

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
Form 10-K
February 17, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ **to** _____

Commission file number: 1-12110

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Texas
(State or other jurisdiction of

76-6088377
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

3 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1300

Houston, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

77046
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 354-2500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|---|
| Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in the Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$4,418,001,069 based on a June 30, 2011 share price of \$63.62.

On February 10, 2012, 78,804,181 common shares of the registrant were outstanding, net of treasury shares and shares held in our deferred compensation arrangements.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement in connection with its Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 11, 2012 are incorporated by reference in Part III.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 3 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 10 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 10 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 15 |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 15 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 16 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 18 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 19 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 38 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 39 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 39 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 39 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 42 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 42 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 42 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 42 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 43 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 43 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 44 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 51 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Formed on May 25, 1993, Camden Property Trust, a Texas real estate investment trust (REIT), is primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition, and construction of multifamily apartment communities. Unless the context requires otherwise, we, our, us, and the Company refer to Camden Property Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries. Our multifamily apartment communities are referred to as communities, multifamily communities, properties, or multifamily properties in the following discussion.

Our corporate offices are located at 3 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1300, Houston, Texas 77046 and our telephone number is (713) 354-2500. Our website is located at www.camdenliving.com. On our website we make available free of charge our annual, quarterly, and current reports, and amendments to such reports, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). We also make available, free of charge on our website, our Guidelines on Governance, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Code of Ethical Conduct for Senior Financial Officers, and the charters of each of our Audit, Compensation, Nominating, and Corporate Governance Committees.

Our annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other information are electronically filed with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please contact the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov which contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Financial Information about Segments

We are primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition, and construction of multifamily apartment communities. As each of our communities has similar economic characteristics, residents, amenities, and services, our operations have been aggregated into one reportable segment. See our consolidated financial statements and notes included thereto in Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for certain information required by Item 1.

Narrative Description of Business

As of December 31, 2011, we owned interests in, operated, or were developing 206 multifamily properties comprising 69,794 apartment homes across the United States. Of these 206 properties, ten properties were under development and when completed will consist of a total of 2,797 apartment homes. In addition, we own land parcels we may develop into multifamily apartment communities.

Operating and Business Strategy

We believe producing consistent earnings growth through property operations, development and acquisitions, achieving market balance, and recycling capital are crucial factors to our success. We rely heavily on our sophisticated property management capabilities and innovative operating strategies to help us maximize the earnings potential of our communities.

Real Estate Investments and Market Balance. We believe we are well positioned in our current markets and have the expertise to take advantage of new opportunities as they arise. These capabilities, combined with what we believe is a conservative financial structure, should allow us to concentrate our growth efforts toward selective opportunities to enhance our strategy of having a geographically diverse portfolio of assets which meet the requirements of our residents.

We continue to operate in our core markets which we believe provides an advantage due to economies of scale. We believe, where possible, it is best to operate with a strong base of properties in order to benefit from the personnel allocation and the market strength associated with managing multiple properties in the same market. However, consistent with our goal of generating sustained earnings growth, we intend to selectively dispose of properties and redeploy capital for various strategic reasons, including if we determine a property cannot meet long-term earnings growth expectations.

Table of Contents

We try to maximize capital appreciation of our properties by investing in markets characterized by conditions favorable to multifamily property appreciation. These markets generally feature one or more of the following:

Strong economic growth leading to household formation and job growth, which in turn leads to high demand for our apartments; and

High barriers to entry where, because of land scarcity or government regulation, it is difficult or costly to build new apartment properties leading to low supply;

High single family home prices making our apartments a more economical housing choice;

An attractive quality of life leading to high demand and retention and allowing us to more readily increase rents.

Subject to market conditions, we intend to continue to look for opportunities to acquire existing communities, expand our development pipeline, and complete selective dispositions. We have two discretionary investment funds (the Funds), one of which is closed to future investment and the other of which will close to future investment at the earlier of April 2012 or at such time as 90% of its committed capital is invested, subject to certain exceptions.

We intend to continue to focus on strengthening our capital and liquidity positions by generating positive cash flows from operations, maintaining appropriate debt levels and leverage ratios, and controlling overhead costs. We intend to meet our liquidity requirements through available cash balances, the availability under our unsecured credit facility and other short-term borrowings, proceeds from dispositions of property and secured mortgage notes, equity issued from our 2011 at-the-market share offering program, and the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement.

Sophisticated Property Management. We believe the depth of our organization enables us to deliver quality services, promote resident satisfaction, and retain residents, thereby reducing operating expenses. We manage our properties utilizing a staff of professionals and support personnel, including certified property managers, experienced apartment managers and leasing agents, and trained apartment maintenance technicians. Our on-site personnel are trained to deliver high quality services to our residents. We strive to motivate our on-site employees through incentive compensation arrangements based upon property operational results, rental rate increases, occupancy levels, and level of lease renewals achieved.

Operations. We believe an intense focus on operations is necessary to realize consistent, sustained earnings growth. Ensuring resident satisfaction, increasing rents as market conditions allow, maximizing rent collections, maintaining property occupancy at optimal levels, and controlling operating costs comprise our principal strategies to maximize property financial results. We believe our web-based property management and revenue management systems strengthen on-site operations and allow us to quickly adjust rental rates as local market conditions change. Lease terms are generally staggered based on vacancy exposure by apartment type so lease expirations are matched to each property's seasonal rental patterns. We generally offer leases ranging from six to fifteen months with individual property marketing plans structured to respond to local market conditions. In addition, we conduct ongoing customer service surveys to ensure timely response to residents' changing needs and a high level of satisfaction.

Investments in Joint Ventures. We have entered into, and may continue in the future to enter into, joint ventures through which we own an indirect economic interest of less than 100% of the community or land owned directly by the joint venture. See Note 8, Investments in Joint Ventures, and Note 14, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our investments in joint ventures.

Table of Contents

Competition

There are numerous housing alternatives which compete with our communities in attracting residents. Our properties compete directly with other multifamily properties as well as with condominiums and single-family homes which are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our communities are located. This competitive environment could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease apartment homes at our present communities or any newly developed or acquired community, as well as at the rents charged.

Employees

At December 31, 2011, we had approximately 1,885 employees, including executive, administrative, and community personnel. Our employee headcount does not vary significantly throughout the year.

Qualification as a Real Estate Investment Trust

As of December 31, 2011, we met the qualification of a REIT under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). As a result, we, with the exception of our taxable REIT subsidiaries, will not be subject to federal income tax to the extent we continue to meet certain requirements of the Code.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information contained in this Form 10-K, the following risk factors should be considered carefully in evaluating our business. Our business, financial condition, or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks. Additional risks not presently known to us, or which we currently consider immaterial, may also impair our business and operations.

Risks Associated with Real Estate, Real Estate Capital, and Credit Markets

Volatility in capital and credit markets, or other unfavorable changes in economic conditions, could adversely impact us.

The capital and credit markets are subject to volatility and disruption, as particularly experienced in the latter half of 2008 through most of 2010, during which spreads on prospective debt financings fluctuated and made it more difficult to borrow money. In the event of renewed market disruption and volatility, we may not be able to obtain new debt financing or refinance our existing debt on favorable terms or at all, which would adversely affect our liquidity, our ability to make distributions to shareholders, acquire and dispose of assets and continue our development pipeline. Other weakened economic conditions, including job losses and high unemployment rates, could adversely affect rental rates and occupancy levels. Unfavorable changes in economic conditions may have a material adverse impact on our cash flows and operating results.

Additional key economic risks which may adversely affect conditions in the markets in which we operate include the following:

local conditions, such as an oversupply of apartments or other housing available for rent, or a reduction in demand for apartments in the area;

declines in the financial condition of our tenants, which may make it more difficult for us to collect rents from some tenants;

declines in market rental rates;

low mortgage interest rates and home pricing, making alternative housing more affordable;

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

government or builder incentives which enable home buyers to put little or no money down, making alternative housing options more attractive;

regional economic downturns which affect one or more of our geographical markets; and

increased operating costs, if these costs cannot be passed through to residents.

Table of Contents

Short-term leases expose us to the effects of declining market rents.

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term of fifteen months or less. As these leases generally permit the residents to leave at the end of the lease term without penalty, our rental revenues are impacted by declines in market rents more quickly than if our leases were for longer terms.

We face risks associated with land holdings and related activities.

We hold land for future development and may in the future acquire additional land holdings. The risks inherent in purchasing, owning, and developing land increase as demand for apartments, or rental rates, decrease. Real estate markets are highly uncertain and, as a result, the value of undeveloped land has fluctuated significantly and may continue to fluctuate. In addition, carrying costs can be significant and can result in losses or reduced profitability. As a result, we hold certain land, and may in the future acquire additional land, in our development pipeline at a cost we may not be able to fully recover or at a cost which precludes our developing a profitable multifamily community. If there are subsequent changes in the fair value of our land holdings which we determine is less than the carrying basis of our land holdings reflected in our financial statements plus estimated costs to sell, we may be required to take future impairment charges which would reduce our net income.

Difficulties of selling real estate could limit our flexibility.

We intend to continue to evaluate the potential disposition of assets which may no longer meet our investment objectives. When we decide to sell an asset, we may encounter difficulty in finding buyers in a timely manner as real estate investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly, especially when market conditions are poor. These factors may limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions and may also limit our ability to utilize sales proceeds as a source of liquidity, which would adversely affect our ability to make distributions to shareholders or repay debt. In addition, the provisions of the Code relating to REITs limit our ability to earn a gain on the sale of property (unless we own the property through a subsidiary which will incur a taxable gain upon sale) if we have held the property less than two years, and this limitation may affect our ability to sell properties without adversely affecting returns to shareholders.

We could be negatively impacted by the condition of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are a major source of financing for secured multifamily real estate. We and other multifamily companies depend heavily on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to finance growth by purchasing or guaranteeing apartment loans. There have been discussions of reducing or eliminating Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and a final decision by the government to eliminate Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac, or reduce their acquisitions or guarantees of apartment loans, may adversely affect interest rates, capital availability, and the development of multifamily communities.

Compliance or failure to comply with laws, including those requiring access to our properties by disabled persons, could result in substantial cost.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (FHAA), and other federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations, generally require public accommodations and apartment homes be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the government or the award of damages to private litigants. These laws may require us to modify our existing properties. These laws may also restrict renovations by requiring improved access to such buildings by disabled persons or may require us to add other structural features which increase our construction costs. Legislation or regulations adopted in the future may impose further costs and obligations or restrictions on us with respect to improved access by disabled persons. We may incur unanticipated expenses which may be material to our financial condition or results of operations to comply with ADA, FHAA, and other federal, state, and local laws, or in connection with lawsuits brought by the government or private litigants.

Competition could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rental income.

There are numerous housing alternatives which compete with our properties in attracting residents. Our properties compete directly with other multifamily properties as well as condominiums and single family homes which are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our properties are located. This competitive environment could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease apartment homes at our present properties or any newly developed or acquired property, as well as on the rents realized.

Table of Contents

Risks Associated with Our Operations

Development and construction risks could impact our profitability.

We intend to continue to develop and construct multifamily apartment communities for our portfolio, and expect increased levels of development activity in 2012. Our development and construction activities may be exposed to a number of risks which may increase our construction costs and decrease our profitability, including the following:

inability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy, and other required permits and authorizations;

increased materials and/or labor costs, problems with subcontractors, or other costs due to errors and omissions which occur in the design or construction process;

inability to obtain financing with favorable terms for the development of a community;

inability to complete construction and lease-up of a community on schedule;

the expected occupancy and rental rates may differ from the actual results; and

incurring costs related to the abandonment of development opportunities which we have pursued and subsequently deemed unfeasible.

Our inability to successfully implement our development and construction strategy could adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to satisfy our financial obligations and pay distributions to shareholders.

One of our wholly-owned subsidiaries is engaged in the business of providing general contracting services under construction contracts entered into between it and third-parties (including nonconsolidated subsidiaries). The terms of those construction contracts generally require this subsidiary to estimate the time and costs to complete a project, and to assume the risk the time and costs associated with its performance may be greater than anticipated. As a result, profitability on those contracts is dependent on the ability to accurately predict such factors. The time and costs necessary to complete a project may be affected by a variety of factors, including those listed above, many of which are beyond this subsidiary's control. In addition, the terms of those contracts generally require this subsidiary to warrant its work for a period of time during which it may be required to repair, replace, or rebuild non-conforming work. Further, trailing liabilities, based on various legal theories such as claims of negligent construction, may result from such projects, and these trailing liabilities may go on for a number of years depending on the length of the statutes of repose in various jurisdictions.

Our acquisition strategy may not produce the cash flows expected.

We may acquire additional operating properties on a selective basis. Our acquisition activities are subject to a number of risks, including the following:

we may not be able to successfully integrate acquired properties into our existing operations;

our estimates of the costs, if any, of repositioning or redeveloping the acquired property may prove inaccurate;

the expected occupancy and rental rates may differ from the actual results; and

we may not be able to obtain adequate financing.

With respect to acquisitions of operating properties, we may not be able to identify suitable candidates on terms acceptable to us and may not achieve expected returns or other benefits as a result of integration challenges, such as personnel and technology.

Table of Contents

Competition could adversely affect our ability to acquire properties.

We expect other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, private investors, and other multifamily REITs, will compete with us to acquire additional operating properties. This competition could increase prices for the type of properties we would likely pursue and adversely affect our ability to acquire these properties or the profitability of such properties upon acquisition.

Losses from catastrophes may exceed our insurance coverage.

We carry comprehensive property and liability insurance on our properties, which we believe is of the type and amount customarily obtained on similar real property assets by similar types of owners. We intend to obtain similar coverage for properties we acquire or develop in the future. However, some losses, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as losses from floods, hurricanes, or earthquakes, may be subject to coverage limitations. We exercise our discretion in determining amounts, coverage limits, and deductible provisions of insurance to maintain appropriate insurance on our investments at a reasonable cost and on suitable terms. If we suffer a catastrophic loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to pay the full current market value or current replacement value of our lost investment, as well as the anticipated future revenues from the property. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations, and other factors also may reduce the feasibility of using insurance proceeds to replace a property after it has been damaged or destroyed.

Investments through joint ventures involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor.

We have invested and may continue to invest as a joint venture partner in joint ventures. These investments involve risks, including the possibility the other joint venture partner may have business goals which are inconsistent with ours, possess the ability to take action or withhold consent contrary to our requests, or become insolvent and require us to assume and fulfill the joint venture's financial obligations. We and our joint venture partner may each have the right to initiate a buy-sell arrangement, which could cause us to sell our interest, or acquire our joint venture partner's interest, at a time when we otherwise would not have entered into such a transaction. Each joint venture agreement is individually negotiated, and our ability to operate, finance, and/or dispose of a community in our sole discretion may be limited to varying degrees depending on the terms of the joint venture agreement.

We face risks associated with investments in and management of discretionary funds.

We have formed the Funds which, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we manage as the general partner and advisor. Each of the Funds has total capital commitments of \$187.5 million or \$375 million in the aggregate. We have committed to invest 20% of the total equity interest in each of the Funds, up to \$75 million in the aggregate. As of December 31, 2011, one of the Funds was closed for future investments. We have contributed approximately \$33.0 million to this Fund and it had a combined equity capital investment of \$165.0 million at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, our capital contribution to the remaining open Fund was approximately \$23.7 million and it had a combined equity capital investment of approximately \$118.4 million. There are risks associated with the investment in and management of the Funds, including:

investors in the remaining open Fund may fail to make their capital contributions when due and, as a result, the Fund may be unable to execute its investment objectives;

the general partner of the Funds, our wholly-owned subsidiary, has unlimited liability for the third-party debts, obligations, and liabilities of the Funds pursuant to partnership law;

investors in the Funds (other than us), by majority vote, may remove our subsidiary as the general partner of the Funds with or without cause and the Funds' advisory boards, by a majority vote of their members, may remove our subsidiary as the general partner of the Funds at any time for cause;

while we have broad discretion to manage the Funds and make investment decisions on behalf of the Funds, the investors or the advisory boards must approve certain matters, and as a result we may be unable to cause the Funds to make certain investments or implement certain decisions we consider beneficial;

Table of Contents

we are permitted to acquire land and develop communities outside of the remaining open Fund, but are generally prohibited from acquiring fully developed multifamily properties outside of this Fund until the earlier of (i) April 8, 2012, or (ii) such time as 90% of the remaining open Fund's committed capital is invested, subject to certain exceptions;

our ability to dispose of all or a portion of our investments in the Funds is subject to significant restrictions; and

we may be liable if the Funds fail to comply with various tax or other regulatory matters.

Tax matters, including failure to qualify as a REIT, could have adverse consequences.

We may not continue to qualify as a REIT in the future. The Internal Revenue Service may challenge our qualification as a REIT for prior years and new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations, or court decisions may change the tax laws or the application of the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the federal tax consequences of such qualification.

For any taxable year we fail to qualify as a REIT and do not qualify under statutory relief provisions:

we would be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax;

we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which we failed to qualify, thereby reducing our net income, including any distributions to shareholders, as we would be required to pay significant income taxes for the year or years involved; and

our ability to expand our business and raise capital would be impaired, which may adversely affect the value of our common shares.

We may face other tax liabilities in the future which may impact our cash flow. These potential tax liabilities may be calculated on our income or property values at either the corporate or individual property levels. Any additional tax expense incurred would decrease the cash available for cash distributions to our common shareholders, perpetual preferred unit holders, and noncontrolling interest holders.

We depend on our key personnel.

Our success depends in part on our ability to attract and retain the services of executive officers and other personnel. There is substantial competition for qualified personnel in the real estate industry, and the loss of several of our key personnel could have an adverse effect on us.

Changes in litigation risks could affect our business.

As a large publicly-traded owner of multifamily properties, we may become involved in legal proceedings, including consumer, employment, tort, or commercial litigation, which if decided adversely to or settled by us, could result in liability which is material to our financial condition or results of operations.

Risks Associated with Our Indebtedness and Financing

Insufficient cash flows could limit our ability to make required payments for debt obligations or pay distributions to shareholders.

Substantially all of our income is derived from rental and other income from our multifamily communities. As a result, our performance depends in large part on our ability to collect rent from residents, which could be negatively affected by a number of factors, including the following:

delay in resident lease commencements;

Table of Contents

decline in occupancy;

failure of residents to make rental payments when due;

the attractiveness of our properties to residents and potential residents;

our ability to adequately manage and maintain our communities;

competition from other available apartments and housing alternatives; and

changes in market rents.

Cash flow could be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest with respect to debt financing. In order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute annual dividends to our shareholders equal to a minimum of 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gains. This requirement limits the cash available to meet required principal payments on our debt.

We have significant debt, which could have important adverse consequences.

As of December 31, 2011, we had outstanding debt of approximately \$2.4 billion. This indebtedness could have important consequences, including:

if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness, and if we are unable to meet our mortgage obligations, we could sustain a loss as a result of foreclosure on the mortgaged property;

our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions is increased; and

our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in business and industry conditions is limited.

The mortgages on our properties subject to secured debt, our unsecured credit facility, and the indentures under which our unsecured debt was issued contain customary restrictions, requirements, and other limitations, as well as certain financial and operating covenants including maintenance of certain financial ratios. Maintaining compliance with these provisions could limit our financial flexibility. A default in these provisions, if uncured, could require us to repay the indebtedness before the scheduled maturity date, which could adversely affect our liquidity and increase our financing costs.

We may be unable to renew, repay, or refinance our outstanding debt.

We are subject to the risk that indebtedness on our properties or our unsecured indebtedness will not be renewed, repaid, or refinanced when due or the terms of any renewal or refinancing will not be as favorable as the existing terms of such indebtedness. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness on acceptable terms, or at all, we might be forced to dispose of one or more of the properties on disadvantageous terms, which might result in losses to us. Such losses could have a material adverse effect on us and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders and pay amounts due on our debt. Furthermore, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the mortgagee could foreclose on the property, appoint a receiver and exercise rights under an assignment of rents and leases, or pursue other remedies, all with a consequent loss of our revenues and asset value. Foreclosures could also create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, thereby hindering our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements of the Code.

Variable rate debt is subject to interest rate risk.

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

We have mortgage debt with varying interest rates dependent upon various market indexes. In addition, we have a revolving credit facility bearing interest at a variable rate on all amounts drawn on the facility. We may incur additional variable rate debt in the future. Increases in interest rates on variable rate debt would increase our interest expense, unless we make arrangements which hedge the risk of rising interest rates, which would adversely affect net income and cash available for payment of our debt obligations and distributions to shareholders.

Table of Contents

We may incur losses on interest rate hedging arrangements.

Historically, we have entered into agreements to reduce the risks associated with changes in interest rates, and we may continue to do so in the future. Although these agreements may partially protect against rising interest rates, they may also reduce the benefits to us if interest rates decline. If a hedging arrangement is not indexed to the same rate as the indebtedness which is hedged, we may be exposed to losses to the extent which the rate governing the indebtedness and the rate governing the hedging arrangement change independently of each other. Additionally, nonperformance by the other party to the hedging arrangement may subject us to increased credit risks.

Issuances of additional debt may adversely impact our financial condition.

Our capital requirements depend on numerous factors, including the rental and occupancy rates of our multifamily properties, dividend payment rates to our shareholders, development and capital expenditures, costs of operations, and potential acquisitions. If our capital requirements vary materially from our plans, we may require additional financing earlier than anticipated. If we issue more debt, we could become more leveraged, resulting in increased risk of default on our obligations and an increase in our debt service requirements, both of which could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to access debt and equity capital markets in the future.

Failure to maintain our current credit ratings could adversely affect our cost of funds, related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets.

Moody's and Standard & Poor's, the major debt rating agencies, routinely evaluate our debt and have given us ratings of Baa1 and BBB, respectively, with stable outlooks, on our senior unsecured debt. These ratings are based on a number of factors, which include their assessment of our financial strength, liquidity, capital structure, asset quality, and sustainability of cash flow and earnings. Due to changes in market conditions, we may not be able to maintain our current credit ratings, which could adversely affect our cost of funds and related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets.

Risks Associated with Our Shares

Share ownership limits and our ability to issue additional equity securities may prevent takeovers beneficial to shareholders.

For us to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must have 100 or more shareholders during the year and not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals. As defined for federal income tax purposes, the term "individuals" includes a number of specified entities. To minimize the possibility of us failing to qualify as a REIT under this test, our declaration of trust includes restrictions on transfers of our shares and ownership limits. The ownership limits, as well as our ability to issue other classes of equity securities, may delay, defer, or prevent a change in control. These provisions may also deter tender offers for our common shares which may be attractive to you or limit your opportunity to receive a premium for your shares which might otherwise exist if a third party were attempting to effect a change in control transaction.

Our share price will fluctuate.

The market price and trading volume of our common shares are subject to fluctuation due to general market conditions, the risks discussed in this report and other matters, including the following:

operating results which vary from the expectations of securities analysts and investors;

investor interest in our property portfolio;

the reputation and performance of REITs;

the attractiveness of REITs as compared to other investment vehicles;

the results of our financial condition and operations;

Table of Contents

the perception of our growth and earnings potential;

dividend payment rates;

increases in market interest rates, which may lead purchasers of our common shares to demand a higher yield; and

changes in financial markets and national economic and general market conditions.

The form, timing and/or amount of dividend distributions in future periods may vary and be impacted by economic and other considerations.

