

EBAY INC
Form 10-Q
April 27, 2005

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005

or

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-24821

eBay Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

74-0430924

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

2145 Hamilton Avenue

San Jose, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

95125

(Zip Code)

(408) 376-7400

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of April 22, 2005, there were 1,349,049,731 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding, which is the only class of common or voting stock of the registrant issued.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1: Financial Statements

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4: Controls and Procedures

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1: Legal Proceedings

Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4: Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5: Other Information

Item 6: Exhibits

SIGNATURES

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 10.31

EXHIBIT 10.32

EXHIBIT 10.33

EXHIBIT 10.34

EXHIBIT 31.01

EXHIBIT 31.02

EXHIBIT 32.01

EXHIBIT 32.02

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1: Financial Statements**

eBay Inc.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

	December 31, 2004	March 31, 2005
(In thousands, except par value amounts) (Unaudited)		
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,330,045	\$ 1,216,772
Short-term investments	682,004	799,037
Accounts receivable, net	240,856	270,435
Funds receivable from customers	123,424	209,379
Restricted cash and investments	155,405	30,554
Other current assets	379,415	421,875
Total current assets	2,911,149	2,948,052
Long-term investments	1,266,289	1,417,576
Restricted cash and investments	1,418	1,076
Property and equipment, net	709,773	693,460
Goodwill	2,709,794	3,078,177
Intangible assets, net	362,909	402,864
Other assets	29,719	47,953
	\$ 7,991,051	\$ 8,589,158
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 37,958	\$ 58,766
Funds payable and amounts due to customers	331,805	484,623
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	421,969	440,220
Deferred revenue and customer advances	50,439	42,806
Short-term obligations	124,272	
Income taxes payable	118,427	122,691
Total current liabilities	1,084,870	1,149,106
Deferred tax liabilities, net	135,971	170,434
Other liabilities	37,773	34,761
Minority interests	4,096	51
Total liabilities	1,262,710	1,354,352
Stockholders equity:		

Edgar Filing: EBAY INC - Form 10-Q

Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 10,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding		
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,790,000 shares authorized; 1,338,608 and 1,348,836 shares issued and outstanding	1,339	1,349
Additional paid-in capital	4,855,717	5,121,108
Unearned stock-based compensation	(4,825)	(4,275)
Retained earnings	1,634,468	1,890,759
Accumulated other comprehensive income	241,642	225,865
Total stockholders equity	6,728,341	7,234,806
	\$ 7,991,051	\$ 8,589,158

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

eBay Inc.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
	(In thousands, except per share amounts) (Unaudited)	
Net revenues	\$ 756,239	\$ 1,031,724
Cost of net revenues	134,358	186,369
Gross profit	621,881	845,355
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	192,690	271,349
Product development	52,698	73,789
General and administrative	90,636	136,389
Payroll tax on employee stock options	5,146	5,731
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	13,963	22,523
Total operating expenses	355,133	509,781
Income from operations	266,748	335,574
Interest and other income, net	23,499	22,403
Interest expense	(2,331)	(1,720)
Income before income taxes and minority interests	287,916	356,257
Provision for income taxes	(85,756)	(99,948)
Minority interests	(2,060)	(18)
Net income	\$ 200,100	\$ 256,291
Net income per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.19
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.19
Weighted average shares:		
Basic	1,305,002	1,343,442
Diluted	1,347,596	1,382,150

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

eBay Inc.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
	(In thousands) (Unaudited)	
Net income	\$ 200,100	\$ 256,291
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Foreign currency translation	5,061	(13,687)
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net	2,517	(4,872)
Investment gains included in net income	36	54
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges	665	1,414
Estimated tax benefit (provision)	(1,293)	1,314
Net change in other comprehensive income (loss)	6,986	(15,777)
Comprehensive income	\$ 207,086	\$ 240,514

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

eBay Inc.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
	(In thousands) (Unaudited)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 200,100	\$ 256,291
Adjustments:		
Provision for doubtful accounts and authorized credits	15,604	22,024
Provision for transaction losses	11,644	18,579
Depreciation and amortization	55,685	79,660
Amortization of unearned stock-based compensation	556	3,596
Tax benefit on the exercise of employee stock options	76,640	84,992
Minority interests	2,060	18
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisition effects:		
Accounts receivable	(15,054)	(54,162)
Funds receivable from customers	(61,691)	(77,333)
Other current assets	(115,745)	1,203
Other non-current assets	(4,667)	(8,361)
Deferred tax liabilities, net	(2,621)	2,240
Accounts payable	42,341	20,909
Funds payable and amounts due to customers	143,652	168,928
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,024	(21,838)
Deferred revenue and customer advances	7,850	(6,975)
Income taxes payable	7,867	5,648
Net cash provided by operating activities	366,245	495,419
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment, net	(70,912)	(79,584)
Purchases of investments	(823,783)	(368,094)
Maturities and sales of investments	517,428	245,665
Purchases of intangible and other non-current assets	(945)	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(34,663)	(445,008)
Net cash used in investing activities	(412,875)	(647,021)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	173,089	179,279
Payment of headquarters facility lease obligation		(126,390)
Principal payments on long-term obligations	(1,147)	(1,849)
Net cash provided by financing activities	171,942	51,040

Edgar Filing: EBAY INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(5,117)	(12,711)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	120,195	(113,273)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,381,513	1,330,045
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 1,501,708	\$ 1,216,772

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

eBay Inc.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1 The Company and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Company

eBay Inc. (eBay) was incorporated in California in May 1996, and reincorporated in Delaware in April 1998. As of March 31, 2005, through our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and affiliates, we had websites directed toward the United States, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, China, France, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Ireland, Italy, Malaysia, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan and the United Kingdom. We pioneered online trading by developing an Internet-based community in which buyers and sellers are brought together to buy and sell almost anything. The eBay online service permits sellers to list items for sale, buyers to bid on items of interest, and all eBay users to browse through listed items in a fully-automated, topically-arranged service that is available online seven days a week. Through our PayPal service, we enable any business or consumer with email in 45 countries to send, and in 44 countries to receive online payments. As of March 31, 2005, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, PayPal had websites directed toward the United States, Australia, Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

When we refer to we, our, us or eBay in this document, we mean the current Delaware corporation (eBay Inc.) its California predecessor, as well as all of our consolidated subsidiaries.

Stock Split

In January 2005, our Board of Directors approved a two-for-one split of our shares of common stock to be issued in the form of a stock dividend. As a result of the stock split, our stockholders received one additional share of our common stock for each share of common stock held of record on January 31, 2005. The additional shares of our common stock were distributed on February 16, 2005. All share and per share amounts in these condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes have been retroactively adjusted to reflect this and all prior stock splits for all periods presented.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to provisions for doubtful accounts and authorized credits, the provision for transaction losses, legal contingencies, income taxes, advertising and other non-transaction revenues, and goodwill and intangible assets. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements are consolidated and include the financial statements of eBay and our majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The consolidated financial statements include 100% of the assets and liabilities of these majority-owned subsidiaries and the ownership interests of minority investors are recorded as minority interests. Investments in entities where we hold more than a 20% but less than a 50% ownership interest and have the ability to significantly influence the operations of the investee are accounted for using the equity method of accounting and the investment balance is included in long-term investments, while our share of the investees' operations is

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

included in other income. Investments in entities where we hold less than a 20% ownership interest or where we do not have the ability to significantly influence the operations of the investee are accounted for using the cost method of accounting and are included in long-term investments.

These unaudited interim financial statements reflect our condensed consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005. These statements also show our condensed consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005. These statements include all normal recurring adjustments that we believe are necessary to fairly state our financial position, operating results and cash flows. Because all of the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America for annual consolidated financial statements are not included herein, these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2004, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2005. The condensed consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results that we expect for any future period.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based employee compensation issued under compensatory plans using the intrinsic value method, which calculates compensation expense based on the difference, if any, on the date of the grant, between the fair value of our stock and the option exercise price. Generally accepted accounting principles require companies that choose to account for stock option grants using the intrinsic value method to also determine the fair value of option grants using an option pricing model, such as the Black-Scholes model, and to disclose the impact of fair value accounting in a note to the financial statements. In December 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123. We did not elect to voluntarily change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock based employee compensation and record such amounts as charges to operating expense. We amortize the stock-based compensation charge in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 28 over the vesting period of the related options, which is generally four years. The impact of recognizing the fair value of stock option grants and stock purchased under our employee stock purchase plan as an expense under FASB Statement No. 148 would have substantially reduced our net income, as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
Net income, as reported	\$ 200,100	\$ 256,291
Add: Amortization of stock-based compensation expense determined under the intrinsic value method	556	282
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method, net of tax	(15,992)	(63,441)
Pro forma net income	\$ 184,664	\$ 193,132
Earnings per share:		
Basic Reported	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.19
Pro forma	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14
Diluted Reported	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.19
Pro forma	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14

The weighted average fair value of options granted in the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 was \$12.06 and \$12.28, respectively.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The amounts disclosed above are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that will be expensed upon adoption of FAS 123R Share-Based Payment . Compensation expense calculated under FAS 123R may differ from amounts currently disclosed within these footnotes based on changes in the fair value of our common stock, changes in the number of options granted or the terms of such options, the treatment of tax benefits and changes in interest rates or other factors. In addition, upon adoption of FAS 123R, we may choose to use a different valuation model to value the compensation expense associated with employee stock options and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan, as discussed under Recent Accounting Pronouncements Share-Based Payments, below.

We calculated the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following weighted average assumptions were used for each respective period:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
Risk-free interest rates	2.3%	3.5%
Expected lives	3 years	3 years
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	54%	36%

We account for stock-based arrangements issued to non-employees using the fair value based method, which calculates compensation expense based on the fair value of the stock option granted using the Black-Scholes option pricing model at the date of grant, or over the period of performance, as appropriate.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements***Share-Based Payments***

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R), that addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which an enterprise receives employee services in exchange for either equity instruments of the enterprise or liabilities that are based on the fair value of the enterprise's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. The statement eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions, as we do currently, using the intrinsic value method as prescribed by Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and generally requires that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method and recognized as expenses in our consolidated statement of income. The statement requires companies to assess the most appropriate model to calculate the value of the options. We currently use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value options and are currently assessing which model we may use in the future under the new statement and may deem an alternative model to be the most appropriate. The use of a different model to value options may result in a different fair value than the use of the Black-Scholes option pricing model. In addition, there are a number of other requirements under the new standard that would result in differing accounting treatment than currently required. These differences include, but are not limited to, the accounting for the tax benefit on employee stock options and for stock issued under our employee stock purchase plan, and the presentation of these tax benefits within the consolidated statement of cash flows. In addition to the appropriate fair value model to be used for valuing share-based payments, we will also be required to determine the transition method to be used at date of adoption. The allowed transition methods include prospective and retroactive adoption options. The prospective method requires that compensation expense be recorded for all unvested stock options and restricted stock at the beginning of the first quarter of adoption of FAS 123R, while the retroactive methods would record compensation expense for all unvested stock options and restricted stock beginning with the first period restated.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission announced the adoption of a new rule that amends the effective date of FAS 123R. The effective date of the new standard under these new rules for our consolidated financial statements is January 1, 2006. Adoption of this statement will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements as we will be required to expense the fair value of our stock option grants and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan rather than disclose the impact on our consolidated net income within our footnotes, as is our current practice.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, *Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets – An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions* (SFAS 153). SFAS 153 eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets in paragraph 21(b) of APB Opinion No. 29, *Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions*, and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. SFAS 153 specifies that a nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This standard is effective for fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We believe that the adoption of SFAS 153 will not have a material impact on our consolidated statement of income or financial condition.

Note 2 Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing the net income for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing the net income for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and potentially dilutive common stock outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common stock, composed of unvested restricted common stock and incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, are included in diluted net income per share using the treasury stock method to the extent such shares are dilutive. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
Numerator:		
Net income	\$ 200,100	\$ 256,291
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares	1,305,026	1,343,582
Weighted average unvested common stock subject to repurchase	(24)	(140)
Denominator for basic calculation	1,305,002	1,343,442
Weighted average effect of dilutive securities:		
Weighted average unvested common stock subject to repurchase	24	140
Employee stock options	42,570	38,568
Denominator for diluted calculation	1,347,596	1,382,150
Net income per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.19
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.19

The calculation of diluted net income per share excludes all anti-dilutive shares. For the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, the number of anti-dilutive shares, as calculated based on the weighted

9

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

average closing price of our common stock for the period, amounted to approximately 9.1 million and 15.8 million shares, respectively.

Note 3 Business Combinations, Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Through both domestic and international acquisitions, we have continued to expand eBay's global online marketplace. Tangible net assets for our acquisitions were valued at their respective carrying amounts as we believe that these amounts approximated their current fair values at the respective acquisition dates. The valuation of identifiable intangible assets acquired reflects management's estimates based on, among other factors, use of established valuation methods. Such assets consist of customer lists and user base, trademarks and trade names, developed technologies and other acquired intangible assets including contractual agreements. Identifiable intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of one to eight years. We believe the straight-line method of amortization best represents the distribution of the economic value of the identifiable intangible assets. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in each business combination. The purchase price of the acquisition detailed below exceeded the estimated fair value of the related identifiable intangible and tangible assets because we believe this acquisition will assist with our strategy of establishing and expanding our global online marketplace.

Acquisition of Rent.com

On February 23, 2005, we acquired Viva Group, Inc., which does business as Rent.com, for a cash purchase price of approximately \$415 million plus payments for net cash and investments of approximately \$18 million. Rent.com is a leading Internet listing website in the apartment and rental housing industry. The total purchase price recorded was approximately \$435 million, including approximately \$2 million in estimated acquisition-related expenses. We accounted for the acquisition as a non-taxable purchase transaction and, accordingly, the purchase price has been allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed on the basis of their respective estimated fair values on the acquisition date.

The preliminary allocation of the purchase price is summarized below (in thousands):

Net current assets	\$	17,327
Property and equipment and other non-current assets		723
Customer list and user base		34,500
Trade name		18,000
Developed technology		8,200
Advertising relationships		1,100
Deferred tax liabilities		(24,924)
Goodwill		380,439
Total purchase price	\$	435,365

The estimated useful economic lives of the identifiable intangible assets acquired in the Rent.com acquisition are six years for the customer list, five years for the trade name, three years for the developed technology and the advertising relationships, and one year for the user base. The final purchase price allocation will depend primarily upon our final determination of the total acquisition-related expenses and the finalization of our integration plan.

The results of operations have been included in our consolidated statement of income for the period subsequent to our acquisition of Rent.com. The results of operations for periods prior to this acquisition were

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

not material to our consolidated statement of income and, accordingly, pro forma results of operations have not been presented.

Goodwill

Goodwill information for each segment is as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2004	Goodwill Acquired	Goodwill Disposals	Adjustments	March 31, 2005
Segments:					
U.S Marketplace	\$ 148,703	\$ 390,879	\$	\$	\$ 539,582
International Marketplace	1,516,055			(22,496)	1,493,559
Payments	1,072,396				1,072,396
	\$ 2,737,154	\$ 390,879	\$	\$ (22,496)	\$ 3,105,537

The increase in goodwill acquired during the three months ended March 31, 2005, resulted primarily from our acquisition of the outstanding shares of Rent.com. Adjustments to goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2005, resulted primarily from foreign currency translation adjustments relating to goodwill associated with our prior period acquisitions.

Investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting are classified on our balance sheet as long-term investments. Such investments include identifiable intangible assets, deferred tax liabilities and goodwill. As of March 31, 2005, the goodwill related to our equity investment totaled approximately \$27.4 million.

Intangible Assets

The components of acquired identifiable intangible assets are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	December 31, 2004				March 31, 2005			
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Economic Life (Years)	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Weighted Average Useful Economic Life (Years)
Intangible assets:								
Customer lists and user base	\$ 300,929	\$ (80,097)	\$ 220,832	7	\$ 336,894	\$ (91,900)	\$ 244,994	7
Trademarks and trade names	139,239	(30,811)	108,428	6	155,210	(37,479)	117,731	6
Developed technologies	40,686	(28,488)	12,198	3	52,064	(31,819)	20,245	3
All other	33,895	(7,534)	26,361	4	33,767	(9,255)	24,512	4
	\$ 514,749	\$ (146,930)	\$ 367,819		\$ 577,935	\$ (170,453)	\$ 407,482	

All of our acquired identifiable intangible assets are subject to amortization. Acquired identifiable intangible assets are comprised of customer lists and user base, trademarks and trade names, developed technologies, and other acquired intangible assets including patents and contractual agreements. The increase in intangible assets during the three months ended March 31, 2005 resulted primarily from certain intangible assets acquired as part of our acquisition of the outstanding shares of Rent.com. As of March 31, 2005, the net carrying amount of intangible assets related to our equity investment totaled approximately \$4.6 million. Aggregate amortization expense for intangible assets totaled \$14.7 million and \$23.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

As of March 31, 2005, expected future intangible asset amortization is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years:	
2005 (remaining nine months)	\$ 79,675
2006	85,643
2007	78,402
2008	73,835
2009	57,682
Thereafter	32,245
	\$ 407,482

Note 4 Segments

Reporting segments are based upon our internal organization structure, the manner in which our operations are managed, the criteria used by our chief operating decision-maker to evaluate segment performance, the availability of separate financial information, and overall materiality considerations.

The U.S. Marketplace segment includes our U.S. online marketplace trading platforms other than our PayPal subsidiary. The International Marketplace segment includes our international online marketplace trading platforms other than our PayPal subsidiary. The Payments segment includes our global payments platform consisting of our PayPal subsidiary.

Direct contribution consists of revenues less direct costs. Direct costs include specific costs of net revenues, sales and marketing expenses, and general and administrative expenses over which segment managers have direct discretionary control, such as advertising and marketing programs, customer support expenses, bank charges, provisions for doubtful accounts, authorized credits and transaction losses. Expenses over which segment managers do not currently have discretionary control, such as site operations costs, product development expenses, and certain general and administrative costs, are monitored by management through shared cost centers and are not evaluated in the measurement of segment performance.

The following table summarizes the financial performance of our reporting segments (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31,

	2004				2005			
	U.S. Marketplace	International Marketplace	Payments	Consolidated	U.S. Marketplace	International Marketplace	Payments	Consolidated
Net revenues from external customers	\$ 338,570	\$ 259,490	\$ 158,179	\$ 756,239	\$ 404,848	\$ 393,792	\$ 233,084	\$ 1,031,724
Direct costs	115,889	94,553	82,218	292,660	136,674	160,271	116,507	413,452
Direct contribution	222,681	164,937	75,961	463,579	268,174	233,521	116,577	618,272
Operating expenses and				196,831				282,698

indirect costs
of net revenues

Income from operations	266,748	335,574
Interest and other income, net	23,499	22,403
Interest expense	(2,331)	(1,720)
Income before income taxes and minority interests	\$ 287,916	\$ 356,257

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5 Investments**

At December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, short and long-term investments were classified as available-for-sale securities, except for restricted cash and investments, and are reported at fair value as follows (in thousands):

December 31, 2004				
	Gross Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Short-term investments:				
Restricted cash and investments	\$ 156,130	\$ 25	\$ (750)	\$ 155,405
Corporate debt securities	581,058	33	(2,908)	578,183
Government and agency securities	80,274		(432)	79,842
Time deposits and other	23,979			23,979
	\$ 841,441	\$ 58	\$ (4,090)	\$ 837,409
Long-term investments:				
Restricted cash and investments	\$ 1,397	\$ 21		\$ 1,418
Corporate debt securities	827,505	107	(2,137)	825,475
Government and agency securities	397,211		(4,733)	392,478
Equity instruments and equity method investments	48,336			48,336
	\$ 1,274,449	\$ 128	\$ (6,870)	\$ 1,267,707
March 31, 2005				
	Gross Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Short-term investments:				
Restricted cash and investments	\$ 30,554	\$	\$	\$ 30,554
Corporate debt securities	597,793	21	(3,405)	594,409
Government and agency securities	190,693		(720)	189,973
Time deposits and other	14,655			14,655
	\$ 833,695	\$ 21	\$ (4,125)	\$ 829,591
Long-term investments:				
Restricted cash and investments	\$ 1,064	\$ 12		\$ 1,076
Corporate debt securities	979,127	138	(4,679)	974,586

Edgar Filing: EBAY INC - Form 10-Q

Government and agency securities	401,612		(6,948)	394,664
Equity instruments and equity method investments	48,326			48,326
	\$ 1,430,129	\$ 150	\$ (11,627)	\$ 1,418,652

Included within short-term restricted cash and investments at December 31, 2004 was \$126.4 million that was utilized as payment of a lease obligation for our San Jose headquarters facility on March 1, 2005 (see Note 7 Balance Sheet Components of these condensed consolidated financial statements).

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 6 Derivative Instruments**

We entered into two interest rate swaps on June 19 and July 20, 2000, with notional amounts totaling \$95 million to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on a portion of the floating rate operating lease for our San Jose headquarters office facility, which matured on March 1, 2005. The interest rate swaps allowed us to receive floating rate receipts based on the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, in exchange for making fixed rate payments of approximately 7% of the notional amount, which effectively changed our interest rate exposure on our operating lease from a floating rate to a fixed rate on \$95.0 million of the total \$126.4 million notional amount of our San Jose headquarters facility lease commitment. The balance of \$31.4 million remained at a floating rate of interest based on the spread over 3-month LIBOR. There was no gain or loss realized upon the maturity of these interest rate swaps.

