pay us management, leasing, marketing and development fees, which we believe approximate current market rates, for services provided to the joint ventures. During 2007, 2006 and 2005, we recognized the following fees (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Fee:			
Management	\$ 534	\$ 410	\$ 327
Leasing	26	188	6
Marketing	108	86	66
Development	---	304	---
Total Fees	\$ 668	\$ 988	\$ 399

Tanger Family Limited Partnership is a related party which holds a limited partnership interest in and is the minority owner of the Operating Partnership. Stanley K. Tanger, the Company’s Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is the sole general partner of TFLP. The only material related party transaction with TFLP is the payment of quarterly distributions of earnings which aggregated \$8.6 million, \$8.1 million and \$7.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in real estate joint ventures that represent non-controlling ownership interests are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

In 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46R which clarifies the application of existing accounting pronouncements to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The provisions of FIN 46R were effective for all variable interests in variable interest entities in 2004 and thereafter.

Acquisition of Real Estate

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 “Business Combinations”, or FAS 141, we allocate the purchase price of acquisitions based on the fair value of land, building, tenant improvements, debt and deferred lease costs and other intangibles, such as the value of leases with above or below market rents, origination costs associated with the in-place leases, and the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships, if any. We depreciate the amount allocated to building, deferred lease costs and other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives, which generally range from three to 33.3 years. The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. The values of below market leases that are considered to have renewal periods with below market rents are amortized over the remaining term of the associated lease plus the renewal periods. The value associated with in-place leases is amortized over the remaining lease term and tenant relationships is amortized over the expected term, which includes an estimated probability of the lease renewal. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related deferred lease costs is written off. The tenant improvements and origination costs are amortized as an expense over the remaining life of the lease (or charged against earnings if the lease is terminated prior to its contractual expiration date). We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information.

If we do not allocate appropriately to the separate components of rental property, deferred lease costs and other intangibles or if we do not estimate correctly the total value of the property or the useful lives of the assets, our computation of depreciation and amortization expense may be significantly understated or overstated.

Cost Capitalization

In accordance with SFAS No. 91 “Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 13, 60, and 65 and a rescission of FASB Statement No. 17”, we capitalize all incremental, direct fees and costs incurred to originate operating leases, including certain general and overhead costs, as deferred charges. The amount of general and overhead costs we capitalize is based on our estimate of the amount of costs directly related to executing these leases. We amortize these costs to expense over the estimated average minimum lease term.

We capitalize all costs incurred for the construction and development of properties, including certain general and overhead costs and interest costs. The amount of general and overhead costs we capitalize is based on our estimate of the amount of costs directly related to the construction or development of these assets. Direct costs to acquire assets are capitalized once the acquisition becomes probable.

If we incorrectly estimate the amount of costs to capitalize, we could significantly overstate or understate our financial condition and results of operations.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Rental property held and used by us is reviewed for impairment in the event that facts and circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In such an event, we compare the estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset to the asset’s carrying amount, and if less, recognize an impairment loss in an amount by which the carrying amount exceeds its fair value. If we do not recognize impairments at appropriate times and in appropriate amounts, our consolidated balance sheet may overstate the value of our long-lived assets. We believe that no impairment existed at December 31, 2007.

Revenue Recognition

Base rentals are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Substantially all leases contain provisions which provide additional rents based on each tenants' sales volume ("percentage rentals") and reimbursement of the tenants' share of advertising and promotion, common area maintenance, insurance and real estate tax expenses. Percentage rentals are recognized when specified targets that trigger the contingent rent are met. Expense reimbursements are recognized in the period the applicable expenses are incurred. Payments received from the early termination of leases are recognized as revenue from the time payment is receivable until the tenant vacates the space.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," or FAS 157. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of this standard apply to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The FASB has deferred the effective date by one year the provisions of FAS 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis. We believe that the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2008 will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements; however we believe it will likely be required to provide additional disclosures as a part of future financial statements, beginning with the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" or FAS 159. FAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. FAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar type of assets and liabilities. We do not believe the adoption of FAS 159 on January 1, 2008 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007) "Business Combinations", or FAS 141R. FAS 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which means that we will adopt FAS 141R on January 1, 2009. FAS 141R replaces FAS 141 "Business Combinations" and requires that the acquisition method of accounting (which FAS 141 called the purchase method) be used for all business combinations, as well as for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. FAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer: (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree; (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial affects of the business combination. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption of FAS 141R on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 "Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51", or FAS 160. FAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which means that we will adopt FAS 160 on January 1, 2009. This statement amends ARB 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FAS 160 changes accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. FAS 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of SFAS 160 shall be applied prospectively. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption of FAS 160 on our consolidated financial position, results of

operations and cash flows.

39

Funds from Operations

Funds from Operations, or FFO, represents income before extraordinary items and gains (losses) on sale or disposal of depreciable operating properties, plus depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to real estate and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

FFO is intended to exclude historical cost depreciation of real estate as required by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income.

We present FFO because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO when reporting their results. FFO is widely used by us and others in our industry to evaluate and price potential acquisition candidates. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc., of which we are a member, has encouraged its member companies to report their FFO as a supplemental, industry-wide standard measure of REIT operating performance. In addition, a percentage of bonus compensation to certain members of management is based on our FFO performance.

FFO has significant limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

- § FFO does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
 - § FFO does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;
- § Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and FFO does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;
- § FFO does not reflect the impact of earnings or charges resulting from matters which may not be indicative of our ongoing operations; and
- § Other companies in our industry may calculate FFO differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure.

Because of these limitations, FFO should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business or our dividend paying capacity. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using FFO only supplementally.

Below is a reconciliation of net income to FFO for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 as well as other data for those respective periods (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Funds from Operations:			
Net income	\$ 28,576	\$ 37,309	\$ 5,089
Adjusted for:			
Minority interest in operating partnership	4,494	3,970	1,306
Minority interest adjustment – consolidated joint venture	---	---	(315)
Minority interest, depreciation and amortization attributable to discontinued operations	165	2,661	1,440
Depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to real estate – consolidated	63,506	56,747	47,728
Depreciation and amortization uniquely significant to real estate – unconsolidated joint ventures	2,611	1,825	1,493
(Gain) loss on sale of real estate	(6)	(13,833)	3,843
Funds from operations (1)	99,346	88,679	60,584
Preferred share dividends	(5,625)	(5,433)	(538)
Funds from operations available to common shareholders and minority unitholders	\$ 93,721	\$ 83,246	\$ 60,046
Weighted average shares outstanding (2)	37,735	37,148	34,713

(1) The years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 include gains on sales of outparcels of land of \$402 and \$1,554, respectively.

(2) Includes the dilutive effect of options, restricted share awards and exchangeable notes and assumes the partnership units of the Operating Partnership held by the minority interest are converted to common shares of the Company.

Economic Conditions and Outlook

The majority of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the impact of inflation. Such provisions include clauses for the escalation of base rent and clauses enabling us to receive percentage rentals based on tenants' gross sales (above predetermined levels, which we believe often are lower than traditional retail industry standards) which generally increase as prices rise. Most of the leases require the tenant to pay their share of property operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and advertising and promotion, thereby reducing exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation.

While factory outlet stores continue to be a profitable and fundamental distribution channel for brand name manufacturers, some retail formats are more successful than others. As typical in the retail industry, certain tenants have closed, or will close, certain stores by terminating their lease prior to its natural expiration or as a result of filing for protection under bankruptcy laws.

During 2008, we have approximately 1,340,000 square feet, or 16%, of our wholly-owned portfolio coming up for renewal. If we were unable to successfully renew or release a significant amount of this space on favorable economic terms, the loss in rent could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We renewed 79% of the 1,572,000 square feet that came up for renewal in 2007 with the existing tenants at a 14% increase in the average base rental rate compared to the expiring rate. We also re-tenanted 610,000 square feet during 2007 at a 40% increase in the average base rental rate.

Existing tenants' sales have remained stable and renewals by existing tenants have remained strong. The existing tenants have already renewed approximately 697,000, or 52%, of the square feet scheduled to expire in 2008 as of February 1, 2008. In addition, we continue to attract and retain additional tenants. Our factory outlet centers typically include well-known, national, brand name companies. By maintaining a broad base of creditworthy tenants and a geographically diverse portfolio of properties located across the United States, we reduce our operating and leasing risks. No one tenant (including affiliates) accounts for more than 8% of our combined base and percentage rental revenues. Accordingly, we do not expect any material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition as a result of leases to be renewed or stores to be released.

As of both December 31, 2007 and 2006, occupancy at our wholly owned centers was 98%. Consistent with our long-term strategy of re-merchandising centers, we will continue to hold space off the market until an appropriate tenant is identified. While we believe this strategy will add value to our centers in the long-term, it may reduce our average occupancy rates in the near term.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates. We may periodically enter into certain interest rate protection and interest rate swap agreements to effectively convert floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis and to hedge anticipated future financings. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Tanger Properties Limited Partnership

In September 2005, we entered into two forward starting interest rate lock protection agreements to hedge risks related to anticipated future financings in 2005 and 2008. The 2005 agreement locked the US Treasury index rate at 4.279% on a notional amount of \$125 million for 10 years from such date in December 2005. This lock was unwound in the fourth quarter of 2005 in conjunction with the issuance of the \$250 million senior unsecured notes due in 2015 and, as a result, we received a cash payment of \$3.2 million. The gain was recorded in other comprehensive income and is being amortized into earnings using the effective interest method over a 10 year period that coincides with the interest payments associated with the senior unsecured notes due in 2015. The 2008 agreement locked the US Treasury index rate at 4.526% on a notional amount of \$100 million for 10 years from such date in July 2008. In November 2005, we entered into an additional agreement which locked the US Treasury index rate at 4.715% on a notional amount of \$100 million for 10 years from such date in July 2008. We anticipate unsecured debt transactions of at least the notional amount to occur in the designated periods.

The fair value of the interest rate protection agreements represents the estimated receipts or payments that would be made to terminate the agreement. At December 31, 2007, we would have paid approximately \$8.8 million if we terminated the agreements. If the US Treasury rate index decreased 1% and we were to terminate the agreements, we would have to pay \$24.4 million to do so. The fair value is based on dealer quotes, considering current interest rates and remaining term to maturity. We currently do not intend to terminate the agreements prior to their maturity because we plan on entering into the debt transactions as indicated. However, this policy may vary based on market conditions at the time of the forecast transactions.

Myrtle Beach Hwy 17

During March 2005, the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$35 million for five years to hedge floating rate debt on the permanent financing that was obtained in April 2005. Under this agreement, the joint venture receives a floating interest rate based on the 30 day LIBOR index and pays a fixed interest rate of 4.59%. This swap effectively changes the rate of interest on \$35 million of variable rate mortgage debt to a fixed rate debt of 5.99% for the contract period.