The form, timing and/or amount of dividend distributions will be declared at the discretion of our Board of Trust Managers and will depend on actual cash from operations, our financial condition, capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code and other factors as the Board of Trust Managers may consider relevant. The Board of Trust Managers may modify the form, timing and/or amount of dividends from time to time.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The Properties

Our properties typically consist of mid-rise buildings or two and three story buildings in a landscaped setting and provide residents with a variety of amenities. Most of the properties have one or more swimming pools and a clubhouse and many have whirlpool spas, weight room facilities, and controlled-access gates. Many of the apartment homes offer additional amenities common to multifamily rental properties.

Operating Properties (including properties held through unconsolidated joint ventures)

The 196 operating properties in which we owned interests and operated at December 31, 2011 averaged 928 square feet of living area per apartment home. For the year ended December 31, 2011, no single operating property accounted for greater than 1.5% of our total revenues. Our operating properties had a weighted average occupancy rate of approximately 94.5% and 93.3% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and an average annual rental revenue per apartment home of \$970 and \$928 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Resident lease terms generally range from six to fifteen months. One hundred and seventy-one of our operating properties have over 200 apartment homes, with the largest having 904 apartment homes. Our operating properties have an average age of 12 years (calculated on the basis of investment dollars). Our operating properties were constructed and placed in service as follows:

| Year Placed in Service | September 30, Number of Operating Properties |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 2006-2011 | 36 |
| 2001-2005 | 32 |
| 1996-2000 | 57 |
| 1991-1995 | 19 |
| 1986-1990 | 34 |
| Prior to 1986 | 18 |

Table of Contents*Property Table*

The following table sets forth information with respect to our 196 operating properties at December 31, 2011:

| Property and Location | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | Year Placed In Service | Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.) | Number of Apartments | 2011 Average Occupancy (1) | 2011 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment |
| OPERATING PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| ARIZONA | | | | | |
| Phoenix | | | | | |
| Camden Copper Square | 2000 | 786 | 332 | 92.8% | \$ 803 |
| Camden Fountain Palms (2) | 1986/1996 | 1,050 | 192 | 90.4 | 672 |
| Camden Legacy | 1996 | 1,067 | 428 | 94.3 | 887 |
| Camden Pecos Ranch (2) | 2001 | 924 | 272 | 94.1 | 796 |
| Camden San Paloma | 1993/1994 | 1,042 | 324 | 94.0 | 927 |
| Camden Sierra (2) | 1997 | 925 | 288 | 90.2 | 663 |
| Camden Towne Center (2) | 1998 | 871 | 240 | 91.2 | 669 |
| Camden Vista Valley (3) | 1986 | 923 | 357 | 91.4 | 626 |
| CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| Los Angeles/Orange County | | | | | |
| Camden Crown Valley | 2001 | 1,009 | 380 | 94.5 | 1,532 |
| Camden Harbor View | 2004 | 975 | 538 | 94.7 | 1,904 |
| Camden Main & Jamboree (4) | 2008 | 1,011 | 290 | 95.8 | 1,756 |
| Camden Martinique | 1986 | 794 | 714 | 94.7 | 1,276 |
| Camden Parkside (2) | 1972 | 836 | 421 | 94.1 | 1,186 |
| Camden Sea Palms | 1990 | 891 | 138 | 96.6 | 1,452 |
| San Diego/Inland Empire | | | | | |
| Camden Old Creek | 2007 | 1,037 | 350 | 93.2 | 1,564 |
| Camden Sierra at Otay Ranch | 2003 | 962 | 422 | 93.2 | 1,494 |
| Camden Tuscany | 2003 | 896 | 160 | 94.2 | 1,900 |
| Camden Vineyards | 2002 | 1,053 | 264 | 92.3 | 1,222 |
| COLORADO | | | | | |
| Denver | | | | | |
| Camden Caley | 2000 | 925 | 218 | 95.0 | 923 |
| Camden Centennial | 1985 | 744 | 276 | 93.2 | 701 |
| Camden Denver West (5) | 1997 | 1,015 | 320 | 94.1 | 1,083 |
| Camden Highlands Ridge | 1996 | 1,149 | 342 | 93.7 | 1,146 |
| Camden Interlocken | 1999 | 1,022 | 340 | 94.3 | 1,145 |
| Camden Lakeway | 1997 | 932 | 451 | 93.7 | 910 |
| Camden Pinnacle | 1985 | 748 | 224 | 93.6 | 725 |
| WASHINGTON DC METRO | | | | | |
| Camden Ashburn Farms | 2000 | 1,062 | 162 | 97.1 | 1,412 |
| Camden Clearbrook | 2007 | 1,048 | 297 | 96.6 | 1,296 |
| Camden College Park (4) | 2008 | 942 | 508 | 94.3 | 1,556 |
| Camden Dulles Station | 2009 | 984 | 366 | 96.0 | 1,550 |
| Camden Fair Lakes | 1999 | 1,056 | 530 | 96.3 | 1,571 |
| Camden Fairfax | 2006 | 934 | 488 | 95.6 | 1,603 |
| Camden Fallsgrove | 2004 | 996 | 268 | 96.1 | 1,613 |
| Camden Grand Parc | 2002 | 674 | 105 | 95.2 | 2,430 |
| Camden Lansdowne | 2002 | 1,006 | 690 | 96.2 | 1,349 |
| Camden Largo Town Center | 2000/2007 | 1,027 | 245 | 93.8 | 1,586 |
| Camden Monument Place | 2007 | 856 | 368 | 95.7 | 1,464 |
| Camden Potomac Yard | 2008 | 835 | 378 | 94.8 | 1,912 |
| Camden Roosevelt | 2003 | 856 | 198 | 97.7 | 2,331 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|-------|-----|------|-------|
| Camden Russett | 2000 | 992 | 426 | 93.3 | 1,386 |
| Camden Silo Creek | 2004 | 975 | 284 | 96.5 | 1,362 |
| Camden Summerfield | 2008 | 957 | 291 | 93.7 | 1,546 |
| FLORIDA | | | | | |
| Southeast Florida | | | | | |
| Camden Aventura | 1995 | 1,108 | 379 | 93.5 | 1,432 |
| Camden Brickell | 2003 | 937 | 405 | 96.2 | 1,500 |
| Camden Doral | 1999 | 1,120 | 260 | 94.7 | 1,501 |
| Camden Doral Villas | 2000 | 1,253 | 232 | 94.3 | 1,615 |
| Camden Las Olas | 2004 | 1,043 | 420 | 95.1 | 1,606 |
| Camden Plantation | 1997 | 1,201 | 502 | 94.6 | 1,277 |

Table of Contents

| Property and Location | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | Year Placed In Service | Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.) | Number of Apartments | 2011 Average Occupancy (1) | 2011 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment |
| OPERATING PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| Camden Portofino | 1995 | 1,112 | 322 | 94.4% | \$ 1,310 |
| Orlando | | | | | |
| Camden Club | 1986 | 1,077 | 436 | 95.1 | 837 |
| Camden Hunter s Creek | 2000 | 1,075 | 270 | 95.6 | 952 |
| Camden Lago Vista | 2005 | 955 | 366 | 94.8 | 863 |
| Camden Landings | 1983 | 748 | 220 | 95.0 | 654 |
| Camden Lee Vista | 2000 | 937 | 492 | 95.5 | 831 |
| Camden Orange Court | 2008 | 817 | 268 | 94.8 | 1,056 |
| Camden Renaissance | 1996/1998 | 899 | 578 | 93.9 | 789 |
| Camden Reserve | 1990/1991 | 824 | 526 | 94.2 | 700 |
| Camden World Gateway | 2000 | 979 | 408 | 94.9 | 927 |
| Tampa/St. Petersburg | | | | | |
| Camden Bay | 1997/2001 | 943 | 760 | 94.8 | 841 |
| Camden Bay Pointe | 1984 | 771 | 368 | 94.5 | 677 |
| Camden Bayside | 1987/1989 | 748 | 832 | 95.5 | 737 |
| Camden Citrus Park | 1985 | 704 | 247 | 94.8 | 654 |
| Camden Lakes | 1982/1983 | 732 | 688 | 93.5 | 663 |
| Camden Lakeside | 1986 | 729 | 228 | 93.5 | 719 |
| Camden Live Oaks | 1990 | 1,093 | 770 | 94.0 | 768 |
| Camden Preserve | 1996 | 942 | 276 | 94.6 | 999 |
| Camden Providence Lakes | 1996 | 1,024 | 260 | 93.1 | 886 |
| Camden Royal Palms | 2006 | 1,017 | 352 | 93.2 | 830 |
| Camden Visconti (6) (7) | 2007 | 1,125 | 450 | 96.2 | 1,080 |
| Camden Westshore | 1986 | 728 | 278 | 95.3 | 807 |
| Camden Woods | 1986 | 1,223 | 444 | 95.4 | 817 |
| GEORGIA | | | | | |
| Atlanta | | | | | |
| Camden Brookwood | 2002 | 912 | 359 | 95.3 | 950 |
| Camden Deerfield | 2000 | 1,187 | 292 | 93.1 | 917 |
| Camden Dunwoody | 1997 | 1,007 | 324 | 96.7 | 860 |
| Camden Ivy Hall (6) (8) | 2010 | 1,181 | 110 | 94.0 | 1,639 |
| Camden Midtown Atlanta | 2001 | 935 | 296 | 93.1 | 953 |
| Camden Peachtree City | 2001 | 1,027 | 399 | 95.0 | 884 |
| Camden Phipps (6) (7) | 1996 | 1,018 | 234 | 94.1 | 1,123 |
| Camden River | 1997 | 1,103 | 352 | 94.9 | 862 |
| Camden Shiloh | 1999/2002 | 1,143 | 232 | 94.7 | 837 |
| Camden St. Clair | 1997 | 999 | 336 | 93.5 | 876 |
| Camden Stockbridge | 2003 | 1,009 | 304 | 93.2 | 742 |
| Camden Sweetwater | 2000 | 1,151 | 308 | 93.0 | 710 |
| MISSOURI | | | | | |
| Kansas City | | | | | |
| Camden Passage (9) | 1989/1997 | 834 | 596 | 92.2 | 663 |
| St. Louis | | | | | |
| Camden Cedar Lakes (9) | 1986 | 852 | 420 | 92.3 | 641 |
| Camden Cove West (9) | 1990 | 828 | 276 | 96.2 | 835 |
| Camden Cross Creek (9) | 1973/1980 | 947 | 591 | 94.9 | 764 |
| Camden Westchase (9) | 1986 | 945 | 160 | 97.3 | 869 |
| NEVADA | | | | | |
| Las Vegas | | | | | |
| Camden Bel Air | 1988/1995 | 943 | 528 | 91.6 | 716 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|------------------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Camden Breeze | 1989 | 846 | 320 | 93.0 | 721 |
| Camden Canyon | 1995 | 987 | 200 | 94.8 | 846 |
| Camden Commons | 1988 | 936 | 376 | 91.3 | 741 |
| Camden Cove | 1990 | 898 | 124 | 93.1 | 713 |
| Camden Del Mar | 1995 | 986 | 560 | 95.4 | 890 |
| Camden Fairways | 1989 | 896 | 320 | 95.8 | 868 |
| Camden Hills | 1991 | 439 | 184 | 88.7 | 499 |
| Camden Legends | 1994 | 792 | 113 | 93.6 | 818 |
| Camden Palisades | 1991 | 905 | 624 | 91.9 | 725 |
| Camden Pines (2) | 1997 | 982 | 315 | 92.0 | 795 |
| Camden Pointe | 1996 | 983 | 252 | 93.5 | 732 |

Table of Contents

| Property and Location | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | Year Placed In Service | Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.) | Number of Apartments | 2011 Average Occupancy (1) | 2011 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment |
| OPERATING PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| Camden Summit (2) | 1995 | 1,187 | 234 | 94.0% | \$ 1,088 |
| Camden Tiara (2) | 1996 | 1,043 | 400 | 93.3 | 847 |
| Camden Vintage | 1994 | 978 | 368 | 91.9 | 715 |
| Oasis Bay (10) | 1990 | 876 | 128 | 96.4 | 752 |
| Oasis Crossings (10) | 1996 | 983 | 72 | 93.5 | 749 |
| Oasis Emerald (10) | 1988 | 873 | 132 | 92.7 | 627 |
| Oasis Gateway (10) | 1997 | 1,146 | 360 | 91.6 | 782 |
| Oasis Island (10) | 1990 | 901 | 118 | 91.3 | 636 |
| Oasis Landing (10) | 1990 | 938 | 144 | 92.5 | 693 |
| Oasis Meadows (10) | 1996 | 1,031 | 383 | 91.1 | 734 |
| Oasis Palms (10) | 1989 | 880 | 208 | 91.7 | 674 |
| Oasis Pearl (10) | 1989 | 930 | 90 | 91.6 | 711 |
| Oasis Place (10) | 1992 | 440 | 240 | 87.6 | 499 |
| Oasis Ridge (10) | 1984 | 391 | 477 | 84.0 | 421 |
| Oasis Sierra (10) | 1998 | 923 | 208 | 93.8 | 786 |
| Oasis Springs (10) | 1988 | 838 | 304 | 90.8 | 590 |
| Oasis Vinings (10) | 1994 | 1,152 | 234 | 90.1 | 733 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | | | | |
| Charlotte | | | | | |
| Camden Ballantyne | 1998 | 1,045 | 400 | 95.8 | 866 |
| Camden Cotton Mills | 2002 | 905 | 180 | 97.9 | 1,079 |
| Camden Dilworth | 2006 | 857 | 145 | 97.0 | 1,099 |
| Camden Fairview | 1983 | 1,036 | 135 | 96.2 | 805 |
| Camden Forest | 1989 | 703 | 208 | 91.6 | 571 |
| Camden Foxcroft (13) | 1979 | 940 | 156 | 96.0 | 743 |
| Camden Grandview | 2000 | 1,057 | 266 | 97.2 | 1,205 |
| Camden Habersham | 1986 | 773 | 240 | 95.8 | 630 |
| Camden Park Commons | 1997 | 861 | 232 | 92.7 | 645 |
| Camden Pinehurst | 1967 | 1,147 | 407 | 95.5 | 747 |
| Camden Sedgebrook | 1999 | 972 | 368 | 96.0 | 796 |
| Camden Simsbury | 1985 | 874 | 100 | 96.3 | 745 |
| Camden South End Square | 2003 | 882 | 299 | 97.1 | 1,003 |
| Camden Stonecrest | 2001 | 1,098 | 306 | 95.0 | 906 |
| Camden Touchstone | 1986 | 899 | 132 | 96.8 | 729 |
| Raleigh | | | | | |
| Camden Crest | 2001 | 1,013 | 438 | 94.5 | 773 |
| Camden Governor's Village | 1999 | 1,046 | 242 | 93.4 | 879 |
| Camden Lake Pine | 1999 | 1,066 | 446 | 94.9 | 806 |
| Camden Manor Park | 2006 | 966 | 484 | 95.7 | 835 |
| Camden Overlook | 2001 | 1,060 | 320 | 95.2 | 887 |
| Camden Reunion Park | 2000/2004 | 972 | 420 | 93.0 | 701 |
| Camden Westwood | 1999 | 1,027 | 354 | 95.9 | 800 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | | | | |
| Camden Valleybrook | 2002 | 992 | 352 | 94.1 | 1,323 |
| TEXAS | | | | | |
| Austin | | | | | |
| Camden Amber Oaks (6) | 2009 | 862 | 348 | 94.4 | 809 |
| Camden Brushy Creek (6) (7) | 2008 | 882 | 272 | 96.9 | 800 |
| Camden Cedar Hills | 2008 | 911 | 208 | 94.5 | 975 |
| Camden Gaines Ranch | 1997 | 955 | 390 | 94.3 | 995 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|-----|------|-------|
| Camden Huntingdon | 1995 | 903 | 398 | 95.0 | 754 |
| Camden Laurel Ridge | 1986 | 702 | 183 | 94.0 | 600 |
| Camden Ridgecrest | 1995 | 855 | 284 | 94.8 | 699 |
| Camden Shadow Brook (6) (7) | 2009 | 909 | 496 | 96.3 | 885 |
| Camden South Congress (6) | 2001 | 975 | 253 | 94.8 | 1,424 |
| Camden Stoneleigh | 2001 | 908 | 390 | 95.9 | 898 |
| Corpus Christi | | | | | |
| Camden Breakers | 1996 | 868 | 288 | 96.2 | 930 |
| Camden Copper Ridge | 1986 | 775 | 344 | 94.4 | 694 |
| Camden Miramar (11) | 1994-2010 | 488 | 855 | 80.7 | 948 |
| Camden South Bay (6) | 2007 | 1,055 | 270 | 95.1 | 1,060 |

Table of Contents

| Property and Location | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | Year Placed In Service | Average Apartment Size (Sq. Ft.) | Number of Apartments | 2011 Average Occupancy (1) | 2011 Average Monthly Rental Rate per Apartment |
| OPERATING PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| Dallas/Fort Worth | | | | | |
| Camden Addison (2) | 1996 | 942 | 456 | 96.1% | \$ 790 |
| Camden Buckingham | 1997 | 919 | 464 | 95.8 | 804 |
| Camden Centreport | 1997 | 911 | 268 | 95.1 | 794 |
| Camden Cimarron | 1992 | 772 | 286 | 95.8 | 809 |
| Camden Design District (6) (7) | 2009 | 939 | 355 | 92.9 | 1,120 |
| Camden Farmers Market | 2001/2005 | 932 | 904 | 94.8 | 910 |
| Camden Gardens | 1983 | 652 | 256 | 96.4 | 548 |
| Camden Glen Lakes | 1979 | 877 | 424 | 95.4 | 755 |
| Camden Legacy Creek | 1995 | 831 | 240 | 96.4 | 855 |
| Camden Legacy Park | 1996 | 871 | 276 | 96.4 | 869 |
| Camden Panther Creek (6) (7) | 2009 | 946 | 295 | 94.9 | 952 |
| Camden Riverwalk (6) (7) | 2008 | 982 | 600 | 95.4 | 1,096 |
| Camden Springs | 1987 | 713 | 304 | 95.4 | 564 |
| Camden Valley Park | 1986 | 743 | 516 | 94.3 | 748 |
| Camden Westview | 1983 | 697 | 335 | 93.2 | 603 |
| Houston | | | | | |
| Camden Baytown | 1999 | 844 | 272 | 91.0 | 786 |
| Camden City Centre | 2007 | 932 | 379 | 96.7 | 1,293 |
| Camden Creek | 1984 | 639 | 456 | 92.4 | 587 |
| Camden Cypress Creek (6) (7) | 2009 | 993 | 310 | 96.4 | 1,039 |
| Camden Downs at Cinco Ranch (6) (7) | 2004 | 1,075 | 318 | 96.3 | 1,006 |
| Camden Grand Harbor (6) (7) | 2008 | 959 | 300 | 96.9 | 984 |
| Camden Greenway | 1999 | 861 | 756 | 94.2 | 1,056 |
| Camden Heights (6) (7) | 2004 | 927 | 352 | 96.8 | 1,154 |
| Camden Holly Springs (2) | 1999 | 934 | 548 | 93.9 | 899 |
| Camden Lakemont (6) (7) | 2007 | 904 | 312 | 96.3 | 862 |
| Camden Midtown | 1999 | 844 | 337 | 96.0 | 1,252 |
| Camden Northpointe (6) (7) | 2008 | 940 | 384 | 95.4 | 922 |
| Camden Oak Crest | 2003 | 870 | 364 | 92.4 | 835 |
| Camden Park (2) | 1995 | 866 | 288 | 94.3 | 792 |
| Camden Piney Point (6) (7) | 2004 | 919 | 318 | 96.5 | 988 |
| Camden Plaza (4) | 2007 | 915 | 271 | 94.0 | 1,281 |
| Camden Royal Oaks | 2006 | 923 | 236 | 89.8 | 1,145 |
| Camden Spring Creek (6) (7) | 2004 | 1,080 | 304 | 92.1 | 981 |
| Camden Steeplechase | 1982 | 748 | 290 | 91.6 | 633 |
| Camden Stonebridge | 1993 | 845 | 204 | 94.7 | 806 |
| Camden Sugar Grove (2) | 1997 | 921 | 380 | 93.2 | 855 |
| Camden Travis Street (12) | 2010 | 819 | 253 | 96.1 | 1,289 |
| Camden Vanderbilt | 1996/1997 | 863 | 894 | 95.6 | 1,139 |
| Camden Whispering Oaks | 2008 | 934 | 274 | 95.0 | 989 |
| Camden Woodson Park (6) (7) | 2008 | 916 | 248 | 97.0 | 944 |
| Camden Yorktown (6) | 2008 | 995 | 306 | 94.0 | 915 |
| San Antonio | | | | | |
| Camden Braun Station (6) (7) | 2006 | 827 | 240 | 95.2 | 828 |
| Camden Westover Hills (6) (7) | 2010 | 959 | 288 | 96.3 | 1,029 |

(1) Represents average physical occupancy for the year except as noted.

(2)

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Properties owned through a joint venture in which we own a 20% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated private investor. In January 2012, we acquired the remaining 80% ownership interest from this unaffiliated private investor.

- (3) Property was included in properties held for sale at December 31, 2011. We sold this property in January 2012.*
- (4) Property owned through a fully-consolidated joint venture in which we own a 99.99% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated private investor.*
- (5) Property owned through a joint venture in which we own a 50% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated private investor.*
- (6) Properties owned through a joint venture in which we own a 20% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated pension fund.*

Table of Contents

- (7) *Property acquired during 2011 average occupancy calculated from date at which property was acquired, unless otherwise noted.*
- (8) *Development property stabilized during 2011 average occupancy calculated from date at which occupancy exceeded 90% through year-end.*
- (9) *Properties owned through a joint venture in which we own a 15% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated private investor.*
- (10) *Properties owned through a joint venture in which we own a 20% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated pension fund.*
- (11) *Miramar is a student housing project for Texas A&M at Corpus Christi. Average occupancy includes summer which is normally subject to high vacancies.*
- (12) *Property owned through a fully-consolidated joint venture in which we own a 25% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated private investor.*
- (13) *Property owned through a fully-consolidated joint venture in which we own a 75% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated private investor.*

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

For discussion regarding legal proceedings, see Note 14, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The high and low closing prices per share of our common shares, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape under the symbol CPT, and distributions per share declared for the quarters indicated are as follows:

| | September 30, High | September 30, Low | September 30, Distributions |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2011 Quarters: | | | |
| First | \$ 59.17 | \$ 53.47 | \$ 0.49 |
| Second | 65.26 | 56.40 | 0.49 |
| Third | 69.32 | 55.26 | 0.49 |
| Fourth | 62.35 | 53.09 | 0.49 |
| 2010 Quarters: | | | |
| First | \$ 43.94 | \$ 36.77 | \$ 0.45 |
| Second | 51.50 | 40.85 | 0.45 |
| Third | 49.90 | 39.15 | 0.45 |
| Fourth | 54.13 | 48.18 | 0.45 |

This graph assumes the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2006 and quarterly reinvestment of dividends. (Source: SNL Financial LC)

| Index | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2007 | September 30, Years Ended December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2009 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2011 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Camden Property Trust | 100.00 | 68.24 | 47.71 | 69.16 | 91.62 | 109.26 |
| FTSE NAREIT Equity | 100.00 | 84.31 | 52.50 | 67.20 | 85.98 | 93.11 |
| S&P 500 | 100.00 | 105.49 | 66.46 | 84.05 | 96.71 | 98.76 |
| Russell 2000 | 100.00 | 98.43 | 65.18 | 82.89 | 105.14 | 100.75 |
| MSCI US REIT (RMS) Index | 100.00 | 83.18 | 51.60 | 66.36 | 85.26 | 92.67 |

Table of Contents

As of February 10, 2012, there were 553 shareholders of record and approximately 29,039 beneficial owners of our common shares.