Transaction Exposure:

As of March 31, 2005, we had outstanding forward foreign exchange hedge contracts with notional values equivalent to approximately \$489.1 million with maturity dates within 30 days. The hedge contracts are used to offset changes in non-U.S. dollar denominated functional currency value of assets and liabilities as a result of foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Transaction gains and losses on the contracts and the assets and liabilities are recognized each period in our consolidated statement of income.

Translation Exposure:

We consolidate the earnings of our international subsidiaries by converting them into U.S. dollars in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52 Foreign Currency Translation (FAS 52). Such earnings will fluctuate when there is a change in foreign currency exchange rates. From time to time, we enter into transactions to hedge portions of our foreign currency denominated earnings translation exposure using both foreign currency options and forward contracts. The gain on these hedges for the first quarter of 2005 totaled approximately \$117,000, which were recorded in interest and other income, net. All contracts that hedge translation exposure mature ratably over the quarter in which they are executed.

Economic Exposure:

We currently charge our international subsidiaries on a monthly basis for their use of intellectual property and technology and for certain corporate services provided by eBay and by PayPal. These charges are denominated in Euros and these forecasted inter-company transactions represent a foreign currency cash flow exposure. To reduce foreign exchange risk relating to these forecasted inter-company transactions, we entered into forward foreign exchange contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2005. The objective of the forward contracts is to ensure that the U.S. dollar-equivalent cash flows are not adversely affected by changes in the U.S. dollar/ Euro exchange rate. Pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 133), we expect the hedge of certain of these forecasted transactions to be highly effective in offsetting potential changes in cash flows attributed to a change in the U.S. dollar/ Euro exchange rate. Accordingly, we record as a component of other comprehensive income all unrealized gains and losses related to the forward contracts that receive hedge accounting treatment. We record all unrealized gains and losses in interest and other income, net, related to the forward contracts that do not receive hedge accounting treatment pursuant to FAS 133. The following table shows the notional amount of our economic hedges as of March 31, 2005, together with the associated losses, net of gains, recorded in our consolidated statement of income for the three months ended March 31,

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

2005 and the gains, net of losses, recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income as of March 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	Notional Amount	Net Loss Recorded to Interest and Other Income, Net	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Amount receiving hedge accounting treatment under FAS 133	\$ 230,741	\$	\$ 310
Amount not receiving hedge accounting treatment under FAS 133	82,229	(51)	
Total	\$ 312,970	\$ (51)	\$ 310

No economic hedges were entered into during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Note 7 Balance Sheet Components***Facility Lease***

As of December 31, 2004, we had \$126.4 million included within current restricted cash and investments relating to our San Jose headquarters facility lease arrangement which provided us with full ownership rights to these facilities. In February 2004, we elected not to exercise our right to extend the lease period and therefore the lease on these facilities ended on March 1, 2005. As a result, we were required to pay the lease obligation for our San Jose headquarters facility lease during the first quarter of 2005, utilizing the \$126.4 million included within current restricted cash and investments, and have offset the corresponding liability related to the consolidated operating lease obligation of \$122.5 million and the minority interest of \$3.9 million.

Other Current Assets

	December 31, 2004	March 31, 2005
(In thousands)		
Customer accounts	\$ 270,918	\$ 269,781
Prepaid expenses	54,159	49,227
Deferred tax asset, net	10,427	19,404
Other	43,911	83,463
	\$ 379,415	\$ 421,875

Customer accounts include liquid assets set aside for certain customer liabilities as required in conjunction with our PayPal Electronic Money Institution license from the United Kingdom's Financial Services Authority. The

customer balances represent claims on our U.K. subsidiary and may be invested only in specified types of liquid assets. These balances are included on our balance sheet as current assets with an offsetting current liability in funds payable and amounts due to customers. Customer U.S. dollar denominated funds in the U.S. are held in deposit accounts insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. These funds are held by PayPal as an agent for the benefit of its customers, accordingly, these funds are not reflected in our consolidated balance sheet.

At March 31, 2005, \$28.4 million was included in other current assets, which approximates the fair value of our corporate aircraft that is available for sale. In addition, at March 31, 2005, \$22.1 million of deposits related to the purchase of a new corporate aircraft is included in other current assets.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 8 Contingencies***Litigation and Other Legal Matters*

In April 2001, two of our European subsidiaries, eBay GmbH and eBay International AG, were sued by Montres Rolex S.A. and certain of its affiliates in the regional court of Cologne, Germany. The suit subsequently was transferred to the regional court in Dusseldorf, Germany. Rolex alleged that our subsidiaries were infringing Rolex's trademarks as a result of users selling counterfeit Rolex watches through our German website. The suit also alleged unfair competition. Rolex sought an order enjoining the sale of Rolex-branded watches on the website as well as damages. In December 2002, a trial was held in the matter and the court ruled in favor of eBay on all causes of action. Rolex appealed the ruling to the Higher Regional Court of Dusseldorf, and the appeal was heard in October 2003. In February 2004, the court rejected Rolex's appeal and ruled in our favor. Rolex has appealed the ruling to the German Federal Supreme Court. In March 2004, the German Federal Supreme Court ruled in favor of Rolex in a case involving an unrelated company, ricardo.de AG, but somewhat comparable legal theories. The court issued its written decision in that case in September 2004. Although it is not yet clear what effect the reasoning of the German Federal Supreme Court's ricardo.de decision would have when applied to eBay, we believe the Court's decision will likely not require any significant change in our business practices.

In September 2001, MercExchange LLC filed a complaint against us, our Half.com subsidiary and ReturnBuy, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (No. 2:01-CV-736) alleging infringement of three patents (relating to online consignment auction technology, multiple database searching and electronic consignment systems) and seeking a permanent injunction and damages (including treble damages for willful infringement). In October 2002, the court granted in part our summary judgment motion, effectively invalidating the patent related to online auction technology and rendering it unenforceable. This ruling left only two patents in the case. Trial of the matter began in April 2003. In May 2003, the jury returned a verdict finding that eBay had willfully infringed one and Half.com had willfully infringed both of the patents in the suit, awarding \$35.0 million in compensatory damages. Both parties filed post-trial motions, and in August 2003, the court entered judgment for MercExchange in the amount of \$29.5 million, plus pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest in an amount to be determined, while denying MercExchange's request for an injunction and attorneys' fees. We appealed the verdict and judgment in favor of MercExchange and MercExchange filed a cross-appeal of the granting in part of our summary judgment motion and the denial of its request for an injunction and attorneys' fees.

On March 16, 2005, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued a ruling in the appeal of the MercExchange patent litigation suit which, among other things (1) invalidated all claims asserted against eBay and Half.com arising out of the multiple database search patent and reduced the verdict amount by \$4.5 million; (2) upheld the electronic consignment system patent; (3) affirmed the district court's refusal to award attorneys' fees or enhanced damages against us; (4) reversed the district court's order granting summary judgment in our favor regarding the auction patent; and (5) reversed the district court's refusal to grant an injunction and remanded that issue to the district court for further proceedings. We have petitioned the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit for an *en banc* rehearing of the ruling. In parallel with the federal court proceedings, at our request, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is actively reexamining each of the patents in suit, having found that substantial questions exist regarding the validity of the claims contained therein. On January 26, 2005, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an initial ruling rejecting all of the claims contained in the patent that related to online auctions, and on March 29, 2005, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an initial ruling rejecting all of the claims contained in the patent that related to electronic consignment systems. Even if successful, our litigation of these matters will continue to be costly. In addition, as a precautionary measure, we have modified certain functionality of our websites and business practices in a manner which we believe would avoid any further infringement. For this reason, we believe that any injunction that might be issued by the district court will not have any impact on our business. We also

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

believe we have appropriate reserves for this litigation. Nonetheless, if we are not successful in appealing or modifying the court's ruling, and if the modifications to the functionality of our websites and business practices are not sufficient to make them non-infringing, we would likely be forced to pay significant additional damages and licensing fees and/or modify our business practices in an adverse manner.

In August 2002, Charles E. Hill & Associates, Inc. filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (No. 2:02-CV-186) alleging that we and 17 other companies, primarily large retailers, infringed three patents owned by Hill generally relating to electronic catalog systems and methods for transmitting and updating data at a remote computer. The suit seeks an injunction against continuing infringement, unspecified damages, including treble damages for willful infringement, and interest, costs, expenses, and fees. In January 2003, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana. After pending in Indiana for almost a year, the case was transferred back to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in December 2003. A scheduling conference was held in November 2004 and a preliminary trial date has been set for February 2006. The case is currently in fact discovery and claim construction discovery. The defendants have filed a motion for summary judgment of noninfringement. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In February 2002, PayPal was sued in California state court (No. CV-805433) in a purported class action alleging that its limiting access to customer accounts and failure to promptly restore access to legitimate accounts violates California state consumer protection laws and is an unfair business practice and a breach of PayPal's User Agreement. This action was re-filed with a different named plaintiff in June 2002 (No. CV-808441), and a similar action was also filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California in June 2002 (No. C-02-2777). In March 2002, PayPal was sued in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (No. C-02-1227) in a purported class action alleging that its limiting access to customer accounts and failure to promptly restore access to legitimate accounts violates federal and state consumer protection and unfair business practice laws. The two federal court actions were consolidated into a single case, and the state court action was stayed pending developments in the federal case. In June 2004, the parties announced that they had reached a proposed settlement. The settlement received approval from the federal court on November 2, 2004. In the settlement, PayPal does not acknowledge that any of the allegations in the case are true. Under the terms of the settlement, certain PayPal account holders will be eligible to receive payment from a settlement fund of \$9.25 million, less administrative costs and the amount awarded to plaintiffs' counsel by the court. That sum will be distributed to class members who have submitted timely claims in accordance with the settlement's plan of allocation, part of which still must be approved by the court. The parties expect that a plan of allocation will be submitted to the court in the second quarter of 2005. The amount of the settlement was fully accrued in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2003.

In July 2004, a purported class action lawsuit was filed by two eBay users in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (No. 104CV022708) alleging that eBay engaged in improper billing practices as the result of problems with the rollout of a new billing software system in the second and third quarters of 2004. The lawsuit sought damages and injunctive relief. An amended complaint was filed in January 2005, dropping one plaintiff, changing the capacity of the other plaintiff to that of representative plaintiff, and adding seven additional eBay users as plaintiffs. The amended complaint expanded its claim to include numerous alleged improper billing practices from September 2003 until the present. In February 2005, eBay filed a motion to strike and a demurrer seeking to dismiss the complaint. On April 1, 2005, the court sustained portions of the demurrer, but granted the plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In February 2005, eBay was sued in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (No. 105CV035930) in a purported class action alleging that certain bidding features of our site constitute "shell bidding" for the purpose of artificially inflating bids placed by buyers on the site. The complaint alleges

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

violations of California's Auction Act, California's Consumer Remedies Act, and unfair competition. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, damages, and a constructive trust. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In March 2005, eBay, PayPal, and an eBay seller were sued in Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Kings (No. 6125/05) in a purported class action alleging that certain disclosures regarding PayPal's Buyer Protection Policy, users' chargeback rights, and the effects of users' choice of funding mechanism are deceptive and/or misleading. The complaint alleges misrepresentation on the part of eBay and PayPal, breach of contract and deceptive trade practices by PayPal, and that PayPal and eBay have jointly violated the civil RICO statute (18 U.S.C. Section 1961(4)). The complaint seeks injunctive relief, compensatory damages, and punitive damages. On April 5, 2005, eBay and PayPal removed the case to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. We believe that eBay and PayPal have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

Other third parties have from time to time claimed, and others may claim in the future, that we have infringed their intellectual property rights. We have been notified of several potential patent disputes, and expect that we will increasingly be subject to patent infringement claims as our services expand in scope and complexity. In particular, we expect to face additional patent infringement claims involving services we provide, including various aspects of our Payments business. We have in the past been forced to litigate such claims. We may also become more vulnerable to third-party claims as laws such as the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, the Lanham Act and the Communications Decency Act are interpreted by the courts and as we expand geographically into jurisdictions where the underlying laws with respect to the potential liability of online intermediaries like ourselves are either unclear or less favorable. These claims, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming and costly to resolve, cause service upgrade delays, require expensive changes in our methods of doing business, or could require us to enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements.

From time to time, we are involved in other disputes that arise in the ordinary course of business. The number and significance of these disputes is increasing as our business expands and our company grows larger. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time, and result in the diversion of significant operational resources. While we currently believe that the ultimate resolution of these unresolved matters will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, the litigation and other claims noted specifically or generally above are subject to inherent uncertainties and our view of these matters may change in the future. Were an unfavorable final outcome to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the effect becomes reasonably estimable. We are unable to determine what potential losses we may incur if these matters were to have an unfavorable outcome.

Indemnification Provisions

In the ordinary course of business, we have included limited indemnification provisions in certain of our agreements with parties with whom we have commercial relations, including our standard marketing, promotions and application-programming-interface license agreements. Under these contracts, we generally indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party in connection with claims by any third party with respect to our domain names, trademarks, logos and other branding elements to the extent that such marks are applicable to our performance under the subject agreement. In a limited number of agreements, including agreements under which we have developed technology for certain commercial parties, we have provided an indemnity for other types of third-party claims, substantially all of which are indemnities related to our copyrights, trademarks, and patents. To date, no significant costs have been incurred, either individually or collectively, in connection with our indemnification provisions.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 9 Employee Benefit Plans*****Employee Stock Purchase Plan***

We have an employee stock purchase plan for all eligible employees. Under the plan, shares of our common stock may be purchased over an offering period with a maximum duration of two years at 85% of the lower of the fair market value on the first day of the applicable offering period or on the last day of the six-month purchase period. Employees may purchase shares having a value not exceeding 10% of their gross compensation during an offering period. No shares were purchased during the three months ended March 31, 2004 or 2005. At March 31, 2005, approximately 7.2 million shares were reserved for future issuance. Our employee stock purchase plan contains an evergreen provision that automatically increases, on each January 1, the number of shares reserved for issuance under the employee stock purchase plan by the number of shares purchased under this plan in the preceding calendar year.

Stock Unit Plan

We have a deferred stock unit plan under which deferred stock units have been granted to new non-employee directors elected to our Board of Directors after December 31, 2002. Under this plan, each new director receives a one-time grant of deferred stock units equal to the result of dividing \$150,000 by the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. These deferred stock units vest 25% one year from the date of grant, and at a rate of 2.08% per month thereafter. If the services of the director are terminated at any time, all rights to the unvested deferred stock units shall also terminate. Further, each non-employee director may elect to receive, in lieu of his or her annual retainer (which is currently \$50,000) and any fees payable for service as a committee chair or Lead Independent Director at the time these fees would be otherwise payable (i.e., on a quarterly basis in arrears for services provided), deferred stock units with an initial value equal to the result of dividing the cash amount the director otherwise would have received by the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. These deferred stock units vest immediately upon grant. Each deferred stock unit constitutes an unfunded and unsecured promise by us to deliver one share of our common stock (or the equivalent value thereof in cash or property at our election). Deferred stock units generally are payable following the termination of a director's tenure as a director. All eBay officers, directors and employees are eligible to receive awards under the plan, although, to date, awards have been made only to new non-employee directors. As of March 31, 2005, 15,586 units had been awarded under this plan.

Equity Incentive Plans

We also have equity incentive plans for directors, officers and employees. Stock options granted under these plans generally vest 25% one year from the date of grant (or 12.5% six months from the date of grant for grants to existing employees) and the remainder vest at a rate of 2.08% per month thereafter, and generally expire 10 years from the date of grant. Stock options issued prior to June 1998 were exercisable immediately, subject to repurchase rights held by us, which lapsed over the vesting period. Restricted stock grants made under these plans are subject to repurchase by us at such times as determined by the Board of Directors, typically five years. At March 31, 2005, 95.5 million shares were available for future grant under these equity incentive plans.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes activity under our equity incentive plans for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and 2005 (shares in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2004		2005	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of period	138,410	\$ 16.93	137,208	\$ 23.63
Granted	28,158	34.48	19,850	42.22
Exercised	(11,594)	14.94	(10,229)	17.51
Cancelled	(1,022)	20.52	(2,540)	37.03
Outstanding at end of period	153,952	20.27	144,289	26.38
Options exercisable at end of period	44,598	\$ 14.33	48,857	\$ 17.63

The following table summarizes information about fixed stock options outstanding at March 31, 2005 (shares in thousands):

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding at March 31, 2005			Options Exercisable at March 31, 2005		
	Number of Shares Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$ 0.08 - \$13.64	14,854	5.4 years	\$ 8.34	11,887	\$ 7.69	
\$13.70 - \$14.51	17,719	7.1	14.22	8,785	14.28	
\$14.51 - \$18.80	15,024	6.6	16.43	8,908	16.39	
\$18.81 - \$19.39	15,153	7.7	19.36	5,841	19.32	
\$19.39 - \$27.38	18,997	7.9	24.58	7,000	24.07	
\$27.43 - \$34.35	6,542	8.6	30.06	1,688	30.01	
\$34.56 - \$34.62	22,035	8.9	34.61	4,329	34.61	
\$34.63 - \$42.46	8,835	9.5	38.84	244	36.08	
\$42.58 - \$42.58	15,461	9.9	42.58			
\$42.95 - \$58.21	9,669	9.5	47.76	175	44.13	
	144,289	8.0	\$ 26.38	48,857	\$ 17.63	

	Exercisable		Unexercisable		Total	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
In-the-money	48,641	\$ 17.52	64,113	\$ 24.61	112,754	\$ 21.55
Out-of-the-money	216	43.18	31,319	43.65	31,535	43.65
Total options outstanding	48,857	\$ 17.63	95,432	\$ 30.86	144,289	\$ 26.38

In-the-money options are options with an exercise price lower than the \$37.26 closing price of our common stock on March 31, 2005. Out-of-the-money options are options with an exercise price greater than the \$37.26 closing price of our common stock on March 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**eBay Inc.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In connection with the change in status from an employee to a non-employee, we were required, in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 44 Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25 and EITF 00-23 Issues Related to the Accounting for Stock Compensation under APB Opinion No. 25 and FASB Interpretation No. 44, to remeasure the portion of the individual's options that were unvested at the date of the change in status. The remeasurement is required to be at fair value and will continue to be revalued over the period of performance. The related stock-based compensation amortization recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2005 totaled approximately \$3.4 million. The fair value of these unvested options have been estimated as of March 31, 2005 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: risk-free interest rate, 3.4%; remaining effective contractual life, 3.3 years; dividend yield, 0%; and expected volatility, 36%.

The following table summarizes additional stock option information related to grants made to our employees and grants made specifically to named officers, which consist of our chief executive officer and the other four most highly compensated officers during fiscal year 2004 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
Total outstanding shares of common stock (at period end)	1,310,180	1,348,836
As a percentage of total outstanding shares of common stock:		
Grants during the period	2%	1%
Total outstanding in-the-money grants	12%	8%
Total outstanding grants	12%	11%
Grants to named officers during the period	*	*
Total outstanding grants to named officers	1%	1%
Total stock option grants during the period	28,158	19,850
Grants to named officers during the period as a percent of total grants during the period	11%	7%
Total outstanding stock option grants (at period end)	153,952	144,289
Total outstanding grants to named officers as a percent of total stock option grants outstanding	11%	11%

* Less than half of a percentage point

Table of Contents**Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains statements that involve expectations, plans or intentions (such as those relating to future business or financial results, new features or services, or management strategies). These statements are forward-looking and are subject to risks and uncertainties, so actual results may vary materially. You can identify these forward-looking statements by words such as may, will, should, expect, anticipate, believe, estimate, and other similar expressions. You should consider our forward-looking statements in light of the risks discussed under the heading Risk Factors That May Affect Results of Operations and Financial Condition below, as well as our consolidated financial statements, related notes, and the other financial information appearing elsewhere in this report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

You should read the following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document.

Overview***About eBay***

We pioneered online trading by developing an Internet-based marketplace in which a community of buyers and sellers are brought together in an entertaining, intuitive, easy-to-use environment to browse, buy and sell an enormous variety of items. Through our PayPal service, we enable any business or consumer with email to send and receive online payments securely, conveniently and cost-effectively.

Executive Operating and Financial Summary

Our focus is on understanding our key operating and financial metrics

Members of our senior management team regularly review key operating metrics such as new users, active users, listings and gross merchandise volume as well as new user accounts and total payment volume processed by our PayPal subsidiary. Members of our senior management also regularly review key financial information including net revenues, operating income margins, earnings per share, cash flows from operations and free cash flows, which we define as operating cash flows less purchases of property and equipment, net. These operating and financial measures allow us to monitor the health and vibrancy of our marketplace and our global payments platform, and the profitability of our business and to evaluate the effectiveness of investments that we have made and continue to make in the areas of international expansion, customer support, product development, marketing and site operations. We believe that an understanding of these key operating and financial measures and how they change over time is important to investors, analysts and other parties analyzing our business results and future market opportunities.