The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement represents the estimated receipts or payments that would be made to terminate the agreement. At December 31, 2007, the joint venture would have paid approximately \$644,000 if the agreement was terminated. If the 30 day LIBOR index decreased 1% and the joint venture were to terminate the agreement, it would have to pay \$1.4 million to do so. The fair value is based on dealer quotes, considering current interest rates and remaining term to maturity. The joint venture does not intend to terminate the interest rate swap agreement prior to its maturity. The fair value of this derivative is currently recorded as a liability on the joint venture's balance sheet; however, if held to maturity, the value of the swap will be zero at that time.

Deer Park

During June 2007, the Deer Park joint venture entered into two interest rate swap agreements to hedge the cash flows from the floating rate construction loan obtained in May 2007 to construct the outlet center in Deer Park, New York. The first interest rate swap had a notional amount of \$49.0 million. The second interest rate swap agreement is a forward starting agreement with escalating notional amounts that totaled \$22.3 million as of December 31, 2007. The notional amount of the forward starting interest rate swap agreement will total \$121.0 million by November 1, 2008. Both agreements expire June 1, 2009. These swaps will effectively change the rate of interest on \$170.0 million of variable rate construction debt to a fixed rate of 6.75%.

The fair value of the interest rate swap agreements represents the estimated receipts or payments that would be made to terminate the agreements. At December 31, 2007, the Deer Park joint venture would have had to pay approximately \$3.4 million if the agreements were terminated. If the LIBOR index decreased 1% and the Deer Park joint venture were to terminate the agreements, it would have to pay \$5.2 million to do so. The fair value is based on dealer quotes, considering current interest rates and remaining term to maturity. The joint venture does not intend to terminate the interest rate swap agreements prior to their maturity. The fair value of these derivatives is currently recorded as a liability on the joint venture's balance sheet; however, if held to maturity, the value of the swaps will be zero at that time.

The following table summarizes the notional values and fair values of our derivative financial instruments as of December 31, 2007.

Financial Instrument Type	Notional Amount	Rate	Maturity	Fair Value
TANGER PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP				
US Treasury Lock	\$100,000,000	4.526%	July 2008	\$(3,659,000)
US Treasury Lock	\$100,000,000	4.715%	July 2008	\$(5,095,000)
DEER PARK				
LIBOR Interest Rate Swap (1)	\$49,000,000	5.47%	June 2009	\$(1,217,000)
LIBOR Interest Rate Swap (2)	\$22,300,000	5.34%	June 2009	\$(2,136,000)
MYRTLE BEACH HWY 17				
LIBOR Interest Rate Swap (3)	\$ 35,000,000	4.59%	March 2010	\$ (644,000)

(1) Amount represents the fair value recorded at the Deer Park joint venture, in which we have a 33.3% ownership interest.

(2)

Derivative is a forward starting interest rate swap agreement with escalating notional amounts totaling \$22.3 million as of December 31, 2007. Outstanding amounts under the agreement will total \$121.0 million by November 1, 2008. Amount represents the fair value recorded at the Deer Park joint venture, in which we have a 33.3% ownership interest.

- (3) Amount represents the fair value recorded at the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture, in which we have a 50% ownership interest.

The fair market value of long-term fixed interest rate debt is subject to market risk. Generally, the fair market value of fixed interest rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. The estimated fair value of our total long-term debt at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$723.3 million and \$723.5 million, respectively, and its recorded value was \$706.3 million and \$678.6 million, respectively. A 1% increase from prevailing interest rates at December 31, 2007 and 2006 would result in a decrease in fair value of total long-term debt by approximately \$38.2 million and \$46.0 million, respectively. Fair values were determined from quoted market prices, where available, using current interest rates considering credit ratings and the remaining terms to maturity.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The information required by this Item is set forth on the pages indicated in Item 15(a) below.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure control procedures.

The Chief Executive Officer, Stanley K. Tanger, and Chief Financial Officer, Frank C. Marchisello Jr., evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures on December 31, 2007 and concluded that, as of that date, the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information the registrant is required to disclose in its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the registrant in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the registrant's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Management's report on internal control over financial reporting.

Internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the Company's board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has established and maintained policies and procedures designed to maintain the adequacy of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and

- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the criteria established in a report entitled Internal Control—Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on our assessment and those criteria, the Company's management has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

- (c) There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 that occurred during our last fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

All information required to be disclosed in a report on form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2007 was reported.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Report in that the registrant will file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, or the Proxy Statement, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report, and certain information included therein is incorporated herein by reference. Only those sections of the Proxy Statement which specifically address the items set forth herein are incorporated by reference.

Item Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

10.

The information concerning our directors required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

The information concerning our executive officers required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the section in Part I, Item 4, entitled "Executive Officers of the Registrant".

The information regarding compliance with Section 16 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

The information concerning our Company Code of Ethics required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

Item Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters.

12.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference herein to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2007 with respect to compensation plans under which the Company's equity securities are authorized for issuance:

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security	368,155	\$18.35	1,730,610

holders			
Equity compensation plans			
not approved by security	---	---	---
holders			
Total	368,155	\$18.35	1,730,610

Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information required by this Item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by Item 9(e) of Schedule 14A is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders which is expected to be held on May 16, 2008.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as a part of this report:

	Financial Statements
1.	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets-December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations- Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity- Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows- Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6 to F-28
2.	
Financial Statement Schedule	
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-29 to F-30

All other schedules have been omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is given in the above-listed financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Purchase and Sale Agreement between COROC Holdings, LLC and various entities dated October 3, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 8, 2003.)
2.2	Purchase and Sale Agreement for interests in COROC Holdings, LLC between BROOC Portfolio, L.L.C. and Tanger COROC, LLC dated August 22, 2005 (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.)
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.)
3.1A	Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated May 29, 1996. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996.)
3.1B	Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated August 20, 1998. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998.)
3.1C	Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated September 30, 1999. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.)
3.1D	Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated November 10, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 11, 2005.)
3.1E	Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation dated June 13, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.)
3.2	Restated By-Laws of the Company. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 30, 2007.)
3.3	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership for Tanger Properties Limited Partnership dated November 11, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 21, 2005.)
4.1	Rights Agreement, dated as of August 20, 1998, between Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and BankBoston, N.A., which includes the form of Articles of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Articles of

Incorporation, designating the preferences, limitations and relative rights of the Class B Preferred Stock as Exhibit A, the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit B and the Summary of Rights as Exhibit C. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A, filed August 24, 1998.)

- 4.1A Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of October 30, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.)

- 4.2 Form of Senior Indenture. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 6, 1996.)
- 4.2A Form of First Supplemental Indenture (to Senior Indenture). (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 6, 1996.)
- 4.2B Form of Second Supplemental Indenture (to Senior Indenture) dated October 24, 1997 among Tanger Properties Limited Partnership, Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and State Street Bank & Trust Company. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 24, 1997.)
- 4.2C Form of Third Supplemental Indenture (to Senior Indenture) dated February 15, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 16, 2001.)
- 4.2D Form of Fourth Supplemental Indenture (to Senior Indenture) dated November 5, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.)
- 4.2E Form of Fifth Supplemental Indenture (to Senior Indenture) dated August 16, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.)
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Incentive Award Plan of Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and Tanger Properties Limited Partnership, effective May 14, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to the Appendix A of the Company's definitive proxy statement filed on Schedule 14A dated April 12, 2004.)
- 10.3 Form of Stock Option Agreement between the Company and certain Directors. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993.)
- 10.4 Form of Unit Option Agreement between the Operating Partnership and certain employees. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993.)
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Stanley K. Tanger, as of January 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.)
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Steven B. Tanger, as of January 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.)

- 10.7 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Frank C. Marchisello, Jr., as of January 1, 2004. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004.)
- 10.8 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Lisa J. Morrison, dated May 9, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.)

- 10.9 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Joe Nehmen, as of January 1, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.)
- 10.11 Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the Tanger Family Limited Partnership and Stanley K. Tanger. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed May 27, 1993, as amended.)
- 10.11A Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the Tanger Family Limited Partnership and Stanley K. Tanger. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995.)
- 10.11B Second Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the Tanger Family Limited Partnership and Stanley K. Tanger dated September 4, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
- 10.11C Third Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the Tanger Family Limited Partnership and Stanley K. Tanger dated December 5, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
- 10.11D Fourth Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the Tanger Family Limited Partnership and Stanley K. Tanger dated August 8, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, dated August 9, 2006.)
- 10.12 Agreement Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed May 27, 1993, as amended.)
- 10.13 Assignment and Assumption Agreement among Stanley K. Tanger, Stanley K. Tanger & Company, the Tanger Family Limited Partnership, the Operating Partnership and the Company. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed May 27, 1993, as amended.)
- 10.14 Promissory Notes by and between the Operating Partnership and John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company aggregating \$66,500,000. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1999.)
- 10.15 COROC Holdings, LLC Limited Liability Company Agreement dated October 3, 2003. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 8, 2003.)
- 10.16 Form of Shopping Center Management Agreement between owners of COROC Holdings, LLC and Tanger Properties Limited Partnership. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 8, 2003.)
- 10.17 Form of Restricted Share Agreement between the Company and certain Officers. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.)

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

- 10.18 Form of Restricted Share Agreement between the Company and certain Officers with certain performance criteria vesting. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.)
- 10.19 Form of Restricted Share Agreement between the Company and certain Directors. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.)
- 10.20 Purchase Agreement between Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. relating to a registered direct offering of 3,000,000 of the Company's common shares dated August 30, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 30, 2005.)
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries.
- 23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- 31.1 Principal Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Principal Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Principal Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Principal Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC.

By: /s/ Stanley K. Tanger
 Stanley K. Tanger
 Chairman of the Board and
 Chief Executive Officer

February 28, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Stanley K. Tanger Stanley K. Tanger	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 28, 2008
/s/ Steven B. Tanger Steven B. Tanger	Director, President and Chief Operating Officer	February 28, 2008
/s/ Frank C. Marchisello Jr. Frank C. Marchisello Jr.	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	February 28, 2008
/s/ Jack Africk Jack Africk	Director	February 28, 2008
/s/ William G. Benton William G. Benton	Director	February 28, 2008
b Thomas E. Robinson	Director	February 28, 2008
/s/ Allan L. Schuman Allan L. Schuman	Director	February 28, 2008

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting included under Item 9A(b). Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Greensboro, North Carolina
February 28, 2008

F-1

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
ASSETS		
Rental property		
Land	\$ 130,075	\$ 130,137
Buildings, improvements and fixtures	1,104,459	1,068,070
Construction in progress	52,603	18,640
	1,287,137	1,216,847
Accumulated depreciation	(312,638)	(275,372)
Rental property, net	974,499	941,475
Cash and cash equivalents	2,412	8,453
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,695	14,451
Deferred charges, net	44,804	55,089
Other assets	27,870	21,409
Total assets	\$ 1,060,280	\$ 1,040,877
LIABILITIES, MINORITY INTEREST AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities		
Debt		
Senior, unsecured notes (net of discount of \$759 and \$832, respectively)	\$ 498,741	\$ 498,668
Mortgages payable (including premium of \$1,046 and \$3,441, respectively)	173,724	179,911
Unsecured lines of credit	33,880	---
Total debt	706,345	678,579
Construction trade payables	23,813	23,504
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	47,185	25,094
Total liabilities	777,343	727,177
Commitments and contingencies		
Minority interest in operating partnership	33,733	39,024
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred shares, 7.5% Class C, liquidation preference \$25 per share, 8,000,000		
authorized, 3,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at		
December 31, 2007 and 2006	75,000	75,000
Common shares, \$.01 par value, 150,000,000 authorized, 31,329,241 and 31,041,336 shares issued and outstanding at		
December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively	313	310
Paid in capital	351,817	346,361
Distributions in excess of earnings	(171,625)	(150,223)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(6,301)	3,228
Total shareholders' equity	249,204	274,676