In March 2010, we announced the creation of an at-the-market (ATM) share offering program through which we could, but had no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$250 million (2010 ATM program), in amounts and at times as we determined, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we issued approximately 4.9 million common shares at an average price of \$48.37 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$231.7 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued approximately 0.3 million common shares at an average price of \$55.81 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$13.8 million. The 2010 ATM program was terminated and no further common shares are available for sale under the 2010 ATM program.

In May 2011, we created a second ATM share offering program through which we can sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300 million (2011 ATM program) from time to time into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. We may, but have no obligation to, sell common shares through the 2011 ATM share offering program in amounts and at times as we determine. Actual sales from time to time may depend on a variety of factors, including, among others, market conditions, the trading price of our common shares, and determination of the appropriate sources of funding for us. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued approximately 1.5 million common shares at an average price of \$62.98 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$92.7 million. In January 2012, we issued approximately 0.1 million common shares at an average price of \$62.41 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$3.2 million. As of the date of this filing, we had common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$202.4 million remaining available for sale under the 2011 ATM program.

In January 2012, we issued approximately 6.6 million common shares in a public equity offering and received approximately \$391.6 million in net proceeds. We utilized these proceeds to fund the acquisition of the 80% interest not owned by us in twelve related joint ventures for approximately \$99.5 million and the repayment of approximately \$272.6 million in mortgage debt associated with these joint ventures.

See Part III, Item 12, for a description of securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

In January 2008, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase of the April 2007 repurchase plan to allow for the repurchase of up to \$500 million of our common equity securities through open market purchases, block purchases, and privately negotiated transactions. Under this program, we have repurchased 4.3 million shares for a total of approximately \$230.2 million from April 2007 through December 31, 2011. The remaining dollar value of our common equity securities authorized to be repurchased under the program was approximately \$269.8 million as of December 31, 2011. There were no repurchases of our equity securities during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table provides selected financial data relating to our historical financial condition and results of operations as of and for each of the years ended December 31, 2007 through 2011. This data should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and related notes. Prior year amounts have been reclassified for discontinued operations.

COMPARATIVE SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL AND PROPERTY DATA

| (in thousands, except per share amounts and property data) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2008 | September 30, 2007 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Operating Data (a) | | | | | |
| Total property revenues | \$ 655,868 | \$ 601,450 | \$ 602,648 | \$ 602,932 | \$ 568,060 |
| Total property expenses | 256,679 | 242,912 | 237,599 | 230,275 | 209,042 |
| Total non-property income (loss) | 21,395 | 28,337 | 25,443 | (19,540) | 25,002 |
| Total other expenses | 367,008 | 367,523 | 370,660 | 325,469 | 333,838 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | 22,546 | 8,242 | (75,201) | (20,340) | 35,480 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | 49,379 | 23,216 | (50,800) | 70,973 | 148,457 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.11 | \$ (1.19) | \$ (0.37) | \$ 0.60 |
| Diluted | 0.30 | 0.11 | (1.19) | (0.37) | 0.59 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.67 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.80) | \$ 1.28 | \$ 2.54 |
| Diluted | 0.66 | 0.33 | (0.80) | 1.28 | 2.50 |
| Distributions declared per common share | \$ 1.96 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 2.05 | \$ 2.80 | \$ 2.76 |
| Balance Sheet Data (at end of year) | | | | | |
| Total real estate assets, at cost (e) | \$ 5,875,515 | \$ 5,675,309 | \$ 5,505,168 | \$ 5,491,593 | \$ 5,527,403 |
| Total assets | 4,622,075 | 4,699,737 | 4,607,999 | 4,730,342 | 4,890,760 |
| Notes payable | 2,432,112 | 2,563,754 | 2,625,199 | 2,832,396 | 2,828,095 |
| Perpetual preferred units | 97,925 | 97,925 | 97,925 | 97,925 | 97,925 |
| Equity | 1,827,768 | 1,757,373 | 1,609,013 | 1,501,356 | 1,653,340 |
| Other Data | | | | | |
| Cash flows provided by (used in): | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 244,834 | \$ 224,036 | \$ 217,688 | \$ 216,958 | \$ 223,106 |
| Investing activities | (187,364) | 35,150 | (69,516) | (37,374) | (346,798) |
| Financing activities | (172,886) | (152,767) | (91,423) | (173,074) | 123,555 |
| Funds from operations diluted (b) | 207,535 | 194,309 | 109,947 | 169,585 | 227,153 |
| Property Data | | | | | |
| Number of operating properties (at the end of year)(c) | 196 | 186 | 183 | 181 | 182 |
| Number of operating apartment homes (at end of year) (c) | 66,997 | 63,316 | 63,286 | 62,903 | 63,085 |
| Number of operating apartment homes (weighted average) (c)(d) | 50,905 | 50,794 | 50,608 | 51,277 | 53,132 |
| Weighted average monthly total property revenue per apartment home | \$ 1,098 | \$ 1,030 | \$ 1,045 | \$ 1,067 | \$ 1,032 |
| Properties under development (at end of period) | 10 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 11 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

- (a) *Excludes discontinued operations.*
- (b) *Management considers Funds from Operations (FFO) to be an appropriate measure of the financial performance of an equity REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) currently defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP)), excluding gains (or losses) associated with the sale of previously depreciated operating properties, real estate depreciation and amortization, and adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures. Our calculation of diluted FFO also assumes conversion of all potentially dilutive securities, including certain noncontrolling interests, which are convertible into common shares. We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains or losses on dispositions of operating properties and excluding depreciation, FFO can assist in the comparison of the operating performance of a company s real estate between periods or as compared to different companies.*
- (c) *Includes discontinued operations.*
- (d) *Excludes apartment homes owned in joint ventures.*
- (e) *Includes properties held for sale.*

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and trends which might appear in the consolidated financial statements should not be interpreted as being indicative of future operations.

We consider portions of this report to be forward-looking within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, both as amended, with respect to our expectations for future periods. Forward-looking statements do not discuss historical fact, but instead include statements related to expectations, projections, intentions, or other items relating to the future; forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performances, results, or events. Although we believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance our expectations will be achieved. Any statements contained herein which are not statements of historical fact should be deemed forward-looking statements. Reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements as they are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors beyond our control and could differ materially from our actual results and performance.

Factors that may cause our actual results or performance to differ materially from those contemplated by forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

volatility in capital and credit markets, or other unfavorable changes in economic conditions, could adversely impact us;

short-term leases expose us to the effects of declining market rents;

we face risks associated with land holdings and related activities;

difficulties of selling real estate could limit our flexibility;

we could be negatively impacted by the condition of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac;

compliance or failure to comply with laws, including those requiring access to our properties by disabled persons, could result in substantial cost;

competition could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rental income;

development and construction risks could impact our profitability;

our acquisition strategy may not produce the cash flows expected;

competition could adversely affect our ability to acquire properties;

losses from catastrophes may exceed our insurance coverage;

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

investments through joint ventures involve risks not present in investments in which we are the sole investor;

we face risks associated with investments in and management of discretionary funds;

tax matters, including failure to qualify as a REIT, could have adverse consequences;

we depend on our key personnel;

changes in litigation risks could affect our business;

insufficient cash flows could limit our ability to make required payments for debt obligations or pay distributions to shareholders;

we have significant debt, which could have important adverse consequences;

we may be unable to renew, repay, or refinance our outstanding debt;

variable rate debt is subject to interest rate risk;

we may incur losses on interest rate hedging arrangements;

issuances of additional debt may adversely impact our financial condition;

failure to maintain our current credit ratings could adversely affect our cost of funds, related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets;

share ownership limits and our ability to issue additional equity securities may prevent takeovers beneficial to shareholders;

our share price will fluctuate; and

the form, timing and/or amount of dividend distributions in future periods may vary and be impacted by economic or other considerations.

Table of Contents

These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions as of the date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update or supplement forward-looking statements because of subsequent events.

Executive Summary

We are primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition and construction of multifamily apartment communities. As of December 31, 2011, we owned interests in, operated, or were developing 206 multifamily properties comprising 69,794 apartment homes across the United States as detailed in the following Property Portfolio table. In addition, we own other land parcels we may develop into multifamily apartment communities.

Property Operations

Our results for the year ended December 31, 2011 reflect an increase in rental revenue as compared to 2010, which we believe was primarily due to a gradually improving economy, favorable demographics, a modest supply of new multifamily housing, and a decrease in home ownership rates, which have resulted in increases in realized rental rates and average occupancy levels. Same store revenues increased 5.5% as compared to 2010. We believe economic and employment conditions will improve slightly during 2012 and the supply of new multifamily homes will continue to be modest. However, we believe significant risks to the economy remain prevalent, and while there has been a slight increase in employment levels in the majority of our markets, the unemployment rate remains at higher than historical levels. If economic conditions in the United States were to worsen, our operating results could be adversely affected.

Development Activity

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we began construction on eight development projects including two development projects in our discretionary funds, in which we own a 20% ownership interest (the Funds). These eight projects contain 2,190 units, with initial occupancy expected throughout 2012 and 2013. At December 31, 2011, we had a total of ten development projects under construction containing 2,797 units with initial occupancy expected between 2011 and 2013. Excluding the two Fund development projects containing 520 units, we have remaining anticipated construction expenditures of approximately \$180.0 million on the eight consolidated projects under construction as of December 31, 2011.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

In August 2011, we acquired 30.1 acres of land located in Atlanta, Georgia for approximately \$40.1 million. In December 2011, we acquired 2.2 acres of land in Glendale, California for approximately \$21.4 million. We intend to utilize these land holdings for development of multiple multifamily apartment communities, subject to, among other matters, market conditions.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we sold two properties consisting of 788 units located in Dallas, Texas for approximately \$39.7 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$24.6 million on the sale. During January 2012, we sold one property consisting of 357 units located in Phoenix, Arizona for approximately \$24.5 million.

In April 2011, we sold one of our land parcels to one of the Funds for approximately \$9.4 million and we were reimbursed for previously written-off third-party development costs, resulting in a gain of approximately \$4.7 million. In June 2011, we sold another land parcel to this Fund for approximately \$3.1 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.1 million. Development of 520 units on these two parcels commenced in 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Funds acquired eighteen multifamily properties totaling 6,076 units located in the Houston, Dallas, Austin, San Antonio, Tampa, and Atlanta metropolitan areas. In January 2012, one of the Funds acquired one multifamily property comprised of 350 units located in Raleigh, North Carolina.

In January 2012, we issued approximately 6.6 million common shares in a public equity offering and received approximately \$391.6 million in net proceeds. We utilized these proceeds to fund the acquisition of the 80% interest not owned by us in twelve related joint ventures for approximately \$99.5 million and the repayment of approximately \$272.6 million in mortgage debt associated with these joint ventures. In connection with this acquisition of the joint venture interests, we acquired twelve operating properties consisting of 4,034 units located in Dallas, Houston, Las Vegas, Phoenix and Southern California.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2011, one of our unconsolidated joint ventures sold four operating properties consisting of 1,194 units located in Louisville, Kentucky. Our proportionate share of the gain was approximately \$6.4 million.

In March 2011, we sold our ownership interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures for total proceeds of approximately \$19.3 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$1.1 million. Two of these joint ventures owned multifamily properties in Houston comprised of 459 units, and the remaining joint venture owned 6.1 acres of land in Houston.

Future Outlook

Subject to market conditions, we intend to continue to look for opportunities to expand our development pipeline, acquire existing communities, and complete selective dispositions. We also intend to continue to strengthen our capital and liquidity positions by continuing to focus on our core fundamentals, which are generating positive cash flows from operations, maintaining appropriate debt levels and leverage ratios, and controlling overhead costs. We intend to meet our liquidity requirements through available cash balances, cash flows generated from operations, draws on our unsecured credit facility, proceeds from property dispositions and secured mortgage notes, equity issued from our 2011 at-the-market share offering program, and the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement.

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$55.2 million in cash and cash equivalents and no balances outstanding on our \$500 million unsecured line of credit; we recently extended the maturity date of our unsecured line of credit to September 2015, with options to extend the maturity to September 2016. Additionally, we now have the option to increase this credit facility to \$750 million by either adding additional banks to the credit facility or obtaining the agreement of existing banks to increase their commitments. We believe payments on debt maturing in 2012 are manageable at \$294.2 million, which represents approximately 12% of our total outstanding debt. Included in these maturities are four debt instruments of approximately \$102.1 million which have automatic one year extensions which we may or may not exercise at our election. We also believe we are well-positioned with a strong balance sheet and sufficient liquidity to cover near-term debt maturities and new development funding requirements. We will, however, continue to assess and take further actions where we believe prudent to meet our objectives and capital requirements.

Property Portfolio

Our multifamily property portfolio is summarized as follows:

| | September 30, December 31, 2011 | September 30, December 31, 2011 | September 30, December 31, 2010 | September 30, December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Apartment Homes | Properties | Apartment Homes | Properties |
| Operating Properties | | | | |
| Houston, Texas (1) | 9,354 | 26 | 6,967 | 19 |
| Las Vegas, Nevada | 8,016 | 29 | 8,016 | 29 |
| Dallas, Texas | 5,979 | 15 | 5,517 | 14 |
| Tampa, Florida | 5,953 | 13 | 5,503 | 12 |
| Washington, D.C. Metro | 5,604 | 16 | 5,604 | 16 |
| Charlotte, North Carolina | 3,574 | 15 | 3,574 | 15 |
| Orlando, Florida | 3,564 | 9 | 3,557 | 9 |
| Atlanta, Georgia | 3,546 | 12 | 3,312 | 11 |
| Austin, Texas | 3,222 | 10 | 2,454 | 8 |
| Raleigh, North Carolina | 2,704 | 7 | 2,704 | 7 |
| Southeast Florida | 2,520 | 7 | 2,520 | 7 |
| Los Angeles/Orange County, California | 2,481 | 6 | 2,481 | 6 |
| Phoenix, Arizona (2) | 2,433 | 8 | 2,433 | 8 |
| Denver, Colorado | 2,171 | 7 | 2,171 | 7 |
| San Diego/Inland Empire, California | 1,196 | 4 | 1,196 | 4 |
| Other | 4,680 | 12 | 5,307 | 14 |
| Total Operating Properties | 66,997 | 196 | 63,316 | 186 |

Table of Contents

| | September 30, December 31, 2011 | September 30, December 31, 2011 | September 30, December 31, 2010 | September 30, December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Apartment Homes | Properties | Apartment Homes | Properties |
| Properties Under Development | | | | |
| Orlando, Florida | 858 | 2 | 420 | 1 |
| Washington, D.C. Metro | 783 | 3 | 187 | 1 |
| Tampa, Florida | 540 | 2 | | |
| Houston, Texas | 372 | 2 | | |
| Austin, Texas | 244 | 1 | | |
| Total Properties Under Development | 2,797 | 10 | 607 | 2 |
| Total Properties | 69,794 | 206 | 63,923 | 188 |
| Less: Unconsolidated Joint Venture Properties (3) | | | | |
| Houston, Texas | 4,368 | 13 | 1,981 | 6 |
| Las Vegas, Nevada | 4,047 | 17 | 4,047 | 17 |
| Dallas, Texas | 1,706 | 4 | 456 | 1 |
| Austin, Texas | 1,613 | 5 | 601 | 2 |
| Phoenix, Arizona | 992 | 4 | 992 | 4 |
| Tampa, Florida | 450 | 1 | | |
| Los Angeles/Orange County, California | 421 | 1 | 421 | 1 |
| Denver, Colorado | 320 | 1 | 320 | 1 |
| Atlanta, Georgia | 344 | 2 | 110 | 1 |
| Washington, D. C. Metro | 276 | 1 | | |
| Other | 2,841 | 8 | 3,507 | 10 |
| Total Joint Venture Properties (4) | 17,378 | 57 | 12,435 | 43 |
| Total Properties Fully Consolidated | 52,416 | 149 | 51,488 | 145 |

(1) Includes a fully consolidated joint venture Camden Travis Street, of which we retain a 25% ownership.

(2) Includes one property consisting of 357 apartment homes located in Phoenix, which was included in properties held for sale at December 31, 2011. This property was sold in January 2012.

(3) Refer to Note 8, Investments in Joint Ventures, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our unconsolidated joint venture investments.

(4) In January 2012, we acquired the remaining equity interests of twelve joint venture properties consisting of 4,034 apartments homes located in Dallas, Houston, Las Vegas, Phoenix and Southern California. Refer to Note 8, Investments in Joint Ventures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of this transaction.

Stabilized Communities

We generally consider a property stabilized once it reaches 90% occupancy at the beginning of a period. During the year ended December 31, 2011, stabilization was achieved at one of our joint venture properties as follows:

| Property and Location | September 30, Number of Apartment Homes | September 30, Date of Construction Completion | September 30, Date of Stabilization |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|-----|------|------|
| Camden Ivy Hall | joint venture | 110 | 4Q10 | 3Q11 |
| <i>Atlanta, GA</i> | | | | |
| <i>Acquisitions</i> | | | | |

In August 2011, we acquired 30.1 acres of land located in Atlanta, Georgia for approximately \$40.1 million. In December 2011, we acquired 2.2 acres of land in Glendale, California for approximately \$21.4 million. We intend to utilize these land holdings for development of multiple multifamily apartment communities, subject to, among other matters, market conditions.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Funds acquired eighteen multifamily properties comprised of 2,846 units located in Houston, Texas, 1,250 units located in Dallas, Texas, 768 units located in Austin, Texas, 450 units located in Tampa, Florida, 528 units located in San Antonio, Texas, and 234 units located in Atlanta, Georgia. In January 2012, one of the Funds acquired one multifamily property comprised of 350 units located in Raleigh, North Carolina.

Table of Contents

In January 2012, we purchased the remaining 80% ownership interest in twelve unconsolidated joint ventures for approximately \$99.5 million and repaid approximately \$272.6 million in mortgage debt associated with these joint ventures. In connection with this acquisition of the joint venture interests, we acquired twelve operating properties consisting of 4,034 units located in Dallas, Houston, Las Vegas, Phoenix and Southern California. We funded this acquisition and debt repayment with net proceeds raised through a public equity offering completed in January 2012.

Partial Sales, Dispositions to Joint Ventures and Dispositions by Joint Ventures

In April 2011, we sold one of our land parcels in Washington, D.C. to one of the Funds, in which we have a 20% interest, for approximately \$9.4 million and we were reimbursed for previously written off third-party development costs, resulting in a gain of approximately \$4.7 million. In June 2011, we sold one of our development properties in Austin, Texas, to this Fund for approximately \$3.1 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.1 million.

During March 2011, we sold our ownership interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures for total proceeds of approximately \$19.3 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$1.1 million. Two of these joint ventures own multifamily properties in Houston, Texas with 459 units, and one joint venture owns 6.1 acres of land in Houston, Texas.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, one of our unconsolidated joint ventures sold four operating properties consisting of 1,194 units located in Louisville, Kentucky. Our proportionate share of the gain was approximately \$6.4 million which is included as a component of equity in income (loss) of joint ventures.

There were no partial sales or dispositions to joint ventures for the years ended December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Discontinued Operations

We intend to maintain a long-term strategy of managing our invested capital through the selective sale of properties and to utilize the proceeds to reduce our outstanding debt and leverage ratios and fund investments with higher anticipated growth prospects in our markets. Income from discontinued operations includes the operations of properties sold during the year ended December 31, 2011. The components of earnings classified as discontinued operations include separately identifiable property-specific revenues, expenses, depreciation, and interest expense, if any. Any gain or loss on the disposal of the properties held for sale is also classified as discontinued operations.

A summary of our 2011 dispositions is as follows:

| Property and Location | September 30, Number of Apartment Homes | September 30, Date of Disposition | September 30, Year Placed in Service |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Camden Valley Creek | | | |
| <i>Dallas, TX</i> | 380 | 4Q11 | 1984 |
| Camden Valley Ridge | | | |
| <i>Dallas, TX</i> | 408 | 4Q11 | 1987 |

During the fourth quarter of 2011, we received net proceeds of approximately \$38.2 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$24.6 million from the sale of the two wholly owned operating properties above, containing 788 apartment homes, to unaffiliated third parties. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we received net proceeds of approximately \$101.9 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$9.6 million from the sale of two operating properties containing 1,066 apartment homes to an unaffiliated third party. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we received net proceeds of approximately \$28.0 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$16.9 million from the sale of one operating property, containing 671 apartment homes, to an unaffiliated third party.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a gain of approximately \$0.2 million from the sale of land in Houston, Texas. The gain on this sale was not included in discontinued operations as the operations and cash flows of this asset was not clearly distinguished, operationally or for reporting purposes, from the adjacent assets.

Development and Lease-Up Properties

At December 31, 2011, we had eight consolidated properties in various stages of construction as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | September 30, Number of Apartment Homes | September 30, Estimated Cost | September 30, Cost Incurred | September 30, Included in Properties Under Development | September 30, Estimated Date of Construction Completion | September 30, Estimated Date of Stabilization |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Camden LaVina (1) Orlando, FL | 420 | \$ 60.0 | \$ 54.8 | \$ 6.4 | 2Q12 | 1Q13 |
| Camden Summerfield II (1) Landover, MD | 187 | 30.0 | 24.3 | 10.0 | 1Q12 | 4Q12 |
| Camden Royal Oaks II (2) Houston, TX | 104 | 14.0 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 2Q12 | 3Q13 |
| Camden Montague Tampa, FL | 192 | 23.0 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 3Q12 | 2Q13 |
| Camden Town Square Orlando, FL | 438 | 66.0 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 3Q13 | 4Q14 |
| Camden Westchase Park Tampa, FL | 348 | 52.0 | 29.6 | 29.6 | 1Q13 | 4Q13 |
| Camden City Centre II Houston, TX | 268 | 36.0 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 2Q13 | 3Q14 |
| Camden NOMA Washington, D. C. Metro | 320 | 110.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 2Q14 | 2Q15 |
| Total | 2,277 | \$ 391.0 | \$ 211.0 | \$ 148.3 | | |

(1) Property in lease-up as of December 31, 2011.

(2) Property in lease-up as of January 2012.

Our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2011 included approximately \$299.9 million related to properties under development and land. Of this amount, approximately \$148.3 million related to our projects currently under development. In addition, we had approximately \$151.6 million primarily invested in land held for future development, which included approximately \$84.8 million related to projects we expect to begin constructing during the next two years, and approximately \$66.8 million invested in land tracts for which we may develop in the future.

At December 31, 2011, we had investments in unconsolidated joint ventures which were developing the following multifamily communities:

| (\$ in millions) | September 30, Ownership % | September 30, Number of Apartment Homes | September 30, Total Cost Incurred |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Property and Location | | | |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| Under Construction: | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|----|------|
| Camden South Capitol <i>Washington, DC</i> | 20% | 276 | \$ | 29.8 |
| Camden Amber Oaks II <i>Austin, TX</i> | 20% | 244 | | 8.6 |
| Total Under Construction | | 520 | \$ | 38.4 |

Refer to Note 8, Investments in Joint Ventures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our joint venture investments.