Our expectations for growth

We expect that our growth in net revenues during the remainder of 2005 will result primarily from increased net transaction revenues across our U.S. Marketplace, International Marketplace, and Payments segments. We continue to make investments in our business and infrastructure, and strive for cost and operational efficiencies to help us achieve our long-term growth objectives. We expect to continue our investments in the areas of international expansion for both our eBay Marketplace and our PayPal businesses, customer support, site operations, trust and safety, marketing and various corporate infrastructure areas. We believe these investments are necessary to support the long-term demands of our growing business. In addition, to the extent that the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies, and, in particular, the Euro, the remeasurement of these foreign currency denominated transactions into U.S. dollars will negatively impact our consolidated net revenues and, to the extent that they are not hedged, our net income.

Table of Contents

The detailed discussion of our consolidated financial results contained herein is intended to provide information to assist investors, analysts and other parties reading this report understand our key operating and financial measures as well as the changes in our consolidated results of operations from period to period, and the primary factors that accounted for those changes.

Seasonality

The following table sets forth, for the periods presented, our total net revenues and the sequential quarterly growth of these net revenues.

	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
(In thousands, except percentages)				
2003				
Net revenues	\$ 476,492	\$ 509,269	\$ 530,942	\$ 648,393
Current quarter vs prior quarter	15%	7%	4%	22%
2004				
Net revenues	\$ 756,239	\$ 773,412	\$ 805,876	\$ 935,782
Current quarter vs prior quarter	17%	2%	4%	16%
2005				
Net revenues	\$ 1,031,724	N/A	N/A	N/A
Current quarter vs prior quarter	10%			

As our business matures, transaction activity patterns on our websites increasingly mirror general consumer buying patterns, both online and offline. We have historically experienced our strongest quarters of sequential growth in the first and fourth fiscal quarters. We expect this pattern of seasonality to continue.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods presented, certain data from our condensed consolidated statement of income as a percentage of net revenues. This information should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

	Three Months Ended				
	March 31, 2004	June 30, 2004	September 30, 2004	December 31, 2004	March 31, 2005
Net revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of net revenues	17.8	18.9	19.5	18.9	18.1
Gross profit	82.2	81.1	80.5	81.1	81.9
Operating expenses:					
Sales and marketing	25.5	24.5	25.7	28.7	26.3
Product development	7.0	7.8	7.9	6.9	7.2
General and administrative	12.0	13.3	13.1	12.4	13.2
Payroll tax on employee stock options	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.6
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2

Edgar Filing: EBAY INC - Form 10-Q

Total operating expenses	47.0	48.3	49.0	50.7	49.4
Income from operations	35.3	32.8	31.5	30.4	32.5
Interest and other income, net	3.1	2.9	1.6	2.0	2.2
Interest expense	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.2)
Income before income taxes and minority interests	38.1	35.4	32.9	32.2	34.5
Provision for income taxes	(11.3)	(10.5)	(10.0)	(10.2)	(9.7)
Minority interests	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.0)	(0.0)
Net income	26.5	24.6	22.6	21.9	24.8

Table of Contents

Our net revenues are derived primarily from listing, feature and final value fees paid by sellers on our eBay Marketplace and fees from payment processing services on our PayPal platform. Our net revenues have continued to grow each year, primarily as a result of increased auction and fixed-price transaction activity, reflected in the growth in the number of our confirmed registered users, user activity, listings and gross merchandise volume on our eBay Marketplace platforms and payment transactions processed by PayPal. We believe these increases are largely the result of our promotional efforts and our emphasis on enhancing the online trading experience of our user community, both domestically and internationally, through the introduction of new site features and functionality and expanded trust and safety programs.

We have continued to invest in international expansion, customer support, site operations, trust and safety, corporate infrastructure, product development and marketing. The cost of these investments has, in the first quarter of 2005, been offset by operational and cost efficiencies, particularly in the area of marketing.

Net Revenues Summary

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
(In thousands, except percent changes)			
Net Revenues by Type:			
Net transaction revenues			
U.S. Marketplace	\$ 326,217	19%	\$ 388,759
International Marketplace	257,090	51%	387,187
Payments	155,513	46%	227,097
Total net transaction revenues	738,820	36%	1,003,043
Advertising and other non-transaction net revenues	17,419	65%	28,681
Total net revenues	\$ 756,239	36%	\$ 1,031,724
Net Revenues by Segment:			
U.S. Marketplace	\$ 338,570	20%	\$ 404,848
International Marketplace	259,490	52%	393,792
Payments	158,179	47%	233,084
Total net revenues	\$ 756,239	36%	\$ 1,031,724
Net Revenues by Geography:			
U.S.	\$ 455,355	22%	\$ 556,246
International	300,884	58%	475,478
Total net revenues	\$ 756,239	36%	\$ 1,031,724

Table of Contents

Net revenues are attributed to U.S. and International geographies based upon the country in which the seller, payment recipient, advertiser or service provider is located. Our Payments segment net revenues include amounts earned internationally.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
--	---------------------------	--

(In millions, except percent changes)

Supplemental Operating Data:*U.S. and International Marketplace Segments:(1)*

Confirmed registered users(2)	104.8	40%	147.1
Active users(3)	45.1	34%	60.5
Number of non-stores listings(4)	319.7	25%	399.8
Number of stores listings(4)	8.0	300%	32.0
Gross merchandise volume(5)	\$ 8,039	32%	\$ 10,602

Payments Segment :

Total accounts(6)	45.6	57%	71.6
Active accounts(7)	14.5	52%	22.1
Total number of payments(8)	79.2	39%	110.4
Total payment volume(9)	\$ 4,321	44%	\$ 6,233

- (1) Marktplaats.nl in the Netherlands, mobile.de in Germany, and Rent.com in the United States are not included in these metrics.
- (2) Cumulative total of all users who have completed the registration process on one of eBay's trading platforms.
- (3) All users, excluding users of Baazee.com, Half.com, Internet Auction, Marktplaats.nl, mobile.de, and Rent.com, who bid on, bought, or listed an item within the previous 12-month period. Includes users of eBay EachNet in China since the migration to the eBay platform in September 2004.
- (4) All listings on eBay's trading platforms during the period, regardless of whether the listing subsequently closed successfully.
- (5) Total value of all successfully closed listings between users on eBay's trading platforms during the period, regardless of whether the buyer and seller actually consummated the transaction.
- (6) Cumulative total of all personal, premier, or business accounts opened, excluding accounts that have been closed or locked, and users that made payments using PayPal but have not registered.
- (7) All accounts, and users whether registered or not, that sent or received at least one payment through the PayPal system within the previous three-month period.
- (8) Total number of payments initiated through the PayPal system during the period, regardless of whether the payment was actually sent successfully, or was reversed, rejected, or pending at the end of the period.

(9) Total dollar volume of payments initiated through the PayPal system during the period, regardless of whether the payment was actually sent successfully, or was reversed, rejected, or was pending at the end of the period.

The U.S. Marketplace segment includes our U.S. marketplace trading platforms, other than our PayPal subsidiary. The International Marketplace segment includes our International marketplace trading platforms excluding our PayPal subsidiary. The Payments segment includes our global payments platform consisting of our PayPal subsidiary.

Our net revenues result from fees associated with our transaction, advertising and other services in our U.S. Marketplace, International Marketplace, and Payments segments. Net transaction revenues are derived primarily from listing, feature and final value fees paid by sellers and fees from payment processing services. Net revenues from advertising are derived principally from the sale of banner and sponsorship advertisements

Table of Contents

for cash and through barter arrangements. Other non-transaction net revenues are derived principally from contractual arrangements with third parties that provide transaction services to eBay and PayPal users.

eBay Marketplace Net Transaction Revenues

Total net transaction revenues from the U.S. and International Marketplace segments in aggregate increased 33% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. The growth in both U.S. Marketplace and International Marketplace segment net transaction revenues was primarily the result of increased auction transaction activity, reflected in the growth of the number of registered users, active users, listings and gross merchandise volume. Gross merchandise volume from the U.S. and International Marketplace segments together increased 32% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. U.S. and International Marketplace segment net transaction revenues as a percentage of gross merchandise volume was 7.3% in the first quarter of 2005, consistent with the first quarter of 2004. During the first quarter of 2005, there was gross merchandise volume growth across all major categories with motors, clothing & accessories, computers, and consumer electronics making the most significant year-over-year impact.

U.S. Marketplace Segment

U.S. Marketplace segment net transaction revenues increased 19% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. Net transaction revenues derived from the U.S. Marketplace segment represented 39% of the total net transaction revenues in the first quarter of 2005, compared to 44% in the first quarter of 2004. Gross merchandise volume from the U.S. Marketplace segment increased 17% during the first quarter 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. The U.S. Marketplace is our largest and most developed business. We expect net transaction revenues from our U.S. Marketplace segment to increase during the remainder of 2005 when compared to the same period in the prior year, but to decrease as a percentage of total eBay Marketplace net transaction revenues as the International Marketplace segment grows in significance. In addition, even as the U.S. Marketplace segment continues to grow in total, we expect the growth rate during the remainder of 2005 to be lower than that of 2004.

International Marketplace Segment

International Marketplace segment net transaction revenues increased 51% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. International Marketplace segment net transaction revenues as a percentage of total net transaction revenues was 39% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to 35% in the first quarter of 2004. Gross merchandise volume from the International Marketplace segment increased 50% in the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. The growth in our International Marketplace segment net transaction revenues, in total and as a percentage of total net transaction revenues was primarily the result of strong performances in the United Kingdom and South Korea as well as significant increases in certain of our less established markets, particularly Australia, France and Italy. The relative strength of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar resulted in increased net revenues of approximately \$22.2 million during the first quarter of 2005. Changes in foreign currency rates will impact our operating results, and to the extent that the U.S. dollar strengthens, our foreign currency denominated transaction net revenues will be negatively impacted. We expect that the growth rate of our International Marketplace segment net transaction revenues will continue to decline during the remainder of 2005, although we expect such revenues to grow in significance relative to our total eBay Marketplace as we continue to develop and deploy our global online trading platform during 2005.

Payments Segment Net Transaction Revenues

Payments segment net transaction revenues increased 46% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004. Payments segment net transaction revenues as a percentage of total net transaction revenues was 23% during the first quarter of 2005, compared to 21% in the first quarter of 2004. The growth in our Payments segment net transaction revenues, both in total and as a percentage of total net transaction

Table of Contents

revenues is primarily the result of increases in PayPal transaction volume driven by the growth in, and the higher penetration of, the eBay Marketplace.

Our Payments segment net transaction revenues as a percentage of total payment volume was 3.6% during the first quarter of 2005, consistent with the first quarter of 2004. The growth in Payments segment net transaction revenues was positively affected by PayPal's continued penetration of eBay Marketplace transactions, particularly in the United States and the United Kingdom. Further, Payments segment net transaction revenues have grown in connection with the increase in our eBay Marketplace gross merchandise volume during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. In addition, payments for transactions other than on our eBay Marketplace websites, contributed net revenues of approximately \$63.5 million in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to \$44.7 million in the first quarter of 2004, an increase of 42%. The relative strength of foreign currencies, primarily the Euro, against the U.S. dollar, resulted in increased net revenues of approximately \$2.7 million during the first quarter 2005.

Net transaction revenues from the Payments segment earned internationally totaled \$81.7 million during the first quarter of 2005, representing 36% of total Payments segment net transaction revenue. This can be compared to net transaction revenues from the Payments segment earned internationally of \$41.4 million during the first quarter of 2004, representing 27% of total Payments segment net transaction revenue. Changes in foreign currency rates will impact our operating results and, to the extent that the U.S. dollar strengthens, our foreign currency denominated net revenues will be negatively impacted. We expect the Payments segment net transaction revenues to increase in total during the remainder of 2005 and for net transaction revenues earned internationally to increase in total and as a percentage of the Payments segment net transaction revenues. We also expect that the Payments segment net transaction revenues will increase as a percentage of total net transaction revenues in the remainder of 2005.

Advertising and Other Non-Transaction Net Revenues

Advertising and other non-transaction net revenues increased in total and as a percentage of total net revenues in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. Advertising and other non-transaction net revenues represented 3% of total net revenues during the first quarter of 2005, compared to 2% during the first quarter of 2004. We continue to view our business as primarily transaction driven and we expect advertising and other non-transaction net revenues to continue to represent a relatively small portion of total net revenues during the remainder of 2005.

Cost of Net Revenues

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Cost of net revenues	\$ 134,358	39%	\$ 186,369
As a percentage of net revenues	17.8%		18.1%

Cost of net revenues consists primarily of costs associated with payment processing, site operations, and certain types of customer support. Significant cost components include bank charges, credit card interchange, other payment processing costs, employee compensation and facilities costs for our customer support and site operations, depreciation of equipment and amortization of required capitalization of major site and product development costs.

The increase in cost of net revenues during the first quarter of 2005, compared to the first quarter of 2004, was primarily due to an increase in the volume of transactions on the eBay and PayPal websites, and continued development and expansion of our customer support and site operations infrastructure. Payment processing costs increased to \$87.6 million in the first quarter of 2005 from \$67.2 million in the first quarter of 2004, due to the increase in PayPal's total payment volume and increased payment processing costs related to the growth of our eBay Marketplace activity. Aggregate customer support and site operations costs increased approximately \$26.9 million during the first quarter of 2005 compared to the first quarter of 2004, due primarily to an

Table of Contents

increase in aggregate depreciation of site equipment and employee related costs of \$8.7 million and \$8.6 million, respectively. The remainder of the increase was due primarily to increased consultant fees and facilities costs. Costs of net revenues are expected to increase in total and may increase slightly as a percentage of net revenues during the remainder of 2005.

Operating Expenses
Sales and Marketing

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Sales and marketing	\$ 192,690	41%	\$ 271,349
As a percentage of net revenues	25.5%		26.3%

Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of advertising, tradeshow and other promotional costs, employee compensation for our category development and marketing staff and certain trust and safety programs.

The increase in sales and marketing expenses in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 was primarily due to our continued investment in growing our global user base. Growth in advertising and marketing costs as well as employee-related costs comprised the majority of the increase. Combined advertising and marketing costs increased \$45.4 million during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. This increase was primarily the result of international expansion and industry-wide increases in Internet marketing rates, that was partially offset by marketing efficiencies. Employee-related costs increased by approximately \$19.9 million during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. Sales and marketing expenses are expected to increase in total and may increase as a percentage of net revenues during the remainder of 2005. In addition, our online marketing expenses are expected to increase because of increases in the volume of, and especially rates for, online advertising that we expect to purchase in order to attract new customers and increase user activity on our websites including growth initiatives in sales and marketing activities in our U.S. and International Marketplaces, including China.

Product Development

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Product development	\$ 52,698	40%	\$ 73,789
As a percentage of net revenues	7.0%		7.2%

Product development expenses consist primarily of employee compensation, consultant costs, facilities costs and depreciation on equipment used for development. Product development expenses are net of required capitalization of major site and other product development efforts. These capitalized costs totaled \$9.7 million in the first quarter of 2005 and \$10.2 million in the first quarter of 2004, and are reflected as a cost of net revenues when amortized in future periods. We anticipate that we will continue to devote significant resources to product development in the future as we add new features and functionality to the eBay and PayPal platforms.

The increase in product development expenses in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 was primarily the result of increased headcount and consultant costs to support various platform development

initiatives at eBay and PayPal and the international expansion of both platforms. Employee-related and consultant costs increased by approximately \$12.5 million and \$5.5 million, respectively, during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. Product development expenses may increase in total and slightly as a percentage of net revenues during the remainder of 2005, as we develop new features and functionality and continue to improve and expand operations across all our segments including the U.S. and International Marketplace and PayPal Merchant Services.

Table of Contents*General and Administrative*

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
General and administrative	\$ 90,636	50%	\$ 136,389
As a percentage of net revenues	12.0%		13.2%

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of employee compensation, provisions for transaction losses associated with our Payments segment, depreciation of equipment, provision for doubtful accounts, insurance and professional fees.

The increase in general and administrative expenses in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 was primarily due to employee related costs, facilities costs, and payment transaction loss expenses. The increases in employee related costs resulted from continued headcount growth primarily in trust and safety and corporate functions. Employee-related costs increased by approximately \$25.1 million during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. Facilities costs increased by approximately \$10.8 million during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004. PayPal's payment transaction loss expense increased approximately \$6.9 million during the first quarter of 2005 as compared the first quarter of 2004. PayPal's payment transaction loss rate, which is the transaction loss expense as a percentage of PayPal's total payment volume, was 0.30% during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to 0.27% during the first quarter of 2004. The increase in this percentage during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 was due primarily to the expanded coverage for our PayPal Buyer Protection Program, the improved usability of the eBay Standard Purchase Protection Program, as well as the introduction of additional programs in 2004. While our transaction loss rate may increase slightly, we expect general and administrative expenses in total to decrease slightly as a percentage of net revenues during the remainder of 2005.

Payroll Tax on Employee Stock Options

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Payroll tax on employee stock options	\$ 5,146	11%	\$ 5,731
As a percentage of net revenues	0.7%		0.6%

We are subject to employer payroll taxes on employee gains from the exercise of non-qualified stock options. These employer payroll taxes are recorded as a charge to operations in the period in which such options are exercised and sold based on actual gains realized by employees. Our results of operations and cash flows could vary significantly depending on the actual period that stock options are exercised by employees and, consequently, the amount of employer payroll taxes assessed. In general, we expect payroll taxes on employee stock option gains to increase during periods in which our stock price is high relative to historic levels.

Amortization of Acquired Intangible Assets

Three Months	Three Months
---------------------	---------------------

	Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	\$ 13,963	61%	\$ 22,523
As a percentage of net revenues	1.8%		2.2%

From time to time we have purchased, and we expect to continue purchasing, assets or businesses to accelerate category and geographic expansion, increase the features, functions, and formats available to our users and maintain a leading role in online trading. These purchase transactions generally result in the creation

Table of Contents

of acquired intangible assets and lead to a corresponding increase in the amortization expense in future periods. The increase in amortization of acquired intangibles during the first quarter of 2005 compared to the first quarter of 2004 is due to the business acquisitions made during 2004 and in the first quarter of 2005.

Intangible assets include purchased customer lists and user base, trademarks and trade names, developed technologies, and other intangible assets. We amortize intangible assets, excluding goodwill, using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from one to eight years.

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in a business combination. Goodwill is subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment, applying a fair-value based test. We evaluate goodwill, at a minimum, on an annual basis and whenever events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Impairment of goodwill is tested at the reporting unit level by comparing the reporting unit's carrying amount, including goodwill, to the fair value of the reporting unit. The fair values of the reporting units are estimated using a combination of the income, or discounted cash flows, approach and the market approach, which utilizes comparable companies' data. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill is considered impaired and a second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. Our annual impairment test was carried out as of August 31, 2004, and we determined that there was no impairment. There were no events or circumstances from that date through March 31, 2005 that would impact this assessment.

We expect amortization of acquired intangible assets to increase during the remainder of 2005 as a result of the intangible assets associated with our acquisition of Rent.com in February 2005. Amortization of acquired intangible assets will also increase should we make additional acquisitions in the future.

Non-Operating Items***Interest and Other Income, Net***

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Interest and other income, net	\$ 23,499	(5)%	\$ 22,403
As a percentage of net revenues	3.1%		2.2%

Interest and other income, net consists of interest earned on cash, cash equivalents and investments as well as foreign exchange transaction gains and losses and other miscellaneous non-operating transactions.

Our interest and other income, net, decreased during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 primarily as a result of one-time gains of approximately \$11.2 million recorded during the first quarter of 2004 related to certain contract amendments that were not recorded in the first quarter of 2005. Without these gains, interest and other income, net, would have shown an increase in the first quarter of 2005 from the first quarter of 2004 of approximately \$10.1 million. This increase was primarily related to increased interest income recorded during the first quarter of 2005 primarily due to increased cash, cash equivalents and investments balances and higher interest rates. The weighted-average interest rate of our portfolio was approximately 2.5% in the first quarter of 2005, compared to 1.4% in the first quarter of 2004.

Interest Expense

Three Months Ended	Percent Change	Three Months Ended
-----------------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------

	March 31, 2004		March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Interest expense	\$ 2,331	(26)%	\$ 1,720
As a percentage of net revenues	0.3%		0.2%

Interest expense consists of interest charges related to our San Jose headquarters lease facilities, capital leases, and mortgage notes.

Table of Contents

Interest expense decreased during the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 primarily due to the payment of the lease obligation for our San Jose headquarters facility on March 1, 2005. As a result, we expect our interest expense will decrease both in total and as a percentage of net revenues during the remainder of 2005.

Provision for Income Taxes

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Provision for income taxes	\$ 85,756	17%	\$ 99,948
As a percentage of net revenues	11.3%		9.7%
Effective tax rate	30%		28%

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory U.S. federal rate principally due to non-deductible expenses related to acquisitions, state taxes, subsidiary losses for which we have not provided a benefit and other factors that increase the effective tax rate. These expenses are offset by decreases resulting from foreign income with lower effective tax rates and tax credits.

The lower effective tax rates for the first quarter of 2005, as compared to the first quarter of 2004 reflects the increasing profit contribution from our international operations that are subject to lower tax rates.

We receive tax deductions from the gains realized by employees on the exercise of certain non-qualified stock options for which the benefit is recognized as a component of stockholders' equity. We have evaluated our deferred tax assets relating to these stock option deductions along with our other deferred tax assets and concluded that a valuation allowance is required for that portion of the total deferred tax assets that is not considered more likely than not to be realized in future periods. Should a valuation allowance no longer be required, the reversal of the valuation allowance will be reflected as an increase in additional paid-in capital rather than a reduction of the income tax provision.