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Total liabilities, minority interest and shareholders' equity	\$ 1,060,280	\$ 1,040,877
--	--------------	--------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F - 2

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)

For the years ended December 31,

	2007	2006	2005
REVENUES			
Base rentals	\$ 146,824	\$ 138,101	\$ 130,625
Percentage rentals	8,757	7,182	6,342
Expense reimbursements	65,978	58,397	55,286
Other income	7,206	7,282	5,696
Total revenues	228,765	210,962	197,949
EXPENSES			
Property operating	74,383	68,302	62,366
General and administrative	19,007	16,706	13,838
Depreciation and amortization	63,810	57,012	47,976
Total expenses	157,200	142,020	124,180
Operating income	71,565	68,942	73,769
Interest expense (including prepayment premium and deferred loan cost write off of \$917 and \$9,866 in 2006 and 2005, respectively)	40,066	40,775	42,927
Income before equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures, minority interests, discontinued operations and loss on sale of real estate	31,499	28,167	30,842
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,473	1,268	879
Minority interests			
Consolidated joint venture	---	---	(24,043)
Operating partnership	(4,494)	(3,970)	(1,306)
Income from continuing operations	28,478	25,465	6,372
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	98	11,844	2,560
Income before loss on sale of real estate	28,576	37,309	8,932
Loss on sale of real estate, net of minority interest	---	---	(3,843)
Net income	28,576	37,309	5,089
Less applicable preferred share dividends	(5,625)	(5,433)	(538)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 22,951	\$ 31,876	\$ 4,551
Basic earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$.74	\$.65	\$.07
Net income	.74	1.04	.16
Diluted earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$.72	\$.64	\$.07
Net income	.72	1.03	.16

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F - 3

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Preferred shares	Common shares	Paid in capital	Distributions in excess of earnings	Deferred compensation	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total shareholders' equity
Balance, December 31, 2004	\$ -	\$ 274	\$ 274,340	\$ (109,506)	\$ (3,975)	\$ -	\$ 161,133
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	-	5,089	-	-	5,089
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	2,458	2,458
Total comprehensive income	-	-	-	5,089	-	2,458	7,547
Compensation under Incentive Award Plan	-	-	10	-	1,555	-	1,565
Issuance of 167,700 common shares upon exercise of options	-	2	2,193	-	-	-	2,195
Issuance of 2,200,000 7.5% Class C preferred shares, net of issuance costs of \$1,984	55,000	-	(1,984)	-	-	-	53,016
Issuance of 3,000,000 common shares, net of issuance costs of \$172	-	30	81,068	-	-	-	81,098
Grant of share and unit options, net of Forfeitures, and 138,000 restricted shares	-	1	3,080	-	(3,081)	-	-
Adjustment for minority interest in Operating Partnership	-	-	(20,019)	-	-	-	(20,019)
Common dividends (\$1.28 per share)	-	-	-	(36,321)	-	-	(36,321)
Balance, December 31, 2005	55,000	307	338,688	(140,738)	(5,501)	2,458	250,214
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	-	37,309	-	-	37,309
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	770	770
	-	-	-	37,309	-	770	38,079

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Total comprehensive income							
Reclassification of deferred compensation	-	-	(5,501)	-	5,501	-	-
Compensation under Incentive Award Plan	-	-	2,675	-	-	-	2,675
Issuance of 130,620 common shares upon exercise of options	-	1	2,381	-	-	-	2,382
Issuance of 800,000 7.5% Class C preferred shares, net of issuance costs of \$555	20,000	-	(555)	-	-	-	19,445
Grant of 162,000 restricted shares, net of forfeitures	-	2	(2)	-	-	-	-
Adjustment for minority interest in Operating Partnership	-	-	8,675	-	-	-	8,675
Preferred dividends (\$1.8802 per share)	-	-	-	(5,262)	-	-	(5,262)
Common dividends (\$1.343 per share)	-	-	-	(41,532)	-	-	(41,532)
Balance, December 31, 2006	75,000	310	346,361	(150,223)	-	3,228	274,676
Comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	-	28,576	-	-	28,576
Other comprehensive (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	(9,529)	(9,529)
Total comprehensive income	-	-	-	28,576	-	(9,529)	19,047
Compensation under Incentive Award Plan	-	-	4,059	-	-	-	4,059
Issuance of 117,905 common shares upon exercise of options	-	1	2,084	-	-	-	2,085
Grant of 170,000 restricted shares, net of forfeitures	-	2	(2)	-	-	-	-
Adjustment for minority interest in Operating Partnership	-	-	(685)	-	-	-	(685)
Preferred dividends (\$1.875 per share)	-	-	-	(5,625)	-	-	(5,625)
Common dividends (\$1.42 per share)	-	-	-	(44,353)	-	-	(44,353)
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$ 75,000	\$ 313	\$ 351,817	\$ (171,625)	\$ -	\$ (6,301)	\$ 249,204

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F - 4

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 28,576	\$ 37,309	\$ 5,089
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization (including discontinued operations)	63,954	57,319	48,888
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,738	1,702	1,691
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	(1,473)	(1,268)	(879)
Distributions received from unconsolidated joint ventures	3,220	2,300	2,000
Consolidated joint venture minority interest	---	---	24,043
Operating partnership minority interest (including discontinued operations)	4,514	6,324	988
Compensation expense related to restricted shares and options granted	4,059	2,675	1,565
Amortization of debt premiums and discounts, net	(2,584)	(2,507)	(2,719)
(Gain) loss on sale of real estate	(6)	(13,833)	4,690
Gain on sale of outparcels of land	---	(402)	(1,554)
Net accretion of market rent rate adjustment	(1,147)	(1,464)	(741)
Straight-line base rent adjustment	(2,868)	(2,219)	(1,750)
Increases (decreases) due to changes in:			
Other assets	(4,861)	259	(4,024)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,466	2,195	6,615
Net cash provided by operating activities	98,588	88,390	83,902
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Acquisition of interest in COROC joint venture	---	---	(285,974)
Additions of rental properties	(85,030)	(79,434)	(44,092)
Additions to investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	---	(2,020)	(7,090)
Return of equity from unconsolidated joint ventures	1,281	---	---
Additions to deferred lease costs	(3,086)	(3,260)	(3,218)
Net proceeds from sales of real estate	2,032	21,378	3,811
Net cash used in investing activities	(84,803)	(63,336)	(336,563)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Cash dividends paid	(49,978)	(46,794)	(36,321)
Distributions to consolidated joint venture minority interest	---	---	(21,386)
Distributions to operating partnership minority interest	(8,616)	(8,145)	(7,766)
Net proceeds from sale of preferred shares	---	19,445	53,016
Net proceeds from sale of common shares	---	---	81,098
Contributions from minority interest partner in consolidated joint venture	---	---	800
Proceeds from borrowings and issuance of debt	152,000	279,175	518,027

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Repayments of debt	(121,911)	(261,942)	(338,865)
Additions to deferred financing costs	(534)	(4,157)	(2,534)
Proceeds from tax incentive financing	7,128	505	---
Proceeds from settlement of US Treasury rate lock	---	---	3,224
Proceeds from exercise of options	2,085	2,382	2,195
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(19,826)	(19,531)	251,488
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(6,041)	5,523	(1,173)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	8,453	2,930	4,103
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 2,412	\$ 8,453	\$ 2,930

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F - 5

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization of the Company

Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries is one of the largest owners and operators of factory outlet centers in the United States. We are a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, which focuses exclusively on developing, acquiring, owning, operating and managing factory outlet shopping centers. As of December 31, 2007, we owned 29 outlet centers, with a total gross leasable area, or GLA, of approximately 8.4 million square feet. All reference to gross leasable area and square feet contained in the notes to the consolidated financial statements are unaudited. These factory outlet centers were 98% occupied and contained over 1,800 stores, representing approximately 370 store brands. Also, we owned a 50% interest in two outlet centers with a total GLA of approximately 667,000 square feet.

Our factory outlet centers and other assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted by, Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. Accordingly, the descriptions of our business, employees and properties are also descriptions of the business, employees and properties of the Operating Partnership. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the term “Company” refers to Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. and subsidiaries and the term “Operating Partnership” refers to Tanger Properties Limited Partnership and subsidiaries. The terms “we”, “our” and “us” refer to the Company or the Company and the Operating Partnership together, as the text requires.

We own the majority of the units of partnership interest issued by the Operating Partnership through our two wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Tanger GP Trust and the Tanger LP Trust. The Tanger GP Trust controls the Operating Partnership as its sole general partner. The Tanger LP Trust holds a limited partnership interest. The Tanger family, through its ownership of the Tanger Family Limited Partnership, or TFLP, holds the remaining units as a limited partner. Stanley K. Tanger, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is the sole general partner of TFLP.

As of December 31, 2007, our wholly-owned subsidiaries owned 15,664,621 units and TFLP owned the remaining 3,033,305 units. Each TFLP unit is exchangeable for two of our common shares, subject to certain limitations to preserve our status as a REIT.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include our accounts, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, as well as the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in real estate joint ventures that represent non-controlling ownership interests are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

In 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised 2003): “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities: An Interpretation of ARB No. 51”, or FIN 46R, which clarifies the application of existing accounting pronouncements to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The provisions of FIN 46R were effective for all variable interests in variable interest entities in 2004 and thereafter. We were considered the primary beneficiary of our joint venture, COROC Holdings, LLC, or COROC, under the provisions of FIN 46R prior to us purchasing the remaining two-thirds interest in the venture in November 2005. Therefore, the results of operations and financial position of COROC were included in our Consolidated Financial Statements since the acquisition date. We have evaluated the Deer Park, Wisconsin Dells and Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint ventures, (Note 4) and have determined that, under the current facts and circumstances, we are not required to consolidate these entities under the

provisions of FIN 46R.

F - 6

Minority Interests – “Minority interest in operating partnership” reflects TFLP’s percentage ownership of the Operating Partnership’s units. Income is allocated to TFLP based on its respective ownership interest. The amount reported as minority interest in operating partnership has been adjusted \$9.1 million during 2006 to reflect a revised rebalancing of the net assets of the operating partnership ascribed to the minority unit holders as of December 31, 2005. The revision is reflected through paid in capital and had no effect on net income.

Related Parties – We account for related party transactions under the guidance of FASB Statement No. 57 “Related Party Disclosures”. TFLP (Note 1) is a related party which holds a limited partnership interest in and is the minority owner of the Operating Partnership. Stanley K. Tanger, the Company’s Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is the sole general partner of TFLP. The only material related party transaction with TFLP is the payment of quarterly distributions of earnings which were \$8.6 million, \$8.1 million and \$7.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The nature of our relationships and the related party transactions for our unconsolidated joint ventures are discussed in Note 4.