Table of Contents**Geographic Diversification**

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, our investments in various geographic areas, excluding depreciation, investments in joint ventures and properties held for sale, were as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Washington, D.C. Metro | \$ 1,234,401 | 21.2% | \$ 1,214,165 | 21.5% |
| Southeast Florida | 462,384 | 8.0 | 456,127 | 8.1 |
| Houston, Texas | 452,830 | 7.8 | 432,697 | 7.7 |
| Los Angeles/Orange County, California | 452,451 | 7.8 | 426,527 | 7.5 |
| Tampa, Florida | 436,922 | 7.5 | 404,718 | 7.2 |
| Orlando, Florida | 422,811 | 7.3 | 381,642 | 6.8 |
| Atlanta, Georgia | 369,107 | 6.3 | 322,741 | 5.7 |
| Dallas, Texas | 302,299 | 5.2 | 329,222 | 5.8 |
| Charlotte, North Carolina | 331,518 | 5.7 | 321,838 | 5.7 |
| Las Vegas, Nevada | 315,330 | 5.4 | 311,186 | 5.5 |
| Raleigh, North Carolina | 243,114 | 4.2 | 239,840 | 4.2 |
| San Diego/Inland Empire, California | 228,582 | 3.9 | 227,784 | 4.0 |
| Denver, Colorado | 193,285 | 3.3 | 189,644 | 3.4 |
| Austin, Texas | 156,833 | 2.7 | 155,714 | 2.8 |
| Phoenix, Arizona | 98,698 | 1.7 | 119,826 | 2.1 |
| Other | 118,975 | 2.0 | 114,006 | 2.0 |
| Total | \$ 5,819,540 | 100.0% | \$ 5,647,677 | 100.0% |

Results of Operations

Changes in revenues and expenses related to our operating properties from period to period are due primarily to the performance of stabilized properties in the portfolio, the lease-up of newly constructed properties, acquisitions, and dispositions. Where appropriate, comparisons of income and expense on communities included in continuing operations are made on a dollars-per-weighted average apartment home basis in order to adjust for such changes in the number of apartment homes owned during each period. Selected weighted averages for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Average monthly property revenue per apartment home | \$ 1,098 | \$ 1,030 | \$ 1,045 |
| Annualized total property expenses per apartment home | \$ 5,155 | \$ 4,992 | \$ 4,944 |
| Weighted average number of consolidated operating apartment homes | 49,793 | 48,656 | 48,061 |
| Weighted average occupancy of consolidated operating apartment homes* | 94.6% | 93.7% | 94.8% |

* The student housing community is excluded from this calculation.

Table of ContentsProperty-level operating results

The following tables present the property-level revenues and property-level expenses, excluding discontinued operations, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009:

| (\$ in thousands) | September 30, Apartment Homes at 12/31/11 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, Change \$ | September 30, Change % |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Property revenues: | | | | | |
| Same store communities | 46,164 | \$ 595,217 | \$ 564,218 | \$ 30,999 | 5.5% |
| Non-same store communities | 3,618 | 54,887 | 32,967 | 21,920 | 66.5 |
| Development and lease-up communities | 2,277 | 715 | | 715 | |
| Other | | 5,049 | 4,265 | 784 | 18.4 |
| Total property revenues | 52,059 | \$ 655,868 | \$ 601,450 | \$ 54,418 | 9.0% |
| Property expenses: | | | | | |
| Same store communities | 46,164 | \$ 231,925 | \$ 225,072 | \$ 6,853 | 3.0% |
| Non-same store communities | 3,618 | 20,571 | 12,922 | 7,649 | 59.2 |
| Development and lease-up communities | 2,277 | 222 | | 222 | |
| Other | | 3,961 | 4,918 | (957) | (19.5) |
| Total property expenses | 52,059 | \$ 256,679 | \$ 242,912 | \$ 13,767 | 5.7% |

Same store communities are communities we owned and which were stabilized as of January 1, 2010. Non-same store communities are stabilized communities we have acquired, developed, or re-developed after January 1, 2010. Development and lease-up communities are non-stabilized communities we have acquired or developed after January 1, 2010. Other includes results from non-multifamily rental properties and expenses primarily relating to land holdings not under active development.

| (\$ in thousands) | September 30, Apartment Homes at 12/31/10 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2009 | September 30, Change \$ | September 30, Change % |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Property revenues: | | | | | |
| Same store communities | 45,148 | \$ 548,588 | \$ 559,564 | \$ (10,976) | (2.0)% |
| Non-same store communities | 4,588 | 48,596 | 38,265 | 10,331 | 27.0 |
| Development and lease-up communities | 607 | | | | |
| Other | | 4,266 | 4,819 | (553) | (11.5) |
| Total property revenues | 50,343 | \$ 601,450 | \$ 602,648 | \$ (1,198) | (0.2)% |
| Property expenses: | | | | | |
| Same store communities | 45,148 | \$ 218,940 | \$ 218,217 | \$ 723 | 0.3% |
| Non-same store communities | 4,588 | 18,987 | 15,954 | 3,033 | 19.0 |
| Development and lease-up communities | 607 | | | | |
| Other | | 4,985 | 3,428 | 1,557 | 45.4 |
| Total property expenses | 50,343 | \$ 242,912 | \$ 237,599 | \$ 5,313 | 2.2% |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Same store communities are communities we owned and which were stabilized as of January 1, 2009. Non-same store communities are stabilized communities we have acquired, developed, or re-developed after January 1, 2009. Development and lease-up communities are non-stabilized communities we have developed or acquired after January 1, 2009. Other includes results from non-multifamily rental properties and expenses primarily relating to land holdings not under active development.

Same store analysis:

Same store property revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased approximately \$31.0 million, or 5.5%, from 2010. Same store rental revenues increased approximately \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010, primarily due to a 4.6% increase in average rental rates and a 0.7% increase in average occupancy for our same store portfolio. During the year ended December 31, 2011, average rental rates on new leases were 3.6% higher than expiring lease rates and average renewal rates were 7.9% higher than expiring lease rates. We believe the increases to rental revenue were due in part to the continued decline in home ownership rates and the limited supply of new rental housing. Additionally, there was a \$5.7 million increase in other property revenue during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily due to increases in revenues from our utility rebilling programs and miscellaneous fees and charges.

Table of Contents

Same store property revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010 decreased approximately \$11.0 million, or 2.0%, from 2009. Same store rental revenues decreased approximately \$11.4 million, or 2.4%, from 2009 primarily due to a 2.3% decline in average rental rates partially offset by a slight increase in average occupancy. The decline in average rental rates was due to the continuation of the recession through the first quarter of 2010, offset by improving rental rates and slight improvements in average occupancy levels for the last three quarters of 2010 which we believe is due in part to the continued decline in home ownership rates and the limited supply of new rental housing. The decrease was also partially offset by a \$0.4 million increase in other property revenue primarily due to increases in revenue from our utility rebilling programs.

Property expenses from our same store communities increased approximately \$6.9 million, or 3.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase was primarily due to increases in utility expenses relating to costs associated with our utility rebilling programs mentioned above, and higher water costs, increased salaries and benefits due to increases in annual compensation and higher medical benefit costs, and higher repairs and maintenance expenses. The increase was also due to slightly higher real estate taxes as a result of increasing property valuations and property tax rates at a number of our communities. Excluding the expenses associated with our rebilling programs, same store property expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased approximately \$5.6 million, or 2.7%, as compared to 2010.

Property expenses from our same store communities increased approximately \$0.7 million, or 0.3%, for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to 2009. The increase was primarily due to expenses related to our utility rebilling programs discussed above, higher salaries, and increases in property insurance and repair and maintenance costs. These increases were partially offset by lower real estate taxes as a result of declining rates and valuations at a number of our communities. Excluding the expenses associated with our utility rebilling programs, same store property expenses for 2010 decreased approximately \$1.0 million, or 0.5%, from 2009.

Non-same store and development and lease-up analysis:

Property revenues from non-same store and development and lease-up communities increased approximately \$22.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase in 2011 was primarily due to \$18.0 million of revenues during 2011 relating to three joint venture communities we consolidated during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. The increase in revenues was also related to two properties in our development and re-development pipelines reaching stabilization during the second and third quarters of 2010. One of these properties is owned by a fully consolidated joint venture, of which we hold a 25% ownership interest. The increase in 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily due to seven consolidated properties in our development and re-development pipelines reaching stabilization during 2009 and 2010, in addition to approximately \$2.6 million of revenues recognized in the second half of 2010 related to the three joint venture communities we consolidated as discussed above.

Property expenses from non-same store and development and lease-up communities increased approximately \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$3.0 million for 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase in 2011 was primarily due to \$7.1 million of expenses during 2011 relating to three joint venture communities we consolidated during the second half of 2010. The increase in 2010 was due to a number of consolidated properties in our development and re-development pipelines reaching stabilization during 2009 and 2010. The increase in 2010 was also due to approximately \$1.1 million of expenses recognized in the second half of 2010 related to the three joint venture communities we consolidated as discussed above.

Other property analysis:

Other property revenues increased approximately \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and decreased \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase in 2011 was primarily related to increases in rental income from our non-multifamily rental properties as compared to 2010. The decrease in 2010 as compared to 2009 was due to lower rental income from our non-multifamily rental properties.

Other property expenses decreased approximately \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The decrease in 2011 was primarily related to decreases in property taxes expensed on land holdings for projects which were approved during 2011 and the second half of 2010 for development activities. As a result, we started

Table of Contents

capitalizing expenses, including property taxes, on these development projects. The increase in 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily related to increases in property taxes expensed on land holdings for eight projects for which we decided in 2009 to postpone development. As a result, we ceased capitalization of expenses, including property taxes.

Non-property income

| (\$ in thousands) | September 30, Year Ended December 31, | | September 30, Change | | September 30, Year Ended December 31, | | September 30, Change | |
|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---|------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | 2010 | 2009 | \$ | % |
| Fee and asset management | \$ 9,973 | \$ 8,172 | \$ 1,801 | 22.0% | \$ 8,172 | \$ 8,008 | \$ 164 | 2.0% |
| Interest and other income | 4,649 | 8,584 | (3,935) | (45.8) | 8,584 | 2,826 | 5,758 | 203.8 |
| Income on deferred compensation plans | 6,773 | 11,581 | (4,808) | (41.5) | 11,581 | 14,609 | (3,028) | (20.7) |
| Total non-property income | \$ 21,395 | \$ 28,337 | \$ (6,942) | (24.5)% | \$ 28,337 | \$ 25,443 | \$ 2,894 | 11.4% |

Fee and asset management income, increased approximately \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase for 2011 was primarily due to an increase in property management, development and construction fees due to acquisitions by our Funds during 2011 and the fourth quarter of 2010. The increase was partially offset by a decrease due to our consolidation of three joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. The increase was further offset by a decrease in construction fees due to a reduction in third-party construction activities during 2011 as compared to 2010.

The increase in fee and asset management income for 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily related to an increase in third-party construction activities, offset by decreases in development and construction fees earned on our development joint ventures as compared to 2009 due to the completion of construction activities during 2009 and 2010. The increase was further offset by decreases in fees earned on our stabilized joint ventures due to declines in property revenues.

Interest and other income decreased approximately \$3.9 million for 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$5.8 million for 2010 as compared to 2009. Interest income decreased approximately \$1.2 million in 2011 as compared to 2010 and decreased approximately \$0.9 million in 2010 as compared to 2009. The decreases were primarily due to a decline in interest income on our mezzanine loan portfolio due to lower balances of outstanding mezzanine loans due in part to the conversion of mezzanine loans into additional equity interests in certain of our joint ventures in 2010 and 2009.

Other income decreased approximately \$2.8 million in 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$6.7 million for 2010 as compared to 2009. The changes between periods were due to approximately \$2.7 million recognized in 2010 relating to the expiration of an indemnification provision in an operating joint venture agreement which expired in January 2010, and approximately \$4.2 million recognized in 2010 as a result of the dissolution of a joint venture and purchase by our joint venture partner of the third-party debt made by this joint venture from the note holder, which relieved us from our guarantee of our proportionate interest of this debt; we had previously recorded a charge for this indemnification. During the first quarter of 2011, we recognized approximately \$4.3 million in other income from the sale of an available-for-sale investment.

Our deferred compensation plans earned income of approximately \$6.8 million, \$11.6 million and \$14.6 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The changes were related to the performance of the investments held in the deferred compensation plans for plan participants and were directly offset by the expense related to these plans, as set forth in the table below.

Table of ContentsOther expenses

| (\$ in thousands) | September 30, Year Ended December 31, | | September 30, Change | | September 30, Year Ended December 31, | | September 30, Change | | September 30, | |
|--|---|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | \$ | % | 2010 | 2009 | \$ | % | | |
| Property management | \$ 20,686 | \$ 19,982 | \$ 704 | 3.5% | \$ 19,982 | \$ 18,864 | \$ 1,118 | | 5.9% | |
| Fee and asset management | 5,935 | 4,841 | 1,094 | 22.6 | 4,841 | 4,878 | (37) | | (0.8) | |
| General and administrative | 35,456 | 30,762 | 4,694 | 15.3 | 30,762 | 31,243 | (481) | | (1.5) | |
| Interest | 112,414 | 125,893 | (13,479) | (10.7) | 125,893 | 128,296 | (2,403) | | (1.9) | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 179,867 | 170,362 | 9,505 | 5.6 | 170,362 | 168,845 | 1,517 | | 0.9 | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 5,877 | 4,102 | 1,775 | 43.3 | 4,102 | 3,925 | 177 | | 4.5 | |
| Expense on deferred compensation plans | 6,773 | 11,581 | (4,808) | (41.5) | 11,581 | 14,609 | (3,028) | | (20.7) | |
| Total other expenses | \$ 367,008 | \$ 367,523 | \$ (515) | (0.1%) | \$ 367,523 | \$ 370,660 | \$ (3,137) | | (0.8%) | |

Property management expense, which represents regional supervision and accounting costs related to property operations, increased approximately \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$1.1 million for 2010 as compared to 2009. The increases as compared to the prior year periods were primarily due to higher salaries, benefits and incentive compensation for our property management personnel. Property management expenses were 3.2%, 3.3%, and 3.1% of total property revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Fee and asset management expense, which represents expenses related to third-party construction projects and development projects and property management of our joint venture communities, increased approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010. This increase was primarily due to an increase in expenses resulting from the acquisitions completed by our Funds during 2011 and the fourth quarter of 2010. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in expenses resulting from our consolidation of three joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. Fee and asset management expense was relatively flat in 2010 as compared to 2009 due in part to an increase in fees earned on third-party construction activities, offset by decreases in development and construction fees related to our development joint ventures as compared to 2009 due to the completion of construction activities during 2009 and 2010.

General and administrative expenses increased approximately \$4.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and decreased approximately \$0.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. General and administrative expenses were 5.3%, 5.0% and 5.1% of total revenues, excluding income on deferred compensation plans, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in 2011 was primarily due to awards of \$2.1 million in one-time bonuses to all non-executive employees in the first quarter of 2011 and increases in salaries, benefits and incentive compensation of approximately \$3.2 million, offset partially by approximately \$0.5 million decrease in other discretionary expenses. The decrease in 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily due to a decrease in legal costs and other discretionary expenses, \$1.6 million in severance payments made in connection with a reduction in force of certain construction and development staff in January 2009, and separation costs relating to the retirement of one executive officer during the fourth quarter of 2009. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in long-term incentive compensation of approximately \$1.6 million as compared to 2009.

The decrease in interest expense in 2011 as compared to 2010 was due to the retirement of unsecured notes payable during 2010 and 2011 and the repayment of our \$500 million term loan in June 2011. Additionally, the decrease was due to higher capitalized interest of approximately

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

\$3.1 million as compared to 2010 primarily due to higher average balances in our development pipeline. These decreases were partially offset by additional interest expense related to the issuance of \$500 million in senior unsecured notes in June 2011. These decreases were also partially offset by an increase in secured notes payable relating to debt assumed in connection with the consolidation of two joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The decrease in interest expense in 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily due to using the net proceeds of \$272.1 million from the equity offering completed during the second quarter of 2009 and approximately \$231.7 million in net proceeds from our ATM program during 2010 to retire outstanding debt, prior to its maturity, of approximately \$325.0 million during the first six months of 2009 and repay maturing secured and unsecured notes

Table of Contents

during 2009 and 2010, as well as reduce the balances outstanding on our unsecured line of credit. The decrease was partially offset by additional interest expense incurred on our \$420 million credit facility entered into during the second quarter of 2009 and additional interest expense relating to secured debt assumed in connection with the consolidation of two joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The decrease was also partially offset by lower capitalized interest of approximately \$4.6 million in 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily due to the completion of communities in our development pipeline and our decision in fiscal year 2009 to postpone the development of land holdings for eight future projects.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased approximately \$9.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$1.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The increases were primarily due to depreciation on capital improvements placed in service throughout 2011, 2010 and 2009. The increases were also due to the consolidation of three joint venture communities during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased approximately \$1.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010 and increased approximately \$0.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase for 2011 was due to the amortization of additional financing costs incurred on our \$500 million unsecured credit facility we entered into in August 2010 and on our offering of \$500 million senior unsecured notes completed in June 2011. The increase was also due to the write-off of approximately \$0.5 million of unamortized loan costs associated with the \$500 million term loan we repaid in June 2011. The increase for 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily due to additional financing costs incurred on our \$500 million unsecured credit facility entered into in August 2010, and on our \$420 million credit facility entered into the second quarter of 2009. These increases were partially offset by lower amortization of deferred financing costs related to the repurchase and retirement of certain series of notes during 2010 and 2009.

Our deferred compensation plans incurred expenses of approximately \$6.8 million, \$11.6 million and \$14.6 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The changes were related to the performance of the investments held in the deferred compensation plans for plan participants and were directly offset by the income related to these plans, as discussed above.

Other

| (\$ in thousands) | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Change \$ | Change % | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | Change \$ | Change % |
| Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship | \$ (29,791) | \$ | \$ (29,791) | *** | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| Gain on sale of properties, including land | 4,748 | 236 | 4,512 | * | 236 | | 236 | * |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests | 1,136 | | 1,136 | * | | | | |
| Loss on early retirement of debt | | | | | | (2,550) | 2,550 | 100.0 |
| Impairment associated with land development activities | | | | | | (85,614) | 85,614 | 100.0 |
| Impairment provision on technology investment | | (1,000) | 1,000 | 100.0 | (1,000) | | (1,000) | * |
| Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures | 5,679 | (839) | 6,518 | * | (839) | 695 | (1,534) | (220.7) |
| Income tax expense current | (2,220) | (1,581) | (639) | (40.4) | (1,581) | (967) | (614) | (63.5) |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

* *Not a meaningful percentage.*

The loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship was due to the discontinuation of a cash flow hedge associated with the repayment of our \$500 million term loan in June 2011. Refer to Note 10, *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* in the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

The \$4.7 million gain on sale of properties, including land, in 2011 was due to a sale of one of our land development properties located in Washington, DC in April 2011 to one of the Funds and the sale of one of our development properties located in Austin, Texas to this Fund in June 2011. The \$0.2 million gain in 2010 was due to a gain on the sale of a land parcel in Houston, Texas to an unaffiliated third-party.

Table of Contents

Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests totaled approximately \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 due to the sale of our ownership interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures in March 2011.

Loss on early retirement of debt was approximately \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 due to the repurchase and retirement of approximately \$325.0 million of various unsecured and secured notes from unrelated third parties for approximately \$327.5 million during the first two quarters of 2009. The loss on early retirement of debt for these transactions also includes reductions for the write-off of applicable loan costs.

The impairment associated with land development activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 of approximately \$85.6 million includes approximately \$72.2 million related to land holdings for eight projects, and approximately \$13.4 million related to a land development joint venture we put on hold. These impairment charges for land are the difference between each parcel's estimated fair value and the carrying value. There were no impairments associated with land development activities for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we wrote-off a \$1.0 million investment associated with a technology investment which we determined was no longer recoverable.

Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures increased approximately \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 2010, and decreased approximately \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase in 2011 was primarily due to a \$6.4 million gain recognized in equity in income (loss) of joint ventures relating to the sale of four operating properties by one of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the fourth quarter of 2011. The increase was also due to two development properties held by our joint ventures which were sold in March 2011. These two development properties reached stabilization in late 2010 and early 2011 and we recognized our proportionate interest in losses in 2010 during the lease-up phase of operations. These increases were partially offset by our proportionate interest in overall losses recognized by the Funds relating to acquisitions of operating properties during 2010 and 2011, which resulted in additional amortization expense for in-place leases over the underlying lease term. The decrease for 2010 as compared to 2009 was primarily the result of decreases in earnings by our stabilized operating joint ventures due to declines in rental income, and the recognition of net operating losses by certain development joint ventures during the lease-up phase of operations. The decreases were further impacted by the consolidation of three operating joint ventures during the second half of 2010, which were previously accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting. These decreases were partially offset by increases in earnings in development joint ventures reaching or nearing stabilization during 2009 and 2010.

We had current income tax expense of approximately \$2.2 million, \$1.6 million, and \$1.0 million for the tax years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The increase in income tax during 2011 was due to approximately \$1.0 million associated with income taxes from the gain recognized on the sale of our available-for-sale investment during the first quarter of 2011 by a taxable REIT subsidiary. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in taxable income related to our third-party construction activities conducted in a taxable REIT subsidiary. The increase in taxes in 2010 as compared to 2009 primarily related to an increase in federal income taxes resulting from increased profitability in our third-party construction activities conducted in a taxable REIT subsidiary.

Noncontrolling interests

| (\$ in thousands) | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, | | September 30, | September 30, |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Change \$ | Change % | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | Change \$ | Change % | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2009 |
| (Income) loss allocated to noncontrolling interests from continuing operations | \$ (3,582) | \$ (926) | \$ 2,656 | 286.8% | \$ (926) | \$ 403 | \$ 1,329 | 329.8% | | |
| (Income) allocated to perpetual preferred units | (7,000) | (7,000) | | | (7,000) | (7,000) | | | | |

Income allocated to noncontrolling interests from continuing operations increased approximately \$2.7 million in 2011 as compared to 2010, and increased approximately \$1.3 million in 2010 as compared to 2009. The increase for 2011 was primarily due to an increase in earnings from a fully-consolidated joint venture which reached stabilization during the third quarter of 2010, of which we hold a 25% ownership. The increase

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

was also due to increased earnings associated with properties held by our operating partnerships during 2011 as compared to 2010. During 2009, we recognized an approximately \$72.2 million impairment associated with land holdings for eight projects we had put on hold, of which \$3.6 million represented certain operating partnerships' interests in the impairment. Excluding this impairment charge, income allocated to noncontrolling interests from continuing operations decreased approximately \$2.3 million in 2010 as compared to 2009. The \$2.3 million decrease in 2010

Table of Contents

as compared to 2009 was primarily due to the completion during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and subsequent lease-up of a property by a fully consolidated joint venture of which we retain a 25% ownership, which resulted in our recording depreciation and interest expense on the property, upon completion of construction, in excess of income recognized during the lease-up period. The decrease was also due to lower earnings associated with properties held by operating partnerships during 2010 as compared to 2009.

Funds from Operations (FFO)

Management considers FFO to be an appropriate measure of the financial performance of an equity REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) currently defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP)), excluding gains (or losses) associated with the sale of previously depreciated operating properties, real estate depreciation and amortization, impairments of depreciable assets, and adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures. Our calculation of diluted FFO also assumes conversion of all potentially dilutive securities, including certain noncontrolling interests, which are convertible into common shares. We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains or losses on dispositions of operating properties, depreciation, and impairments of depreciable assets, FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a company's real estate investments between periods or as compared to different companies.

To facilitate a clear understanding of our consolidated historical operating results, we believe FFO should be examined in conjunction with net income attributable to common shareholders as presented in the consolidated statements of income (loss) and comprehensive income and data included elsewhere in this report. FFO is not defined by GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net income attributable to common shareholders as an indication of our operating performance. Additionally, FFO as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to our calculation.