Minority Interests

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Percent Change	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Minority interests	\$ (2,060)	99%	\$ (18)
As a percentage of net revenues	(0.3)%		0.0%

Minority interests represents the minority investors' percentage share of income or losses from subsidiaries in which we hold a majority ownership interest and consolidate the subsidiaries' results in our financial statements. Third parties held minority interests in one of our subsidiaries during the first quarter of 2004 and during the first quarter of 2005.

The change in minority interests in the first quarter of 2005 as compared to the first quarter of 2004 is due primarily to our acquisition of approximately 38% additional ownership interest in Internet Auction during 2004.

Impact of Foreign Currency Translation

During the first quarter of 2005, our international net revenues, based upon the country in which the seller, payment recipient, advertiser or other service provider is located, accounted for approximately 46% of our

consolidated net revenues, as compared to approximately 40% of our net revenues in the first quarter of 2004. The growth in our international operations has increased our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. Net revenues and related expenses generated from international locations are denominated in the functional currencies of the local countries, and include Euros, British pounds, Korean won, Canadian dollars, Taiwanese dollars, and Australian dollars. The results of operations and certain of our inter-company balances associated

Table of Contents

with our international locations are exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations. The statements of income of our international operations are translated into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rates in each applicable period. To the extent the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies, the remeasurement of these foreign currency denominated transactions results in increased net revenues, operating expenses and net income. Similarly, our net revenues, operating expenses and net income will decrease when the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies.

During the first quarter of 2005, the U.S. dollar weakened against the foreign currencies listed above, as compared to the first quarter of 2004. Using the weighted-average foreign currency exchange rates from the first quarter of 2004, our net revenues for the first quarter of 2005 would have been lower than we reported using the actual exchange rate for the first quarter of 2005, by approximately \$24.9 million, of which \$22.2 million and \$2.7 million relate to our International Marketplace and Payments segments, respectively. In addition, if the weighted-average foreign currency exchange rates from the first quarter of 2004 were applied to our cost of revenues and operating expenses for the first quarter of 2005, these cost of revenues and operating expenses would have been lower in total than we reported using the actual exchange rates for the first quarter of 2005 by approximately \$12.1 million. The majority of this impact relates to the relative strength of the Euro against the U.S. dollar. We expect our international operations will continue to grow in significance as we develop and deploy our global marketplace and global payments platform. As a result, the impact of foreign currency fluctuations in future periods could become more significant and may have a negative impact on our net revenues and net income. See the information in Item 3 of Part I under the subheading **Foreign Currency Risk** for additional discussion of the impact of foreign currency translation and related hedging activities.

Foreign Exchange Hedging Policy

We are a rapidly growing company, with an increasing proportion of our operations outside the United States. Accordingly, our foreign currency exposures have increased substantially and are expected to continue to grow. The objective of our foreign exchange exposure management program is to identify material foreign currency exposures and to manage these exposures to minimize the potential effects of currency fluctuations on our reported consolidated cash flows and results of operations.

Our primary foreign currency exposures are transaction, translation and economic:

Transaction Exposure: Around the world, we have certain assets and liabilities, primarily receivables, investments and accounts payable (including inter-company transactions) that are denominated in currencies other than the relevant entity's functional currency. In certain circumstances, changes in the functional currency value of these assets and liabilities create fluctuations in our reported consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. We may enter into foreign exchange forward contracts or other instruments to minimize the short-term foreign currency fluctuations on such assets and liabilities. The gains and losses on the foreign exchange forward contracts offset the transaction gains and losses on certain foreign currency receivables, investments and payables recognized in earnings.

Earnings Translation Exposure: As our international operations grow, fluctuations in the foreign currencies create volatility in our reported results of operations as we are required to consolidate the results of operations of our foreign denominated subsidiaries. We may decide to purchase forward exchange contracts or other instruments to offset the earnings impact of currency fluctuations. Such contracts will be marked-to-market on a monthly basis and any unrealized gain or loss recorded in interest and other income, net.

Economic Exposure: We also have anticipated and unrecognized future cash flows, including revenues and expenses, denominated in currencies other than the relevant entity's functional currency. Our primary economic exposures include future royalty receivables, customer collections, and vendor payments. Changes in the relevant entity's functional currency value will cause fluctuations in the cash flows we expect to receive when these cash flows are realized or settled. We may enter into foreign exchange forward contracts or other derivatives to hedge the value of a portion of these cash flows. We account for these foreign exchange contracts as cash flow hedges. The effective portion of the derivative's gain or loss is initially reported as a

Table of Contents

component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the transaction is settled.

Employee Stock Options

We continue to believe that employee stock options represent an appropriate and essential component of our overall compensation program. We grant options to substantially all employees and believe that this broad-based program helps us to attract, motivate, and retain high quality employees, to the ultimate benefit of our stockholders. Stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2004, net of cancellations, represented approximately 3% of our total outstanding common stock at December 31, 2004, a substantial portion of which were granted to new employees. We expect that our stock option grants, net of cancellations, for the full year 2005 will represent approximately 2% of our total outstanding common stock at December 31, 2005.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements*Share-Based Payments*

In December 2004, the FASB issued a Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R) that addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions in which an enterprise receives employee services in exchange for either equity instruments of the enterprise or liabilities that are based on the fair value of the enterprise's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of such equity instruments. The statement eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions, as we do currently, using the intrinsic value method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board, or APB, Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and generally requires that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method and recognized as expenses in our consolidated statement of income. The statement requires companies to assess the most appropriate model to calculate the value of the options. We currently use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value options and are currently assessing which model we may use in the future under the statement and may deem an alternative model to be the most appropriate. The use of a different model to value options may result in a different fair value than the use of the Black-Scholes option pricing model. In addition, there are a number of other requirements under the new standard that will result in differing accounting treatment than currently required. These differences include, but are not limited to, the accounting for the tax benefit on employee stock options and for stock issued under our employee stock purchase plan and the presentation of these tax benefits within the consolidated statement of cash flows. In addition to the appropriate fair value model to be used for valuing share-based payments, we will also be required to determine the transition method to be used at date of adoption. The allowed transition methods include prospective and retroactive adoption options. The prospective method requires that compensation expense be recorded for all unvested stock options and restricted stock at the beginning of the first quarter of adoption of FAS 123R, while the retroactive methods would record compensation expense for all unvested stock option and restricted stock beginning with the first period restated.

In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission announced the adoption of a new rule that amends the effective date under FAS 123R. The effective date of the new standard under these new rules for our consolidated financial statements is January 1, 2006. Adoption of this statement will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements as we will be required to expense the fair value of our stock option grants and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan rather than disclose the impact on our consolidated net income within our footnotes, as is our current practice (see Note 1 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements contained herein). The amounts disclosed within our footnotes are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that will be expensed upon the adoption of FAS 123R. Compensation expense calculated under FAS 123R may differ from amounts currently disclosed within our footnotes based on changes in the fair value of our common stock, changes in the number of options granted or the terms of such options, the treatment of tax benefits and changes in interest rates or other factors. In addition, upon adoption of FAS 123R, we may choose to use a different valuation model to value the

Table of Contents

compensation expense associated with employee stock options and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets – An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions (SFAS 153). SFAS 153 eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets in paragraph 21(b) of APB Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions, and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. SFAS 153 specifies that a nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. This standard is effective for fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We believe that the adoption of SFAS 153 will not have a material impact on our consolidated statement of income or financial condition.

Employees

As of March 31, 2005, eBay Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries employed approximately 8,600 people (excluding approximately 650 temporary employees), of whom approximately 6,000 were located in the United States (excluding approximately 400 temporary employees). Our future success is substantially dependent on the performance of our executive and senior management and key technical personnel, and on our continuing ability to find and retain highly qualified technical and managerial personnel.

Liquidity and Capital Resources**Cash Flows**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2004	2005
	(In thousands)	
Consolidated Cash Flow Data:		
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 366,245	\$ 495,419
Investing activities	(412,875)	(647,021)
Financing activities	171,942	51,040
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(5,117)	(12,711)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 120,195	\$ (113,273)

We generated cash from operating activities in amounts greater than net income in the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, mainly due to non-cash charges to earnings. Non-cash charges to earnings included depreciation and amortization on our long-term assets, tax benefits on the exercise of employee stock options resulting from personal gains recognized by our employees, provision for doubtful accounts and authorized credits resulting from increasing revenues and the provision for transaction losses resulting from increased total payment volumes processed by our PayPal subsidiary. Operating cash flows for the first quarter of 2005 also benefited from growth in the operations of PayPal Europe, established in February 2004.

Net cash used in investing activities during the first quarter of 2005 consisted primarily of the cash payment for the acquisition of Rent.com of approximately \$435.4 million and the net purchase of investments of approximately \$122.4 million. Net cash used in investing activities during the first three months of 2004 primarily consisted of the net purchase of investments of approximately \$306.4 million, and the installment payment of approximately \$38.4 million in connection with our acquisition of the remaining ownership interest in EachNet in the second quarter of 2003. Purchases of property and equipment totaled \$79.6 million during the first quarter of 2005 and \$70.9 million

during the first quarter of 2004 and related mainly to purchases of computer equipment and software to support our site operations, customer support and international expansion.

34

Table of Contents

The net cash flows provided by financing activities during the first quarter of 2005 consisted primarily of proceeds from stock option exercises of \$179.3 million, partially offset by the payment of our lease obligation and the related minority interest for our San Jose headquarters facility totaling approximately \$126.4 million. Net cash flows provided by financing activities during the first quarter of 2004 were due primarily to proceeds from stock option exercises of \$173.1 million. Our future cash flows from stock options are difficult to project as such amounts are a function of our stock price, the number of options outstanding, and the decisions by employees to exercise stock options. In general, we expect proceeds from stock option exercises to increase during periods in which our stock price has increased relative to historical levels.

The negative effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 was due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar during the quarter against other foreign currencies, primarily the Euro.

We believe that existing cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with any cash generated from operations, will be sufficient to fund our operating activities, capital expenditures and other obligations for the foreseeable future. However, if during that period or thereafter we are not successful in generating sufficient cash flows from operations or in raising additional capital when required in sufficient amounts and on terms acceptable to us, our business could suffer.

Capital Expenditures

We expect capital expenditures in the range of \$340 million to \$400 million for the full year 2005, excluding the payment for our lease obligation for our headquarters facility in the first quarter of 2005 of \$126 million.

Other Financial Arrangements

As of March 31, 2005, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a future material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Indemnification Provisions

In the ordinary course of business, we have included limited indemnification provisions in certain of our agreements with parties with whom we have commercial relations, including our standard marketing, promotions and application-programming-interface license agreements. Under these contracts, we generally indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party in connection with claims by any third party with respect to our domain names, trademarks, logos and other branding elements to the extent that such marks are applicable to our performance under the subject agreement. In a limited number of agreements, including agreements under which we have developed technology for certain commercial parties, we have provided an indemnity for other types of third-party claims, substantially all of which are indemnities related to our copyrights, trademarks, and patents. To date, no significant costs have been incurred, either individually or collectively, in connection with our indemnification provisions.

Other Arrangements

On August 6, 2004, we entered into a commitment to purchase a corporate aircraft for a total purchase price of \$40.3 million. This aircraft is being purchased to replace our existing corporate aircraft, which was made available for sale during the three months ended March 31, 2005. As of March 31, 2005, \$22.1 million has been paid in relation to this future acquisition, with the remaining amount to be paid in installments prior to delivery, which has been scheduled for the second quarter of 2005.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors That May Affect Results of Operations and Financial Condition

The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Other events that we do not currently anticipate or that we currently deem immaterial also may affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our operating results may fluctuate.

Our operating results have varied on a quarterly basis during our operating history. Our operating results may fluctuate significantly as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside our control. Factors that may affect our operating results include the following:

- our ability to retain an active user base, to attract new users, and to encourage existing users to list items for sale, purchase items through our service, or use our payment services;
- the volume, size, timing, and completion rate of transactions on our websites;
- the amount and timing of operating costs and capital expenditures relating to the maintenance and expansion of our businesses, operations, and infrastructure;
- technical difficulties or service interruptions involving our websites or services provided to our users by third parties;
- the actions of our competitors, including the introduction of new sites, services, and products;
- consumer confidence in the safety and security of transactions on our websites;
- the cost and availability of online and traditional advertising, and the success of our brand building and marketing campaigns;
- new laws or regulations, or interpretations of existing laws or regulations, that harm the Internet, electronic commerce, or our business model;
- our ability to comply with the requirements of entities whose services are required for our operations, such as credit card associations;
- our ability to upgrade and develop our systems, infrastructure, and customer service capabilities to accommodate growth at a reasonable cost;
- the costs and results of litigation that involves us;
- our ability to expand PayPal's product offerings outside of the U.S. (including our ability to obtain any necessary regulatory approvals) and to increase the acceptance of PayPal by online merchants outside of the eBay marketplace;
- our ability to keep our websites operational at a reasonable cost;
- our ability to develop product enhancements at a reasonable cost and to develop programs and features in a timely manner, including expanding our fixed-price offerings;
- our ability to successfully integrate and manage our acquisitions, including, most recently, Rent.com;
- our ability to manage PayPal's transaction loss and credit card chargeback rate and payment funding mix;

the success of our geographic and product expansions;

our ability to attract new personnel in a timely and effective manner and to retain key employees;

the results of regulatory decisions that affect us;

the continued financial strength of our technology suppliers and other parties with whom we have commercial relations;

Table of Contents

increasing consumer acceptance of the Internet and other online services for commerce in the face of increasing publicity about fraud, spoofing, viruses, and other dangers of the Internet;

general economic conditions and those economic conditions specific to the Internet and e-commerce industries; and

geopolitical events such as war, threat of war, or terrorist actions.

Our limited operating history and the increased variety of services offered on our websites make it difficult for us to forecast the level or source of our revenues or earnings accurately. In view of the rapidly evolving nature of our business and our limited operating history, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be meaningful, and you should not rely upon them as an indication of future performance. We do not have backlog, and substantially all of our net revenues each quarter come from transactions involving sales or payments during that quarter. Due to the inherent difficulty in forecasting revenues it is also difficult to forecast income statement expenses as a percentage of net revenues. Quarterly and annual income statement expenses as a percentage of net revenues may be significantly different from historical or projected rates. Our operating results in one or more future quarters may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In that event, the trading price of our common stock would almost certainly decline.

We may not maintain our level of profitability or rates of growth.

We believe that our continued profitability and growth will depend in large part on our ability to do the following: attract new users, keep existing users active on our websites, and increase the activity levels of our active users;

manage the costs of our business, including the costs associated with maintaining and developing our websites, customer support, transaction and chargeback rates, and international and product expansion;

maintain sufficient transaction volume to attract buyers and sellers;

increase the awareness of our brands; and

provide our customers with superior community, customer support, and trading experiences.

We invest heavily in marketing and promotion, customer support, and further development of operating infrastructure for our core and recently acquired operations. Some of this investment entails long-term contractual commitments. As a result, we may be unable to adjust our spending rapidly enough to compensate for any unexpected revenue shortfall, which may harm our profitability. In addition, we are spending in advance of anticipated growth, which may also harm our profitability. Growth rates in our most established markets, such as Germany and the U.S., have declined over time and may continue to do so as the existing base of users and transactions becomes larger. The expected future growth of our PayPal business may also cause downward pressure on our profit margin because that business has lower gross margins than our eBay business.

We depend on the continued growth of online commerce.

The business of selling goods over the Internet, particularly through online trading, is dynamic and relatively new. Growth in the use of the Internet as a medium for consumer commerce may not continue. Concerns about fraud, privacy, and other problems may discourage additional consumers from adopting the Internet as a medium of commerce. Market acceptance for recently introduced services and products over the Internet is highly uncertain, and there are few proven services and products. In countries such as the U.S. and Germany, where our services and online commerce generally have been available for some time and the level of market penetration of our services is high, acquiring new users for our services may be more difficult and costly than it has been in the past. In order to expand our user base, we must appeal to and acquire consumers who historically have used traditional means of commerce to purchase goods. If these consumers prove to be

Table of Contents

less active than our earlier users, and we are unable to gain efficiencies in our operating costs, including our cost of acquiring new customers, our business could be adversely impacted.

We must keep pace with rapid technological change to remain competitive.

Our competitive arena is characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards, frequent new service and product introductions and enhancements, and changing customer demands. These characteristics are caused in part by the emerging and changing nature of the Internet. Our future success therefore will depend on our ability to adapt to rapidly changing technologies and evolving industry standards and to improve the performance, features, and reliability of our service. Our failure to adapt to such changes would harm our business. Recent changes in search functionality, including both paid and natural search, may give buyers easier access to Internet sellers who do not use our trading platforms and may provide such sellers with alternative access to buyers. These developments may reduce the attractiveness of our platform to sellers and may adversely affect our growth and business. New technologies, such as the development of a peer-to-peer personal trading technology, could also adversely affect us. In addition, the widespread adoption of new Internet, networking, or telecommunications technologies or other technological changes could require us to make substantial expenditures to modify or adapt our services or infrastructure.

There are many risks associated with our international operations.

Our international expansion has been rapid and we have only limited experience in many of the countries in which we now do business. Our international business, especially in Germany, the U.K., Canada, and South Korea, has also become critical to our revenues and profits. In 2004 and the first quarter of 2005, our international net transaction revenues represented 35% and 39%, respectively, of our total net transaction revenues. Expansion into international markets requires management attention and resources and requires us to localize our service to conform to local cultures, standards, and policies. The commercial, Internet, and transportation infrastructure in lesser-developed countries may make it difficult for us to replicate our business model. In many countries, we compete with local companies who understand the local market better than we do, and we may not benefit from first-to-market advantages. We may not be successful in expanding into particular international markets or in generating revenues from foreign operations. For example, in 2002 we withdrew from the Japanese market. Even if we are successful, we expect the costs of operating new sites to exceed our net revenues for at least 12 months in most countries. As we continue to expand internationally, including through the expansion of PayPal, we are subject to risks of doing business internationally, including the following:

- regulatory requirements, including regulation of auctioneering, professional selling, distance selling, banking, and money transmitting, that may limit or prevent the offering of eBay's and PayPal's services in some jurisdictions, prevent enforceable agreements between sellers and buyers, prohibit the listing of certain categories of goods, require special licensure, or limit the transfer of information between eBay and our affiliates;

- legal uncertainty regarding our liability for the listings and other content provided by our users, including uncertainty as a result of less Internet-friendly legal systems, unique local laws, and lack of clear precedent or applicable law;

- difficulties in integrating with local payment providers, including banks, credit and debit card associations, and electronic fund transfer systems;

- differing levels of retail distribution, shipping, and communications infrastructures;

- different employee/employer relationships and the existence of workers' councils and labor unions;

- difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

- longer payment cycles, different accounting practices, and greater problems in collecting accounts receivable;

Table of Contents

potentially adverse tax consequences, including local taxation of our fees or of transactions on our websites;

higher telecommunications and Internet service provider costs;

strong local competitors;

different and more stringent consumer protection, data protection and other laws;

cultural ambivalence towards, or non-acceptance of, online trading;

seasonal reductions in business activity;

expenses associated with localizing our products, including offering customers the ability to transact business in the local currency;

laws and business practices that favor local competitors or prohibit foreign ownership of certain businesses;

profit repatriation restrictions, foreign currency exchange restrictions, and exchange rate fluctuations;

volatility in a specific country's or region's political or economic conditions; and

differing intellectual property laws.

Some of these factors may cause our international costs of doing business to exceed our comparable domestic costs. As we expand our international operations and have additional portions of our international revenues denominated in foreign currencies, we also could become subject to increased difficulties in collecting accounts receivable and risks relating to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. The impact of currency exchange rate fluctuations is discussed in more detail under "We are exposed to fluctuations in currency exchange rates," below.

We are in the process of expanding PayPal's services internationally. Both eBay and PayPal have limited experience with the payments business outside of the U.S. In some countries, expansion of PayPal's business may require a close commercial relationship with one or more local banks. We do not know if these or other factors may prevent, delay, or limit PayPal's expansion or reduce its profitability. Any limitation on our ability to expand PayPal internationally could harm our business.

Our operations in China are subject to risks and uncertainties relating to the laws and regulations of the People's Republic of China.

In July 2003, we completed the acquisition of the remaining outstanding capital stock and options of EachNet. EachNet is a Delaware corporation and a foreign person under the laws of the People's Republic of China, or PRC, and is subject to many of the risks of doing business internationally described above in "There are many risks associated with our international operations." The PRC currently regulates its Internet sector through regulations restricting the scope of foreign investment and through the enforcement of content restrictions on the Internet. While many aspects of these regulations remain unclear, they purport to limit and require licensing of various aspects of the provision of Internet information services. These regulations have created substantial uncertainties regarding the legality of foreign investments in PRC Internet companies, including EachNet, and the business operations of such companies. In order to meet local ownership and regulatory licensing requirements, the new eBay EachNet website is operated through a foreign-owned enterprise indirectly owned by eBay's European operating entity, which acts in cooperation with a local PRC company owned by certain local employees. We believe EachNet's current ownership structure complies with all existing PRC laws, rules, and regulations. There are, however, substantial uncertainties regarding the interpretation of current PRC laws and regulations, and it is possible that the PRC government will ultimately take a view contrary to ours. There are also uncertainties regarding EachNet's ability to enforce contractual relationships it has entered into with respect to management and control of the company's business. If EachNet were found to be in violation of any

existing or future PRC laws or regulations, it could be subject to fines and other financial penalties, have its business and Internet content provider licenses revoked, or be

Table of Contents

forced to discontinue its business entirely. In addition, any funding of a violation by EachNet of PRC laws or regulations could make it more difficult for us to launch new or expanded services in the PRC.