Reclassifications - Certain amounts in the 2006 and 2005 consolidated statements of operations have been reclassified to the caption “discontinued operations” as required by FASB Statement No. 144 “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long Lived Assets”, or FAS 144.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Operating Segments - We aggregate the financial information of all centers into one reportable operating segment because the centers all have similar economic characteristics and provide similar products and services to similar types and classes of customers.

Rental Property - Rental properties are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Costs incurred for the construction and development of properties, including certain general and overhead costs, are capitalized. The amount of general and overhead costs capitalized is based on our estimate of the amount of costs directly related to the construction or development of these assets. Direct costs to acquire assets are capitalized once the acquisition becomes probable. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. We generally use estimated lives ranging from 25 to 33 years for buildings and improvements, 15 years for land improvements and seven years for equipment. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred while significant renovations and improvements, including tenant finishing allowances, which improve and/or extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful life. Interest costs are capitalized during periods of active construction for qualified expenditures based upon interest rates in place during the construction period until construction is substantially complete. Capitalized interest costs are amortized over lives which are consistent with the constructed assets.

In accordance with FASB Statement No. 141 “Business Combinations”, or FAS 141, we allocate the purchase price of acquisitions based on the fair value of land, building, tenant improvements, debt and deferred lease costs and other intangibles, such as the value of leases with above or below market rents, origination costs associated with the in-place leases, the value of in-place leases and tenant relationships, if any. We depreciate the amount allocated to building, deferred lease costs and other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives, which generally range from three to 33.3 years. The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. The values of below market leases that are considered to have renewal periods with below market rents are amortized over the remaining term of the associated lease plus the renewal periods. The value associated with in-place leases is amortized over the remaining lease term and tenant relationships is amortized over the expected term, which includes an estimated probability of the lease renewal. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangibles is written off. The tenant improvements and origination costs are amortized as an expense over the remaining life of the lease (or charged against earnings if the lease is terminated prior to its contractual expiration date). We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information.

Buildings, improvements and fixtures consist primarily of permanent buildings and improvements made to land such as landscaping and infrastructure and costs incurred in providing rental space to tenants. Interest costs capitalized during 2007, 2006 and 2005 amounted to \$1.7 million, \$2.2 million and \$665,000, respectively, and development costs capitalized amounted to \$1.4 million, \$944,000 and \$685,000, respectively. Depreciation expense related to rental property included in income from continuing operations for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$50.4 million, \$40.2 million and \$37.4 million, respectively.

The pre-construction stage of project development involves certain costs to secure land control and zoning and complete other initial tasks essential to the development of the project. Direct costs to acquire assets are capitalized once the acquisition becomes probable. These costs are transferred from other assets to construction in progress when the pre-construction tasks are completed. Costs of unsuccessful pre-construction or acquisition efforts are charged to operations when the project is abandoned.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - All highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase are considered to be cash equivalents. Cash balances at a limited number of banks may periodically exceed insurable amounts. We believe that we mitigate our risk by investing in or through major financial institutions. Recoverability of investments is dependent upon the performance of the issuer. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, we had cash equivalent investments in highly liquid money market accounts at major financial institutions of \$958,000 and \$7.7 million.

Deferred Charges – Deferred charges includes deferred lease costs and other intangible assets consisting of fees and costs incurred, including certain general and overhead costs, to originate operating leases and are amortized over the average minimum lease term of 5 years. Deferred lease costs and other intangible assets also include the value of leases and origination costs deemed to have been acquired in real estate acquisitions in accordance with FAS 141. See “Rental Property” under this section above for a discussion. Deferred financing costs include fees and costs incurred to obtain long-term financing and are amortized over the terms of the respective loans using the straight line method which approximates the effective interest method. Unamortized deferred financing costs are charged to expense when debt is retired before the maturity date.

Guarantees of Indebtedness - In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantors Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others", or FIN 45, which addresses the disclosure to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under guarantees. FIN 45 applies to all guarantees entered into or modified after December 31, 2002. Based on this criterion, the guarantees of indebtedness by us in Deer Park and Wisconsin Dells (Note 4) are accounted for under the provisions of FIN 45. FIN 45 requires the guarantor to recognize a liability for the non-contingent component of the guarantee; this is the obligation to stand ready to perform in the event that specified triggering events or conditions occur. The initial measurement of this liability is the fair value of the guarantee at inception. The recognition of the liability is required even if it is not probable payments will be required under the guarantee or if the guarantee was issued with a premium payment or as part of a transaction with multiple elements. We recorded at inception the fair value of our guarantees of the Deer Park and Wisconsin Dells joint venture's debt as debits to our investments in Deer Park and Wisconsin Dells and credits to a liability of approximately \$1.4 million in total. We have elected to account for the release from obligation under the guarantees by the straight-line method over the life of the guarantees. The recorded remaining values of the guarantees were \$1.0 million and \$331,000 at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Captive Insurance – Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Northline Indemnity, LLC, is responsible for losses up to certain levels for property damage (including wind damage from hurricanes) prior to third-party insurance coverage. Insurance losses are reflected in property operating expenses and include estimates of costs incurred, both reported and unreported. Insurance premiums written are recognized on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies and are included in other income.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets – Rental property held and used by us is reviewed for impairment in the event that facts and circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset group may not be recoverable. In such an event, we compare the estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset group to the asset group's carrying amount, and if less, recognize an impairment loss in an amount by which the carrying amount exceeds its fair value. Fair value is calculated as the estimated, discounted future cash flows associated with the asset. We believe that no impairment existed at December 31, 2007.

Real estate assets designated as held for sale are stated at the lower of their carrying value or their fair value less costs to sell. We classify real estate as held for sale when it meets the requirements of FASB Statement No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets", or FAS 144, and our Board of Directors approves the sale of the assets. Subsequent to this classification, no further depreciation is recorded on the assets. The operating results of real estate assets designated as held for sale and for assets sold are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented in our results of operations.

Derivatives - We selectively enter into interest rate protection agreements to mitigate changes in interest rates on our variable rate borrowings. The notional amounts of such agreements are used to measure the interest to be paid or received and do not represent the amount of exposure to loss. None of these agreements are used for speculative or trading purposes.

We recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets and measure those instruments at their fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" as amended by FAS 137 and FAS 138, collectively FAS 133. FAS 133 also requires us to measure the effectiveness, as defined by FAS 133, of all derivatives. We formally document our derivative transactions, including identifying the hedge instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk management objectives and strategies for entering into the hedge transaction. At inception and on a quarterly basis thereafter, we assess the effectiveness of derivatives used to hedge transactions. If a cash flow hedge is deemed effective, we record the change in fair value in other comprehensive income. If after assessment it is determined that a portion of the derivative is

ineffective, then that portion of the derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings.

F - 9

Income Taxes - We operate in a manner intended to enable us to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code. A REIT which distributes at least 90% of its taxable income to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions is not taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. We intend to continue to qualify as a REIT and to distribute substantially all of our taxable income to our shareholders. Accordingly, no provision has been made for Federal income taxes. In addition, we continue to evaluate uncertain tax positions in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109". Concurrent with its adoption on January 1, 2007, we did not record a provision for uncertain income tax benefits, and this has remained unchanged. The tax years 2004 – 2007 remain open to examination by the major tax jurisdictions to which we are subject.

In November 2005, we issued 7.5% Class C Cumulative Preferred Shares (liquidation preference \$25.00 per share), or Class C Preferred Shares. We paid preferred dividends per share of \$1.875 in 2007, all of which was treated as ordinary income. In 2006, we paid \$1.88 per share, of which \$1.71 was treated as ordinary income and \$.17 of which was treated as a capital gain distribution.

For income tax purposes, distributions paid to common shareholders consist of ordinary income, capital gains, return of capital or a combination thereof. Dividends per share were taxable as follows:

Common dividends per share:	2007	2006	2005
Ordinary income	\$ 1.320	\$ 1.118	\$.640
Capital gain	---	.123	---
Return of capital	.100	.102	.640
	\$ 1.420	\$ 1.343	\$ 1.280

The following reconciles net income available to common shareholders to taxable income available to common shareholders for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	2007	2006	2005
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 22,951	\$ 31,876	\$ 4,551
Book/tax difference on:			
Depreciation and amortization	19,474	16,606	7,469
Gain (loss) on sale of real estate	(1,018)	(8,812)	167
COROC income allocation	---	---	5,219
Stock option compensation	(2,653)	(1,761)	(1,666)
Other differences	(5,096)	(5,574)	(549)
Taxable income available to common shareholders	\$ 33,658	\$ 32,335	\$ 15,191

Revenue Recognition – Base rentals are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Substantially all leases contain provisions which provide additional rents based on tenants' sales volume ("percentage rentals") and reimbursement of the tenants' share of advertising and promotion, common area maintenance, insurance and real estate tax expenses. Percentage rentals are recognized when specified targets that trigger the contingent rent are met. Expense reimbursements are recognized in the period the applicable expenses are incurred. Payments received from the early termination of leases are recognized as revenue from the time the payment is receivable until the tenant vacates the space. The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related above or below market lease value will be written off.

We provide management, leasing and development services for a fee for certain properties that are not owned by us or that we partially own through a joint venture. Fees received for these services are recognized as other income when earned.

Concentration of Credit Risk - We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants. Although the tenants operate principally in the retail industry, the properties are geographically diverse. No single tenant accounted for 10% or more of combined base and percentage rental income during 2007, 2006 or 2005.

F - 10

The Rehoboth Beach, Delaware center is the only property that comprises more than 10% of our consolidated gross revenues or consolidated total assets. The Rehoboth center, acquired in December 2003, represents 11% of our consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2007. The Rehoboth center is 568,926 square feet. The occupancy rate as of December 31, 2007 for the Rehoboth Beach center was 99%.

Supplemental Cash Flow Information - We purchase capital equipment and incur costs relating to construction of new facilities, including tenant finishing allowances. Expenditures included in construction trade payables as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 amounted to \$23.8 million, \$23.5 million and \$13.5 million, respectively. Interest paid, net of interest capitalized, in 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$40.5 million, \$40.2 million and \$41.5 million, respectively. Interest paid for 2006 includes a prepayment premium for the early extinguishment of the Woodman of the World Life Insurance Society mortgage debt (Note 7) of approximately \$609,000 which was included in interest expense. Interest paid for 2005 includes a prepayment premium for the early extinguishment of the John Hancock mortgage debt (Note 7) of approximately \$9.4 million which was included in interest expense.

A non-cash financing activity that occurred in association with the acquisition in 2005 of the final two-thirds interest in COROC was the recording of a reduction in the fair value of debt of \$883,000 related to the mortgage assumed in the original COROC transaction.