Reconciliations of net income attributable to common shareholders to diluted FFO for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Funds from operations | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders (1) | \$ 49,379 | \$ 23,216 | \$ (50,800) |
| Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations | 177,187 | 170,660 | 170,480 |
| Adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures | 10,534 | 8,943 | 7,800 |
| Gain on sale of properties and discontinued operations, net of tax | (24,621) | (9,614) | (16,887) |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture properties (2) | (6,394) | | |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests | (1,136) | | |
| Income (loss) allocated to noncontrolling interests | 2,586 | 1,104 | (646) |
| Funds from operations diluted | \$ 207,535 | \$ 194,309 | \$ 109,947 |
| Weighted average shares basic | 72,756 | 68,608 | 62,359 |
| Incremental shares issuable from assumed conversion of: | | | |
| Common share options and share awards granted | 706 | 348 | 55 |
| Common units | 2,466 | 2,596 | 2,852 |
| Weighted average shares diluted | 75,928 | 71,552 | 65,266 |

(1) Includes a \$29.8 million charge related to a loss on discontinuation of a hedging relationship for the year ended December 31, 2011 and an \$85.6 million impairment associated with land development activities for the year ended December 31, 2009.

(2) Represents our proportionate share of the gain on sale relating to one of our unconsolidated joint venture's sale of four operating properties during the fourth quarter 2011.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Financial Condition and Sources of Liquidity

We intend to maintain a strong balance sheet and preserve our financial flexibility, which we believe should enhance our ability to identify and capitalize on investment opportunities as they become available. We intend to maintain what management believes is a conservative capital structure by:

extending and sequencing the maturity dates of our debt where practicable;

managing interest rate exposure using what management believes to be prudent levels of fixed and floating rate debt;

maintaining what management believes to be conservative coverage ratios; and

using what management believes to be a prudent combination of debt and equity.

Our interest expense coverage ratio, net of capitalized interest, was approximately 3.2 times for the year ended December 31, 2011 and approximately 2.6 times for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. This ratio is a method for calculating the amount of operating cash flows available to cover interest expense and is calculated by dividing interest expense for the period into the sum of property revenues and expenses, non-property income, other expenses, income from discontinued operations after adding back depreciation, amortization, and interest expense from both continuing and discontinued operations. At December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, approximately 71.7%, 71.1%, and 72.8%, respectively, of our properties (based on invested capital) were unencumbered. Our weighted average maturity of debt, including our line of credit, was 6.8 years at December 31, 2011.

For the longer term, we intend to continue to focus on strengthening our capital and liquidity position by generating positive cash flows from operations, maintaining appropriate debt levels and leverage ratios, and controlling overhead costs.

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow generated from operations. Other sources include available cash balances, the availability under our unsecured credit facility and other short-term borrowings, proceeds from dispositions of properties and other investments, and the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement. We believe our liquidity and financial condition are sufficient to meet all of our reasonably anticipated cash needs during 2012 including:

normal recurring operating expenses;

current debt service requirements;

recurring capital expenditures;

initial funding of property developments, acquisitions, joint venture investments; and

the minimum dividend payments required to maintain our REIT qualification under the Code.

Factors which could increase or decrease our future liquidity include but are not limited to volatility in capital and credit markets, sources of financing, our ability to complete asset sales, the effect our debt level and decreases in credit ratings could have on our costs of funds and our

ability to access capital markets.

Cash Flows

Certain sources and uses of cash, such as the level of discretionary capital expenditures, and repurchases of debt and common shares, are within our control and are adjusted as necessary based upon, among other factors, market conditions. The following is a discussion of our cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$244.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to approximately \$224.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to growth in property revenues directly attributable to increased rental and occupancy rates from our stabilized communities and the growth in non-stabilized communities as we consolidated three joint ventures during the second half of 2010. This increase in revenues was partially offset by the increase in property expenses from our stabilized and non-stabilized communities which include the property expenses of these three

Table of Contents

joint ventures. See further discussions of our 2011 operations as compared to 2010 in our Results of Operations. The increase was further offset by a decrease in net cash from operating activities due to the timing of payments in operating accounts, primarily relating to the timing of payments relating to third-party construction activities and the timing of interest payments. These decreases from operating activities were partially offset by the timing of accounts receivable receipts relating to third-party construction activities.

Net cash used by investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011 totaled approximately \$187.4 million as compared to net cash provided by investing activities of approximately \$35.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. Cash outflows for property development, acquisition, and capital improvements were approximately \$227.8 million during 2011 as compared to approximately \$63.7 million during 2010 due primarily to an increase in construction and development activity in 2011 as compared to 2010 and the acquisitions of 30.1 acres of land for approximately \$40.1 million in August 2011 and 2.2 acres of land for approximately \$21.4 million in December 2011. Additionally, cash outflows for investments in joint ventures were approximately \$46.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily relating to eighteen acquisitions completed by our Funds, in which we own a 20% interest, compared to approximately \$6.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. These outflows were partially offset by proceeds of approximately \$19.3 million from the sale of our interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures in March 2011. These outflows were further offset by proceeds received from the sale of our available-for-sale investment of \$4.5 million during February 2011, payments received on notes receivable from affiliates of approximately \$3.3 million and approximately \$6.0 million in distributions of investments from our joint ventures which included cash distributions of approximately \$2.0 million received from an unconsolidated joint venture's sale of operating properties in the fourth quarter of 2011. Cash inflows from sales of properties including land and discontinued operations were approximately \$57.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to approximately \$102.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. During 2011, we received proceeds of approximately \$19.1 million from the sale of two land development properties to one of our joint ventures, and approximately \$38.2 million from the sale of two operating properties to unaffiliated third parties. During 2010, we received proceeds of approximately \$102.8 million from the sale of two operating properties and one land holding to unaffiliated third parties.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled approximately \$172.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$152.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. During 2011, we used approximately \$627.6 million to repay our outstanding \$500 million term loan in June 2011 and approximately \$127.6 million to retire maturing secured and unsecured notes. Also during 2011, approximately \$152.2 million was used for distributions paid to common shareholders, perpetual preferred unit holders, and noncontrolling interest holders. During this same period, net proceeds of approximately \$495.7 million was provided from the issuance of two series of unsecured notes completed in June 2011, and net proceeds of approximately \$106.6 million from the issuance of 1.7 million common shares under our at-the-market (ATM) share offering programs which offset these cash outflows. These cash outflows were further offset by proceeds from common share options exercised during the period of approximately \$11.4 million.

Financial Flexibility

In September 2011, we amended our \$500 million unsecured credit facility to extend the maturity date from August 2012 to September 2015 with an option to extend to September 2016. Additionally, we now have the option to increase this credit facility to \$750 million by either adding additional banks to the credit facility or obtaining the agreement of existing banks to increase their commitments. The interest rate is based upon LIBOR plus a margin which is subject to change as our credit ratings change. Advances under the line of credit may be priced at the scheduled rates, or we may enter into bid rate loans with participating banks at rates below the scheduled rates. These bid rate loans have terms of 180 days or less and may not exceed the lesser of \$250 million or the remaining amount available under the line of credit. The line of credit is subject to customary financial covenants and limitations, with which we are in compliance.

Our line of credit provides us with the ability to issue up to \$100 million in letters of credit. While our issuance of letters of credit does not increase our borrowings outstanding under our line of credit, it does reduce the amount available. At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$10.4 million, leaving approximately \$489.6 million available under our unsecured line of credit.

We currently have an automatic shelf registration statement on file with the SEC which allows us to offer, from time to time, an unlimited amount of common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, or warrants. Our declaration of trust provides we may issue up to 110.0 million shares of beneficial interest, consisting of 100.0 million common shares and 10.0 million preferred shares. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately 72.0

Table of Contents

million common shares outstanding, net of treasury shares and shares held in our deferred compensation arrangements, and no preferred shares outstanding. In January 2012, we issued approximately 6.6 million common shares in a public equity offering and received approximately \$391.6 million in net proceeds.

In March 2010, we announced the creation of an ATM share offering program through which we could, but had no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$250 million (2010 ATM program), in amounts and at times as we determined, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. The 2010 ATM program was terminated and no further common shares are available for sale under the 2010 ATM program.

In May 2011, we created a second ATM share offering program through which we can sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300 million (2011 ATM program) from time to time into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. We may, but have no obligation to, sell common shares through the 2011 ATM share offering program in amounts and at times as we determine. Actual sales from time to time may depend on a variety of factors, including, among others, market conditions, the trading price of our common shares, and determinations of the appropriate sources of funding for us. As of the day of this filing, we had common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$202.4 million remaining available for sale under the 2011 ATM program.

We believe our ability to access capital markets is enhanced by our senior unsecured debt ratings by Moody's and Standard and Poor's, which are currently Baa1 and BBB, respectively, with stable outlooks, as well as by our ability to borrow on a secured basis from various institutions including banks, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or life insurance companies. However, we may not be able to maintain our current credit ratings and may not be able to borrow on a secured or unsecured basis in the future.

Future Cash Requirements and Contractual Obligations

One of our principal long-term liquidity requirements includes the repayment of maturing debt, including any future borrowings under our unsecured line of credit. During 2012, approximately \$294.2 million of unsecured debt, including scheduled principal amortizations of approximately \$3.5 million, are scheduled to mature. Included in these maturities are four debt instruments of approximately \$102.1 million which have automatic one year extensions which we may or may not exercise at our election. See Note 9, Notes Payable, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of scheduled maturities. Additionally, we intend to incur approximately \$180.0 million of additional capital expenditures on our current development projects. We intend to meet our near-term liquidity requirements through available cash balances, cash flows generated from operations, draws on our unsecured credit facility, proceeds from property dispositions and secured mortgage notes, equity issued from our 2011 ATM program, and the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement.

In order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute annual dividends to our shareholders equal to a minimum of 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gains. In December 2011, we announced our Board of Trust Managers had declared a dividend distribution of \$0.49 per share to our common shareholders of record as of December 19, 2011. The dividend was subsequently paid on January 17, 2012. We paid equivalent amounts per unit to holders of common operating partnership units. When aggregated with previous 2011 dividends, this distribution to common shareholders and holders of common operating partnership units equates to an annual dividend rate of \$1.96 per share or unit for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes our known contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2011:

| (in millions) | September 30, Total | September 30, 2012 | September 30, 2013 | September 30, 2014 | September 30, 2015 | September 30, 2016 | September 30, Thereafter |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Debt maturities (1) | \$ 2,432.1 | \$ 294.2 | \$ 228.0 | \$ 11.0 | \$ 252.4 | \$ 2.6 | \$ 1,643.9 |
| Interest payments (2) | 725.2 | 115.6 | 101.5 | 90.4 | 83.2 | 75.6 | 258.9 |
| Non-cancelable lease payments | 9.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Postretirement benefit obligations | 3.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.7 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|------|----|---------|
| \$ | 3,170.3 | \$ | 412.4 | \$ | 332.1 | \$ | 103.8 | \$ | 337.2 | \$ | 78.6 | \$ | 1,906.2 |
|----|---------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|----|------|----|---------|

(1) *Includes scheduled principal amortizations and does not include all available extension options.*

Table of Contents

(2) *Includes contractual interest payments for our senior unsecured notes, medium-term notes, and secured notes. The interest payments on certain secured notes with floating interest rates were calculated based on the interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2011 or the most recent practicable date.*

In January 2012, we issued approximately 6.6 million common shares in a public equity offering and received approximately \$391.6 million in net proceeds. We utilized these proceeds to fund the acquisition of the 80% interest not owned by us in twelve related joint ventures for approximately \$99.5 million and the repayment of approximately \$272.6 million in mortgage debt associated with these joint ventures.

In February 2012, we redeemed the 4.0 million outstanding 7.0% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units at their redemption price of \$25.00 per unit, or an aggregate of \$100.0 million, plus accrued and unpaid distributions. In connection with this redemption, we expensed the unamortized issuance costs relating to these Series B units, which will result in a charge to earnings of approximately \$2.1 million in the first quarter of 2012.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The joint ventures in which we have an interest have been funded in part with secured, third-party debt. As of December 31, 2011, we have no outstanding guarantees related to loans of our unconsolidated joint ventures.

Inflation

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term generally ranging from six to fifteen months. In an inflationary environment, we may realize increased rents at the commencement of new leases or upon the renewal of existing leases. We believe the short-term nature of our leases generally minimizes our risk from the adverse effects of inflation.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date, and the amounts of revenues and expenses recognized during the reporting period. These estimates are based on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The following is a discussion of our critical accounting estimates. For a discussion of all of our significant accounting policies, see Note 2 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Use of Estimates. In the application of GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, results of operations during the reporting periods, and related disclosures. Our more significant estimates include estimates supporting our impairment analysis related to the carrying values of our real estate assets, and estimates related to the valuation of our investments in joint ventures. These estimates are based on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Future events rarely develop exactly as forecasted, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment.

Principles of Consolidation. We may enter into various joint venture agreements with unrelated third parties to hold or develop real estate assets. We must determine for each of these joint ventures whether to consolidate the entity or account for our investment under the equity or cost basis of accounting. Investments acquired or created are continuously evaluated based on the accounting guidance relating to variable interest entities (VIEs), which requires the consolidation of VIEs in which we are considered to be the primary beneficiary. If the investment is determined not to be a VIE, then the investment is evaluated for consolidation (primarily using a voting interest model) under the remaining consolidation guidance relating to real estate entities. If we are the general partner in a limited partnership, or manager of a limited liability company, we also consider the consolidation guidance relating to the rights of limited partners (non-managing members) to assess whether any rights held by the limited partners overcome the presumption of control by us. We evaluate our accounting for investments on a quarterly basis or when a reconsideration event (as defined in GAAP) with respect to our investments occurs. The analysis required to identify VIEs and primary beneficiaries is complex and requires substantial management judgment. Accordingly, we believe the decisions made to choose an appropriate accounting framework are critical.

Table of Contents

Asset Impairment. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment exists if estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with long-lived assets are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of such assets. We consider projected future discounted and undiscounted cash flows, trends, strategic decisions regarding future development plans, and other factors in our assessment of whether impairment conditions exist. When impairment exists, the long-lived asset is adjusted to its fair value. While we believe our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions regarding a number of factors, including market rents, economic conditions, and occupancies could significantly affect these estimates. In estimating fair value, management uses appraisals, management estimates, and discounted cash flow calculations which maximize inputs from a marketplace participant's perspective.

In addition, we evaluate our equity investments in joint ventures and if we believe there is an other than temporary decline in market value of our investment, we will record an impairment charge.

The value of our properties under development depends on market conditions, including estimates of the project start date as well as estimates of demand for multifamily communities. We have reviewed market trends and other marketplace information and have incorporated this information as well as our current outlook into the assumptions we use in our impairment analyses. Due to the judgment and assumptions applied in the impairment analyses, it is possible actual results could differ substantially from those estimated.

We believe the carrying value of our operating real estate assets, properties under development, and land is currently recoverable. However, if market conditions deteriorate or if changes in our development strategy significantly affect any key assumptions used in our fair value calculations, we may need to take material charges in future periods for impairments related to existing assets. Any such material non-cash charges could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Cost Capitalization. Real estate assets are carried at cost plus capitalized carrying charges. Carrying charges are primarily interest and real estate taxes which are capitalized as part of properties under development. Capitalized interest is generally based on our weighted average interest rate of our unsecured debt. Transaction costs associated with the acquisition of operating real estate assets are expensed, and expenditures directly related to the development and improvement of real estate assets are capitalized at cost as land and buildings and improvements; indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits and other related costs directly attributable to the development of properties, are also capitalized. All construction and carrying costs are capitalized and reported in the balance sheet as properties under development until the apartment homes are substantially completed. Upon substantial completion of the apartment homes, the total capitalized development cost for the apartment homes and the associated land is transferred to buildings and improvements and land, respectively. Included in capitalized costs are indirect costs associated with our development and redevelopment activities. The estimates used by management require judgment, and accordingly we believe cost capitalization to be a critical accounting estimate.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04 (ASU 2011-04), *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820), Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*. ASU 2011-04 requires entities to separately disclose the amounts and reasons for any transfers of assets and liabilities into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), entities will be required to disclose quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used for all Level 3 measurements and a description of the valuation processes in determining fair value. In addition, ASU 2011-04 requires entities to provide a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of recurring Level 3 measurements to changes in the unobservable inputs disclosed, including the interrelationship between inputs. Entities will also be required to disclose information about when the current use of a non-financial asset measured at fair value differs from its highest and best use and the hierarchy classification for items whose fair value is not recorded on the balance sheet but is disclosed in the notes. We do not anticipate changes to our existing classification and measurement of fair value when the amended standard becomes effective on January 1, 2012; however, we do expect our disclosures will be expanded as a result.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05 (ASU 2011-05), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 requires an entity to present reclassification adjustments on the face of the financial statements from other comprehensive income to net income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2012. We do not expect ASU 2011-05 to have a material effect on our financial statements as we currently present other comprehensive income components in a separate but consecutive statement.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-10 (ASU 2011-10), *Property Plant & Equipment (Topic 360): Derecognition of In-Substance Real Estate - a Scope Clarification*. ASU 2011-10 resolves the diversity in practice about whether the guidance

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

under FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 360-20, Property, Plant, and Equipment - Real Estate Sales, applies to the derecognition of in substance real estate when a parent ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary, as specified under ASC Subtopic 810-10, Non-Controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51, that is in substance real estate as a result of default by the subsidiary on its nonrecourse debt. The new guidance is intended to emphasize the accounting for such transactions which is based upon substance over form. ASU 2011-10 is effective for us beginning July 1, 2012 and is not expected to have a material effect on our financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-12 (ASU 2011-12), *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU 2011-05*. ASU 2011-12 indefinitely defers the requirements for entities to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented. Entities will still be required to comply with the other aspects of ASU 2011-05 as noted above. ASU 2011-12 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2012 and is not expected to have a material effect on our financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to certain market risks inherent in our operations. These risks generally arise from transactions entered into in the normal course of business. We believe our primary market risk exposure relates to interest rate risk. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The table below provides information about our assets and our liabilities sensitive to changes in interest rates as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | September 30, Amount (in millions) | September 30, December 31, 2011 Weighted Average Maturity (in years) | September 30, December 31, 2011 Weighted Average Interest Rate | September 30, % Of Total | September 30, Amount (in millions) | September 30, December 31, 2010 Weighted Average Maturity (in years) | September 30, December 31, 2010 Weighted Average Interest Rate | September 30, % Of Total |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Fixed rate debt (1) | \$ 2,186.6 | 6.7 | 5.3% | 89.9% | \$ 2,333.5 | 5.2 | 5.4% | 91.0% |
| Variable rate debt | 245.5 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 230.3 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 9.0 |

(1) December 31, 2010 included a \$500 million term loan entered into in 2007 and \$16.6 million of a construction loan entered into in 2008 which are effectively fixed by the use of interest rate swaps. The \$500 million term loan was repaid in June. The \$16.6 million construction loan interest rate swap matured and was not extended in conjunction with the one-year extension of the loan in July 2011.

Table of Contents

We have historically used variable rate indebtedness available under our revolving credit facility to initially fund acquisitions and our development pipeline. To the extent we utilize our revolving credit facility and increase our variable rate indebtedness, our exposure to increases in interest rates will also increase.

For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact net income attributable to common shareholders or cash flows. Conversely, for floating rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact net income attributable to common shareholders and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. Holding other variables constant, a one percentage point variance in interest rates would change the unrealized fair market value of the fixed rate debt by approximately \$119.6 million. The net income attributable to common shareholders and cash flows impact on the next year resulting from a one percentage point variance in interest rates on floating rate debt would be approximately \$2.5 million, holding all other variables constant.

We have entered into, and may enter into in the future, interest rate swaps and caps to protect ourselves against fluctuations in the rates of our floating rate debt. In connection with the repayment of the \$500 million loan in June 2011, we discontinued the hedging relationship on the \$500 million interest rate swap on May 31, 2011. Upon repayment of the loan, which eliminated the probable future variable monthly interest payments being hedged, we recognized a non-cash charge of approximately \$29.8 million which included the accelerated reclassification of amounts previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to this swap. Due to the relatively short remaining life of the swap (which matures in October 2012) and the low expectation of the swap becoming a significantly larger liability, management elected to leave this interest rate swap in place through its original maturity rather than cash settle the swap. As a result, the changes in fair value of this swap have been marked to market through earnings in other income and other expense. The fair value of our interest rate swap totaled approximately \$16.6 million as of December 31, 2011. During 2011, we recorded a loss of approximately \$0.2 million related to this derivative instrument subsequent to the discontinuation of the hedging relationship.

Non-designated derivative financial instruments could expose us to credit risk and market risk. Our credit risk in this context is the failure of a counterparty to perform under the terms of the derivative contract. If the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty would owe us, which could create credit risk for us. If the fair value of a derivative is negative we would owe the counterparty and, therefore, we would not be exposed to credit risk. We believe we minimize our credit risk on these transactions by dealing with major, creditworthy financial institutions. As part of our on-going control procedures, we monitor the credit ratings of counterparties and our exposure to any single entity, thus minimizing credit risk concentration. We believe the likelihood of realized losses from counterparty non-performance is remote. Our market risk related to derivative financial instruments is the adverse effect on the value of a financial instrument which results from changes in interest rates. We believe we minimize our market risk by monitoring the fair value of each financial instrument position.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our response to this item is included in a separate section at the end of this report beginning on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Securities Exchange Act ("Exchange Act") Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on the evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are effective to ensure information required to be disclosed by us in our Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in internal controls. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) in Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act) during our most recent fiscal quarter which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as follows:

A process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of trust managers, management, and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and board of trust managers of the company; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework.

Based on our assessment, management concluded our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2011.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trust Managers and Shareholders of

Camden Property Trust

Houston, Texas

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Camden Property Trust and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of trust managers, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and the board of trust managers of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 of the Company and our report dated February 17, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas

February 17, 2012

Table of Contents**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance**

Information with respect to this Item 10 is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2012 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 11, 2012.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information with respect to this Item 11 is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2012 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 11, 2012.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information with respect to this Item 12 is incorporated by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2012 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 11, 2012 to the extent not set forth below.

The following table gives information about the equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Plan Category | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c) |
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,339,536 | \$ 42.27 | 2,628,092 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 1,339,536 | \$ 42.27 | 2,628,092 |

Incentive Compensation. During the second quarter of 2011, our Board of Trust Managers adopted, and on May 11, 2011 our shareholders approved, the 2011 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust (the 2011 Share Plan). Under the 2011 Share Plan, we may issue up to a total of approximately 9.1 million fungible units (the Fungible Pool Limit), which is comprised of approximately 5.8 million new fungible units plus approximately 3.3 million fungible units previously available for issuance under our 2002 share incentive plan based on a 3.45 to 1.0 fungible unit-to full value award conversion ratio. Fungible units represent the baseline for the number of shares available for issuance under the 2011 Share Plan. Different types of awards are counted differently against the Fungible Pool Limit, as follows:

Each share issued or to be issued in connection with an award, other than an option, right or other award which does not deliver the full value at grant of the underlying shares, will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 3.45 fungible pool units;

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Options and other awards which do not deliver the full value at grant of the underlying shares and which expire more than five years from date of grant will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as one fungible pool unit; and

Options, rights and other awards which do not deliver the full value at date of grant and expire five years or less from the date of grant will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 0.83 of a fungible pool unit.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 9.1 million fungible units were available under the 2011 Share Plan, which results in approximately 2.6 million common shares which could be granted pursuant to full value awards based on the 3.45 to 1.0 fungible unit-to-full value award conversion ratio.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Information with respect to this Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2012 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 11, 2012.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information with respect to this Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement, which we expect to file on or about March 22, 2012 in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 11, 2012.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements:

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-1 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity and Perpetual Preferred Units for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-9 |

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u> | S-1 |
|--|-----|

All other schedules have been omitted since the required information is presented in the financial statements and the related notes or is not applicable.