We are exposed to fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

Net revenues outside the United States accounted for approximately 42% and 46% of our net revenues in 2004 and the first quarter of 2005, respectively. Because we conduct a significant and growing portion of our business outside the United States but report our results in U.S. dollars, we face exposure to adverse movements in currency exchange rates. In connection with its multi-currency service, PayPal fixes exchange rates twice per day, and may face financial exposure if it incorrectly fixes the exchange rate. PayPal also holds some corporate and customer funds in non-U.S. currencies, and thus its financial results are affected by the translation of these non-U.S. currencies into U.S. dollars. In addition, the results of operations of our internationally focused websites are exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations as the financial results of the applicable subsidiaries are translated from the local currency into U.S. dollars upon consolidation. If the U.S. dollar weakens against foreign currencies, as it did in 2004, the translation of these foreign-currency-denominated transactions will result in increased net revenues, operating expenses, and net income. The change in weighted average foreign currency exchange rates in 2004 relative to the comparable rates used in preparation of our consolidated 2003 financial statements resulted in an increase in net revenues of approximately \$129.9 million and an increase in aggregate cost of revenues and operating expenses of approximately \$58.4 million. The change in weighted average foreign currency exchange rates in the first quarter of 2005 relative to the first quarter of 2004 resulted in an increase in net revenues of approximately \$24.9 million and an increase in operating expenses of approximately \$12.1 million. Similarly, our net revenues, operating expenses, and net income will decrease if the U.S. dollar strengthens against foreign currencies. As exchange rates vary, net sales and other operating results, when translated, may differ materially from expectations. In particular, to the extent the U.S. dollar strengthens against the Euro and British Pound, our European revenues and profits will be reduced as a result of these translation adjustments. In addition, to the extent the U.S. dollar strengthens against the Euro and the British Pound, cross-border trade related to purchases of dollar-denominated goods by non-U.S. purchasers may decrease, and that decrease may not be offset by a corresponding increase in cross-border trade involving purchases by U.S. buyers of goods denominated in other currencies. While we from time to time enter into transactions to hedge portions of our foreign currency translation exposure, these hedges are relatively costly and, even with them in effect, it is impossible to perfectly predict or completely eliminate the effects of this exposure.

System failures could harm our business.

We have experienced system failures from time to time, and any interruption in the availability of our websites will reduce our current revenues and profits, could harm our future revenues and profits, and could subject us to regulatory scrutiny. eBay's primary website has been interrupted for periods of up to 22 hours, and our PayPal site suffered intermittent unavailability over a five-day period in October 2004. Any unscheduled interruption in our services results in an immediate, and possibly substantial, loss of revenues. Frequent or persistent interruptions in our services could cause current or potential users to believe that our systems are unreliable, leading them to switch to our competitors or to avoid our sites, and could permanently harm our reputation and brands. These interruptions increase the burden on our engineering staff, which, in turn, could delay our introduction of new features and services on our sites. Because PayPal is a regulated financial entity, frequent or persistent site interruptions could lead to regulatory inquiries. These inquiries could result in fines, penalties, or mandatory changes to PayPal's business practices, and ultimately could cause PayPal to lose existing licenses it needs to operate or prevent it from obtaining additional licenses that it needs to expand. Finally, because our customers may use our products for critical transactions, any system failures could result in damage to our customers' businesses. These customers could seek significant compensation from us for their losses. Even if unsuccessful, this type of claim likely would be time consuming and costly for us to address.

Although our systems have been designed around industry-standard architectures to reduce downtime in the event of outages or catastrophic occurrences, they remain vulnerable to damage or interruption from

Table of Contents

earthquakes, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunication failures, terrorist attacks, computer viruses, computer denial-of-service attacks, and similar events. Some of our systems, including PayPal's customer support operations, are not fully redundant, and our disaster recovery planning is not sufficient for all eventualities. Our systems are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, and intentional acts of vandalism. Despite any precautions we may take, the occurrence of a natural disaster, a decision by any of our third-party hosting providers to close a facility we use without adequate notice for financial or other reasons, or other unanticipated problems at our hosting facilities could result in lengthy interruptions in our services. In addition, the failure by our hosting facilities to provide our required data communications capacity could result in interruptions in our service. We do not carry business interruption insurance sufficient to compensate us for losses that may result from interruptions in our service as a result of system failures.

Our growth will depend on our ability to develop our brands, and these efforts may be costly.

Our historical growth has been largely attributable to word of mouth, and to frequent and high visibility national and local media coverage. We believe that continuing to strengthen our brands will be critical to achieving widespread acceptance of our services, and will require an increased focus on active marketing efforts. The demand for and cost of online and traditional advertising have been increasing, and may continue to increase. Accordingly, we will need to spend increasing amounts of money on, and devote greater resources to, advertising, marketing, and other efforts to create and maintain brand loyalty among users. Brand promotion activities may not yield increased revenues, and even if they do, any increased revenues may not offset the expenses incurred in building our brands. If we do attract new users to our services, they may not conduct transactions over our services on a regular basis. If we fail to promote and maintain our brands, or if we incur substantial expenses in an unsuccessful attempt to promote and maintain our brands, our business would be harmed.

Our business and users may be subject to sales tax and other taxes.

The application of indirect taxes (such as sales and use tax, value added tax, or VAT, goods and services tax, business tax, and gross receipt tax) to e-commerce businesses such as eBay and our users is a complex and evolving issue. Many of the fundamental statutes and regulations that impose these taxes were established before the growth of the Internet and e-commerce. In many cases, it is not clear how existing statutes apply to the Internet or e-commerce. In addition, some jurisdictions have implemented or may implement laws specifically addressing the Internet or some aspect of e-commerce. The application of existing, new, or future laws could have adverse effects on our business.

Several proposals have been made at the U.S. state and local level that would impose additional taxes on the sale of goods and services through the Internet. These proposals, if adopted, could substantially impair the growth of e-commerce, and could diminish our opportunity to derive financial benefit from our activities. In December 2004, the U.S. federal government enacted legislation extending the moratorium on states and other local authorities imposing access or discriminatory taxes on the Internet through November 2007. This moratorium does not prohibit federal, state, or local authorities from collecting taxes on our income or from collecting taxes that are due under existing tax rules.

In conjunction with the Streamlined Sales Tax Project, the U.S. Congress continues to consider overriding the Supreme Court's *Quill* decision, which limits the ability of state governments to require sellers outside of their own state to collect and remit sales taxes on goods purchased by in-state residents. An overturning of the *Quill* decision would harm our users and our business.

We do not collect taxes on the goods or services sold by users of our services. One or more states or foreign countries may seek to impose a tax collection or reporting, or record-keeping obligation on companies such as eBay that engage in or facilitate e-commerce. Such an obligation could be imposed if eBay were ever deemed to be the legal agent of eBay sellers by a jurisdiction in which eBay operates. A successful assertion by one or more states or foreign countries that we should collect taxes on the exchange of merchandise or services on our websites would harm our business.

Table of Contents

In July 2003, in compliance with the changes brought about by the European Union (EU) VAT directive on electronically supplied services, eBay began collecting VAT on the fees charged to EU sellers on eBay sites catering to EU residents. eBay also pays input VAT to suppliers within the various countries the company operates. In most cases, eBay is entitled to reclaim input VAT from the various countries with regard to our own payments to suppliers or vendors. However, because of our unique business model, the application of the laws and rules that allow such reclamation is sometimes uncertain. A successful assertion by one or more countries that eBay is not entitled to reclaim VAT would harm our business.

We continue to work with the relevant tax authorities and legislators to clarify eBay's obligations under new and emerging laws and regulations. Passage of new legislation and the imposition of additional tax requirements could harm eBay sellers and our business. There have been, and will continue to be, substantial ongoing costs associated with complying with the various indirect tax requirements in the numerous markets in which eBay conducts or will conduct business.

Fraudulent activities on our websites and disputes between users of our services may harm our business.

PayPal faces significant risks of loss due to fraud and disputes between senders and recipients, including: merchant fraud and other disputes over the quality of goods and services;

unauthorized use of credit card and bank account information and identity theft;

the need to provide effective customer support to process disputes between senders and recipients;

potential breaches of system security;

potential employee fraud; and

use of PayPal's system by customers to make or accept payment for illegal or improper purposes.

For the year ended December 31, 2004 and the three months ended March 31, 2005, PayPal's transaction loss expense totaled \$50.5 million and \$18.6 million, representing 0.27% and 0.30% of PayPal's total payment volume, respectively. Failure to deal effectively with fraudulent transactions and customer disputes would increase PayPal's loss rate and harm its business.

PayPal's highly automated and liquid payment service makes PayPal an attractive target for fraud. In configuring its service, PayPal faces an inherent trade-off between customer convenience and security. Identity thieves and those committing fraud using stolen credit card or bank account numbers can potentially steal large amounts of money from businesses such as PayPal. We believe that several of PayPal's current and former competitors in the electronic payments business have gone out of business or significantly restricted their businesses largely due to losses from this type of fraud. We expect that technically knowledgeable criminals will continue to attempt to circumvent PayPal's anti-fraud systems. In addition, PayPal's service could be subject to employee fraud or other internal security breaches, and PayPal would be required to reimburse customers for any funds stolen as a result of such breaches.

PayPal incurs substantial losses from merchant fraud, including claims from customers that merchants have not performed or that their goods or services do not match the merchant's description. PayPal also incurs losses from claims that the customer did not authorize the purchase, from erroneous transmissions and from customers who have closed bank accounts or have insufficient funds in them to satisfy payments. In addition to the direct costs of such losses, if they are related to credit card transactions and become excessive they could result in PayPal losing the right to accept credit cards for payment. If PayPal were unable to accept credit cards, the velocity of trade on eBay could decrease, in which case our business would further suffer. PayPal has been assessed substantial fines for excess chargebacks in the past, and excessive chargebacks may arise in the future. PayPal has taken measures to detect and reduce the risk of fraud, but these measures may not be effective. If these measures do not succeed, our business will suffer.

In October 2003, PayPal launched a buyer protection program that refunds to buyers up to \$500 in certain eBay transactions if they do not receive the goods they purchased or if the goods differ significantly from what was described by the seller. In November 2004, PayPal increased the amount of protection

Table of Contents

available under its buyer protection program to \$1,000. If PayPal makes such a refund, it seeks to collect reimbursement from the seller, but may not be able to receive any funds from the seller. The PayPal buyer protection program has increased PayPal's loss rate and could cause future fluctuations.

eBay faces similar risks to those of PayPal with respect to fraudulent activities. eBay periodically receives complaints from users who may not have received the goods that they had purchased. In some cases individuals have been arrested and convicted for fraudulent activities using our websites. eBay also receives complaints from sellers who have not received payment for the goods that a buyer had contracted to purchase. Non-payment may occur because of miscommunication, because a buyer has changed his or her mind and decided not to honor the contract to purchase the item, or because the buyer bid on the item maliciously, in order to harm either the seller or eBay. In some European jurisdictions, buyers may also have the right to withdraw from a sale made by a professional seller within a specified time period.

While eBay can suspend the accounts of users who fail to fulfill their payment or delivery obligations to other users, eBay does not have the ability to require users to make payment or deliver goods, or otherwise make users whole other than through our limited buyer protection programs. Other than through these programs, eBay does not compensate users who believe they have been defrauded by other users. eBay also periodically receives complaints from buyers as to the quality of the goods purchased. We expect to continue to receive communications from users requesting reimbursement or threatening or commencing legal action against us if no reimbursement is made. Our liability for these sort of claims is only beginning to be clarified and may be higher in some non-U.S. jurisdictions than it is in the U.S. Litigation involving liability for third-party actions could be costly for us, divert management attention, result in increased costs of doing business, lead to adverse judgments, or otherwise harm our business. In addition, affected users will likely complain to regulatory agencies that could take action against us, including imposing fines or seeking injunctions.

Negative publicity and user sentiment generated as a result of fraudulent or deceptive conduct by users of our eBay and PayPal services could damage our reputation, reduce our ability to attract new users or retain our current users, and diminish the value of our brand names.

Changes to credit card association fees, rules, or practices could negatively affect PayPal's business.

Because PayPal is not a bank, it cannot belong to or directly access credit card associations, such as Visa and MasterCard. As a result, PayPal must rely on banks or payment processors to process transactions, and must pay a fee for this service. From time to time, credit card associations may increase the interchange fees that they charge for each transaction using one of their cards. MasterCard and Visa have each announced increases in their interchange fees for credit cards effective in 2005. PayPal's credit card processors have the right to pass any increases in interchange fees on to PayPal as well as increase their own fees for processing. Such increased fees increase PayPal's operating costs and reduce its profit margins. PayPal is also required by its processors to comply with credit card association operating rules, and PayPal has agreed to reimburse its processors for any fines they are assessed by credit card associations as a result of processing payments for PayPal. The credit card associations and their member banks set and interpret the credit card rules. Some of those member banks compete with PayPal. Visa, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover could adopt new operating rules or re-interpret existing rules that PayPal or its processors might find difficult or even impossible to follow. As a result, PayPal could lose its ability to give customers the option of using credit cards to fund their payments. If PayPal were unable to accept credit cards, its business would be seriously damaged. In addition, the velocity of trade on eBay could decrease and our business would further suffer.

In 2002, both Visa and MasterCard adopted new operating rules for Internet payment services like PayPal. In order to comply with the associations' new rules, PayPal and its credit card processors have implemented changes to existing business processes for merchant customers. Any problems with this implementation could result in fines, the amount of which would be within Visa's and MasterCard's discretion. PayPal also could be subject to fines from MasterCard and Visa if it fails to register and conduct additional monitoring with respect to the activities of merchants that are considered high risk, primarily certain merchants that sell digital content. PayPal has incurred fines from its credit card processor in 2003 and 2004 relating to PayPal's failure to detect the use of its service by certain high risk merchants using the PayPal

Table of Contents

service. The amount of these fines has not been material, but any additional fines in the future would likely be for larger amounts, could become material, and could result in a termination of PayPal's ability to accept credit cards, which would seriously damage PayPal's business.

Changes in PayPal's funding mix could adversely affect PayPal's results.

PayPal pays significant transaction fees when senders fund payment transactions using credit cards, nominal fees when customers fund payment transactions by electronic transfer of funds from bank accounts, and no fees when customers fund payment transactions from an existing PayPal account balance. Senders funded 53% of PayPal's payment volume using credit cards during both 2004 and the first quarter of 2005, and PayPal's financial success will remain highly sensitive to changes in the rate at which its senders fund payments using credit cards. Senders may prefer funding using credit cards rather than bank account transfers for a number of reasons, including the ability to dispute and reverse charges if merchandise is not delivered or is not as described, the ability to earn frequent flier miles or other incentives offered by credit cards, the ability to defer payment, or a reluctance to provide bank account information to PayPal. PayPal has received inquiries regarding its disclosure practices with regard to funding mechanisms from the attorneys general of a number of states, and in March 2005, a complaint seeking class action status was filed alleging, among other things, that PayPal's disclosure regarding the effects of users' choice of funding mechanism is deceptive. While we believe PayPal's disclosure is legal and accurate, any required change to our disclosure practices could result in increased use of credit card funding, damaging PayPal's results.

If PayPal were found to be subject to or in violation of any U.S. laws or regulations governing banking, money transmission, or electronic funds transfers, it could be subject to liability and forced to change its business practices.

A number of U.S. states have enacted legislation regulating money transmitters. To date, PayPal has obtained licenses in 32 of these jurisdictions and interpretations in nine states that licensing is not required under their existing statutes. As a licensed money transmitter, PayPal is subject to bonding requirements, restrictions on its investment of customer funds, reporting requirements, and inspection by state regulatory agencies. If PayPal's pending applications were denied, or if it were found to be subject to and in violation of any money services laws or regulations, PayPal also could be subject to liability, forced to cease doing business with residents of certain states, or forced to change its business practices. Any change to PayPal's business practices that makes the service less attractive to customers or prohibits its use by residents of a particular jurisdiction could decrease the velocity of trade on eBay, which would further harm our business. Even if PayPal is not forced to change its business practices, it could be required to obtain licenses or regulatory approvals that could impose a substantial cost on PayPal.

We believe that the licensing or approval requirements of the U.S. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve Board, and other federal or state agencies that regulate banks, bank holding companies, or other types of providers of e-commerce services do not apply to PayPal, except for certain money transmitter licenses mentioned above. However, PayPal has in the past received written communications from state regulatory authorities expressing the view that its service might constitute an unauthorized banking business. PayPal has taken steps to address these states' concerns. However, we cannot guarantee that the steps PayPal has taken to address these regulatory concerns will be effective in all states, and one or more states may conclude that PayPal is engaged in an unauthorized banking business. If PayPal is found to be engaged in an unauthorized banking business in one or more states, it might be subject to monetary penalties and adverse publicity and might be required to cease doing business with residents of those states. Even if the steps it has taken to resolve these states' concerns are deemed sufficient by the state regulatory authorities, PayPal could be subject to fines and penalties for its prior activities. The need to comply with state laws prohibiting unauthorized banking activities could also limit PayPal's ability to enhance its services in the future. Any change to PayPal's business practices that makes the service less attractive to customers or prohibits its use by residents of a particular jurisdiction could decrease the velocity of trade on eBay, which would further harm our business.

Table of Contents

Although there have been no definitive interpretations to date, PayPal has assumed that its service is subject to the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and Regulation E of the Federal Reserve Board. As a result, among other things, PayPal must provide advance disclosure of changes to its service, follow specified error resolution procedures and absorb losses above \$50 from transactions not authorized by the consumer. In addition, PayPal is subject to the financial privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, state financial privacy laws, and related regulations. As a result, some customer financial information that PayPal receives is subject to limitations on reuse and disclosure. Existing and potential future privacy laws may limit PayPal's ability to develop new products and services that make use of data gathered through its service. The provisions of these laws and related regulations are complicated, and PayPal does not have extensive experience in complying with them. Even technical violations of these laws can result in penalties of up to \$1,000 for each non-compliant transaction. PayPal processed an average of approximately 1.2 million transactions per day during the first quarter of 2005, and any violations could expose PayPal to significant liability.

PayPal's status under banking or financial services laws or other laws in countries outside the U.S. is unclear.

PayPal currently allows its customers with credit cards to send payments from 44 countries outside the U.S., and to receive payments in 43 of those countries. In 23 of these countries, customers can withdraw funds to local bank accounts, and in eight of these countries customers can withdraw funds by receiving a bank draft in the mail. PayPal offers customers the ability to send or receive payments denominated in U.S. Dollars, British Pounds, Euros, Canadian Dollars, Yen, and Australian Dollars. In March 2005, PayPal received an Australian Financial Services License from the Australian Securities and Investments Commission. In February 2004, PayPal (Europe) Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of PayPal, received a license to operate as an Electronic Money Institution in the United Kingdom as a vehicle for providing localized versions of PayPal's service to customers in the EU. Fifteen of the 44 countries outside of the U.S. whose residents can use the PayPal service are members of the European Union. As PayPal (Europe) develops localized services for the domestic market in these countries, it is implementing such localized services through an expedited passport notification process through the UK regulator to regulators in other EU member states, pursuant to EU Directives. PayPal (Europe) has completed the passport notice process in all EU member countries. The regulators in these countries could notify PayPal (Europe) of local consumer protection laws that will apply to its business, in addition to UK consumer protection law. Any such responses from these regulators could increase the cost of, or delay, PayPal's plans for expanding its business. PayPal (Europe) is subject to significant fines or other enforcement action if it violates the disclosure, reporting, anti-money laundering, capitalization, funds management or other requirements imposed on electronic money institutions.

In many countries outside of the U.S. and the European Union, it is not clear whether PayPal's U.S.-based service is subject to local law or, if it is subject to local law, whether such local law requires a payment processor like PayPal to be licensed as a bank or financial institution or otherwise. Even if PayPal is not currently required to obtain a license in those countries, future localization or targeted marketing of PayPal's service in those countries could require licensure and other laws of those countries (such as data protection laws) may apply. If PayPal were found to be subject to and in violation of any foreign laws or regulations, it could be subject to liability, forced to change its business practices or forced to suspend providing services to customers in one or more countries. Alternatively, PayPal could be required to obtain licenses or regulatory approvals that could impose a substantial cost on it and involve considerable delay to the provision or development of its product. Delay or failure to receive such a license would require PayPal to change its business practices or features in ways that would adversely affect PayPal's international expansion plans and could require PayPal to suspend providing services to customers in one or more countries.

We are subject to regulations relating to consumer privacy.