Accounting for Stock Based Compensation - We may issue non-qualified share options and other share-based awards under the Amended and Restated Incentive Award Plan, or the Incentive Award Plan. Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment", or FAS 123R, under the modified prospective method. Since we had previously accounted for our share-based compensation plan under the fair value provisions of FAS No. 123, our adoption did not significantly impact our financial position or our results of operations. As required by the statement, deferred compensation as of December 31, 2005, which was set forth separately in the Shareholders' equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets, was reclassified to additional paid in capital during 2006. Compensation expense recognized in 2006 and for future years is now recorded as an increase to additional paid in capital.

New Accounting Pronouncements - In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," or FAS 157. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of this standard apply to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The FASB has deferred the effective date by one year the provisions of FAS 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis. We believe that the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2008 will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements; however we believe it will likely be required to provide additional disclosures as a part of future financial statements, beginning with the Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" or FAS 159. FAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. FAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar type of assets and liabilities. We do not believe the adoption of FAS 159 on January 1, 2008 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007) “Business Combinations”, or FAS 141R. FAS 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which means that we will adopt FAS 141R on January 1, 2009. FAS 141R replaces FAS 141 “Business Combinations” and requires that the acquisition method of accounting (which FAS 141 called the purchase method) be used for all business combinations, as well as for an acquirer to be identified for each business combination. FAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer: (i) recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree; (ii) recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and (iii) determines what information to disclose to enable users of financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial affects of the business combination. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption of FAS 141R on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160 “Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51”, or FAS 160. FAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, which means that we will adopt FAS 160 on January 1, 2009. This statement amends ARB 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the non-controlling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FAS 160 changes accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. FAS 160 requires retroactive adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for existing minority interests. All other requirements of SFAS 160 shall be applied prospectively. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption of FAS 160 on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

3. Development of Rental Properties

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

During the fourth quarter of 2006, we closed on the acquisition of our development site located south of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania in Washington County for \$4.8 million. In response to strong tenant demand for space, we increased the size of the initial phase of the Pittsburgh center from 308,000 square feet to 370,000 square feet, with signed leases for approximately 63% of the first phase and an additional 20% under negotiation or out for signature. We currently expect delivery of the initial phase in the second quarter of 2008, with stores opening by the end of the third quarter of 2008. Upon completion of the project, the outlet center will total approximately 418,000 square feet. The Pittsburgh project is wholly owned by us. Tax incentive financing bonds have been issued related to the Pittsburgh project, and we expect to receive net proceeds of approximately \$16.8 million as we incur qualifying expenditures during construction of the center. As of December 31, 2007 we have received funding for qualified expenditures submitted totaling \$7.6 million.

Expansions at Existing Centers

During 2007, we completed expansions in three of our outlet centers.

Center	Expansion GLA	New Total Center GLA
Gonzales, Louisiana	39,000	282,000
Branson, Missouri	25,000	303,000
Tilton, New Hampshire	18,000	246,000
Total expansions	82,000	

A fourth expansion project, in Barstow, California, is near completion. Some stores opened during the fourth quarter of 2007 and the remaining stores will open during the first two quarters of 2008. The total expansion in Barstow of 62,000 square feet will bring the center's total GLA to 171,300 square feet.

Commitments to complete construction of the Pittsburgh, PA development, the expansions and other capital expenditure requirements amounted to approximately \$49.3 million at December 31, 2007. Commitments for construction represent only those costs contractually required to be paid by us.

Change in Accounting Estimate

During the first quarter of 2007, our Board of Directors formally approved a plan to reconfigure our center in Foley, Alabama. As a part of this plan, approximately 42,000 square feet was relocated within the property by September 2007. The depreciable useful lives of the buildings demolished were shortened to coincide with their demolition dates throughout the first three quarters of 2007 and the change in estimated useful life was accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. Approximately 28,000 relocated square feet had opened as of December 31, 2007 with the remaining 14,000 square feet expected to open in the next two quarters. Accelerated depreciation recognized related to the reconfiguration reduced income from continuing operations and net income by approximately \$5.0 million, net of minority interest of approximately \$982,000, for the year ended December 31, 2007. The effect on income from continuing operations per diluted share and net income per diluted share was a decrease of \$.16 per share for the year ended December 31, 2007.

4. Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Joint Ventures

Our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 aggregated \$10.7 million and \$14.5 million, respectively. We have evaluated the accounting treatment for each of the joint ventures under the guidance of FIN 46R and have concluded based on the current facts and circumstances that the equity method of accounting should be used to account for the individual joint ventures. We are members of the following unconsolidated real estate joint ventures:

Joint Venture	Our Ownership %	Carrying Value as of December 31, 2007 (in millions)	Carrying Value as of December 31, 2006 (in millions)	Project Location
Myrtle Beach Hwy 17	50%	\$0.9	\$2.6	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina
Wisconsin Dells	50%	\$6.0	\$6.8	Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin
Deer Park	33%	\$3.8	\$5.1	Deer Park, New York

Our Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 and Wisconsin Dells joint ventures are not variable interest entities. Our Deer Park joint venture is a variable interest entity but we are not considered the primary beneficiary. These investments are recorded initially at cost and subsequently adjusted for our equity in the venture's net income (loss) and cash contributions and distributions. Our investments in real estate joint ventures are reduced by 50% of the profits earned for leasing and development services we provided to Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 and Wisconsin Dells joint ventures. The following management, leasing, marketing and development fees were recognized from services provided during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

Fee:	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Management	\$ 534	\$ 410	\$ 327
Leasing	26	188	6
Marketing	108	86	66
Development	---	304	---

Total Fees	\$ 668	\$ 988	\$ 399
------------	--------	--------	--------

Our carrying value of investments in unconsolidated joint ventures differs from our share of the assets reported in the “Summary Balance Sheets – Unconsolidated Joint Ventures” shown below due to adjustments to the book basis, including intercompany profits on sales of services that are capitalized by the unconsolidated joint ventures. The differences in basis are amortized over the various useful lives of the related assets.

F - 13

Myrtle Beach Hwy 17

The Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture, in which we have a 50% ownership interest, has owned a Tanger Outlet Center located on Highway 17 in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina since June 2002. The Myrtle Beach center now consists of approximately 402,000 square feet and has over 90 name brand tenants.

During March 2005, Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Bank of America with a notional amount of \$35 million for five years. Under this agreement, the joint venture receives a floating interest rate based on the 30 day LIBOR index and pays a fixed interest rate of 4.59%. This swap effectively changes the rate of interest on \$35 million of variable rate mortgage debt to a fixed rate of 5.99% for the contract period.

In April 2005, the joint venture obtained non-recourse, permanent financing to replace the construction loan debt that was utilized to build the outlet center. The new mortgage amount is \$35.8 million with a rate of 30 day LIBOR + 1.40%. The note is for a term of five years with payments of interest only. In April 2010, the joint venture has the option to extend the maturity date of the loan until 2012. All debt incurred by this unconsolidated joint venture is collateralized by its property.

Wisconsin Dells

In March 2005, we established the Tanger Wisconsin Dells joint venture to construct and own a Tanger Outlet center in Wisconsin Dells, Wisconsin. The 264,900 square foot center opened in August 2006. In February 2006, in conjunction with the construction of the center, the Wisconsin Dells joint venture closed on a construction loan in the amount of \$30.3 million with Wells Fargo Bank, NA due in February 2009. The construction loan is repayable on an interest only basis with interest floating based on the 30, 60 or 90 day LIBOR index plus 1.30%. The construction loan incurred by this unconsolidated joint venture is collateralized by its property as well as joint and several guarantees by us and designated guarantors of our venture partner. During the second quarter of 2007, the Wisconsin Dells joint venture received \$5.0 million in tax incentive financing proceeds which were used to repay amounts outstanding on the construction loan. The construction loan balance as of December 31, 2007 was approximately \$25.3 million.

Deer Park

In October 2003, we established the Deer Park joint venture to develop and own a Tanger Outlet center in Deer Park, New York. Construction has begun on the initial phase that will contain approximately 682,000 square feet including a 32,000 square foot Neiman Marcus Last Call store, which will be the first and only one on Long Island. Other tenants will include Anne Klein, Banana Republic, BCBG, Christmas Tree Shops, Disney, Eddie Bauer, Reebok, New York Sports Club and many more. Regal Cinemas has also leased 71,000 square feet for a 16-screen Cineplex, one of the few state of the art cineplexes on Long Island. We currently expect to open the first phase of the center during the third quarter of 2008. Upon completion of the project, the shopping center will contain over 800,000 square feet.

In May 2007, the joint venture closed on a \$284 million construction loan for the project, arranged by Bank of America with a weighted average interest rate of 30 day LIBOR plus 1.49%. Over the life of the loan, if certain criteria are met, the weighted average interest rate can decrease to 30 day LIBOR plus 1.23%. The loan, which had a balance as of December 31, 2007 of \$87.3 million, is originally scheduled to mature in May 2010 with a one year extension option at that date. The loan is collateralized by the property as well as joint and several guarantees by all three venture partners. The joint venture entered into two interest rate swap agreements during June 2007. The first swap is for a notional amount of \$49.0 million and the second is a forward starting interest rate swap agreement with escalating notional amounts that totaled \$22.3 million as of December 31, 2007. The notional amount of the forward starting interest rate swap agreement will total \$121.0 million by November 1, 2008. The agreements expire on June

1, 2009. These swaps will effectively change the rate of interest on up to \$170.0 million of variable rate construction debt to a fixed rate of 6.75%. See Note 8, Derivatives, for further discussion relating to these interest rate swap agreements.

The original purchase of the property was in the form of a sale-leaseback transaction, which consisted of the sale of the property to Deer Park for \$29.0 million, including a 900,000 square foot industrial building, which was then leased back to the seller under an operating lease agreement. Through May 2006, the Deer Park joint venture accounted for the lease revenues under the provisions of FASB Statement No. 67 "Accounting for Costs and Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Projects", where the rent received from the tenant prior to May 2005 and that accrued from June 2005 through May 2006, net of applicable expenses, were treated as incidental revenues and were recorded as a reduction in the basis of the assets.

At the end of the lease in May 2005, the tenant vacated the building. However, the tenant did not satisfy all of the conditions necessary to terminate the lease until May 2006. Deer Park is currently in litigation to recover from the tenant its monthly lease payments from June 2005 through May 2006, approximately \$3.4 million, and will continue to do so until recovered. Deer Park discontinued the accrual of rental revenues associated with the sale-leaseback transaction as of May 2006.