(3) Index to Exhibits:

The following exhibits are filed as part of or incorporated by reference into this report:

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|---|---|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 |
| 3.2 | Amendment to the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1997 |
| 3.3 | Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 |
| 3.4 | Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2006 |
| 4.1 | Specimen certificate for Common Shares of Beneficial Interest | Form S-11 filed on September 15, 1993 (Registration No. 33-68736) |
| 4.2 | Indenture dated as of February 15, 1996 between Camden Property Trust and The Bank of New York Trust Company of Florida, N.A. (formerly known as U.S. Trust Company of Texas, N.A.), as Trustee | Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on February 15, 1996 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|--|--|
| 4.3 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 15, 1996 between Camden Property Trust and The Bank of New York Trust Company of Florida, N.A. (formerly known as U.S. Trust Company of Texas, N.A.), as Trustee | Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on February 15, 1996 |
| 4.4 | Indenture for Senior Debt Securities dated as of February 11, 2003 between Camden Property Trust and U. S. Bank National Association, as successor to SunTrust Bank, as Trustee | Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-3 filed on February 12, 2003 (Registration No. 333-103119) |
| 4.5 | First Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 4, 2007 between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to SunTrust Bank, as trustee | Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007 |
| 4.6 | Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 3, 2011 between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as successor to Sun Trust Bank, as Trustee. | Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on June 3, 2011 |
| 4.7 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 23, 1999, between Camden Property Trust and the unitholders named therein | Exhibit 99.3 to Form 8-K filed on March 10, 1999 |
| 4.8 | Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2003, between Camden Property Trust and the unitholders named therein | Exhibit 4.8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 4.9 | Registration Rights Agreement dated as of February 28, 2005 between Camden Property Trust and the holders named therein | Form S-4 filed on November 24, 2004 (Registration No. 333-120733) |
| 4.10 | Statement of Designation of Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest | Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on March 10, 1999 |
| 4.11 | Amendment to Statement of Designation of Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest, effective as of December 31, 2003 | Exhibit 4.10 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 4.12 | Form of Camden Property Trust 5.875% Note due 2012 | Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on November 25, 2002 |
| 4.13 | Form of Camden Property Trust 5.375% Note due 2013 | Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on December 9, 2003 |
| 4.14 | Form of Camden Property Trust 5.00% Note due 2015 | Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on June 7, 2005 |
| 4.15 | Form of Camden Property Trust 5.700% Note due 2017 | Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on May 7, 2007 |
| 4.16 | Form of Camden Property Trust 4.625% Note due 2021 | Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed on May 31, 2011 |
| 4.17 | Form of Camden Property Trust 4.875% Note due 2023 | Exhibit 4.5 to Form 8-K filed on May 31, 2011 |
| 10.1 | Form of Indemnification Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain of its trust managers and executive officers | Form S-11 filed on July 9, 1993 (Registration No. 33-63588) |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|--|---|
| 10.2 | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated July 11, 2003 between Camden Property Trust and Richard J. Campo | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 |
| 10.3 | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated July 11, 2003 between Camden Property Trust and D. Keith Oden | Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 |
| 10.4 | Form of First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreements, effective as of January 1, 2008, between Camden Property Trust and each of Richard J. Campo and D. Keith Oden. | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007 |
| 10.5 | Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of March 14, 2008, between Camden Property Trust and D. Keith Oden. | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on March 18, 2008 |
| 10.6 | Form of Employment Agreement by and between Camden Property Trust and certain senior executive officers | Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996 |
| 10.7 | Form of First Amendment to Employment Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2008, between the Company and Dennis M. Steen. | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007 |
| 10.8 | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 3, 2008, between Camden Property Trust and H. Malcolm Stewart | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 4, 2008 |
| 10.9 | Second Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Key Employee Share Option Plan (KEYSOP TM), effective as of January 1, 2008 | Exhibit 99.5 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007 |
| 10.10 | Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Key Employee Share Option Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008 | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2008 |
| 10.11 | Form of Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain key employees | Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 10.12 | Form of Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain trust managers | Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 10.13 | Form of Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain key employees | Exhibit 10.9 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 10.14 | Form of Master Exchange Agreement between Camden Property Trust and certain trust managers | Exhibit 10.10 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 10.15 | Form of Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement (Trust Managers) effective November 27, 2007 | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010 |
| 10.16 | Form of Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Master Exchange Agreement (Key Employees) effective November 27, 2007 | Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 10.17 | Form of Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P. | Exhibit 10.1 to Form S-4 filed on February 26, 1997 (Registration No. 333-22411) |
| 10.18 | First Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of February 23, 1999 | Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on March 10, 1999 |
| 10.19 | Form of Second Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of August 13, 1999 | Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 |
| 10.20 | Form of Third Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of September 7, 1999 | Exhibit 10.16 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 |
| 10.21 | Form of Fourth Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of January 7, 2000 | Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 |
| 10.22 | Form of Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Operating, L.P., dated as of December 1, 2003 | Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003 |
| 10.23 | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sierra-Nevada Multifamily Investments, LLC, adopted as of June 29, 1998 by Camden Subsidiary, Inc. and TMT-Nevada, L.L.C. | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on July 15, 1998 |
| 10.24 | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Oasis Martinique, LLC, adopted as of October 23, 1998 among Oasis Residential, Inc. and the persons named therein | Exhibit 10.59 to Oasis Residential, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (File No. 001-12428) |
| 10.25 | Exchange Agreement, dated as of October 23, 1998, by and among Oasis Residential, Inc., Oasis Martinique, LLC and the holders listed therein | Exhibit 10.60 to Oasis Residential, Inc.'s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (File No. 001-12428) |
| 10.26 | Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 23, 1999, among Belcrest Realty Corporation, Belair Real Estate Corporation, Camden Operating, L.P. and Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on March 10, 1999 |
| 10.27 | Amended and Restated 1993 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 10.18 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 |
| 10.28 | Camden Property Trust 1999 Employee Share Purchase Plan | Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 |
| 10.29 | Amended and Restated 2002 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 |
| 10.30 | Amendment to Amended and Restated 2002 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 4, 2006 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 10.31 | Amendment to Amended and Restated 2002 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust, effective as of January 1, 2008 | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on July 29, 2008 |
| 10.32 | Camden Property Trust 2011 Share Incentive Plan, effective as of May 11, 2011 | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 12, 2011 |
| 10.33 | Camden Property Trust Short Term Incentive Plan | Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002 |
| 10.34 | Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008 | Exhibit 99.6 to Form 8-K filed on November 30, 2007 |
| 10.35 | Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008 | Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on July 29, 2008 |
| 10.36 | Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Camden Property Trust Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 2008 | Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on December 8, 2008 |
| 10.37 | Form of Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. among Camden Summit, Inc., as general partner, and the persons whose names are set forth on Exhibit A thereto | Exhibit 10.4 to Form S-4 filed on November 24, 2004 (Registration No. 333-120733) |
| 10.38 | Form of Tax, Asset and Income Support Agreement among Camden Property Trust, Camden Summit, Inc., Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. and each of the limited partners who has executed a signature page thereto | Exhibit 10.5 to Form S-4 filed on November 24, 2004 (Registration No. 333-120733) |
| 10.39 | Employment Agreement dated February 15, 1999, by and among William B. McGuire, Jr., Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company, as restated on August 24, 2001 | Exhibit 10.1 to Summit Properties Inc. s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (File No. 000-12792) |
| 10.40 | Amendment Agreement, dated as of June 19, 2004, among William B. McGuire, Jr., Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company | Exhibit 10.8.2 to Summit Properties Inc. s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 (File No. 001-12792) |
| 10.41 | Amendment Agreement, dated as of June 19, 2004, among William F. Paulsen, Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company | Exhibit 10.8.2 to Summit Properties Inc. s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 (File No. 001-12792) |
| 10.42 | Separation Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2005, between Camden Property Trust and William B. McGuire, Jr. | Exhibit 99.1 to Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2005 |
| 10.43 | Separation Agreement, dated as of February 28, 2005, between Camden Property Trust and William F. Paulsen | Exhibit 99.2 to Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2005 |
| 10.44 | Distribution Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2000, by and among Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. (f/k/a Summit Properties Partnership, L.P.), Summit Properties Inc. and the Agents listed therein | Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. s Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2000 (File No. 000-22411) |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 10.45 | First Amendment to Distribution Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2001, among Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. (f/k/a Summit Properties Partnership, L.P.), Summit Properties Inc. and the Agents named therein | Exhibit 10.2 to Summit Properties Inc.'s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2001 (File No. 000-22411) |
| 10.46 | Master Credit Agreement, dated as of September 24, 2008, among CSP Community Owner, LLC, CPT Community Owner, LLC, and Red Mortgage Capital, Inc. (2) | Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010 |
| 10.47 | Form of Master Credit Facility Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2009, among Summit Russett, LLC, 2009 CPT Community Owner, LLC, 2009 CUSA Community Owner, LLC, 2009 CSP Community Owner LLC, and 2009 COLP Community Owner, LLC, as borrowers, Camden Property Trust, as guarantor, and Red Mortgage Capital, Inc., as lender. (2) | Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2010 |
| 10.48 | Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 26, 2011, between Camden Property Trust and Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated | Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2011 (File No. 1-12110) |
| 10.49 | Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 26, 2011, between Camden Property Trust and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC | Exhibit 1.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2011 (File No. 1-12110) |
| 10.50 | Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 26, 2011, between Camden Property Trust and UBS Securities LLC | Exhibit 1.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2011 (File No. 1-12110) |
| 10.51 | Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 26, 2011, between Camden Property Trust and Morgan Keegan & Company, Inc. | Exhibit 1.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2011 (File No. 1-12110) |
| 10.52 | Distribution Agency Agreement, dated May 26, 2011, between Camden Property Trust and Piper Jaffray & Co. | Exhibit 1.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 27, 2011 (File No. 1-12110) |
| 10.53 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of September 22, 2011 among Camden Property Trust, each lender from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and Letter of Credit Issuer, and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent | Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 26, 2011 (File No. 1-12110) |
| 12.1 | Statement Regarding Computation of Ratios | Filed Herewith |
| 21.1 | List of Significant Subsidiaries | Filed Herewith |
| 23.1 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP | Filed Herewith |
| 24.1 | Powers of Attorney for Richard J. Campo, D. Keith Oden, Scott S. Ingraham, Lewis A. Levey, William B. McGuire, Jr., F. Gardner Parker, William F. Paulsen, Frances Aldrich Sevilla-Secasa, Steven A. Webster, and Kelvin R. Westbrook | Filed Herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act | Filed Herewith |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit No. | Description | Filed Herewith or Incorporated Herein by Reference (1) |
|--------------------|--|---|
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act | Filed Herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | Filed Herewith |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | Filed Herewith |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | Filed Herewith |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | Filed Herewith |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document | Filed Herewith |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document | Filed Herewith |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document | Filed Herewith |

(1) *Unless otherwise indicated, all references to reports or registration statements are to reports or registration statements filed by Camden Property Trust (File No. 1-12110).*

(2) *Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.*

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Camden Property Trust has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

February 17, 2012

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

By: /s/ Michael P. Gallagher
Michael P. Gallagher
Vice President Chief Accounting Officer

50

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Camden Property Trust and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Name | Title | Date |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Richard J. Campo | Chairman of the Board of Trust | February 17, 2012 |
| Richard J. Campo | Managers and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | |
| /s/ D. Keith Oden | President and Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| D. Keith Oden | | |
| /s/ Dennis M. Steen | Senior Vice President - Finance and | February 17, 2012 |
| Dennis M. Steen | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | |
| /s/ Michael P. Gallagher | Vice President - Chief Accounting | February 17, 2012 |
| Michael P. Gallagher | Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| Scott S. Ingraham | | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| Lewis A. Levey | | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| William B. McGuire, Jr. | | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| F. Gardner Parker | | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| William F. Paulsen | | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| Frances Aldrich Sevilla-Sacasa | | |
| | * Trust Manager | February 17, 2012 |
| Steven A. Webster | | |

*

Trust Manager

February 17, 2012

Kelvin R. Westbrook

*By: /s/ Dennis M. Steen

Dennis M. Steen

Attorney-in-fact

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Trust Managers and Shareholders of

Camden Property Trust

Houston, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Camden Property Trust and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income (loss) and comprehensive income, equity and perpetual preferred units, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Camden Property Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 17, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas
February 17, 2012

F-1

Table of Contents

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | September 30, December 31, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Real estate assets, at cost | | |
| Land | \$ 768,016 | \$ 760,397 |
| Buildings and improvements | 4,751,654 | 4,680,361 |
| | 5,519,670 | 5,440,758 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,432,799) | (1,292,924) |
| Net operating real estate assets | 4,086,871 | 4,147,834 |
| Properties under development, including land | 299,870 | 206,919 |
| Investments in joint ventures | 44,844 | 27,632 |
| Properties held for sale | 11,131 | |
| Total real estate assets | 4,442,716 | 4,382,385 |
| Accounts receivable - affiliates | 31,035 | 31,895 |
| Notes receivable - affiliates | | 3,194 |
| Other assets, net | 88,089 | 106,175 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 55,159 | 170,575 |
| Restricted cash | 5,076 | 5,513 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,622,075 | \$ 4,699,737 |
| Liabilities and equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | | |
| Unsecured | \$ 1,380,755 | \$ 1,507,757 |
| Secured | 1,051,357 | 1,055,997 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 93,747 | 81,556 |
| Accrued real estate taxes | 21,883 | 22,338 |
| Distributions payable | 39,364 | 35,295 |
| Other liabilities | 109,276 | 141,496 |
| Total liabilities | 2,696,382 | 2,844,439 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Perpetual preferred units | 97,925 | 97,925 |
| Equity | | |
| Common shares of beneficial interest; \$0.01 par value per share; 100,000 shares authorized; 87,377 and 85,130 issued; 84,517 and 82,386 outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively | 845 | 824 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,901,024 | 2,775,625 |
| Distributions in excess of net income attributable to common shareholders | (690,466) | (595,317) |
| Treasury shares, at cost (12,509 and 12,766 common shares, at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively) | (452,003) | (461,255) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (683) | (33,458) |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total common equity | 1,758,717 | 1,686,419 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 69,051 | 70,954 |
| Total equity | 1,827,768 | 1,757,373 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 4,622,075 | \$ 4,699,737 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-2

Table of Contents**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS) AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Property revenues | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 563,010 | \$ 516,908 | \$ 519,637 |
| Other property revenues | 92,858 | 84,542 | 83,011 |
| Total property revenues | 655,868 | 601,450 | 602,648 |
| Property expenses | | | |
| Property operating and maintenance | 187,587 | 175,926 | 168,773 |
| Real estate taxes | 69,092 | 66,986 | 68,826 |
| Total property expenses | 256,679 | 242,912 | 237,599 |
| Non-property income | | | |
| Fee and asset management | 9,973 | 8,172 | 8,008 |
| Interest and other income | 4,649 | 8,584 | 2,826 |
| Income on deferred compensation plans | 6,773 | 11,581 | 14,609 |
| Total non-property income | 21,395 | 28,337 | 25,443 |
| Other expenses | | | |
| Property management | 20,686 | 19,982 | 18,864 |
| Fee and asset management | 5,935 | 4,841 | 4,878 |
| General and administrative | 35,456 | 30,762 | 31,243 |
| Interest | 112,414 | 125,893 | 128,296 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 179,867 | 170,362 | 168,845 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 5,877 | 4,102 | 3,925 |
| Expense on deferred compensation plans | 6,773 | 11,581 | 14,609 |
| Total other expenses | 367,008 | 367,523 | 370,660 |
| Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship | (29,791) | | |
| Gain on sale of properties, including land | 4,748 | 236 | |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests | 1,136 | | |
| Loss on early retirement of debt | | | (2,550) |
| Impairment associated with land development activities | | | (85,614) |
| Impairment provision on technology investment | | (1,000) | |
| Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures | 5,679 | (839) | 695 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 35,348 | 17,749 | (67,637) |
| Income tax expense current | (2,220) | (1,581) | (967) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 33,128 | 16,168 | (68,604) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 2,212 | 5,360 | 7,514 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax | 24,621 | 9,614 | 16,887 |
| Net income (loss) | 59,961 | 31,142 | (44,203) |
| Less (income) loss allocated to noncontrolling interests from continuing operations | (3,582) | (926) | 403 |
| Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units | (7,000) | (7,000) | (7,000) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 49,379 | \$ 23,216 | \$ (50,800) |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS) AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Continued)**

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Earnings per share basic | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.11 | \$ (1.19) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.39 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.67 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.80) |
| Earnings per share diluted | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.11 | \$ (1.19) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.39 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.80) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic | | | |
| | 72,756 | 68,608 | 62,359 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted | | | |
| | 73,701 | 68,957 | 62,359 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 33,128 | \$ 16,168 | \$ (68,604) |
| Less (income) loss allocated to noncontrolling interests from continuing operations | (3,582) | (926) | 403 |
| Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units | (7,000) | (7,000) | (7,000) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | 22,546 | 8,242 | (75,201) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders | 26,833 | 14,974 | 24,401 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 49,379 | \$ 23,216 | \$ (50,800) |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 59,961 | \$ 31,142 | \$ (44,203) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | |
| Unrealized loss on cash flow hedging activities | (2,692) | (19,059) | (12,291) |
| Reclassification of net losses on cash flow hedging activities | 39,657 | 23,385 | 22,192 |
| Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net of tax | | 3,306 | |
| Reclassification of gain on available-for-sale investment to earnings, net of tax | (3,306) | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) and unamortized prior service cost on postretirement obligations | (884) | 65 | |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 92,736 | 38,839 | (34,302) |
| Less (income) loss allocated to noncontrolling interests from continuing operations | (3,582) | (926) | 403 |
| Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units | (7,000) | (7,000) | (7,000) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 82,154 | \$ 30,913 | \$ (40,899) |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND PERPETUAL PREFERRED UNITS**

| | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| | Common shares of beneficial interest | Additional paid-in capital | Common Shareholders Distributions in excess of net income | Notes receivable secured by common shares | Treasury shares, at cost | Accumulated other comprehens loss | Noncontrolling interests | Total equity | Perpetual preferred units |
| Equity, December 31, 2008 | \$ 660 | \$ 2,237,703 | \$ (312,309) | \$ (295) | \$ (463,209) | \$ (51,056) | \$ 89,862 | \$ 1,501,356 | \$ 97,925 |
| Net income (loss) | | | (50,800) | | | | (403) | (51,203) | 7,000 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | 9,901 | | 9,901 | |
| Common shares issued (10,350 shares) | 104 | 272,008 | | | | | | 272,112 | |
| Net share awards | 2 | 10,157 | | | | | | 10,159 | |
| Employee share purchase plan | | 105 | | | 1,027 | | | 1,132 | |
| Repayment of employee notes receivable, net | | | | 194 | | | | 194 | |
| Common share options exercised (19 shares) | | 1,275 | | | | | | 1,275 | |
| Conversions and redemptions of operating partnership units (139 shares) | 2 | 3,759 | | | | | (3,777) | (16) | |
| Common shares repurchased | | | | | (6) | | | (6) | |
| Purchase of noncontrolling interests | | 647 | | | | | (748) | (101) | |
| Cash distributions declared to perpetual preferred units | | | | | | | | | (7,000) |
| Cash distributions declared to equity holders (\$2.05 per share) | | | (129,462) | | | | (6,332) | (135,794) | |
| Other | 2 | 2 | | | | | | 4 | |
| Equity, December 31, 2009 | \$ 770 | \$ 2,525,656 | \$ (492,571) | \$ (101) | \$ (462,188) | \$ (41,155) | \$ 78,602 | \$ 1,609,013 | \$ 97,925 |
| Net income | | | 23,216 | | | | 926 | 24,142 | 7,000 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | | 7,697 | | 7,697 | |
| Common shares issued (4,868 shares) | 49 | 231,602 | | | | | | 231,651 | |
| Net share awards | 4 | 11,609 | | | | | | 11,613 | |
| Employee share purchase plan | | 232 | | | 933 | | | 1,165 | |
| Repayment of employee notes receivable, net | | | | 101 | | | | 101 | |
| Common share options exercised (41 shares) | | 2,997 | | | | | | 2,997 | |
| Conversions and redemptions of operating partnership units (279 shares) | 3 | 3,525 | | | | | (3,553) | (25) | |
| Cash distributions declared to perpetual preferred units | | | | | | | | | (7,000) |
| Cash distributions declared to equity holders (\$1.80 per share) | | | (125,962) | | | | (5,046) | (131,008) | |
| Other | (2) | 4 | | | | | 25 | 27 | |
| Equity, December 31, 2010 | \$ 824 | \$ 2,775,625 | \$ (595,317) | \$ | \$ (461,255) | \$ (33,458) | \$ 70,954 | \$ 1,757,373 | \$ 97,925 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND PERPETUAL PREFERRED UNITS (Continued)**

| | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 | 000000 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Common Shareholders | | | | | | | |
| | Common | | Distributions | | Accumulated | | | |
| | shares | Additional | in excess | Treasury | comprehens | Noncontrolling | Total | Perpetual |
| | of | paid-in | of | shares, at | loss | interests | equity | preferred |
| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | beneficial | capital | net | cost | other | | | units |
| Equity, December 31, 2010 | interest | | income | | | | | |
| Equity, December 31, 2010 | \$ 824 | \$ 2,775,625 | \$ (595,317) | \$ (461,255) | \$ (33,458) | \$ 70,954 | \$ 1,757,373 | \$ 97,925 |
| Net income | | | 49,379 | | | 3,582 | 52,961 | 7,000 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 32,775 | | 32,775 | |
| Common shares issued (1,751 shares) | 18 | 106,553 | | | | | 106,571 | |
| Net share awards | 3 | 12,592 | | 812 | | | 13,407 | |
| Employee share purchase plan | | 446 | | 1,334 | | | 1,780 | |
| Common share options exercised (68 shares) | | 5,216 | | 7,106 | | | 12,322 | |
| Conversions and redemptions of operating partnership units (66 shares) | 1 | 591 | | | | (592) | | |
| Cash distributions declared to perpetual preferred units | | | | | | | | (7,000) |
| Cash distributions declared to equity holders (\$1.96 per share) | | | (144,528) | | | (4,893) | (149,421) | |
| Other | (1) | 1 | | | | | | |
| Equity, December 31, 2011 | \$ 845 | \$ 2,901,024 | \$ (690,466) | \$ (452,003) | \$ (683) | \$ 69,051 | \$ 1,827,768 | \$ 97,925 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 59,961 | \$ 31,142 | \$ (44,203) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash from operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations | 181,791 | 174,465 | 172,415 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax | (24,621) | (9,614) | (16,887) |
| Gain on sale of properties, including land | (4,748) | (236) | |
| Gain on sale of unconsolidated joint venture interests | (1,136) | | |
| Gain on sale of available-for-sale investment | (4,301) | | |
| Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship | 29,791 | | |
| Loss on early retirement of debt | | | 2,550 |
| Impairment associated with land development activities | | | 85,614 |
| Impairment provision on technology investment | | 1,000 | |
| Distributions of income from joint ventures | 5,329 | 6,524 | 5,664 |
| Equity in (income) loss of joint ventures | (5,679) | 839 | (695) |
| Share-based compensation | 12,039 | 11,306 | 9,053 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 5,877 | 4,102 | 3,925 |
| Net change in operating accounts | (9,469) | 4,508 | 252 |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$ 244,834 | \$ 224,036 | \$ 217,688 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Development and capital improvements | \$ (227,755) | \$ (63,739) | \$ (72,779) |
| Proceeds from sale of joint venture interests | 19,310 | | |
| Proceeds from sales of properties, including land and discontinued operations | 57,312 | 102,819 | 28,078 |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale investment | 4,510 | | |
| Decrease in notes receivable affiliates | 3,279 | 637 | 1,978 |
| Investments in joint ventures | (46,037) | (6,467) | (23,159) |
| Payments received on notes receivable other | | | 8,710 |
| Increase in notes receivable affiliates | (129) | (511) | (7,332) |
| Distributions of investments from joint ventures | 6,005 | 28 | 161 |
| Other | (3,859) | 2,383 | (5,173) |
| Net cash from investing activities | \$ (187,364) | \$ 35,150 | \$ (69,516) |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Borrowings on unsecured line of credit | \$ 8,000 | \$ 37,000 | \$ |
| Repayments on unsecured line of credit | (8,000) | (37,000) | (145,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common shares | 106,571 | 231,651 | 272,112 |
| Proceeds from notes payable | 495,705 | 57,748 | 440,840 |
| Repayment of notes payable | (627,623) | (306,692) | (503,705) |
| Distributions to common shareholders, perpetual preferred units, and noncontrolling interests | (152,242) | (135,626) | (152,687) |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (9,288) | (6,564) | (5,124) |
| Common share options exercised | 11,397 | 1,435 | 620 |
| Net decrease in accounts receivable affiliates | 860 | 4,217 | 909 |
| Other | 1,734 | 1,064 | 612 |
| Net cash from financing activities | \$ (172,886) | \$ (152,767) | \$ (91,423) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (115,416) | 106,419 | 56,749 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 170,575 | 64,156 | 7,407 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 55,159 | \$ 170,575 | \$ 64,156 |
| Supplemental information | | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized | \$ 114,615 | \$ 128,742 | \$ 134,266 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | 2,664 | 1,169 | 1,654 |
| Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities | | | |
| Distributions declared but not paid | \$ 39,364 | \$ 35,295 | \$ 33,025 |
| Value of shares issued under benefit plans, net of cancellations | 18,629 | 14,401 | 6,653 |
| Conversion of operating partnership units to common shares | 592 | 3,536 | 3,753 |
| Accrual associated with construction and capital expenditures | 16,754 | 6,590 | 5,189 |
| Conversion of mezzanine notes to joint venture equity | | 43,279 | 18,496 |
| Change of fair value of available-for-sale investments, net of tax | | 3,306 | |
| Consolidation of joint venture at fair value, net of cash | | | |
| Real estate assets | | 238,885 | |
| In-place leases | | 4,962 | |
| Other assets | | 1,135 | |
| Mortgage debt assumed | | 188,119 | |
| Other liabilities | | 3,197 | |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