Several domestic jurisdictions have proposed, and California, Minnesota, Utah, and Vermont have passed, legislation that limits the uses of personal information gathered online or offline. In addition to these four states, many other jurisdictions already have such laws and continuously consider strengthening them, especially against online services. eBay and PayPal in certain instances are subject to some of these current

Table of Contents

laws. PayPal may also be subject to recently enacted legislation in several states and countries imposing greater restrictions on the ability of financial services companies to share user information with third parties without affirmative user consent. However, the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003, or FACT, included a provision preempting conflicting state laws on the sharing of information between corporate affiliates, and as a result we believe that PayPal and eBay will not be subject to the laws of each individual state with respect to matters within the scope of FACT, but will remain subject to the provisions of FACT and the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Courts are currently determining the scope of these preemptive provisions.

Specific statutes intended to protect user privacy have been passed in many non-U.S. jurisdictions, including virtually every non-U.S. jurisdiction in which we currently have a localized website. Compliance with these laws, given the tight integration of our systems across different countries and the need to move data to facilitate transactions amongst our users, including to payment companies and shipping companies, is both necessary and difficult. Failure to comply could subject us to lawsuits, fines, criminal penalties, statutory damages, adverse publicity, and other losses that could harm our business. A number of data protection and privacy laws are being discussed by Congress, the states, and foreign governments. Changes to existing laws or the passage of new laws intended to address privacy and data protection and retention issues could directly affect the way we do business or could create uncertainty on the Internet. This could reduce demand for our services, increase the cost of doing business as a result of litigation costs or increased service or delivery costs, or otherwise harm our business.

New and existing regulations could harm our business.

We are subject to the same foreign and domestic laws as other companies conducting business on and off the Internet. Today, there are still relatively few laws specifically directed towards online services. However, due to the increasing popularity and use of the Internet and online services, many laws relating to the Internet are being debated at all levels of government around the world and it is possible that such laws and regulations will be adopted. These laws and regulations could cover issues such as user privacy, freedom of expression, pricing, fraud, content and quality of products and services, taxation, advertising, intellectual property rights, and information security. It is not clear how existing laws governing issues such as property ownership, copyrights and other intellectual property issues, taxation, libel and defamation, obscenity, and personal privacy apply to online businesses. The vast majority of these laws were adopted prior to the advent of the Internet and related technologies and, as a result, do not contemplate or address the unique issues of the Internet and related technologies. Those laws that do reference the Internet, such as the U.S. Digital Millennium Copyright Act and the European Union's Directive on Distance Selling and Electronic Commerce have begun to be interpreted by the courts and implemented by the EU Member States, but their applicability and scope remain somewhat uncertain. As our activities and the types of goods listed on our website expand, regulatory agencies or courts may claim or hold that we or our users are either subject to licensure or prohibited from conducting our business in their jurisdiction, either with respect to our services in general, or in order to allow the sale of certain items, such as real estate, event tickets, cultural goods, boats, and automobiles.

Numerous states and foreign jurisdictions, including the State of California, where our headquarters are located, have regulations regarding auctions and the handling of property by pawnbrokers. No final legal determination has been made as to whether the California regulations apply to our business (or that of our users) and little precedent exists in this area. Several states and some foreign jurisdictions have attempted, and may attempt in the future, to impose such regulations upon us or our users, which could harm our business. In August 2002, Illinois amended its auction law to provide for a special regulatory regime for Internet auction listing services, and we have registered as an Internet auction listing service in Illinois. Although we do not expect this registration to have a negative impact on our business, other regulatory and licensure claims could result in costly litigation or could require us to change the way we or our users do business in ways that increase costs or reduce revenues or force us to prohibit listings of certain items for some locations. We could also be subject to fines or other penalties, and any of these outcomes could harm our business.

In addition, because our services are accessible worldwide, and we facilitate sales of goods to users worldwide, foreign jurisdictions may claim that we are required to comply with their laws. For example, the

Table of Contents

Australian high court has ruled that a U.S. website in certain circumstances must comply with Australian laws regarding libel. As we expand and localize our international activities, we become obligated to comply with the laws of the countries in which we operate. Laws regulating Internet companies outside of the U.S. may be less favorable than those in the U.S., giving greater rights to consumers, content owners, and users. Compliance may be more costly or may require us to change our business practices or restrict our service offerings relative to those in the U.S. Our failure to comply with foreign laws could subject us to penalties ranging from criminal prosecution to bans on our services.

Our business is subject to online commerce security risks, including security breaches and identity theft.

To succeed, online commerce and communications must provide a secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Our security measures may not prevent security breaches that could harm our business. Currently, a significant number of our users authorize us to bill their credit card accounts directly for all transaction fees charged by us. PayPal's users routinely provide credit card and other financial information. We rely on encryption and authentication technology licensed from third parties to provide the security and authentication technology to effect secure transmission of confidential information, including customer credit card numbers. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments may result in a compromise or breach of the technology used by us to protect transaction data. In addition, any party who is able to illicitly obtain a user's password could access the user's transaction data. An increasing number of websites have reported breaches of their security. Any compromise of our security could harm our reputation and, therefore, our business. In addition, a party who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate proprietary information, or cause interruptions in our operations, damage our computers or those of our users, or otherwise damage our reputation and business.

Our servers are also vulnerable to computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins, and similar disruptions, and we have experienced denial-of-service type attacks on our system that have made all or portions of our websites unavailable for periods of time. We may need to expend significant resources to protect against security breaches or to address problems caused by breaches. These issues are likely to become more difficult as we expand the number of places where we operate. Security breaches could damage our reputation and expose us to a risk of loss or litigation and possible liability. Our insurance policies carry low coverage limits, which may not be adequate to reimburse us for losses caused by security breaches.

Our users, as well as those of other prominent Internet companies, have been and will continue to be targeted by parties using fraudulent emails to misappropriate passwords, credit card numbers, or other personal information. These emails appear to be legitimate emails sent by eBay or PayPal, but direct recipients to fake websites operated by the sender of the email or request that the recipient send a password or other confidential information via email. We actively pursue the parties responsible for these attempts at misappropriation and encourage our users to divulge sensitive information only after they have verified that they are on our legitimate websites, but we cannot entirely eliminate these types of activities.

PayPal's failure to manage customer funds properly would harm its business.

PayPal's ability to manage and account accurately for customer funds requires a high level of internal controls. PayPal has neither an established operating history nor proven management experience in maintaining, over a long term, these internal controls. As PayPal's business continues to grow, it must strengthen its internal controls accordingly. PayPal's success requires significant public confidence in its ability to handle large and growing transaction volumes and amounts of customer funds. Any failure to maintain necessary controls or to manage accurately customer funds could diminish customer use of PayPal's product severely.

Our failure to manage growth could harm our business.

We are currently expanding our headcount, facilities, and infrastructure in the U.S. and internationally. We anticipate that further expansion will be required to address potential growth in our customer base and number of listings and payment transactions, as well as our expansion into new geographic areas, types of goods, and alternative methods of sale. This expansion has placed, and we expect it will continue to place, a

Table of Contents

significant strain on our management, operational, and financial resources. The areas that are put under strain by our growth include the following:

Our Websites. We must constantly add new hardware, update software and add new engineering personnel to accommodate the increased use of our and our subsidiaries' websites and the new products and features we regularly introduce. This upgrade process is expensive, and the increased complexity of our websites increases the cost of additional enhancements. Failure to upgrade our technology, features, transaction processing systems, security infrastructure, or network infrastructure to accommodate increased traffic or transaction volume could harm our business. Adverse consequences could include unanticipated system disruptions, slower response times, degradation in levels of customer support, impaired quality of users' experiences of our services, impaired quality of services for third-party application developers using our externally accessible Application Programming Interface, or API, and delays in reporting accurate financial information. We may be unable to effectively upgrade and expand our systems in a timely manner or smoothly integrate any newly developed or purchased technologies or businesses with our existing systems, and any failure to do so could result in problems on our sites. For example, in October 2004, we experienced unscheduled downtime on the PayPal website related to system upgrades. Despite our efforts to increase site scalability and reliability, our infrastructure could prove unable to handle a larger volume of customer transactions. Any failure to accommodate transaction growth could impair customer satisfaction, lead to a loss of customers, impair our ability to add customers, or increase our costs, all of which would harm our business. Further, steps to increase the reliability and redundancy of our systems are expensive, reduce our margins, and may not be successful in reducing the frequency or duration of unscheduled downtime.

Customer Account Billing. Our revenues depend on prompt and accurate billing processes. We recently completed a significant project to enhance our billing software. Problems with the conversion to the new billing system during the second and third quarters of 2004 caused incorrect account balance totals to be displayed for some users. In July 2004, a complaint seeking class action status was filed alleging that eBay improperly billed its users and improperly debited some user accounts. The complaint was recently amended to include a larger variety of billing related problems and a longer time frame. While these problems have been corrected and we believe that no users were overcharged, our failure to grow our transaction-processing capabilities to accommodate the increasing number of transactions that must be billed would harm our business and our ability to collect revenue.

Customer Support. We are expanding our customer support operations to accommodate the increased number of users and transactions on our websites and the increased level of trust and safety activity we provide worldwide. If we are unable to provide these operations in a cost-effective manner, users of our websites may have negative experiences, current and future revenues could suffer, and our operating margins may decrease.

We must continue to hire, train, and manage new employees at a rapid rate. If our new hires perform poorly, if we are unsuccessful in hiring, training, managing, and integrating these new employees, or if we are not successful in retaining our existing employees, our business may be harmed. To manage the expected growth of our operations and personnel, we will need to improve our transaction processing, operational and financial systems, procedures, and controls. This is a special challenge as we acquire new operations with different systems. Our current and planned personnel, systems, procedures, and controls may not be adequate to support our future operations. The additional headcount and capital investments we are adding increase our cost base, which will make it more difficult for us to offset any future revenue shortfalls by expense reductions in the short term.

Our business is adversely affected by anything that causes our users to spend less time on their computers, including seasonal factors and national events.

Anything that diverts our users from their customary level of usage of our websites could adversely affect our business. We would therefore be adversely affected by geopolitical events such as war, the threat of war, or terrorist activity, and natural disasters, such as hurricanes or earthquakes. Similarly, our results of operations

Table of Contents

historically have been seasonal because many of our users reduce their activities on our websites with the onset of good weather during the summer months, and on and around national holidays. We have historically experienced our strongest quarters of online growth in our first and fourth fiscal quarters. PayPal has shown similar seasonality, especially in the fourth fiscal quarter. These patterns of seasonality may become more pronounced as our websites gain acceptance by a broader base of mainstream users and as the size of our European operations, which experience greater seasonality, grows relative to our other operations.

Use of our services for illegal purposes could harm our business.

The law relating to the liability of providers of online services for the activities of their users on their service is currently unsettled in the United States and internationally. We are aware that certain goods, such as weapons, adult material, tobacco products, alcohol, and other goods that may be subject to regulation, have been listed and traded on our service. We may be unable to prevent our users from selling unlawful goods or selling goods in an unlawful manner, and we may be subject to allegations of civil or criminal liability for unlawful activities carried out by users through our service. We have been subject to several lawsuits based upon such allegations. In December 2004, an executive of Baazee.com, our Indian subsidiary, was arrested in connection with the listing of a pornographic video clip on that site. Similarly, our Korean subsidiary and one of its employees were found criminally liable for listings on the Korean subsidiary's website. In order to reduce our exposure to this liability, we have prohibited the listing of certain items and increased the number of personnel reviewing questionable items. In the future, we may implement other protective measures that could require us to spend substantial resources or discontinue certain service offerings. Any costs incurred as a result of potential liability relating to the sale of unlawful goods or the unlawful sale of goods could harm our business. In addition, we have received significant and continuing media attention relating to the listing or sale of unlawful goods using our services. This negative publicity could damage our reputation and diminish the value of our brand names. It also could make users reluctant to continue to use our services.

PayPal's payment system is also susceptible to potentially illegal or improper uses. These may include illegal online gambling, fraudulent sales of goods or services, illicit sales of prescription medications or controlled substances, piracy of software and other intellectual property, money laundering, bank fraud, child pornography trafficking, prohibited sales of alcoholic beverages or tobacco products, and online securities fraud. PayPal recently announced a change in its acceptable use policy that would enable PayPal to fine users in certain jurisdictions up to \$500 or take legal action to recover its losses for certain violations of that policy, including online gambling and illegal sales of prescription medications. Despite measures PayPal has taken to detect and lessen the risk of this kind of conduct, illegal activities could still be funded using PayPal.

PayPal is subject to money laundering laws and regulations that prohibit, among other things, its involvement in transferring the proceeds of criminal activities. Although PayPal has adopted a program to comply with these laws and regulations, any errors or failure to implement the program properly could lead to lawsuits, administrative action, and prosecution by the government. In July 2003, PayPal agreed with the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri that it would pay \$10 million as a civil forfeiture to settle allegations that its provision of services to online gambling merchants violated provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act and further agreed to have its compliance program reviewed by an independent audit firm. PayPal is also subject to regulations that require it to report suspicious activities involving transactions of \$2,000 or more and may be required to obtain and keep more detailed records on the senders and recipients in certain transfers of \$3,000 or more. The interpretation of suspicious activities in this context is uncertain. Future regulations under the USA PATRIOT Act may require PayPal to revise the procedures it uses to verify the identity of its customers and to monitor more closely international transactions. These regulations could impose significant costs on PayPal and make it more difficult for new customers to join its network. PayPal could be required to learn more about its customers before opening an account, to obtain additional verification of international customers and to monitor its customers' activities more closely. These requirements, as well as any additional restrictions imposed by Visa, MasterCard, American Express, and Discover, could raise PayPal's costs significantly and reduce the attractiveness of its product. Failure to comply with federal and state money laundering laws could result in significant criminal and civil lawsuits, penalties, and forfeiture of significant assets.

Table of Contents***We are subject to intellectual property and other litigation.***

In April 2001, two of our European subsidiaries, eBay GmbH and eBay International AG, were sued by Montres Rolex S.A. and certain of its affiliates in the regional court of Cologne, Germany. The suit subsequently was transferred to the regional court in Düsseldorf, Germany. Rolex alleged that our subsidiaries were infringing Rolex's trademarks as a result of users selling counterfeit Rolex watches through our German website. The suit also alleged unfair competition. Rolex sought an order enjoining the sale of Rolex-branded watches on the website as well as damages. In December 2002, a trial was held in the matter and the court ruled in favor of eBay on all causes of action. Rolex appealed the ruling to the Higher Regional Court of Düsseldorf, and the appeal was heard in October 2003. In February 2004, the court rejected Rolex's appeal and ruled in our favor. Rolex has appealed the ruling to the German Federal Supreme Court. In March 2004, the German Federal Supreme Court ruled in favor of Rolex in a case involving an unrelated company, ricardo.de AG, but somewhat comparable legal theories. The court issued its written decision in that case in September 2004. Although it is not yet clear what effect the reasoning of the German Federal Supreme Court's ricardo.de decision would have when applied to eBay, we believe the Court's decision will likely not require any significant change in our business practices.

In September 2001, MercExchange LLC filed a complaint against us, our Half.com subsidiary and ReturnBuy, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (No. 2:01-CV-736) alleging infringement of three patents (relating to online consignment auction technology, multiple database searching and electronic consignment systems) and seeking a permanent injunction and damages (including treble damages for willful infringement). In October 2002, the court granted in part our summary judgment motion, effectively invalidating the patent related to online auction technology and rendering it unenforceable. This ruling left only two patents in the case. Trial of the matter began in April 2003. In May 2003, the jury returned a verdict finding that eBay had willfully infringed one and Half.com had willfully infringed both of the patents in the suit, awarding \$35 million in compensatory damages. Both parties filed post-trial motions, and in August 2003, the court entered judgment for MercExchange in the amount of \$29.5 million, plus pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest in an amount to be determined, while denying MercExchange's request for an injunction and attorneys' fees. We appealed the verdict and judgment in favor of MercExchange and MercExchange filed a cross-appeal of the granting in part of our summary judgment motion and the denial of its request for an injunction and attorneys' fees.

On March 16, 2005, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued a ruling in the appeal of the MercExchange patent litigation suit which, among other things (1) invalidated all claims asserted against eBay and Half.com arising out of the multiple database search patent and reduced the verdict amount by \$4.5 million; (2) upheld the electronic consignment system patent; (3) affirmed the district court's refusal to award attorneys' fees or enhanced damages against us; (4) reversed the district court's order granting summary judgment in our favor regarding the auction patent; and (5) reversed the district court's refusal to grant an injunction and remanded that issue to the district court for further proceedings. We have petitioned the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit for an *en banc* rehearing of the ruling. In parallel with the federal court proceedings, at our request, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is actively reexamining each of the patents in suit, having found that substantial questions exist regarding the validity of the claims contained therein. On January 26, 2005, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an initial ruling rejecting all of the claims contained in the patent that related to online auctions, and on March 29, 2005, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an initial ruling rejecting all of the claims contained in the patent that related to electronic consignment systems. Even if successful, our litigation of these matters will continue to be costly. In addition, as a precautionary measure, we have modified certain functionality of our websites and business practices in a manner which we believe would avoid any further infringement. For this reason, we believe that any injunction that might be issued by the district court will not have any impact on our business. We also believe we have appropriate reserves for this litigation. Nonetheless, if we are not successful in appealing or modifying the court's ruling, and if the modifications to the functionality of our websites and business practices are not sufficient to make them non-infringing, we would likely be forced to pay significant additional damages and licensing fees and/or modify our business practices in an adverse manner.

Table of Contents

In August 2002, Charles E. Hill & Associates, Inc. filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (No. 2:02-CV-186) alleging that we and 17 other companies, primarily large retailers, infringed three patents owned by Hill generally relating to electronic catalog systems and methods for transmitting and updating data at a remote computer. The suit seeks an injunction against continuing infringement, unspecified damages, including treble damages for willful infringement, and interest, costs, expenses, and fees. In January 2003, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana. After pending in Indiana for almost a year, the case was transferred back to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in December 2003. A scheduling conference was held in November 2004 and a preliminary trial date has been set for February 2006. The case is currently in fact discovery and claim construction discovery. The defendants have filed a motion for summary judgment of noninfringement. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In February 2002, PayPal was sued in California state court (No. CV-805433) in a purported class action alleging that its limiting access to customer accounts and failure to promptly restore access to legitimate accounts violates California state consumer protection laws and is an unfair business practice and a breach of PayPal's User Agreement. This action was re-filed with a different named plaintiff in June 2002 (No. CV-808441), and a similar action was also filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California in June 2002 (No. C-02-2777). In March 2002, PayPal was sued in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (No. C-02-1227) in a purported class action alleging that its limiting access to customer accounts and failure to promptly restore access to legitimate accounts violates federal and state consumer protection and unfair business practice laws. The two federal court actions were consolidated into a single case, and the state court action was stayed pending developments in the federal case. In June 2004, the parties announced that they had reached a proposed settlement. The settlement received approval from the federal court on November 2, 2004. In the settlement, PayPal does not acknowledge that any of the allegations in the case are true. Under the terms of the settlement, certain PayPal account holders will be eligible to receive payment from a settlement fund of \$9.25 million, less administrative costs and the amount awarded to plaintiffs' counsel by the court. That sum will be distributed to class members who have submitted timely claims in accordance with the settlement's plan of allocation, part of which still must be approved by the court. The parties expect that a plan of allocation will be submitted to the court in the second quarter of 2005. The amount of the settlement was fully accrued in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2003.

In July 2004, a purported class action lawsuit was filed by two eBay users in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (No. 104CV022708) alleging that eBay engaged in improper billing practices as the result of problems with the rollout of a new billing software system in the second and third quarters of 2004. The lawsuit sought damages and injunctive relief. An amended complaint was filed in January 2005, dropping one plaintiff, changing the capacity of the other plaintiff to that of representative plaintiff, and adding seven additional eBay users as plaintiffs. The amended complaint expanded its claim to include numerous alleged improper billing practices from September 2003 until the present. In February 2005, eBay filed a motion to strike and a demurrer seeking to dismiss the complaint. On April 1, 2005, the court sustained portions of the demurrer, but granted the plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In February 2005, eBay was sued in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (No. 105CV035930) in a purported class action alleging that certain bidding features of our site constitute a "shell bidding" for the purpose of artificially inflating bids placed by buyers on the site. The complaint alleges violations of California's Auction Act, California's Consumer Remedies Act, and unfair competition. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, damages, and a constructive trust. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In March 2005, eBay, PayPal, and an eBay seller were sued in Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Kings (No. 6125/05) in a purported class action alleging that certain disclosures regarding PayPal's Buyer Protection Policy, users' chargeback rights, and the effects of users' choice of funding mechanism are deceptive and/or misleading. The complaint alleges misrepresentation on the part of eBay and PayPal, breach of contract and deceptive trade practices by PayPal, and that PayPal and eBay have jointly violated the civil

Table of Contents

RICO statute (18 U.S.C. Section 1961(4)). The complaint seeks injunctive relief, compensatory damages, and punitive damages. On April 5, 2005, eBay and PayPal removed the case to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. We believe that eBay and PayPal have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

Other third parties have from time to time claimed, and others may claim in the future, that we have infringed their intellectual property rights. We have been notified of several potential patent disputes, and expect that we will increasingly be subject to patent infringement claims as our services expand in scope and complexity. In particular, we expect to face additional patent infringement claims involving services we provide, including various aspects of our Payments business. We have in the past been forced to litigate such claims. We may also become more vulnerable to third-party claims as laws such as the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, the Lanham Act and the Communications Decency Act are interpreted by the courts and as we expand geographically into jurisdictions where the underlying laws with respect to the potential liability of online intermediaries like ourselves are either unclear or less favorable. These claims, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming and costly to resolve, cause service upgrade delays, require expensive changes in our methods of doing business, or could require us to enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements.