Condensed combined summary financial information of joint ventures accounted for using the equity method is as follows (in thousands):

Summary Balance Sheets– Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

	2007	2006
Assets		
Investment properties at cost, net	\$ 71,022	\$ 74,253
Construction in progress	103,568	38,449
Cash and cash equivalents	2,282	6,539
Deferred charges, net	2,092	2,824
Other assets	8,425	15,239
Total assets	\$ 187,389	\$ 137,304
Liabilities and Owners' Equity		
Mortgage payable	\$ 148,321	\$ 100,138
Construction trade payables	13,052	2,734
Accounts payable and other liabilities	6,377	2,767
Total liabilities	167,750	105,639
Owners' equity	19,639	31,665
Total liabilities and owners' equity	\$ 187,389	\$ 137,304

Summary Statements of Operations– Unconsolidated Joint Ventures:

	2007	2006	2005
Revenues	\$ 19,414	\$ 14,703	\$ 10,909
Expenses:			
Property operating	6,894	5,415	3,979
General and administrative	248	213	24
Depreciation and amortization	5,473	3,781	3,102
Total expenses	12,615	9,409	7,105
Operating income	6,799	5,294	3,804
Interest expense	4,129	2,907	2,161
Net income	\$ 2,670	\$ 2,387	\$ 1,643

Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. share of:

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Net income	\$ 1,473	\$ 1,268	\$ 879
Depreciation (real estate related)	\$ 2,611	\$ 1,825	\$ 1,493

F - 15

5. Disposition of Properties and Properties Held for Sale

2007 Transactions

In October 2007, we completed the sale of our property in Boaz, Alabama. Net proceeds received from the sale of the property were approximately \$2.0 million. We recorded a gain on sale of real estate of approximately \$6,000. The results of operations and gain on sale of real estate for the property are included in discontinued operations under the provisions of FAS 144. We were not retained for any management or leasing responsibilities related to this center after the sale was completed.

2006 Transactions

In January 2006, we completed the sale of our property in Pigeon Forge, Tennessee. Net proceeds received from the sale of the property were approximately \$6.0 million. We recorded a gain on sale of real estate of approximately \$3.6 million. We continued to manage and lease the property for a fee until December 31, 2007. Based on the nature and amounts of the fees received, we determined that our management relationship did not constitute a significant continuing involvement, and therefore the results of operations and gain on sale of real estate for the property are included in discontinued operations under the provisions of FAS 144.

In March 2006, we completed the sale of our property located in North Branch, Minnesota. Net proceeds received from the sale of the property were approximately \$14.2 million. We recorded a gain on sale of real estate of approximately \$10.3 million. We continued to manage and lease this property for a fee until December 31, 2007. Based on the nature and amount of the fees received, we determined that our management relationship did not constitute a significant continuing involvement and therefore the results of operations and gain on sale of real estate for the property are included in discontinued operations under the provisions of FAS 144.

2005 Transactions

In February 2005, we completed the sale of the outlet center on a portion of our property located in Seymour, Indiana and recognized a loss of \$3.8 million, net of minority interest of \$847,000. Net proceeds received from the sale of the center were approximately \$2.0 million. We continue to have a significant involvement in this location by retaining several outparcels and significant excess land adjacent to the disposed property. As such, the results of operations from the property continue to be recorded as a component of income from continuing operations and the loss on sale of real estate is reflected outside the discontinued operations caption.

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Below is a summary of the results of operations of the disposed properties through their respective disposition dates and properties held for sale as presented in discontinued operations for the respective periods (in thousands):

Summary Statements of Operations –

Disposed Properties:	2007	2006	2005
Revenues:			
Base rentals	\$ 417	\$ 1,043	\$ 3,276
Percentage rentals	1	12	71
Expense reimbursements	138	354	1,474
Other income	18	37	1,601
Total revenues	574	1,446	6,422
Expenses:			
Property operating	317	774	2,422
Depreciation and amortization	145	307	911
Total expenses	462	1,081	3,333
Discontinued operations before gain on sale of real estate	112	365	3,089
Gain on sale of real estate included in discontinued operations	6	13,833	---
Discontinued operations before minority interest	118	14,198	3,089
Minority interest	(20)	(2,354)	(529)
Discontinued operations	\$ 98	\$ 11,844	\$ 2,560

Outparcel Sales

Gains on sale of outparcels are included in other income in the consolidated statements of operations to the extent the outlet center at which it is located has not been sold. Cost is allocated to the outparcels based on the relative market value method. Below is a summary of outparcel sales that we completed during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands, except number of outparcels):

	2007	2006	2005 (1)
Number of outparcels	---	4	1
Net proceeds	\$ ---	\$ 1,150	\$ 252
Gain on sale included in other income	\$ ---	\$ 402	\$ 127

(1) Note that these amounts exclude the sale of an outparcel at the North Branch, Minnesota center in 2005. The North Branch center was sold in March 2006 and is classified in discontinued operations. The gain on sale of outparcel totaled \$1.4 million and is included in other income in the summary statements of operations of disposed properties.

6. Deferred Charges

Deferred charges as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 consist of the following (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Deferred lease costs	\$ 26,751	\$ 23,757
Net below market leases	(5,014)	(5,369)
Other intangibles	73,684	77,423
Deferred financing costs	11,105	10,571

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

	106,526	106,382
Accumulated amortization	(61,722)	(51,293)
	\$ 44,804	\$ 55,089

F - 17

Amortization of deferred lease costs and other intangibles included in income from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$12.0 million, \$15.1 million and \$9.6 million, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs included in interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.7 million in each year, respectively. The amortization amounts for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 include the write off of deferred loan costs of \$308,000 and \$447,000, respectively, related to the early extinguishment of debt.

Estimated aggregate amortization expense of net below market leases and other intangibles for each of the five succeeding years is as follows (in thousands):

Year	Amount
2008	\$ 7,202
2009	7,052
2010	6,262
2011	4,349
2012	2,604
Total	\$ 27,469

7. Debt

Debt as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 consists of the following (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Senior, unsecured notes:		
9.125% Senior, unsecured notes, maturing February 2008	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
6.15% Senior, unsecured notes, maturing November 2015, net of discount of \$759 and \$832, respectively	249,241	249,168
3.75% Senior, unsecured exchangeable notes, maturing August 2026	149,500	149,500
Mortgage notes with fixed interest:		
6.59%, maturing July 2008, including net premium of \$1,046 and \$3,441, respectively (1)	173,724	179,911
Unsecured lines of credit with a weighted average interest rates of 5.67%(2)	33,880	---
	\$ 706,345	\$ 678,579

- (1) Because this mortgage debt was assumed as part of an acquisition of a portfolio of outlet centers, the debt was recorded at its fair value and carries an effective interest rate of 5.18%. On July 10, 2008, we can decide to repay the loan in full, or we can continue to make monthly payments on the loan at a revised interest rate of 8.59%. We can then repay the loan in full on any monthly payment date without penalty. The final maturity date on the loan is July 10, 2028.
- (2) Depending on our investment grade rating, on \$25.0 million of our unsecured lines of credit, the interest rate varies from either prime or from LIBOR + .675% to LIBOR + 1.70% and expires in June 2009. On the remaining \$175.0 million of our unsecured lines of credit, the interest rates vary from either prime or from LIBOR + .55% to LIBOR + 1.70% and expire in June 2011 or later.

Certain of our properties, which had a net book value of approximately \$332.5 million at December 31, 2007, serve as collateral for the fixed rate mortgage. The unsecured lines of credit and senior unsecured notes require the maintenance of certain ratios, including debt service coverage and leverage, and limit the payment of dividends such that dividends and distributions will not exceed funds from operations, as defined in the agreements, for the prior fiscal year on an annual basis or 95% of funds from operations on a cumulative basis. As of December 31, 2007 we were in compliance with all of our debt covenants.

2007 Transactions

During the fourth quarter of 2007, we extended the maturity dates on five of our six unsecured lines of credit from 2009 to June 2011. During the first quarter of 2008, we increased the maximum availability under our existing unsecured credit facilities by \$125.0 million, bringing our total availability to \$325.0 million. The terms of the increases are identical to those included within the existing unsecured credit facilities with the borrowing rate ranging from LIBOR plus 75 basis points to LIBOR plus 85 basis points.

2006 Transactions

In August 2006, the Operating Partnership issued \$149.5 million of exchangeable senior unsecured notes that mature on August 15, 2026. The notes bear interest at a fixed coupon rate of 3.75%. The notes are exchangeable into the Company's common shares, at the option of the holder, at a current exchange ratio, subject to adjustment if we change our dividend rate in the future, of 27.6991 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (or a current exchange price of \$36.1023 per common share). The notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and are guaranteed by the Company on a senior unsecured basis. On and after August 18, 2011, holders may exchange their notes for cash in an amount equal to the lesser of the exchange value and the aggregate principal amount of the notes to be exchanged, and, at our option, Company common shares, cash or a combination thereof for any excess. Note holders may exchange their notes prior to August 18, 2011 only upon the occurrence of specified events. In addition, on August 18, 2011, August 15, 2016 or August 15, 2021, note holders may require us to repurchase the notes for an amount equal to the principal amount of the notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest up to, but excluding, the repurchase date. In no event will the total number of common shares issuable upon exchange exceed 4.9 million, subject to adjustments for dividend rate changes. Accordingly, we have reserved those shares.

We used the net proceeds from the issuance to repay in full our mortgage debt outstanding with Woodman of the World Life Insurance Society totaling approximately \$15.3 million, with an interest rate of 8.86% and an original maturity of September 2010. We also repaid all amounts outstanding under our unsecured lines of credit and a \$53.5 million variable rate unsecured term loan with Wells Fargo with a weighted average interest rate of approximately 6.3%. As a result of the early repayment, we recognized a charge for the early extinguishment of the mortgages and term loan of approximately \$917,000. The charge, which is included in interest expense, consisted of a prepayment premium of approximately \$609,000 and the write-off of deferred loan fees totaling approximately \$308,000.

2005 Transactions

In October 2005, we repaid in full our mortgage debt outstanding with John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Company totaling approximately \$77.4 million, with interest rates ranging from 7.875% to 7.98% and an original maturity date of April 1, 2009. As a result of the early repayment, we recognized a charge for the early extinguishment of the John Hancock mortgage debt of approximately \$9.9 million. The charge, which is included in interest expense, was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005 and consisted of a prepayment premium of approximately \$9.4 million and the write-off of deferred loan fees totaling approximately \$0.5 million.

In November 2005, we closed on \$250 million of 6.15% senior unsecured notes with net proceeds of approximately \$247.2 million. The ten year notes were issued by the Operating Partnership and were priced at 99.635% of par value. The proceeds were used to fund a portion of the COROC acquisition in 2005.

Maturities of the existing long-term debt as of December 31, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

Year		Amount
2008		\$ 272,678
2009		---
2010		---
2011		33,880
2012		---
Thereafter		399,500
Subtotal	706,058	
Net premium		287
Total		\$ 706,345

8. Derivatives and Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In June 2007, the Deer Park joint venture entered into two interest rate swap agreements to hedge the interest rate risk associated with the construction loan discussed in Note 4 above. The first swap is for a notional amount of \$49.0 million and the second is a forward starting interest rate swap agreement with escalating notional amounts that totaled \$22.3 million as of December 31, 2007. The notional amount of the forward starting interest rate swap agreement will total \$121.0 million by November 1, 2008. The agreements expire on June 1, 2009. These swaps will effectively change the rate of interest on up to \$170.0 million of variable rate mortgage debt to a fixed rate of 6.75%. Deer Park's interest rate swap agreements have been designated as cash flow hedges and are carried on its balance sheet at fair value.