Business. Formed on May 25, 1993, Camden Property Trust, a Texas real estate investment trust (REIT), is primarily engaged in the ownership, management, development, acquisition, and construction of multifamily apartment communities. Our multifamily apartment communities are referred to as communities, multifamily communities, properties, or multifamily properties in the following discussion. As of December 31, 2011, we owned interests in, operated, or were developing 206 multifamily properties comprising 69,794 apartment homes across the United States. Of these 206 properties, ten properties were under development and when completed will consist of a total of 2,797 apartment homes. In addition, we own land parcels we may develop into multifamily apartment communities.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Principles of Consolidation. Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of other subsidiaries and joint ventures (including partnerships and limited liability companies) over which we have control. All intercompany transactions, balances, and profits have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments acquired or created are continuously evaluated based on the accounting guidance relating to variable interest entities (VIEs), which requires the consolidation of VIEs in which we are considered to be the primary beneficiary. If the investment is determined not to be a VIE, then the investment is evaluated for consolidation (primarily using a voting interest model) under the remaining consolidation guidance relating to real estate entities. If we are the general partner of a limited partnership, or manager of a limited liability company, we also consider the consolidation guidance relating to the rights of limited partners (non-managing members) to assess whether any rights held by the limited partners overcome the presumption of control by us.

Allocations of Purchase Price. Upon the acquisition of real estate, we allocate the purchase price between tangible and intangible assets, which includes land, buildings, furniture and fixtures, the value of in-place leases, including above and below market leases, and acquired liabilities. When allocating the purchase price to acquired properties, we allocate costs to the estimated intangible value of in-place leases and above or below market leases and to the estimated fair value of furniture and fixtures, land, and buildings on a value determined by assuming the property was vacant by applying methods similar to those used by independent appraisers of income-producing property. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the remaining useful lives of the related tangible assets. The value of in-place leases and above or below market leases is amortized over the estimated average remaining life of leases in place at the time of acquisition. There was no unamortized value of in-place leases at December 31, 2011. The unamortized value of in-place leases at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$3.9 million. Estimates of fair value of acquired debt are based upon interest rates available for the issuance of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Asset Impairment. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment exists if estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with long-lived assets are not sufficient to recover the carrying value of such assets. We consider projected future discounted and undiscounted cash flows, trends, strategic decisions regarding future development plans, and other factors in our assessment of whether impairment conditions exist. When impairment exists, the long-lived asset is adjusted to its fair value. While we believe our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions regarding a number of factors, including market rents, economic conditions, and occupancies could significantly affect these estimates. In estimating fair value, management uses appraisals, management estimates, and discounted cash flow calculations which maximize inputs from a marketplace participant's perspective.

In addition, we evaluate our equity investments in joint ventures and if we believe there is an other than temporary decline in market value of our investment, we will record an impairment charge.

The value of our properties under development depends on market conditions, including estimates of the project start date as well as estimates of demand for multifamily communities. We have reviewed market trends and other marketplace information and have incorporated this information as well as our current outlook into the assumptions we use in our impairment analyses. Due to the judgment and assumptions applied in the impairment analyses, it is possible actual results could differ substantially from those estimated.

Table of Contents

We believe the carrying value of our operating real estate assets, properties under development, and land is currently recoverable. However, if market conditions deteriorate or if changes in our development strategy significantly affect any key assumptions used in our fair value calculations, we may need to take material charges in future periods for impairments related to existing assets. Any such material non-cash charges could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. All cash and investments in money market accounts and other highly liquid securities with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase are considered to be cash and cash equivalents. We maintain the majority of our cash and cash equivalents at major financial institutions in the United States and deposits with these financial institutions may exceed the amount of insurance provided on such deposits; however, we regularly monitor the financial stability of these financial institutions and believe we are not currently exposed to any significant default risk with respect to these deposits.

Cost Capitalization. Real estate assets are carried at cost plus capitalized carrying charges. Carrying charges are primarily interest and real estate taxes which are capitalized as part of properties under development. Capitalized interest is generally based on the weighted average interest rate of our unsecured debt. Transaction costs associated with the acquisition of operating real estate assets are expensed. Expenditures directly related to the development and improvement of real estate assets are capitalized at cost as land and buildings and improvements. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits and other related costs directly attributable to the development of properties are also capitalized. All construction and carrying costs are capitalized and reported in the balance sheet as properties under development until the apartment homes are substantially completed. Upon substantial completion of the apartment homes, the total capitalized development cost for the apartment homes and the associated land is transferred to buildings and improvements and land, respectively.

As discussed above, carrying charges are principally interest and real estate taxes capitalized as part of properties under development. Capitalized interest was approximately \$8.8 million, \$5.7 million, and \$10.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Capitalized real estate taxes were approximately \$1.4 million, \$0.8 million, and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Where possible, we stage our construction to allow leasing and occupancy during the construction period, which we believe minimizes the duration of the lease-up period following completion of construction. Our accounting policy related to properties in the development and leasing phase is to expense all operating expenses associated with completed apartment homes. We capitalize renovation and improvement costs we believe extend the economic lives of depreciable property. Capital expenditures subsequent to initial construction are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

Depreciation and amortization is computed over the expected useful lives of depreciable property on a straight-line basis with lives generally as follows:

| | September 30, Estimated Useful Life |
|---|--|
| Buildings and improvements | 5-35 years |
| Furniture, fixtures, equipment and other | 3-20 years |
| Intangible assets (in-place leases and above and below market leases) | underlying lease term |

Derivative Financial Instruments. Derivative financial instruments are recorded in the consolidated balance sheets at fair value and we do not apply master netting for financial reporting purposes. Accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting, and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows or other types of forecasted transactions are cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes attributable to the earnings effect of the hedged transactions. We may enter into derivative contracts which are intended to economically hedge certain of our risks, for which hedge accounting does not apply or we elect not to apply hedge accounting.

Discontinued Operations. A property is classified as a discontinued operation when (i) the operations and cash flows of the property can be clearly distinguished and have been or will be eliminated from our ongoing operations; (ii) the property has either been disposed of or is classified as held for sale; and (iii) we will not have any

Table of Contents

significant continuing involvement in the operations of the property after the disposal transactions. Significant judgments are involved in determining whether a property meets the criteria for discontinued operations reporting and the period in which these criteria are met. A property is classified as held for sale when (i) management commits to a plan to sell and it is actively marketed; (ii) it is available for immediate sale in its present condition and the sale is expected to be completed within one year; and (iii) it is unlikely significant changes to the plan will be made or the plan will be withdrawn.

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or classified as held for sale at the end of the current period are classified as discontinued operations in the current and prior periods. The property-specific components of earnings classified as discontinued operations include separately identifiable property-specific revenues, expenses, depreciation, and interest expense, if any. The gain or loss resulting from the eventual disposal of the held for sale properties is also classified within discontinued operations. Real estate assets held for sale are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell and are presented separately in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Subsequent to classification of a property as held for sale, no further depreciation is recorded. Properties sold by our unconsolidated entities are not included in discontinued operations and related gains or losses are reported as a component of equity in income (loss) of joint ventures.

Gains on sale of real estate are recognized using the full accrual or partial sale methods, as applicable, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), provided various criteria relating to the terms of sale and any subsequent involvement with the real estate sold are met.

Fair Value. For financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, fair value is the price we would receive to sell an asset, or pay to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date. In the absence of such data, fair value is estimated using internal information consistent with what market participants would use in a hypothetical transaction.

In determining fair value, observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions; preference is given to observable inputs. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3: Significant inputs to the valuation model are unobservable.

The following describes the valuation methodologies we use to measure different financial instruments at fair value on a recurring basis:

Deferred Compensation Plan Investments. The estimated fair values of investment securities classified as deferred compensation plan investments are based on quoted market prices utilizing public information for the same transactions. Our deferred compensation plan investments are recorded in other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

Derivative Financial Instruments. The estimated fair values of derivative financial instruments are valued using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and volatility. The fair values of interest rate swaps and caps are estimated using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. In addition, credit valuation adjustments, which consider the impact of any credit enhancements to the contracts, are incorporated in the fair values to account for potential nonperformance risk, including our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk. The fair value of interest rate caps is determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts which would occur if variable interest rates rise

Table of Contents

above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observed market interest rate curves and volatilities.

Although we have determined the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default. However, we have assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of our derivative positions and have determined the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of our derivatives. As a result, we have determined our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Income Recognition. Our rental and other property revenue is recorded when due from residents and is recognized monthly as it is earned. Other property revenue consists primarily of utility rebillings and administrative, application, and other transactional fees charged to our residents. Our apartment homes are rented to residents on lease terms generally ranging from six to fifteen months, with monthly payments due in advance. All other sources of income, including interest and fee and asset management income, are recognized as earned. Eight of our properties are subject to rent control. Operations of multifamily properties acquired are recorded from the date of acquisition in accordance with the acquisition method of accounting. In management's opinion, due to the number of residents, the types and diversity of submarkets in which our properties operate, and the collection terms, there is no significant concentration of credit risk.

Insurance. Our primary lines of insurance coverage are property, general liability, and health and workers' compensation. We believe our insurance coverage adequately insures our properties against the risk of loss attributable to fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood, and other perils and adequately insures us against other risks. Losses are accrued based upon our estimates of the aggregate liability for claims incurred using certain actuarial assumptions followed in the insurance industry and based on our experience.

Other Assets, Net. Other assets in our consolidated financial statements include investments under deferred compensation plans, deferred financing costs, non-real estate leasehold improvements and equipment, prepaid expenses, the value of in-place leases net of related accumulated amortization, available-for-sale investments, and other miscellaneous receivables. Investments under deferred compensation plans are classified as trading securities and are adjusted to fair market value at period end. See further discussion of our investments under deferred compensation plans in Note 11, Share-based Compensation and Benefit Plans. Deferred financing costs are amortized no longer than the terms of the related debt on the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method. Corporate leasehold improvements and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the shorter of the expected useful lives or the lease terms which range from three to ten years. Our available-for-sale investments are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Reportable Segments. Our multifamily communities are geographically diversified throughout the United States, and management evaluates operating performance on an individual property level. As each of our apartment communities has similar economic characteristics, residents, and products and services, our apartment communities have been aggregated into one reportable segment. Our multifamily communities generate rental revenue and other income through the leasing of apartment homes, which comprised approximately 98%, 97%, and 98% of our total property revenues and total non-property income, excluding income on deferred compensation plans for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Restricted Cash. Restricted cash consists of escrow deposits held by lenders for property taxes, insurance and replacement reserves, cash required to be segregated for the repayment of residents' security deposits, and escrowed amounts related to our development and acquisition activities. Substantially all restricted cash is invested in demand and short-term instruments.

Share-based Compensation. Compensation expense associated with share-based awards is recognized in our consolidated statements of income (loss) and comprehensive income using the grant-date fair values. Compensation cost for all share-based awards, including options, requires measurement at estimated fair value on the grant date and recognition of compensation expense over the requisite service period for awards expected to vest. The fair value of stock option grants is estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Valuation models require the input of assumptions, including judgments to estimate the expected stock price volatility, expected life, and forfeiture rate. The compensation cost for share-based awards is based on the market value of the shares on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

Use of Estimates. In the application of GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, results of operations during the reporting periods, and related disclosures. Our more significant estimates include estimates supporting our impairment analysis related to the carrying values of our real estate assets, and estimates related to the valuation of our investments in joint ventures. These estimates are based on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Future events rarely develop exactly as forecasted, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements. In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04 (ASU 2011-04), *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820), Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS.* ASU 2011-04 requires entities to separately disclose the amounts and reasons for any transfers of assets and liabilities into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. For fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), entities will be required to disclose quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used for all Level 3 measurements and a description of the valuation processes in determining fair value. In addition, ASU 2011-04 requires entities to provide a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of recurring Level 3 measurements to changes in the unobservable inputs disclosed, including the interrelationship between inputs. Entities will also be required to disclose information about when the current use of a non-financial asset measured at fair value differs from its highest and best use and the hierarchy classification for items whose fair value is not recorded on the balance sheet but is disclosed in the notes. We do not anticipate changes to our existing classification and measurement of fair value when the amended standard becomes effective on January 1, 2012; however, we do expect our disclosures will be expanded as a result.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05 (ASU 2011-05), *Presentation of Comprehensive Income.* ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity and requires all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, ASU 2011-05 requires an entity to present reclassification adjustments on the face of the financial statements from other comprehensive income to net income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2012. We do not expect ASU 2011-05 to have a material effect on our financial statements as we currently present other comprehensive income components in a separate but consecutive statement.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-10 (ASU 2011-10), *Property Plant & Equipment (Topic 360): Derecognition of In-Substance Real Estate a Scope Clarification.* ASU 2011-10 resolves the diversity in practice about whether the guidance under FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 360-20, *Property, Plant, and Equipment Real Estate Sales,* applies to the derecognition of in substance real estate when a parent ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary, as specified under ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Non-Controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51, that is in substance real estate as a result of default by the subsidiary on its nonrecourse debt. The new guidance is intended to emphasize the accounting for such transactions which is based upon substance over form. ASU 2011-10 is effective for us beginning July 1, 2012 and is not expected to have a material effect on our financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-12 (ASU 2011-12), *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU 2011-05.* ASU 2011-12 indefinitely defers the requirements for entities to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented. Entities will still be required to comply with the other aspects of ASU 2011-05 as noted above. ASU 2011-12 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2012 and is not expected to have a material effect on our financial statements.

3. Share Data

Basic earnings per share are computed using net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share reflect common shares issuable from the assumed conversion of common share options and share awards granted and units convertible into

Table of Contents

common shares. Only those items having a dilutive impact on our basic earnings per share are included in diluted earnings per share. Our unvested share-based awards are considered participating securities and are reflected in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share using the two-class method. The number of common share equivalent securities excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation was approximately 3.8 million, 4.8 million, and 4.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. These securities, which include common share options and share awards granted and units convertible into common shares, were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as they are anti-dilutive.

The following table presents information necessary to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated:

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | September 30, Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--|-----------|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Earnings per share calculation basic | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ 22,546 | \$ 8,242 | \$ (75,201) |
| Amount allocated to participating securities | (551) | (265) | 637 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities | 21,995 | 7,977 | (74,564) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders | 26,833 | 14,974 | 24,401 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted | \$ 48,828 | \$ 22,951 | \$ (50,163) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted per share | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.11 | \$ (1.19) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders per share | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.39 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted per share | \$ 0.67 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.80) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic | 72,756 | 68,608 | 62,359 |
| Earnings per share calculation diluted | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, net of amount allocated to participating securities | \$ 21,995 | \$ 7,977 | \$ (74,564) |
| Income allocated to common units | 45 | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted | 22,040 | 7,977 | (74,564) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders | 26,833 | 14,974 | 24,401 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted | \$ 48,873 | \$ 22,951 | \$ (50,163) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted per share | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.11 | \$ (1.19) |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale, attributable to common shareholders per share | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.39 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as adjusted per share | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.80) |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | basic | 72,756 | 68,608 | 62,359 |
| Incremental shares issuable from assumed conversion of: | | | | |
| Common share options and share awards granted | | 706 | 349 | |
| Common units | | 239 | | |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | diluted | 73,701 | 68,957 | 62,359 |

4. Common Shares

In March 2010, we announced the creation of an at-the-market (ATM) share offering program through which we could, but had no obligation to, sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$250 million (2010 ATM program), in amounts and at times as we determined, into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. The 2010 ATM program has been terminated and no further common shares are available for sale under the 2010 ATM program.

Table of Contents

The following table presents activity under our 2010 ATM share offering program for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Total net consideration | \$ 13,847.0 | \$ 231,650.5 |
| Common shares sold | 252.5 | 4,867.7 |
| Average price per share | \$ 55.81 | \$ 48.37 |

In May 2011, we created a second ATM share offering program through which we can sell common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$300 million (2011 ATM program) from time to time into the existing trading market at current market prices as well as through negotiated transactions. We may, but have no obligation to, sell common shares through the 2011 ATM share offering program in amounts and at times as we determine. Actual sales from time to time may depend on a variety of factors, including, among others, market conditions, the trading price of our common shares, and determination of the appropriate sources of funding for us.

The following table presents activity under our 2011 ATM program for the period presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------|--|
| Total net consideration | \$ 92,723.6 |
| Common shares sold | 1,498.5 |
| Average price per share | \$ 62.98 |

During January of 2012, we sold approximately 0.1 million common shares under the 2011 ATM program at an average price of \$62.41 per share for total net consideration of approximately \$3.2 million. As of the date of filing, we had common shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$202.4 million remaining available for sale under the 2011 ATM program.

In January 2008, our Board of Trust Managers approved an increase of the April 2007 repurchase plan to allow for the repurchase of up to \$500 million of our common equity securities through open market purchases, block purchases, and privately negotiated transactions. Under this program, we have repurchased 4.3 million shares for a total of approximately \$230.2 million from April 2007 through December 31, 2011. The remaining dollar value of our common equity securities authorized to be repurchased under the program was approximately \$269.8 million as of December 31, 2011. There were no repurchases of our equity securities during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

We currently have an automatic shelf registration statement on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission which allows us to offer, from time to time, an unlimited amount of common shares, preferred shares, debt securities, or warrants. Our declaration of trust provides we may issue up to 110.0 million shares of beneficial interest, consisting of 100.0 million common shares and 10.0 million preferred shares. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately 72.0 million common shares outstanding, net of treasury shares and shares held in our deferred compensation arrangements, and no preferred shares outstanding. In January 2012, we issued 6,612,500 common shares in a public equity offering and received approximately \$391.6 million in net proceeds. We utilized these proceeds to fund the acquisition of the 80% interest not owned by us in twelve related joint ventures. See Note 8 Investments in Joint Ventures for further discussion of this transaction.

5. Operating Partnerships

At December 31, 2011, approximately 10% of our multifamily apartment homes were held in Camden Operating, L.P (Camden Operating or the operating partnership). Camden Operating has issued both common and preferred limited partnership units. As of December 31, 2011, we held 89.8% of the common limited partnership units and the sole 1% general partnership interest of the operating partnership. The remaining common limited partnership units, comprising approximately 1.1 million units, are primarily held by former officers, directors, and investors of Paragon Group, Inc., which we acquired in 1997. Each common limited partnership unit is redeemable for one common share of Camden or cash at our election. Holders of common limited partnership units are not entitled to rights as shareholders prior to redemption of their common limited partnership units. No member of our management owns Camden Operating common limited partnership units, and one of our ten trust managers own Camden Operating common limited partnership units.

Table of Contents

Camden Operating has \$100.0 million of 7.0% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units outstanding. Distributions on the preferred units are payable quarterly in arrears. The Series B preferred units were redeemable beginning in December 2008 by the operating partnership for cash at par plus the amount of any accumulated and unpaid distributions. There were no redemptions as of December 31, 2011. The preferred units are convertible beginning in 2015 by the holder into a fixed number of corresponding Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Shares of Camden. The Series B preferred units are subordinate to present and future debt. In February 2012, we redeemed the 4.0 million outstanding 7.0% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units at their redemption price of \$25.00 per unit, or an aggregate of \$100.0 million, plus accrued and unpaid distributions. In connection with this redemption, we expensed the unamortized issuance costs relating to these Series B units, which will result in a charge to earnings of approximately \$2.1 million in the first quarter of 2012.

We hold the controlling managing member interest in Oasis Martinique, LLC, which owns one property in Orange County, California and is included in our consolidated financial statements. The remaining interests, comprising approximately 0.3 million units, are exchangeable into approximately 0.2 million of our common shares. In January 2012, approximately 0.2 million units were converted into approximately 0.1 million common shares.

At December 31, 2011, approximately 23% of our multifamily apartment homes were held in Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. (the Camden Summit Partnership). The Camden Summit Partnership has issued common limited partnership units. As of December 31, 2011, we held 94.1% of the common limited partnership units and the sole 1% general partnership interest of the Camden Summit Partnership. The remaining common limited partnership units, comprising approximately 1.1 million units, are primarily held by former officers, directors, and investors of Summit Properties Inc. (Summit), a company we acquired in 2005. Each common limited partnership unit is redeemable for one common share of Camden or cash at our election. Holders of common limited partnership units are not entitled to rights as shareholders prior to redemption of their common limited partnership units. No member of our management owns Camden Summit Partnership common limited partnership units, and two of our ten trust managers own Camden Summit Partnership common limited partnership units.

6. Income Taxes

We have maintained and intend to maintain our election as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. In order for us to continue to qualify as a REIT we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute annual dividends to our shareholders equal to a minimum of 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gains. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate level to the extent such income is distributed to our shareholders annually. If our taxable income exceeds our dividends in a tax year, REIT tax rules allow us to designate dividends from the subsequent tax year in order to avoid current taxation on undistributed income. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal and state income taxes at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax. In addition, we may not be able to requalify as a REIT for the four subsequent taxable years. We historically have incurred only state and local income, franchise, and excise taxes. Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to applicable federal, state, and local income and margin taxes. Our operating partnerships are flow-through entities and are not subject to federal income taxes at the entity level.