From time to time, we are involved in other disputes that arise in the ordinary course of business. The number and significance of these disputes is increasing as our business expands and our company grows larger. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time, and result in the diversion of significant operational resources.

Government inquiries may lead to charges or penalties.

In January 1999, we received initial requests to produce certain records and information to the federal government relating to an investigation of possible illegal transactions in connection with our websites. We were informed that the inquiry includes an examination of our practices with respect to these transactions. In order to protect the investigation, the court has ordered that no further public disclosures be made with respect to the matter. Any civil or criminal charges against us would likely harm our business due to negative publicity, the cost of litigation, the diversion of management time, and any fines or penalties assessed.

A large number of transactions occur on our websites. We believe that government regulators have received a substantial number of consumer complaints about both eBay and PayPal, which, while small as a percentage of our total transactions, are large in aggregate numbers. As a result, we have from time to time been contacted by various foreign and domestic governmental regulatory agencies that have questions about our operations and the steps we take to protect our users from fraud. PayPal has received inquiries regarding its restriction and disclosure practices from the Federal Trade Commission and these and other business practices from the attorneys general of a number of states. If PayPal's processes are found to violate federal or state law on consumer protection and unfair business practices, it could be subject to an enforcement action or fines. If PayPal becomes subject to an enforcement action, it could be required to restructure its business processes in ways that would harm its business, and to pay substantial fines. Even if PayPal is able to defend itself successfully, an enforcement action could cause damage to its reputation, could consume substantial amounts of its management's time and attention, and could require PayPal to change its customer service and operations in ways that could increase its costs and decrease the effectiveness of its anti-fraud program. Both eBay and PayPal are likely to receive additional inquiries from regulatory agencies in the future, which may lead to action against either company. We have responded to all inquiries from regulatory agencies by describing our current and planned antifraud efforts, customer support procedures, operating procedures and disclosures. If one or more of these agencies is not satisfied with our response to current or future inquiries, we could be subject to fines or other penalties, or forced to change our operating practices in ways that could harm our business.

We are subject to laws relating to the use and transfer of personally identifiable information about our users, especially users located outside of the U.S. Violation of these laws, which in many cases apply not only to third-party transactions but also to transfers of information between ourselves and our subsidiaries, and

Table of Contents

between ourselves, our subsidiaries, and other parties with which we have commercial relations, could subject us to significant penalties and negative publicity and could adversely affect us.

The listing or sale by our users of pirated or counterfeit items may harm our business.

We have received in the past, and we anticipate receiving in the future, communications alleging that certain items listed or sold through our service by our users infringe third-party copyrights, trademarks and trade names, or other intellectual property rights. Although we have sought to work actively with the owners of intellectual property rights to eliminate listings offering infringing items on our websites, some rights owners have expressed the view that our efforts are insufficient. Content owners and other intellectual property rights owners have been active in defending their rights against online companies, including eBay. Allegations of infringement of intellectual property rights have resulted in litigation against us from time to time, including litigation brought by Tiffany & Co. in the U.S., Rolex S.A. in Germany, and a number of other owners of intellectual property rights. While we have been largely successful to date in defending against such litigation, more recent cases have been based, at least in part, on different legal theories than those of earlier cases, and there is no guarantee that we will continue to be successful in our defense. In addition, we expect that this type of litigation may increase as our sites gain prominence in markets outside of the U.S., where the laws may be unsettled or less favorable to us. Such litigation is costly for us, could result in damage awards or increased costs of doing business through adverse judgment or settlement, could require us to change our business practices in expensive ways, or could otherwise harm our business. Litigation against other online companies could result in interpretations of the law that could also require us to change our business practices or otherwise increase our costs.

We are subject to risks associated with information disseminated through our service.

The law relating to the liability of online services companies for information carried on or disseminated through their services is currently unsettled. Claims could be made against online services companies under both U.S. and foreign law for defamation, libel, invasion of privacy, negligence, copyright or trademark infringement, or other theories based on the nature and content of the materials disseminated through their services. Several private lawsuits seeking to impose liability upon us under a number of these theories have been brought against us. In addition, domestic and foreign legislation has been proposed that would prohibit or impose liability for the transmission over the Internet of certain types of information. Our service features a Feedback Forum, which includes information from users regarding other users. Although all such feedback is generated by users and not by us, claims of defamation or other injury have been made in the past and could be made in the future against us for content posted in the Feedback Forum. Several recent court decisions have narrowed the scope of the immunity provided to Internet service providers like us under the Communications Decency Act. This trend, if continued, may increase our potential liability to third parties for the user-provided content on our site. Our liability for such claims may be higher in jurisdictions outside the U.S. where laws governing Internet transactions are unsettled. If we become liable for information provided by our users and carried on our service in any jurisdiction in which we operate, we could be directly harmed and we may be forced to implement new measures to reduce our exposure to this liability. This may require us to expend substantial resources or to discontinue certain service offerings, which would negatively affect our financial results. In addition, the increased attention focused upon liability issues as a result of these lawsuits and legislative proposals could harm our reputation or otherwise impact the growth of our business. Any costs incurred as a result of this potential liability could harm our business.

Customer complaints or negative publicity about our customer service could diminish use of our services.

Customer complaints or negative publicity about our customer service could severely diminish consumer confidence in and use of our services. Breaches of our customers' privacy and our security measures could have the same effect. Measures we sometimes take to combat risks of fraud and breaches of privacy and security can damage relations with our customers. These measures heighten the need for prompt and accurate customer service to resolve irregularities and disputes. Effective customer service requires significant personnel expense, and this expense, if not managed properly, could significantly impact our profitability. Failure to

Table of Contents

manage or train our customer service representatives properly could compromise our ability to handle customer complaints effectively. If we do not handle customer complaints effectively, our reputation may suffer and we may lose our customers' confidence.

Because it is providing a financial service and operating in a more regulated environment, PayPal, unlike eBay, must provide telephone as well as email customer service and must resolve certain customer contacts within shorter time frames. As part of PayPal's program to reduce fraud losses, it may temporarily restrict the ability of customers to withdraw their funds if those funds or the customer's account activity are identified by PayPal's anti-fraud models as suspicious. PayPal has in the past received negative publicity with respect to its customer service and account restrictions, and is the subject of purported class action lawsuits and state attorney general inquiries alleging, among other things, failure to resolve account restrictions promptly. If PayPal is unable to provide quality customer support operations in a cost-effective manner, PayPal's users may have negative experiences, PayPal may receive additional negative publicity, its ability to attract new customers may be damaged, and it could become subject to additional litigation. Current and future revenues could suffer, or its operating margins may decrease. In addition, negative publicity about or experiences with PayPal's customer support could cause eBay's reputation to suffer or affect consumer confidence in eBay as a whole.

Acquisitions could result in operating difficulties, dilution and other harmful consequences.

We have acquired a number of businesses in the past, and have recently completed the acquisition of Rent.com, an Internet classified site focused on the apartment and rental housing industry. We expect to continue to evaluate and consider a wide array of potential strategic transactions, including business combinations, acquisitions and dispositions of businesses, technologies, services, products and other assets, including interests in our existing subsidiaries. At any given time we may be engaged in discussions or negotiations with respect to one or more of such transactions. Any of such transactions could be material to our financial condition and results of operations. There is no assurance that any such discussions or negotiations will result in the consummation of any transaction. The process of integrating any acquired business may create unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures and is itself risky. The areas where we may face difficulties include:

diversion of management time, as well as a shift of focus from operating the businesses to issues of integration and future products;

declining employee morale and retention issues resulting from changes in compensation, reporting relationships, future prospects, or the direction of the business;

the need to integrate each company's accounting, management information, human resource and other administrative systems to permit effective management, and the lack of control if such integration is delayed or not implemented;

the need to implement controls, procedures and policies appropriate for a larger public company at companies that prior to acquisition had lacked such controls, procedures and policies; and

in some cases, the need to transition operations onto the existing eBay platform.

Foreign acquisitions involve special risks, including those related to integration of operations across different cultures and languages, currency risks, and the particular economic, political, and regulatory risks associated with specific countries. Moreover, we may not realize the anticipated benefits of any or all of our acquisitions. As a result of future acquisitions or mergers, we might need to issue additional equity securities, spend our cash, or incur debt, liabilities, or amortization expenses related to intangible assets, any of which could reduce our profitability and harm our business.

Table of Contents

Our stock price has been and may continue to be extremely volatile.

The trading price of our common stock has been and is likely to be extremely volatile and could fluctuate in response to a variety of factors, including the following:

actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results and expected future results;

changes in, or failure to meet, financial estimates by securities analysts;

unscheduled system downtime;

additions or departures of key personnel;

announcements of technological innovations or new services by us or our competitors;

initiation of or developments in litigation affecting us;

conditions or trends in the Internet and online commerce industries;

changes in the market valuations of other Internet, online commerce, or technology companies;

developments in regulation;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, new products or capital commitments;

unanticipated economic or political events;

sales of our common stock or other securities in the open market; and

other events or factors, including those described in this Risk Factors That May Affect Results of Operations and Financial Condition section and others that may be beyond our control.

The trading prices of Internet stocks in general, and ours in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations in recent periods. These fluctuations often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. Even considering recent changes, the valuation of our stock remains high based on conventional valuation standards such as price-to-earnings and price-to-sales ratios. The trading price of our common stock has decreased sharply from its level in the fourth quarter of 2004, but remains higher than our stock price during 2002 and early 2003. This trading price and valuation may not be sustained. Negative changes in the public's perception of the prospects of Internet or e-commerce or technology companies have in the past and may in the future depress our stock price regardless of our results. Other broad market and industry factors may decrease the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. Market fluctuations, as well as general political and economic conditions, such as recession or interest rate or currency rate fluctuations, also may decrease the market price of our common stock. Securities class-action litigation is often instituted following declines in the market price of a company's securities. Litigation of this type could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

Problems with third parties who provide services to our users could harm our business.

A number of parties provide services to our users that indirectly benefit us. Such services include seller tools that automate and manage listings, merchant tools that manage listings and interface with inventory management software, storefronts that help our users list items, caching services that make our sites load faster, and other services. In some cases we have contractual agreements with these companies that give us a direct financial interest in their success, while in other cases we have none. In either circumstance, financial, regulatory, or other problems that prevent these

companies from providing services to our users could reduce the number of listings on our websites or make completing transactions on our websites more difficult, and thereby harm our business. Any security breach at one of these companies could also affect our customers and harm our business. Although we generally have been able to renew or extend the terms of contractual arrangements with these third party service providers on acceptable terms, there can be no assurance that we will continue to be able to do so in the future.

Table of Contents***Other companies or governmental agencies may view our behavior as anti-competitive.***

Other companies have in the past and may in the future allege that our actions violate the antitrust or competition laws of the U.S. or other countries, or otherwise constitute unfair competition. Such claims typically are very expensive to defend, involve negative publicity and diversion of management time and effort, and could result in significant judgments against us.

We provided information to the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice in connection with an inquiry into our conduct with respect to auction aggregators, including our licensing program and a previously settled lawsuit against Bidder's Edge. Although the Antitrust Division has closed this inquiry, any future antitrust investigation would likely harm our business due to negative publicity, the costs of the investigation, possible private antitrust lawsuits, the diversion of management time and effort, and penalties we might suffer if we ultimately were not to prevail.

We depend on key personnel.

Our future performance depends substantially on the continued services of our senior management and other key personnel and our ability to retain and motivate them. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees could harm our business. We do not have long-term employment agreements with any of our key personnel, we do not maintain any key person life insurance policies, and our Chief Executive Officer has fully vested the vast majority of her equity incentives. Our new businesses all depend on attracting and retaining key personnel. Our future success also will depend on our ability to attract, train, retain and motivate highly skilled technical, managerial, marketing, and customer support personnel. Competition for these personnel is intense, and we may be unable to successfully attract, integrate, or retain sufficiently qualified personnel. In making employment decisions, particularly in the Internet and high-technology industries, job candidates often consider the value of the stock options they are to receive in connection with their employment. Fluctuations in our stock price may make it more difficult to retain and motivate employees whose stock option strike prices are substantially above current market prices. Similarly, decreases in the number of unvested stock options held by existing employees, either because their options have vested or because the size of follow-on option grants has declined, may make it more difficult to retain and motivate employees.

Our industry is intensely competitive.

We currently or potentially compete with a number of companies providing both particular categories of goods and broader ranges of goods. The Internet provides new, rapidly evolving and intensely competitive channels for the sale of all types of goods. We expect competition to intensify in the future. The barriers to entry into these channels are relatively low, and current offline and new competitors can easily launch online sites at a nominal cost using commercially available software or partnering with any one of a number of successful e-commerce companies.

Our broad-based competitors include the vast majority of traditional department, warehouse, discount, and general merchandise stores, emerging online retailers, online classified services, and other shopping channels such as offline and online home shopping networks. These include most prominently: Wal-Mart, Kmart, Target, Sears, Macy's, JC Penney, Costco, Office Depot, Staples, OfficeMax, Sam's Club, Amazon.com, Buy.com, AOL.com, Yahoo! Shopping, MSN, QVC, and Home Shopping Network.

A number of companies have launched a variety of services that provide new channels for buyers to find and buy items from sellers of all sizes. For example, sites such as Bizrate.com, Buy.com, DealTime, Google's Froogle, In-Store.com, MySimon.com, Nextag.com, Pricegrabber.com, Shopping.com, and Yahoo! Product Search offer shopping search engines that allow consumers to search the Internet for specified products. Similarly, sellers are increasingly acquiring new customers by paying for search-related advertisements on search engine sites such as Google and Yahoo!. We use product search engines and paid search advertising to channel users to our sites, but these services also have the potential to divert users to other online shopping destinations.

Table of Contents

We also face competition from local, regional, and national specialty retailers and exchanges in each of our categories of products. Many competitors have been successful at establishing marketplaces that cater to a particular retail category, such as vehicles, tickets, or sporting goods. Examples of category-specific competitors include:

Books/Movies/Music: Abebooks.com, Amazon.com, Barnes & Noble, Alibris.com, Blockbuster, BMG, Columbia House, Best Buy, CDNow, Express.com, Emusic.com, and Tower Records;

Business & Industrial: Alibaba, Ariba, BidFreight.com, Bid4Assets, Buyer Zone, Commerce One, DotMed, DoveBid, Go Industry, IronPlanet, labx.com, Liquidation.com, Machinetools.com, Oracle, Partsforindustry.com, PurchasePro.com, Testmart, and VerticalNet;

Clothing & Accessories: Abercrombie & Fitch, AE.com, Bloomingdales, Bluefly.com, Coldwater-Creek.com, Delias.com, Dockers.com, Eddie Bauer, The Gap, J. Crew, Lands End, The Limited, LLBean, Macys, The Mens Warehouse, Nieman-Marcus, Nordstrom, Old Navy, Overstock.com, Payless, Ross, Saks Fifth Avenue, Shoes.com, Urban Outfitters, Victorias Secret, Yoox.com, and Zappos.com;

Collectibles: Beckett, Bonhams & Butterfields, Bowers and Morena, Christies, Collectiblestoday.com, Collectors Universe, Franklin Mint, Go Collect, Heritage, Just Glass, Mastronet, Pottery Auction, Replacements.com, Ruby Lane, Shop At Home, Sothebys, Tias, US Mint, US Postal Service, antique and collectible dealers, antique and collectible fairs, auction houses, estate sales, flea markets and swap meets, independent coin and stamp dealers, and specialty retailers;

Computers, Consumer Electronics, and Cameras & Photo: Abe Electronics, Best Buy, Buy.com, Circuit City, CNET, CompUSA, Computer Discount Warehouse, Dell, Electronics Boutique, Fry's Electronics, Gamestop, Gateway, The Good Guys, Hewlett Packard, IBM, MicroWarehouse, Overstock.com, PC Connection, PCMall.com, Radio Shack, Ritz Camera, Tech Depot, Tiger Direct, Tweeter Home Entertainment, uBid, major wireless carriers, and computer, consumer electronics, and photography retailers;

Home & Garden: Ace Hardware, Bed, Bath & Beyond, Burpee.com, Crate & Barrel, Do-It-Best Hardware, Ethan Allen, Frontgate, IKEA, HomeBase, Home Depot, Kohls, Lowes, Linens n Things, OSH, Pier One, Pottery Barn, Spiegel, TJ Max, Tuesday Morning, True Value Hardware, and Williams-Sonoma;

Jewelry & Watches: Bluenile.com, Diamond.com, Macys, Mondera.com, HSN.com, QVC.com, Tiffany, and Zales;

Motors (used vehicles and parts): Advance Auto Parts, AutoByTel.com, Autonation.com, AutoPartsPlace, AutoTrader.com, Autozone, Barons Ltd., Barrett-Jackson, California Classics, Car Parts Wholesale, Car-Part.com, CarMax, Cars.com, CarsDirect.com, Collectorcartraderonline.com, CSK Auto, Dealix, Discount Auto Parts, Dupont Registry, eClassics.com, ExpressAutoparts.com, General Parts (Carquest), Genuine/ NAPA, Hemmings, iMotors.com, JC Whitney, Kragen, Kruse International, OpenAuto.com, PartsAmerica.com, Pep Boys, RM Auctions, Inc., The Tire Rack, TraderOnline, Trader Publishing, newspaper classifieds, used car dealers, swap meets, car clubs, and vehicle recyclers;

Sports: Academy Sports, Bass Pro Shops, Big 5, Cabelas, Dick's Sporting Goods, GolfClubExchange.com, Golfsmith, GSI Commerce, Performance Bike, Play It Again Sports, REI, The Sports Authority, SportsLine.com, and TGW.com; and

Toys: FAO Schwarz, KB Toys, Towerhobbies.com, Toys R Us, and independent specialty toy and hobby retailers.

Our international websites compete with similar online and offline channels in each of their vertical categories in most countries. In addition, they compete with general online e-commerce sites, such as Quelle and Otto in Germany, Yahoo-Kimo in Taiwan, Daum in South Korea, TaoBao and 1pai, a partnership between Sina.com and Yahoo! in China, and Amazon in the U.K. and other countries. In some of these countries, there are online sites that have much larger customer bases and greater brand recognition than we do, and in certain of these jurisdictions there are competitors that may have a better understanding of local culture and commerce than we do.

Table of Contents

The principal competitive factors for eBay include the following:

ability to attract buyers and sellers;

volume of transactions and price and selection of goods;

customer service; and

brand recognition.

With respect to our online competition, additional competitive factors include:

community cohesion, interaction and size;

system reliability;

reliability of delivery and payment;

website convenience and accessibility;

level of service fees; and

quality of search tools.

Some current and potential competitors have longer company operating histories, larger customer bases and greater brand recognition in other business and Internet sectors than we do. Other online trading services may be acquired by, receive investments from, or enter into other commercial relationships with larger, well-established and well-financed companies. As a result, some of our competitors with other revenue sources may be able to devote more resources to marketing and promotional campaigns, adopt more aggressive pricing policies and devote substantially more resources to website and systems development than we can. Some of our competitors have offered services for free and others may do this as well. We may be unable to compete successfully against current and future competitors. In addition, certain offline competitors may encourage manufacturers to limit or cease distribution of their products to dealers who sell through online channels such as eBay, or may attempt to use existing or future government regulation to prohibit or limit online commerce in certain categories of goods or services. The adoption by manufacturers or government authorities of policies or regulations discouraging the sales of goods or services over the Internet could force eBay users to stop selling certain products on our websites. Increased competition or anti-Internet distribution policies or regulations may result in reduced operating margins, loss of market share and diminished value of our brand.

In order to respond to changes in the competitive environment, we may, from time to time, make pricing, service or marketing decisions or acquisitions that could harm our profitability. For example, we have implemented a buyer protection program that generally insures items up to a value of \$200, with a \$25 deductible, for users with a non-negative feedback rating at no cost to the user. PayPal has implemented a similar buyer protection program covering losses from selected eBay sellers up to \$1,000, with no deductible. Depending on the amount and size of claims we receive under these programs, these product offerings could harm our profitability. In addition, certain competitors may offer or continue to offer free shipping or other transaction related services, which could be impractical or inefficient for eBay users to match. New technologies may increase the competitive pressures by enabling our competitors to offer a lower cost service.

Although we have established Internet traffic arrangements with several large online services and search engine companies, these arrangements may not be renewed on commercially reasonable terms or these companies may decide to promote competitive services. Even if these arrangements are renewed, they may not result in increased usage of our services. In addition, companies that control user access to transactions through network access, Internet browsers, or search engines, could promote our competitors, channel current or potential users to their vertically integrated

electronic commerce sites or their advertisers sites, attempt to restrict our access, or charge us substantial fees for inclusion.

Table of Contents

The market for PayPal's product is emerging, intensely competitive, and characterized by rapid technological change. PayPal competes with existing online and off-line payment methods, including, among others:

credit card merchant processors that offer their services to online merchants, including Card Services International, Chase, First Data, iPayment, Paymentech, and Wells Fargo; and payment gateways, including CyberSource, VeriSign, and Authorize.net;

BidPay.com and Western Union MoneyZap, each operated by subsidiaries of First Data;

payment services offered by Amazon.com;

CheckFree;

processors that provide online merchants the ability to offer their customers the option of paying for purchases from their bank account, including Certegy and TeleCheck, a subsidiary of First Data, or to pay on credit, including Bill Me Later;

providers of traditional payment methods, particularly credit cards, checks, money orders, and Automated Clearing House transactions; and

issuers of stored value targeted at online payments, including VisaBuxx.