In September 2005, we entered into two forward starting interest rate lock protection agreements to hedge risks related to anticipated future financings in 2005 and 2008. The 2005 agreement locked the US Treasury index rate at 4.279% on a notional amount of \$125 million for 10 years from such date in December 2005. This lock was unwound in the fourth quarter of 2005 in conjunction with the issuance of the \$250 million of 6.15% senior unsecured notes due in 2015 discussed in Note 7 and, as a result, we received a cash payment of \$3.2 million. The gain was recorded in other comprehensive income and is being amortized into earnings using the effective interest method over a 10 year period that coincides with the interest payments associated with the 6.15% senior unsecured notes due in 2015. The unamortized balance as of December 31, 2007 is \$2.7 million. The 2008 agreement locked the US Treasury index rate at 4.526% on a notional amount of \$100 million for 10 years from such date in July 2008. In November 2005, we entered into an additional agreement which locked the US Treasury index rate at 4.715% on a notional amount of \$100 million for 10 years from such date in July 2008. We anticipate unsecured debt transactions of at least the notional amount to occur in the designated periods. The US Treasury index rate lock agreements have been designated as cash flow hedges and are carried on the balance sheet at fair value.

During March 2005, the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$35 million for five years to hedge floating rate debt on the permanent financing that was obtained in April 2005. Under this agreement, the joint venture receives a floating interest rate based on the 30 day LIBOR index and pays a fixed interest rate of 4.59%. This swap effectively changes the rate of interest on \$35 million of variable rate mortgage debt to a fixed rate debt of 5.99% for the contract period. The Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture's interest rate swap agreement has been designated as a cash flow hedge and is carried on its balance sheet at fair value.

In accordance with our derivatives policy, all derivatives are assessed for effectiveness at the time the contracts are entered into and are assessed for effectiveness on an on-going basis at each quarter end. All of our derivatives have been designated as cash flow hedges. Unrealized gains and losses related to the effective portion of our derivatives are recognized in other comprehensive income and gains or losses related to ineffective portions are recognized in the income statement. At December 31, 2007, all of our derivatives were considered effective.

The following table summarizes the notional values and fair values of our derivative financial instruments as of December 31, 2007.

Financial Instrument Type	Notional Amount	Rate	Maturity	Fair Value
TANGER PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP				
US Treasury Lock	\$100,000,000	4.526%	July 2008	\$(3,659,000)
US Treasury Lock	\$100,000,000	4.715%	July 2008	\$(5,095,000)
DEER PARK				
LIBOR Interest Rate Swap (1)	\$49,000,000	5.47%	June 2009	\$(1,217,000)
LIBOR Interest Rate Swap (2)	\$22,300,000	5.34%	June 2009	\$(2,136,000)
MYRTLE BEACH HWY 17				
LIBOR Interest Rate Swap (3)	\$ 35,000,000	4.59%	March 2010	\$ (644,000)

(1) Amount represents the fair value recorded at the Deer Park joint venture, in which we have a 33.3% ownership interest.

(2) Derivative is a forward starting interest rate swap agreement with escalating notional amounts totaling \$22.3 million as of December 31, 2007. Outstanding amounts under the agreement will total \$121.0 million by November 1, 2008. Amount represents the fair value recorded at the Deer Park joint venture, in which we have a 33.3% ownership interest.

(3) Amount represents the fair value recorded at the Myrtle Beach Hwy 17 joint venture, in which we have a 50% ownership interest.

The carrying amount of cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities of these financial instruments. The fair value of long-term debt at December 31, 2007 and 2006, estimated at the present value of future cash flows, discounted at interest rates available at the reporting date for new debt of similar type and remaining maturity, was approximately \$723.3 million and \$723.5 million, respectively. The recorded values were \$706.3 million and \$678.6 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006.

9. Shareholders' Equity

In May 2007, our shareholders voted to approve an amendment to our articles of incorporation to increase the number of common shares authorized for issuance from 50.0 million to 150.0 million. Shareholders also approved by vote the creation of four new classes of preferred shares, each class having 4.0 million shares authorized for issuance with a par value of \$.01 per share. No preferred shares from the newly created classes have been issued as of December 31, 2007.

In February 2006, we completed the sale of an additional 800,000 Class C Preferred Shares with net proceeds of approximately \$19.4 million. The proceeds were used to repay amounts outstanding on our unsecured lines of credit. After the offering, our total amount of Class C Preferred Shares outstanding was 3,000,000.

In November 2005, we closed on the sale of 2,200,000 Class C Preferred Shares with net proceeds of approximately \$53.0 million. The proceeds were used to fund a portion of the COROC acquisition in 2005. We may not redeem our Class C Preferred Shares prior to November 14, 2010, except in limited circumstances to preserve our status as a REIT. On or after November 14, 2010, we may redeem at our option our Class C Preferred Shares, in whole or from time to time in part, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid distributions, if any, to the redemption date. The Class C Preferred Shares have no stated maturity, are not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemptions and are not convertible or exchangeable for any of our other securities. We pay annual dividends equal to \$1.875 per share.

In September 2005, we completed the issuance of 3.0 million of our common shares to certain advisory clients of Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. at a net price of \$27.09 per share, receiving net proceeds of approximately \$81.1 million. The proceeds were used to pay down amounts outstanding on our unsecured lines of credit.

10. Shareholders' Rights Plan

In July 1998, our Board of Directors declared a distribution of one Preferred Share Purchase Right, or Right, for each then outstanding common share to shareholders of record on August 27, 1998, directed and authorized the issuance of one Right with respect to each common share which shall become outstanding prior to the occurrence of certain specified events, and directed that proper provision shall be made for the issuance of Rights to the holders of the Operating Partnership's units upon the occurrence of specified events. The Rights are exercisable only if a person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common shares or announces a tender offer the consummation of which would result in ownership by a person or group of 15% or more of the common shares. Each Right entitles shareholders to buy one-hundredth of a share of a Series B Junior Participating Preferred Shares at an exercise price of \$120, subject to adjustment.

If an acquiring person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common shares, an exercisable Right will entitle its holder (other than the acquirer) to buy, at the Right's then-current exercise price, our common shares having a market value of two times the exercise price of one Right. If an acquirer acquires at least 15%, but less than 50%, of our common shares, the Board may exchange each Right (other than those of the acquirer) for one common share (or one-hundredth of a Series B Junior Participating Preferred Share) per Right. In addition, under certain circumstances, if we are involved in a merger or other business combination where we are not the surviving corporation, an exercisable Right will entitle its holder to buy, at the Right's then-current exercise price, common shares of the acquiring company having a market value of two times the exercise price of one Right. We may redeem the Rights at \$.01 per Right at any time prior to a person or group acquiring a 15% position. The Rights will expire on August 26, 2008.

11. Earnings Per Share

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators in computing earnings per share in accordance with FASB Statement No. 128, "Earnings per Share", for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is set forth as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2007	2006	2005
NUMERATOR			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 28,478	\$ 25,465	\$ 6,372
Loss on sale of real estate	---	---	(3,843)
Less applicable preferred share dividends	(5,625)	(5,433)	(538)
Income from continuing operations available to common shareholders	22,853	20,032	1,991
Discontinued operations	98	11,844	2,560
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 22,951	\$ 31,876	\$ 4,551
DENOMINATOR			
Basic weighted average common shares	30,821	30,599	28,380
Effect of exchangeable notes	478	117	---
Effect of outstanding options	214	240	193
Effect of unvested restricted share awards	155	125	73
Diluted weighted average common shares	31,668	31,081	28,646
Basic earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$.74	\$.65	\$.07
Discontinued operations	---	.39	.09
Net income	\$.74	\$ 1.04	\$.16
Diluted earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$.72	\$.64	\$.07
Discontinued operations	---	.39	.09
Net income	\$.72	\$ 1.03	\$.16

Our \$149.5 million of exchangeable notes are included in the diluted earnings per share computation, if the effect is dilutive, using the treasury stock method. In applying the treasury stock method, the effect will be dilutive if the average market price of our common shares for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days at the end of each quarter is higher than the exchange rate. The exchange rate for 2006 was \$36.1198 per share and was adjusted for 2007 to \$36.1023 to incorporate the May 2007 common share dividend rate increase.

Options to purchase common shares excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share during 2005 because the exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares totaled approximately 7,500 shares. No options were excluded from the 2007 or 2006 computation. The assumed conversion of the units held by TFLP as of the beginning of the year, which would result in the elimination of earnings allocated to the minority interest in the Operating Partnership, would have no impact on earnings per share since the allocation of earnings to an Operating Partnership unit, as if converted, is equivalent to earnings allocated to a common share.

Restricted share awards are included in the diluted earnings per share computation if the effect is dilutive, using the treasury stock method. All restricted shares issued are included in the calculation of diluted weighted average common shares outstanding for all years presented. If the share based awards were granted during the period, the shares issuable are weighted to reflect the portion of the period during which the awards were outstanding.

F - 23

12. Share-Based Compensation

We have a shareholder approved share-based compensation plan, the Amended and Restated Incentive Award Plan, or the Plan, which covers our independent directors and our employees. We may issue up to 6.0 million common shares under the Plan. We have granted 3,587,140 options, net of options forfeited, and 682,250 restricted share awards, net of restricted shares forfeited, through December 31, 2007. The amount and terms of the awards granted under the plan are determined by the Share and Unit Option Committee of the Board of Directors.

All non-qualified share and unit options granted under the Plan expire 10 years from the date of grant and 20% of the options become exercisable in each of the first five years commencing one year from the date of grant. Options are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of our common shares on the day of grant. Units received upon exercise of unit options are exchangeable for common shares. There were no option grants in 2007 and 2006. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for the grants in 2005: expected dividend yield 5.3%; expected life of 7 years; expected volatility of 23%; and risk-free interest rate 3.79%.

During 2007 and 2006, the Board of Directors approved the grant of 170,000 and 164,000 restricted shares, respectively, to the independent directors and all of the senior executive officers. The independent directors' restricted shares vest ratably over a three year period and the senior executive officers' restricted shares vest ratably over a five year period. During 2005, the Board of Directors approved the grant of 138,000 restricted shares to the independent directors and certain executive officers. The independent directors' restricted shares vest ratably over a three year period. The executive officers' restricted shares vest over a five year period with 50% of the award vesting ratably over the five year period and 50% vesting based on the attainment of certain market performance criteria. For all of the restricted awards described above, the grant date fair value of the award was determined based upon the market price of our common shares on the date of grant and the associated compensation expense is being recognized in accordance with the vesting schedule of each grant.

We recorded share based compensation expense in general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Restricted shares	\$ 3,815	\$ 2,210	\$ 1,275
Options	244	465	290
Total share based compensation	\$ 4,059	\$ 2,675	\$ 1,565

Share based compensation expense capitalized as a part of rental property and deferred lease costs during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$80,000, \$212,000 and \$32,000, respectively.