Some of these competitors have longer operating histories, significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, customer service and other resources, greater name recognition, or a larger base of customers in affiliated businesses than PayPal. PayPal's competitors may respond to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements faster and more effectively than PayPal. They may devote greater resources to the development, promotion, and sale of products and services than PayPal, and they may offer lower prices. Some of these competitors have offered, and may continue to offer, their services for free in order to gain market share, and PayPal may be forced to lower its prices in response. Competing services tied to established banks and other financial institutions may offer greater liquidity and engender greater consumer confidence in the safety and efficacy of their services than PayPal. If these competitors acquired significant market share, this could result in PayPal losing market share.

Overseas, PayPal faces competition from similar channels and payment methods in most countries and from regional and national online and offline competitors in each country including Visa's Visa Direct, MasterCard's MoneySend, ING's Way2Pay and Royal Bank of Scotland's World Pay in the European Community, NOCHEX, Moneybookers, NETeller, and Royal Bank of Scotland's FastPay in the U.K., CertaPay and HyperWallet in Canada, Paymate in Australia, Alipay in China and Inicis in South Korea. In addition, in certain countries, such as Germany and Australia, electronic funds transfer is a leading method of payment for both online and offline transactions. As in the U.S., established banks and other financial institutions that do not currently offer online payments could quickly and easily develop such a service.

Our business depends on the development and maintenance of the Internet infrastructure.

The success of our service will depend largely on the development and maintenance of the Internet infrastructure. This includes maintenance of a reliable network backbone with the necessary speed, data capacity, and security, as well as timely development of complementary products, for providing reliable Internet access and services. The Internet has experienced, and is likely to continue to experience, significant growth in the numbers of users and amount of traffic. The Internet infrastructure may be unable to support such demands. In addition, increasing numbers of users, increasing bandwidth requirements, or problems caused by viruses, worms, and similar programs may harm the performance of the Internet. The backbone computers of the Internet have been the targets of such programs. The Internet has experienced a variety of outages and other delays as a result of damage to portions of its infrastructure, and it could face outages and delays in the future. These outages and delays could reduce the level of Internet usage as well as the level of traffic and the processing transactions on our service.

Table of Contents***We need to develop new services, features, and functions in order to expand.***

We plan to expand our operations by developing new or complementary services, products, or transaction formats and expanding the breadth and depth of our pre-trade and post-trade services. We may be unable to expand our operations in a cost-effective or timely manner. We are pursuing strategic relationships with other companies to provide some of these services. As a result, we may be unable to control the quality of these services or adequately address problems that arise. Expanding our operations in this manner also will require significant additional expenses, development, operations and other resources and will strain our management, financial and operational resources. The lack of acceptance of any new businesses or services could harm our business, damage our reputation, and diminish the value of our brand.

We may be unable to protect or enforce our own intellectual property rights adequately.

We regard the protection of our trademarks, copyrights, patents, domain names, trade dress, and trade secrets as critical to our success. We aggressively protect our intellectual property rights by relying on a combination of trademark, copyright, patent, trade dress and trade secret laws, and through the domain name dispute resolution system. We also rely on contractual restrictions to protect our proprietary rights in products and services. We have entered into confidentiality and invention assignment agreements with our employees and contractors, and confidentiality agreements with parties with whom we conduct business in order to limit access to and disclosure of our proprietary information. These contractual arrangements and the other steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property may not prevent misappropriation of our technology or deter independent development of similar technologies by others. We pursue the registration of our domain names, trademarks, and service marks in the U.S. and internationally. Effective trademark, copyright, patent, domain name, trade dress, and trade secret protection is very expensive to maintain and may require litigation. We must protect our trademarks, patents, and domain names in an increasing number of jurisdictions, a process that is expensive and may not be successful in every location. We have licensed in the past, and expect to license in the future, certain of our proprietary rights, such as trademarks or copyrighted material, to others. These licensees may take actions that diminish the value of our proprietary rights or harm our reputation.

We are subject to the risks of owning real property.

We own real property including land and buildings related to our operations. We have little experience in managing real property. Ownership of this property subjects us to risks, including:

the possibility of environmental contamination and the costs associated with fixing any environmental problems;

adverse changes in the value of these properties, due to interest rate changes, changes in the neighborhoods in which the properties are located, or other factors;

the possible need for structural improvements in order to comply with zoning, seismic, disability act, or other requirements; and

possible disputes with tenants, neighboring owners, or others.

Some anti-takeover provisions may affect the price of our common stock.

Our Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the preferences, rights and privileges of those shares without any further vote or action by the stockholders. The rights of the holders of common stock may be harmed by rights granted to the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. Some provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could have the effect of making it more difficult for a potential acquirer to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock. These include provisions that provide for a classified board of directors, prohibit stockholders from taking action by written consent and restrict the ability of stockholders to call special meetings. We are also subject to provisions of Delaware law that prohibit us from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder for a period of three years from the date the person became an

Table of Contents

interested stockholder, unless certain conditions are met. This restriction could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control.

Item 3: *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*
Interest Rate Risk

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, we maintain our portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments in a variety of securities, including government and corporate securities and money market funds. These securities are generally classified as available for sale and consequently are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of estimated tax.

Investments in both fixed-rate and floating-rate interest-earning instruments carry varying degrees of interest rate risk. The fair market value of our fixed-rate securities may be adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates. In general, securities with longer maturities are subject to greater interest-rate risk than those with shorter maturities. While floating rate securities generally are subject to less interest-rate risk than fixed-rate securities, floating-rate securities may produce less income than expected if interest rates decrease. Due in part to these factors, our investment income may fall short of expectations or we may suffer losses in principal if securities are sold that have declined in market value due to changes in interest rates. As of March 31, 2005, our fixed-income investments earned a pretax yield of approximately 2.5%, with a weighted average maturity of five months. If interest rates were to instantaneously increase (decrease) by 100 basis points, the fair market value of our total investment portfolio could decrease (increase) by approximately \$14.7 million.

Equity Price Risk

We are exposed to equity price risk on the marketable portion of equity instruments and equity method investments we hold, typically as the result of strategic investments in third parties that are subject to considerable market risk due to their volatility. We typically do not attempt to reduce or eliminate our market exposure in these equity investments. We did not record an impairment charge during either of the three months ended March 31, 2005 or 2004 relating to the other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of equity investments. At March 31, 2005, the total fair value of our equity instruments and equity method investments was \$48.3 million.

Foreign Currency Risk

International net revenues result from transactions by our foreign operations and are typically denominated in the local currency of each country. These operations also incur most of their expenses in the local currency. Accordingly, our foreign operations use the local currency, which is primarily the Euro, and to a lesser extent, the British pound, as their functional currency. Our international operations are subject to risks typical of international operations, including, but not limited to, differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures, other regulations and restrictions, and foreign exchange rate volatility. Accordingly, our future results could be materially adversely impacted by changes in these or other factors. In addition, at March 31, 2005, we held balances in cash, cash equivalents and investments outside the U.S. totaling approximately \$505.3 million.

Transaction Exposure:

As of March 31, 2005, we had outstanding forward foreign exchange hedge contracts with notional values equivalent to approximately \$489.1 million with maturity dates within 30 days. The hedge contracts are used to offset changes in the functional currency value of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies as a result of currency fluctuations. Transaction gains and losses on the contracts and the assets and liabilities are recognized each period in our consolidated statement of income.

Table of Contents*Translation Exposure:*

Foreign exchange rate fluctuations may adversely impact our consolidated financial position as well as our consolidated results of operations. Foreign exchange rate fluctuations may adversely impact our financial position as the assets and liabilities of our foreign operations are translated into U.S. dollars in preparing our consolidated balance sheet. The effect of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on our consolidated financial position for the three months ended March 31, 2005, was a net translation loss of approximately \$13.7 million. This loss is recognized as an adjustment to stockholders' equity through accumulated other comprehensive income. Additionally, foreign exchange rate fluctuations may adversely impact our consolidated results of operations as exchange rate fluctuations on transactions denominated in currencies other than our functional currencies result in gains and losses that are reflected in our consolidated statement of income.

We consolidate the earnings of our international subsidiaries by converting them into U.S. dollars in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52 Foreign Currency Translation (FAS 52). Such earnings will fluctuate when there is a change in foreign currency exchange rates. From time to time, we enter into transactions to hedge portions of our foreign currency denominated earnings translation exposure using both foreign currency options and forward contracts. The gain on these hedges for the first quarter of 2005 totaled approximately \$117,000, which were recorded in interest and other income, net. All contracts that hedge translation exposure mature ratably over the quarter in which they are executed.

Economic Exposure:

We currently charge our international subsidiaries on a monthly basis for their use of intellectual property and technology and for certain corporate services provided by eBay and PayPal. These charges are denominated in Euros and these forecasted inter-company transactions represent a foreign currency cash flow exposure. To reduce foreign exchange risk relating to these forecasted inter-company transactions, we entered into forward foreign exchange contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2005. The objective of the forward contracts is to ensure that the U.S. dollar-equivalent cash flows are not adversely affected by changes in the U.S. dollar/ Euro exchange rate. Pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 133), we expect the hedge of certain of these forecasted transactions using the forward contracts to be highly effective in offsetting potential changes in cash flows attributed to a change in the U.S. dollar/Euro exchange rate. Accordingly, we record as a component of other comprehensive income all unrealized gains and losses related to the forward contracts that receive hedge accounting treatment. We record all unrealized gains and losses in interest and other income, net, related to the forward contracts that do not receive hedge accounting treatment pursuant to FAS 133. The following table shows the notional amount of our economic hedges as of March 31, 2005, together with the associated losses, net of gains, recorded in our consolidated statement of income for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, and the gains, net of losses recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income as of March 31, 2005 (in thousands):

	Notional Amount	Net Loss Recorded to Interest and Other Income, Net	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Amount receiving hedge accounting treatment under FAS 133	\$ 230,741	\$	\$ 310
Amount not receiving hedge accounting treatment under FAS 133	82,229	(51)	

Total	\$ 312,970	\$ (51)	\$ 310
-------	------------	---------	--------

No economic hedges were entered into during the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Item 4: Controls and Procedures

(a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e))

62

Table of Contents

required by Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b), our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) *Changes in internal controls.* There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1: Legal Proceedings**

In April 2001, two of our European subsidiaries, eBay GmbH and eBay International AG, were sued by Montres Rolex S.A. and certain of its affiliates in the regional court of Cologne, Germany. The suit subsequently was transferred to the regional court in Dusseldorf, Germany. Rolex alleged that our subsidiaries were infringing Rolex's trademarks as a result of users selling counterfeit Rolex watches through our German website. The suit also alleged unfair competition. Rolex sought an order enjoining the sale of Rolex-branded watches on the website as well as damages. In December 2002, a trial was held in the matter and the court ruled in favor of eBay on all causes of action. Rolex appealed the ruling to the Higher Regional Court of Dusseldorf, and the appeal was heard in October 2003. In February 2004, the court rejected Rolex's appeal and ruled in our favor. Rolex has appealed the ruling to the German Federal Supreme Court. In March 2004, the German Federal Supreme Court ruled in favor of Rolex in a case involving an unrelated company, ricardo.de AG, but somewhat comparable legal theories. The court issued its written decision in that case in September 2004. Although it is not yet clear what effect the reasoning of the German Federal Supreme Court's ricardo.de decision would have when applied to eBay, we believe the Court's decision will likely not require any significant change in our business practices.

In September 2001, MercExchange LLC filed a complaint against us, our Half.com subsidiary and ReturnBuy, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (No. 2:01-CV-736) alleging infringement of three patents (relating to online consignment auction technology, multiple database searching and electronic consignment systems) and seeking a permanent injunction and damages (including treble damages for willful infringement). In October 2002, the court granted in part our summary judgment motion, effectively invalidating the patent related to online auction technology and rendering it unenforceable. This ruling left only two patents in the case. Trial of the matter began in April 2003. In May 2003, the jury returned a verdict finding that eBay had willfully infringed one and Half.com had willfully infringed both of the patents in the suit, awarding \$35.0 million in compensatory damages. Both parties filed post-trial motions, and in August 2003, the court entered judgment for MercExchange in the amount of \$29.5 million, plus pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest in an amount to be determined, while denying MercExchange's request for an injunction and attorneys' fees. We appealed the verdict and judgment in favor of MercExchange and MercExchange filed a cross-appeal of the granting in part of our summary judgment motion and the denial of its request for an injunction and attorneys' fees.

On March 16, 2005, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued a ruling in the appeal of the MercExchange patent litigation suit which, among other things (1) invalidated all claims asserted against eBay and Half.com arising out of the multiple database search patent and reduced the verdict amount by \$4.5 million; (2) upheld the electronic consignment system patent; (3) affirmed the district court's refusal to award attorneys' fees or enhanced damages against us; (4) reversed the district court's order granting summary judgment in our favor regarding the auction patent; and (5) reversed the district court's refusal to grant an injunction and remanded that issue to the district court for further proceedings. We have petitioned the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit for an *en banc* rehearing of the ruling. In parallel with the federal court proceedings, at our request, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is actively reexamining each of the patents in suit, having found that substantial questions exist regarding the validity of the claims contained therein. On January 26, 2005, the Patent and Trademark Office issued an initial ruling rejecting all of the claims contained in the patent that related to online auctions, and on March 29, 2005, the Patent and

Table of Contents

Trademark Office issued an initial ruling rejecting all of the claims contained in the patent that related to electronic consignment systems. Even if successful, our litigation of these matters will continue to be costly. In addition, as a precautionary measure, we have modified certain functionality of our websites and business practices in a manner which we believe would avoid any further infringement. For this reason, we believe that any injunction that might be issued by the district court will not have any impact on our business. We also believe we have appropriate reserves for this litigation. Nonetheless, if we are not successful in appealing or modifying the court's ruling, and if the modifications to the functionality of our websites and business practices are not sufficient to make them non-infringing, we would likely be forced to pay significant additional damages and licensing fees and/or modify our business practices in an adverse manner.

In August 2002, Charles E. Hill & Associates, Inc. filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (No. 2:02-CV-186) alleging that we and 17 other companies, primarily large retailers, infringed three patents owned by Hill generally relating to electronic catalog systems and methods for transmitting and updating data at a remote computer. The suit seeks an injunction against continuing infringement, unspecified damages, including treble damages for willful infringement, and interest, costs, expenses, and fees. In January 2003, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana. After pending in Indiana for almost a year, the case was transferred back to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas in December 2003. A scheduling conference was held in November 2004 and a preliminary trial date has been set for February 2006. The case is currently in fact discovery and claim construction discovery. The defendants have filed a motion for summary judgment of noninfringement. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In February 2002, PayPal was sued in California state court (No. CV-805433) in a purported class action alleging that its limiting access to customer accounts and failure to promptly restore access to legitimate accounts violates California state consumer protection laws and is an unfair business practice and a breach of PayPal's User Agreement. This action was re-filed with a different named plaintiff in June 2002 (No. CV-808441), and a similar action was also filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California in June 2002 (No. C-02-2777). In March 2002, PayPal was sued in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (No. C-02-1227) in a purported class action alleging that its limiting access to customer accounts and failure to promptly restore access to legitimate accounts violates federal and state consumer protection and unfair business practice laws. The two federal court actions were consolidated into a single case, and the state court action was stayed pending developments in the federal case. In June 2004, the parties announced that they had reached a proposed settlement. The settlement received approval from the federal court on November 2, 2004. In the settlement, PayPal does not acknowledge that any of the allegations in the case are true. Under the terms of the settlement, certain PayPal account holders will be eligible to receive payment from a settlement fund of \$9.25 million, less administrative costs and the amount awarded to plaintiff's counsel by the court. That sum will be distributed to class members who have submitted timely claims in accordance with the settlement's plan of allocation, part of which still must be approved by the court. The parties expect that a plan of allocation will be submitted to the court in the second quarter of 2005. The amount of the settlement was fully accrued in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2003.

In July 2004, a purported class action lawsuit was filed by two eBay users in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (No. 104CV022708) alleging that eBay engaged in improper billing practices as the result of problems with the rollout of a new billing software system in the second and third quarters of 2004. The lawsuit sought damages and injunctive relief. An amended complaint was filed in January 2005, dropping one plaintiff, changing the capacity of the other plaintiff to that of representative plaintiff, and adding seven additional eBay users as plaintiffs. The amended complaint expanded its claim to include numerous alleged improper billing practices from September 2003 until the present. In February 2005, eBay filed a motion to strike and a demurrer seeking to dismiss the complaint. On April 1, 2005, the court sustained portions of the demurrer, but granted the plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In February 2005, eBay was sued in Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara (No. 105CV035930) in a purported class action alleging that certain bidding features of our site constitute

Table of Contents

skill bidding for the purpose of artificially inflating bids placed by buyers on the site. The complaint alleges violations of California's Auction Act, California's Consumer Remedies Act, and unfair competition. The complaint seeks injunctive relief, damages, and a constructive trust. We believe that we have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

In March 2005, eBay, PayPal, and an eBay seller were sued in Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Kings (No. 6125/05) in a purported class action alleging that certain disclosures regarding PayPal's Buyer Protection Policy, users' chargeback rights, and the effects of users' choice of funding mechanism are deceptive and/or misleading. The complaint alleges misrepresentation on the part of eBay and PayPal, breach of contract and deceptive trade practices by PayPal, and that PayPal and eBay have jointly violated the civil RICO statute (18 U.S.C. Section 1961(4)). The complaint seeks injunctive relief, compensatory damages, and punitive damages. On April 5, 2005, eBay and PayPal removed the case to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. We believe that eBay and PayPal have meritorious defenses and intend to defend ourselves vigorously.

Other third parties have from time to time claimed, and others may claim in the future, that we have infringed their intellectual property rights. We have been notified of several potential patent disputes, and expect that we will increasingly be subject to patent infringement claims as our services expand in scope and complexity. In particular, we expect to face additional patent infringement claims involving services we provide, including various aspects of our Payments business. We have in the past been forced to litigate such claims. We may also become more vulnerable to third-party claims as laws such as the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, the Lanham Act and the Communications Decency Act are interpreted by the courts and as we expand geographically into jurisdictions where the underlying laws with respect to the potential liability of online intermediaries like ourselves are either unclear or less favorable. These claims, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming and costly to resolve, cause service upgrade delays, require expensive changes in our methods of doing business, or could require us to enter into costly royalty or licensing agreements.

From time to time, we are involved in other disputes that arise in the ordinary course of business. The number and significance of these disputes is increasing as our business expands and our company grows larger. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time, and result in the diversion of significant operational resources.

Item 2: *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

None.

Item 3: *Defaults Upon Senior Securities*

Not applicable.

Item 4: *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

Not applicable.

Item 5: *Other Information***Audit Committee Pre-Approvals of Non-Audit Engagements**

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors has adopted a policy requiring the pre-approval of any non-audit engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, or PwC, our independent registered public accounting firm. In the event that we wish to engage PwC to perform accounting, technical, diligence or other permitted services not related to the services performed by PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm, our internal finance personnel will prepare a summary of the proposed engagement, detailing the nature of the engagement, the reasons why PwC is the preferred provider of such services and the estimated duration and cost of the engagement. The report will be provided to our Audit Committee or a designated committee member, who will evaluate whether the proposed engagement will interfere with the

Table of Contents

independence of PwC in the performance of its auditing services. We intend to disclose all approved non-audit engagements in the appropriate quarterly report on Form 10-Q or annual report on Form 10-K.

Our Audit Committee approved the following non-audit engagement during the quarter ended March 31, 2005:

the engagement by PayPal of PwC to perform a review of the PayPal Compliance Program; and

the engagement of PwC to perform due diligence services related to certain potential acquisitions.

Item 6: Exhibits

Exhibit 10.31. 2003 Deferred Stock Unit Plan, as amended.

Exhibit 10.32. Form of 2003 Deferred Stock Unit Plan Electing Director Award Agreement.

Exhibit 10.33. Form of 2003 Deferred Stock Unit Plan New Director Award Agreement.

Exhibit 10.34. Summary of Compensation Payable to Named Executive Officers.

Exhibit 31.01. Certification of eBay's Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 31.02. Certification of eBay's Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.01. Certification of eBay's Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.02. Certification of eBay's Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

eBay Inc.

Principal Executive Officer:

By: /s/ Margaret C. Whitman

Margaret C. Whitman

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 26, 2005

Principal Financial Officer:

By: /s/ Rajiv Dutta

Rajiv Dutta

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: April 26, 2005

Principal Accounting Officer:

By: /s/ Douglas Jeffries

Douglas Jeffries

Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer

Date: April 26, 2005

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Number	Description
10.31	2003 Deferred Stock Unit Plan, as amended.
10.32	Form of 2003 Deferred Stock Unit Plan Electing Director Award Agreement.
10.33	Form of 2003 Deferred Stock Unit Plan New Director Award Agreement.
10.34	Summary of Compensation Payable to Named Executive Officers.
31.01	Certification of eBay's Chief Executive Officer as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.02	Certification of eBay's Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.01	Certification of eBay's Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.02	Certification of eBay's Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.