Options outstanding at December 31, 2007 had the following weighted average exercise prices and weighted average remaining contractual lives:

Range of exercise prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Options	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average remaining contractual life in years	Options	Weighted average exercise price
\$9.3125 to \$11.0625	43,600	\$ 9.71	1.91	43,600	\$ 9.71
\$19.38 to \$19.415	317,055	19.41	6.30	123,535	19.41

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

\$23.625 to \$23.96	7,500	23.69	6.95	3,600	23.63
	368,155	\$ 18.35	5.80	170,735	\$ 17.02

F - 24

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

A summary of option activity under our Amended and Restated Incentive Award Plan as of December 31, 2007 and changes during the year then ended is presented below (aggregate intrinsic value amount in thousands):

	Shares	Weighted-average exercise price	Weighted-average remaining contractual life in years	Aggregate intrinsic value
Options Outstanding as of December 31, 2006	491,300	\$ 18.20		
Granted	---	---		
Exercised	(117,905)	17.68		
Forfeited	(5,240)	19.42		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2007	368,155	\$ 18.35	5.80	\$ 7,127
Vested and Expected to Vest as of December 31, 2007	363,245	\$ 18.33	5.80	\$ 7,038
Exercisable as of December 31, 2007	170,735	\$ 17.02	5.18	\$ 3,532

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$3.31 per share. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$2.7 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes information related to unvested restricted shares outstanding as of December 31, 2007:

	Number of shares	Weighted average grant date fair value
Unvested Restricted Shares Unvested at December 31, 2006	323,340	\$ 26.36
Granted	170,000	42.31
Vested	(107,736)	24.85
Forfeited	---	---
Unvested at December 31, 2007	385,604	\$ 33.82

The total value of restricted shares vested during the years ended 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$4.2 million, \$2.5 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, there was \$11.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.3 years.

13. Employee Benefit Plans

We have a qualified retirement plan, with a salary deferral feature designed to qualify under Section 401 of the Code (the "401(k) Plan"), which covers substantially all of our officers and employees. The 401(k) Plan permits our employees, in accordance with the provisions of Section 401(k) of the Code, to defer up to 20% of their eligible compensation on a pre-tax basis subject to certain maximum amounts. Employee contributions are fully vested and

are matched by us at a rate of compensation to be determined annually at our discretion. The matching contribution is subject to vesting under a schedule providing for 20% annual vesting starting with the second year of employment and 100% vesting after six years of employment. The employer matching contribution expense for the years ended 2007, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$104,000, \$102,000 and \$102,000, respectively.

F - 25

14. Other Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Net income	\$ 28,576	\$ 37,309	\$ 5,089
Other comprehensive income:			
Payments received (gain) in settlement of \$125 million (notional amount) of US treasury rate lock, net of minority interest of \$548	---	---	2,676
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of gain on settlement of US treasury rate lock included in net income, net of minority interest of \$(43), \$(40) and \$(7)	(218)	(206)	(33)
Change in fair value of treasury rate locks, net of minority interest of \$(1,562), \$175 and \$(53)	(7,935)	880	(260)
Change in fair value of our portion of our unconsolidated joint ventures' cash flow hedges, net of minority interest of \$(271), \$19 and \$15	(1,376)	96	75
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(9,529)	770	2,458
Total comprehensive income	\$ 19,047	\$ 38,079	\$ 7,547

15. Supplementary Income Statement Information

The following amounts are included in property operating expenses in income from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Advertising and promotion	\$ 16,652	\$ 16,419	\$ 15,773
Common area maintenance	32,363	29,216	27,671
Real estate taxes	13,847	12,574	12,443
Other operating expenses	11,521	10,093	6,479
	\$ 74,383	\$ 68,302	\$ 62,366

16. Lease Agreements

We are the lessor of over 1,800 stores in our 29 wholly owned factory outlet centers, under operating leases with initial terms that expire from 2008 to 2030. Most leases are renewable for five years at the lessee's option. Future minimum lease receipts under non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2007, excluding the effect of straight-line rent and percentage rentals, are as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 132,039
2009	115,976
2010	93,801
2011	69,732
2012	44,734
Thereafter	86,265
	\$ 542,547

17. Commitments and Contingencies

Our non-cancelable operating leases, with initial terms in excess of one year, have terms that expire from 2008 to 2046. Annual rental payments for these leases totaled approximately \$3.9 million, \$3.2 million and \$2.9 million, for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Minimum lease payments for the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

2008	\$ 4,200
2009	4,040
2010	3,695
2011	3,521
2012	3,041
Thereafter	79,258
	\$ 97,755

We are also subject to legal proceedings and claims which have arisen in the ordinary course of our business and have not been finally adjudicated. In our opinion, the ultimate resolution of these matters will have no material effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

18. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth the summary quarterly financial information for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 (unaudited and in thousands, except per common share data).

	Year Ended December 31, 2007			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$ 53,067	\$ 55,334	\$ 58,386	\$ 61,978
Operating income	13,438	17,119	19,371	21,637
Income from				
continuing operations	3,253	6,399	8,375	10,451
Net income	3,281	6,425	8,397	10,473
Basic earnings per share				
Income from				
continuing operations	\$.06	\$.16	\$.23	\$.29
Net income	.06	.16	.23	.29
Diluted earnings per share				
Income from				
continuing operations	\$.06	\$.16	\$.22	\$.29
Net income	.06	.16	.22	.29

	Year Ended December 31, 2006			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$ 48,032	\$ 50,333	\$ 54,262	\$ 58,335
Operating income	13,374	16,820	18,968	19,780
Income from				
continuing operations	3,110	6,254	7,389	8,712
Net income	14,847	6,289	7,414	8,759
Basic earnings per share				
Income from				
continuing operations	\$.06	\$.16	\$.20	\$.24
Net income	.45	.16	.20	.24
Diluted earnings per share				
Income from				
continuing operations	\$.06	\$.16	\$.19	\$.23

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

	continuing operations				
Net income		.44	.16	.19	.23

- (1) Quarterly amounts may not add to annual amounts due to the effect of rounding on a quarterly basis.
(2) Amounts have been reclassified from those originally reported in Form 10-Q for each quarter for the effects of discontinued operations from qualifying property sales.

F - 28

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (in thousands)

Description		Initial cost to Company	Costs Capitalized		Gross Amount Carried at Close				Total
			Subsequent to Acquisition (Improvements)	Subsequent to Acquisition (Improvements)	of Period 12/31/07 (1)	of Period 12/31/07 (1)			
Outlet Center Name	Location	Encum-brances (4)	Buildings, Land & Fixtures Improve-ments	Buildings Land & Fixtures Improve-ments	Buildings Land & Fixtures Improve-ments	Buildings, Land & Fixtures Improve-ments &	Buildings, Land & Fixtures Improve-ments &	Buildings, Land & Fixtures Improve-ments &	Total
Barstow	Barstow, CA	\$ ---	\$ 3,281	\$ 12,533	\$ ---	\$ 16,583	\$ 3,281	\$ 29,116	\$ 32,399
Blowing Rock	Blowing Rock, NC	---	1,963	9,424	---	4,239	1,963	13,663	15,626
Branson	Branson, MO	---	4,407	25,040	395	12,178	4,802	37,218	42,020
Charleston	Charleston, SC	---	10,353	48,877	---	299	10,353	49,176	59,529
Commerce I	Commerce, GA	---	755	3,511	492	12,211	1,247	15,722	16,969
Commerce II	Commerce, GA	---	1,262	14,046	706	23,482	1,968	37,528	39,496
Foley	Foley, AL	30,219	4,400	82,410	693	23,620	5,093	106,030	111,123
Gonzales	Gonzales, LA	---	679	15,895	---	16,124	679	32,019	32,698
Hilton Head	Bluffton, SC	17,333	9,900	41,504	469	5,023	10,369	46,527	56,896
Howell	Howell, MI	---	2,250	35,250	---	3,300	2,250	38,550	40,800
Kittery-I	Kittery, ME	---	1,242	2,961	229	1,600	1,471	4,561	6,032
Kittery-II	Kittery, ME	---	1,450	1,835	---	735	1,450	2,570	4,020
Lancaster	Lancaster, PA	---	3,691	19,907	---	13,925	3,691	33,832	37,523
Lincoln City	Lincoln City, OR	9,756	6,500	28,673	268	5,026	6,768	33,699	40,467
Locust Grove	Locust Grove, GA	---	2,558	11,801	---	17,899	2,558	29,700	32,258
Myrtle Beach 501	Myrtle Beach, SC	21,455	10,236	57,094	---	9,991	10,236	67,085	77,321
Nags Head	Nags Head, NC	---	1,853	6,679	---	3,806	1,853	10,485	12,338
Park City	Park City, UT	11,807	6,900	33,597	343	10,915	7,243	44,512	51,759
Pittsburgh	Washington, PA	---	5,609	36,101	---	---	5,609	36,101	41,710
Rehoboth	Rehoboth Beach, DE	36,953	20,600	74,209	1,876	20,126	22,476	94,335	116,811
Riverhead	Riverhead, NY	---	---	36,374	6,152	76,783	6,152	113,157	119,309
San Marcos	San Marcos, TX	---	1,801	9,440	16	42,957	1,817	52,397	54,214
Sanibel	Sanibel, FL	---	4,916	23,196	---	9,357	4,916	32,553	37,469
Sevierville	Sevierville, TN	---	---	18,495	---	35,071	---	53,566	53,566

Edgar Filing: TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS INC - Form 10-K

Seymour	Seymour, IN	---	1,084	1,891	---	---	1,084	1,891	2,973
Terrell	Terrell, TX	---	523	13,432	---	8,932	523	22,364	22,887
Tilton	Tilton, NH	12,191	1,800	24,838	29	7,479	1,829	32,317	34,146
Tuscola	Tuscola, IL	18,934	1,600	15,428	43	2,084	1,643	17,512	19,155
West Branch	West Branch, MI	---	319	3,428	120	8,853	439	12,281	12,720
Westbrook	Westbrook, CT	14,030	6,264	26,991	4,233	2,239	10,497	29,230	39,727
Williamsburg	Williamsburg, IA	---	706	6,781	718	14,975	1,424	21,756	23,180
		\$ 172,678	\$ 118,902	\$ 741,641	\$ 16,782	\$ 409,812	\$ 135,684	\$ 1,151,453	\$ 1,287,133

(1) Aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$999,017. Building, improvements & fixtures includes amounts included in construction in progress on the consolidated balance sheet.

(2) The Company generally uses estimated lives ranging from 25 to 33 years for buildings and 15 years for land improvements. Tenant finishing allowances are depreciated over the initial lease term.

(3) Represents year acquired.

(4) Excludes net mortgage premium of \$1,046.

TANGER FACTORY OUTLET CENTERS, INC. and SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE III – (Continued)
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
For the Year Ended December 31, 2007
(in thousands)

The changes in total real estate for the three years ended December 31, 2007 are as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,216,847	\$ 1,152,866	\$ 1,077,393
Acquisition of real estate	---	---	47,369
Improvements	85,415	87,045	45,684
Dispositions and assets held for sale	(15,125)	(23,064)	(17,580)
Balance, end of year	\$ 1,287,137	\$ 1,216,847	\$ 1,152,866

The changes in accumulated depreciation for the three years ended December 31, 2007 are as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 275,372	\$ 253,765	\$ 224,622
Depreciation for the period	50,508	40,440	38,137
Dispositions and assets held for sale	(13,242)	(18,833)	(8,994)
Balance, end of year	\$ 312,638	\$ 275,372	\$ 253,765