We have provided for income, franchise, and margin taxes in the consolidated statements of income (loss) and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. Income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2011 included approximately \$1.0 million associated with the gain recognized by one of our taxable REIT subsidiaries on the sale of an available-for-sale investment in February 2011. Other income tax expense is related to entity level taxes on certain ventures, state taxes, and federal taxes on certain of our taxable REIT subsidiaries. We have no significant temporary differences or tax credits associated with our taxable REIT subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net income to REIT taxable income for the years ended December 31:

| (in thousands) | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 59,961 | \$ 31,142 | \$ (44,203) |
| Less (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (3,582) | (926) | 403 |
| Less income allocated to perpetual preferred units | (7,000) | (7,000) | (7,000) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | 49,379 | 23,216 | (50,800) |
| Loss of taxable REIT subsidiaries included above | 539 | 2,056 | 25,124 |
| Net income (loss) from REIT operations | 49,918 | 25,272 | (25,676) |
| Book depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations | 188,042 | 179,662 | 178,607 |
| Tax depreciation and amortization | (155,636) | (158,134) | (164,639) |
| Book/tax difference on gains/losses from capital transactions | (4,315) | 37,798 | (7,059) |
| Book/tax difference on impairment associated with land development activities | | | 62,397 |
| Other book/tax differences, net | 8,205 | (10,565) | (24,188) |
| REIT taxable income | 86,214 | 74,033 | 19,442 |
| Dividends paid deduction | (143,657) | (124,999) | (128,507) |
| Dividends paid in excess of taxable income | \$ (57,443) | \$ (50,966) | \$ (109,065) |

A schedule of per share distributions we paid and reported to our shareholders is set forth in the following table:

| Common Share Distributions | September 30, | September 30, | September 30, |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2011 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 2009 |
| Ordinary income | \$ 1.08 | \$ 0.89 | \$ 1.74 |
| Long-term capital gain | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.25 |
| Unrecaptured Sec. 1250 gain | 0.23 | 0.48 | 0.06 |
| Return of capital | 0.52 | 0.23 | |
| Total | \$ 1.96 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 2.05 |
| Percentage of distributions representing tax preference items | 2.83% | 3.91% | 3.94% |

We have taxable REIT subsidiaries which are subject to federal and state income taxes. At December 31, 2011, our taxable REIT subsidiaries had net operating loss carryforwards (NOL s) of approximately \$29.0 million which expire in years 2019 to 2031. Because NOL s are subject to certain change of ownership, continuity of business, and separate return year limitations, and because it is unlikely the available NOL s will be utilized or because we consider any amounts possibly utilized to be immaterial, no benefits of these NOL s have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements.

The carrying value of net assets reported in our consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2011 exceeded the tax basis by approximately \$972.8 million.

Income Tax Expense - Current. For the tax years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, we had current income tax expense of approximately \$2.2 million, \$1.6 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively. Income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 included approximately \$1.0 million associated with the gain recognized by one of our taxable REIT subsidiaries on the sale of an available-for-sale investment during 2011 and also were comprised of entity level state income taxes on certain ventures and federal income tax related to another

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

one of our taxable REIT subsidiaries. The 2010 income tax expense was comprised mainly of entity level state income taxes on certain ventures and federal income tax related to one of our taxable REIT subsidiaries. The 2009 income tax expense was comprised mainly of state income taxes.

Income Tax Expense - Deferred. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, our deferred tax expense was not significant.

The company and its subsidiaries' income tax returns are subject to examination by federal, state and local tax jurisdictions for years 2008 through 2010. Net income tax loss carry forwards and other tax attributes generated in years prior to 2008 are also subject to challenge in any examination of those tax years. The company and its subsidiaries are not under any notice of audit from any taxing authority at year end 2011. We believe we have no uncertain tax positions or unrecognized tax benefits requiring disclosure for the periods presented.

F-17

Table of Contents**7. Property Acquisitions, Discontinued Operations, Assets Held for Sale, and Impairments**

Acquisitions. During 2011, we acquired 30.1 acres of land located in Atlanta, Georgia for approximately \$40.1 million and 2.2 acres of land in Glendale, California for approximately \$21.4 million. We intend to utilize these land holdings for development of multiple multifamily apartment communities. We did not acquire any operating properties during the year ended December 31, 2011.

In August 2010, the ownership of one of our joint ventures, which owns a multifamily property located in Irvine, California, was restructured and resulted in our ownership interest increasing from 30% to 99.99%. We previously accounted for this joint venture in accordance with the equity method of accounting. Following this restructuring, we have consolidated this entity for financial reporting purposes. At the time of this restructuring, we recorded the assets and liabilities of the joint venture at fair value, which resulted in an increase of real estate assets of approximately \$92.7 million and a reduction to investments in joint ventures and notes receivable-affiliates of approximately \$21.2 million and \$20.7 million, respectively. We did not record a gain or loss on this restructuring as the net consideration approximated the fair market value of the net assets received. Subsequent to this restructuring, we repaid the joint venture's existing \$52.1 million secured note, which accrued interest at LIBOR plus 2.25%, and the joint venture entered into a 35 year secured credit agreement with a third-party lender in the amount of \$53.0 million with an effective annual interest rate of approximately 4.35%.

In December 2010, the ownership of two of our joint ventures, which own multifamily properties located in Houston, Texas and College Park, Maryland, were restructured and resulted in our ownership interests increasing from 30% to 99.99%. We previously accounted for these joint ventures in accordance with the equity method of accounting. Following this restructuring, we have consolidated these entities for financial reporting purposes. At the time of this restructuring, we recorded the assets and liabilities of the joint ventures at fair value, which resulted in an increase of real estate assets of approximately \$146.2 million and a reduction to investments in joint ventures and notes receivable-affiliates of approximately \$2.4 million and \$14.3 million, respectively. We did not record a gain or loss on this restructuring as the net consideration approximated the fair market value of the net assets received. Subsequent to this restructuring, we repaid one joint venture's existing \$108.8 million secured note, which accrued interest at LIBOR plus 2.0%. Additionally, we assumed the debt of one of the joint venture's secured notes with third-party lenders for approximately \$27.2 million, and repaid one of the secured notes for approximately \$4.6 million. The remaining \$22.6 million secured note matures in May 2019 and has an effective annual interest rate of 5.33%.

The three restructured joint ventures, discussed above, contributed revenues of approximately \$2.6 million and earnings, which represent property revenues less property expenses, of approximately \$1.5 million, from their respective consolidation dates through December 31, 2010. These three joint ventures contributed revenues and earnings of approximately \$20.8 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following unaudited pro forma summary presents consolidated information (as adjusted for discontinued operations in 2011) assuming the acquisition of control of the three joint ventures had occurred on January 1, 2009.

| (in thousands) | September 30, Pro Forma Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 (unaudited) |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Property revenues | \$ 618,611 | \$ 621,101 |
| Property operating income | \$ 368,264 | \$ 375,252 |

Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale. For the year ended December 31, 2011, income from discontinued operations included the results of operations of two operating properties, containing 788 apartment homes, sold in December 2011. For the years 2010 and 2009, income from discontinued operations also included the results of operations of two operating properties sold during 2010 and one operating property sold during 2009 through their sale dates.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, income from discontinued operations also included the results of operations of one operating property, containing 357 apartment homes, classified as held for sale at December 31, 2011. This property was sold in January 2012 for approximately \$24.5 million.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the years presented below:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Property revenues | \$ 9,206 | \$ 19,728 | \$ 23,686 |
| Property expenses | 4,696 | 9,170 | 10,335 |
| | 4,510 | 10,558 | 13,351 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,298 | 5,198 | 5,837 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 2,212 | \$ 5,360 | \$ 7,514 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ 24,621 | \$ 9,614 | \$ 16,887 |

Impairment. The impairment associated with land development activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 totaled approximately \$72.2 million representing the difference between the estimated fair value and the carrying value of various land holdings for development projects we either placed on hold or planned to not pursue.

Impairment for the year ended December 31, 2009 also included the write-off of \$13.4 million of costs capitalized and exit costs associated with a land development joint venture we placed on hold. In the fourth quarter of 2010, this joint venture was dissolved.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we wrote-off a \$1.0 million investment associated with a technology investment which we determined was no longer recoverable.

There were no impairments for the year ended December 31, 2011.

8. Investments in Joint Ventures

As of December 31, 2011, our equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, which we account for utilizing the equity method of accounting, consisted of 17 joint ventures, with our ownership percentages ranging from 15% to 50%. We currently provide property management services to each of these joint ventures which own operating properties, and we may provide construction and development services to the joint ventures which own properties under development. The following table summarizes aggregate balance sheet and statement of income data for the unconsolidated joint ventures as of and for the periods presented (in millions):

| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Total assets | | | \$ 1,394.9 | \$ 935.3 |
| Total third-party debt | | | 1,093.9 | 810.1 |
| Total equity | | | 261.6 | 105.3 |
| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
| Total revenues | \$ 164.4 | | \$137.6 | \$ 137.3 |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, net of tax | 17.4 | | | |
| Net income (loss) | (3.2) | | (19.1) | (18.0) |
| Equity in income (loss) (1) | 5.7 | | (0.8) | 0.7 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

(1) *Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated joint ventures excludes our ownership interest of fee income from various property management services and interest income from mezzanine loans with our joint ventures.*

The joint ventures in which we have an interest have been funded in part with secured third-party debt. As of December 31, 2011, we had no outstanding guarantees related to loans of our unconsolidated joint ventures.

We may earn fees for property management, construction, development, and other services related to joint ventures in which we own an interest. Fees earned for these services, excluding third-party construction fees, amounted to approximately \$9.3 million, \$6.2 million, and \$6.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. We eliminate fee income for these services provided to these joint ventures to the extent of our ownership. Fees earned on third-party construction projects amounted to approximately \$0.7 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

During March 2011, we sold our ownership interests in three unconsolidated joint ventures for total proceeds of approximately \$19.3 million and recognized a gain of approximately \$1.1 million.

In April 2011, we sold one of our development properties in Washington, D.C. to one of our discretionary investment funds (the Funds), in which we have a 20% interest, for approximately \$9.4 million and we were reimbursed for previously written-off third-party development costs, resulting in a gain of approximately \$4.7 million. Additionally, in June 2011, we sold one of our development properties located in Austin, Texas to this Fund for approximately \$3.1 million, resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.1 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Funds also acquired eighteen multifamily properties comprised of 2,846 units located in Houston, Texas, 1,250 units located in Dallas, Texas, 768 units located in Austin, Texas, 450 units located in Tampa, Florida, 528 units located in San Antonio, Texas, and 234 units located in Atlanta, Georgia. In January 2012, one of the Funds acquired one multifamily property comprised of 350 units located in Raleigh, North Carolina.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, one of our unconsolidated joint ventures sold four operating properties consisting of 1,194 units located in Louisville, Kentucky. Our proportionate share of the gain was approximately \$6.4 million, which was reported as a component of equity in income (loss) of joint ventures in the consolidated statements of income (loss) and comprehensive income.

In January 2012, we purchased the remaining 80% ownership interest in twelve unconsolidated joint ventures for approximately \$99.5 million and assumed approximately \$272.6 million in mortgage debt associated with these joint ventures. The purchase price and subsequent repayment of the mortgage debt was funded by proceeds received from a public equity offering as discussed in Note 4, Common Shares. These joint ventures are comprised of 4,034 units located in Dallas, Houston, Las Vegas, Phoenix, and Southern California. We previously accounted for these joint ventures in accordance with the equity method of accounting as of December 31, 2011. Following the completion of this transaction, we will consolidate these entities for financial reporting purposes. As of the date of this filing, the initial accounting for the purchase price allocation has not been completed as we are awaiting the completion of our analysis of the net assets acquired which we expect to be completed by the end of the first quarter 2012.

9. Notes Payable

The following is a summary of our indebtedness:

| (in millions) | September 30, December 31, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Commercial Banks | | |
| Unsecured line of credit and short-term borrowings | \$ | \$ |
| Term loan, due 2012 | | 500.0 |
| | \$ | \$ 500.0 |
| Senior unsecured notes | | |
| 7.69% Notes, due 2011 | | 88.0 |
| 5.93% Notes, due 2012 | 189.6 | 189.5 |
| 5.45% Notes, due 2013 | 199.7 | 199.6 |
| 5.08% Notes, due 2015 | 249.3 | 249.2 |
| 5.75% Notes, due 2017 | 246.2 | 246.1 |
| 4.70% Notes, due 2021 | 248.6 | |
| 5.00% Notes, due 2023 | 247.3 | |
| | 1,380.7 | 972.4 |
| Medium-term notes | | |
| 4.99% Notes, due 2011 | | 35.4 |
| Total unsecured notes payable | 1,380.7 | 1,507.8 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| Secured notes | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0.91% 6.00% Conventional Mortgage Notes, due 2012 2045 | | 1,012.3 | 1,015.7 |
| 1.59% Tax-exempt Mortgage Note, due 2028 | | 39.1 | 40.3 |
| | | 1,051.4 | 1,056.0 |
| Total notes payable | | \$ 2,432.1 | \$ 2,563.8 |
| Floating rate tax-exempt debt included in secured notes (1.59%) | | \$ 39.1 | \$ 40.3 |
| Floating rate debt included in secured notes (0.91% 1.73%) | | 206.4 | 189.9 |
| Value of real estate assets, at cost, subject to secured notes | | 1,651.0 | 1,629.6 |

F-20

Table of Contents

In September 2011, we amended our \$500 million unsecured credit facility to extend the maturity date from August 2012 to September 2015 with an option to extend to September 2016. Additionally, we now have the option to increase this credit facility to \$750 million by either adding additional banks to the credit facility or obtaining the agreement of existing banks to increase their commitments. The interest rate is based upon LIBOR plus a margin which is subject to change as our credit ratings change. Advances under the line of credit may be priced at the scheduled rates, or we may enter into bid rate loans with participating banks at rates below the scheduled rates. These bid rate loans have terms of 180 days or less and may not exceed the lesser of \$250 million or the remaining amount available under the line of credit. The line of credit is subject to customary financial covenants and limitations, all of which we are in compliance.

Our line of credit provides us with the ability to issue up to \$100 million in letters of credit. While our issuance of letters of credit does not increase our borrowings outstanding under our line of credit, it does reduce the amount available. At December 31, 2011, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling approximately \$10.4 million, leaving approximately \$489.6 million available under our unsecured line of credit.

In June 2011, we issued from our existing shelf registration statement \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 4.625% senior unsecured notes due June 2021 (the 2021 Notes) and \$250 million aggregate principal amount of 4.875% senior unsecured notes due June 2023 (the 2023 Notes and, together with the 2021 Notes, the Notes). The 2021 Notes were offered to the public at 99.404% of their face amount with a yield to maturity of 4.70% and the 2023 Notes were offered to the public at 98.878% of their face amount with a yield to maturity of 5.00%. We received net proceeds of approximately \$491.8 million, net of underwriter discounts and other offering expenses. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually on June 15 and December 15, beginning December 15, 2011. We may redeem each series of Notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a redemption price equal to the principal amount and accrued interest of the notes being redeemed, plus a make-whole provision. If, however, we redeem Notes of either series 90 days or fewer prior to their maturity date, the redemption price will equal 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest on the amount being redeemed to the redemption date. Each series of Notes is a direct, senior unsecured obligation and ranks equally with each other and with all of our other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. We used the proceeds from this offering, together with cash on hand, to repay our outstanding \$500 million term loan. In conjunction with the repayment of the \$500 million term loan, we expensed approximately \$0.5 million of unamortized loan costs.

As part of the 2005 Summit merger, we assumed certain debt and recorded approximately \$33.9 million as a fair value adjustment which is being amortized over the respective debt terms. As of December 31, 2011, the fair value adjustment was fully amortized. We recorded amortization of the fair value adjustment, which resulted in a decrease of interest expense of approximately \$0.4 million, \$1.1 million, and \$2.3 million during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the weighted average interest rate on our floating rate debt, which includes our unsecured line of credit, was approximately 1.1% and 1.3%, respectively.

Our indebtedness, including our unsecured line of credit, had a weighted average maturity of 6.8 years at December 31, 2011. Scheduled repayments on outstanding debt, including all contractual extensions which have been exercised, including our line of credit and scheduled principal amortizations, and the weighted average interest rate on maturing debt at December 31, 2011 were as follows:

| (in millions) | September 30, | September 30, |
|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
| 2012 | \$ 294.2 | 5.2% |
| 2013 | 228.0 | 5.4 |
| 2014 | 11.0 | 6.0 |
| 2015 | 252.4 | 5.1 |
| 2016 (1) | 2.6 | N/A |
| 2017 and thereafter | 1,643.9 | 4.6 |
| Total | \$ 2,432.1 | 4.8% |

(1) Includes only scheduled principal amortizations.

Table of Contents**10. Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities**

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives. We are exposed to certain risks arising from both our business operations and economic conditions. We principally manage our exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of our core business activities. We manage economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of our debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, we may enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures arising from business activities resulting in differences in the amount, timing, and duration of our known or expected cash payments principally related to our borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk. Our objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish these objectives, we primarily use interest rate swaps and caps as part of our interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps involve the receipt of variable rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for us making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps involve the receipt of variable rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an upfront premium.

Designated Hedges. In August 2011, our interest rate swap, with a notional amount of \$16.6 million, matured and settled. As a result of the settlement, we did not have any designated hedges as of December 31, 2011. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives, if any, is recognized directly in earnings. No portion of designated hedges was ineffective during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

Non-designated Hedges. Derivatives are not entered into for speculative purposes and are used to manage our exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks. Our non-designated hedges are either specifically non-designated by management or do not meet strict hedge accounting requirements. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings in other income or other expense.

In connection with the repayment of the \$500 million term loan on June 6, 2011, we discontinued the hedging relationship on a \$500 million interest rate swap used as a cash flow hedge as of May 31, 2011. Upon repayment of the loan, which eliminated the probable future variable monthly interest payments that were being hedged, we recognized a non-cash charge of approximately \$29.8 million which included the accelerated reclassification of amounts previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to this swap. Subsequent changes in the market value of the interest rate swap, which matures in October 2012, will be recorded directly in earnings over the remaining life of the swap in interest and other income. Due to, among other matters, the relatively short remaining life of the swap (which matures in October 2012) and the low expectation of the swap becoming a significantly larger liability, management elected to leave this interest rate swap in place through its original maturity rather than cash settle the swap.

As of December 31, 2011, we had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives which were not designated as hedges of interest rate risk:

| Interest Rate Derivative | September 30, Number of Instruments | September 30, Notional Amount |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Interest Rate Cap | 1 | \$175.0 million |
| Interest Rate Swap | 1 | \$500.0 million |

Table of Contents

The table below presents the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31 (in millions):

| | Fair Values of Derivative Instruments | | | | Fair Values of Derivative Instruments | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
| | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | | | | | Other Liabilities | \$ | Other Liabilities | \$ 36.9 |
| Derivatives designated not as hedging instruments | | | | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swap | | | | | Other Liabilities | \$ 16.6 | Other Liabilities | \$ |
| Interest Rate Cap | Other Assets | \$ 0.1 | Other Assets | \$ | | | | |

The tables below present the effect of our derivative financial instruments in the consolidated statements of income (loss) and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31 (in millions).

| Derivatives in Cash Flow | Effect of Derivative Instruments | | | | | | | Amount of Loss Recognized in Statement of Income (Discontinuation, Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|---------|---------|--|---------|------|
| | Unrealized Loss Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) on Derivative (Effective Portion) | | | Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | | | Location of Loss Recognized in Statement of Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | 2011 | 2010 |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps (1) | \$ (2.7) | \$ (19.1) | \$ (12.3) | Interest Expense | \$ 9.9 | \$ 23.4 | \$ 22.2 | Loss on discontinuation of hedging relationship | \$ 29.8 | \$ |

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | September 30, Location of Income (Loss) Recognized in Statement of Income | September 30, Amount of Income (Loss) Recognized in Statement of Income | September 30, Amount of Income (Loss) Recognized in Statement of Income | September 30, Amount of Income (Loss) Recognized in Statement of Income |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest Rate Cap | Other income/(loss) | \$ (0.1) | \$ | \$ |
| Interest Rate Swap | Other income/(loss) | (0.2) | | |

(1) The results include the interest rate swap gain (loss) prior to discontinuation in May 2011.

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features. Derivative financial investments expose us to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties under the terms of the interest rate hedge agreements. We believe we minimize our credit risk on these transactions by transacting with major creditworthy financial institutions. As part of our on-going control procedures, we monitor the credit ratings of counterparties and our exposure to any single entity, which we believe minimizes credit risk concentration.

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Our agreements with each of our derivative counterparties contain provisions which provide the counterparty the right to declare a default on our derivative obligations if we are in default on any of our indebtedness, subject to certain thresholds. For all instances, these provisions include a default even if there is no acceleration of the indebtedness. Our agreements with each of our derivative counterparties also provide if we consolidate with, merge with or into, or transfer all or substantially all our assets to another entity and the creditworthiness of the resulting, surviving, or transferee entity is materially weaker than ours, the counterparty has the right to terminate the derivative obligations.

At December 31, 2011, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk (the termination value), related to these agreements was approximately \$18.2 million. As of December 31, 2011, we had not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If we were in breach of any of these provisions at December 31, 2011, or terminated these agreements, we would have been required to settle our obligations at their aggregate termination value of approximately \$18.2 million.

F-23

Table of Contents

11. Share-based Compensation and Benefit Plans

Incentive Compensation. During the second quarter of 2011, our Board of Trust Managers adopted, and on May 11, 2011 our shareholders approved, the 2011 Share Incentive Plan of Camden Property Trust (the 2011 Share Plan). Under the 2011 Share Plan, we may issue up to a total of approximately 9.1 million fungible units (the Fungible Pool Limit), which is comprised of approximately 5.8 million new fungible units plus approximately 3.3 million fungible units previously available for issuance under our 2002 share incentive plan based on a 3.45 to 1.0 fungible unit-to full value award conversion ratio. Fungible units represent the baseline for the number of shares available for issuance under the 2011 Share Plan. Different types of awards are counted differently against the Fungible Pool Limit, as follows:

Each share issued or to be issued in connection with an award, other than an option, right or other award which does not deliver the full value at grant of the underlying shares, will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 3.45 fungible pool units;

Options and other awards which do not deliver the full value at grant of the underlying shares and which expire more than five years from date of grant will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as one fungible pool unit; and

Options, rights and other awards which do not deliver the full value at date of grant and expire five years or less from the date of grant will be counted against the Fungible Pool Limit as 0.83 of a fungible pool unit.

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 9.1 million fungible units were available under the 2011 Share Plan, which results in approximately 2.6 million common shares which could be granted pursuant to full value awards based on the 3.45 to 1.0 fungible unit-to-full value award conversion ratio.

Awards which may be granted under the 2011 Share Plan include incentive share options, non-qualified share options (which may be granted separately or in connection with an option), share awards, dividends and dividend equivalents and other equity based awards. Persons eligible to receive awards under the 2011 Share Plan are trust managers, directors of our affiliates, executive and other officers, key employees and consultants, as determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Trust Managers. The 2011 Share Plan will expire on May 11, 2021.

Options. Options are exercisable, subject to the terms and conditions of the plan, in increments ranging from 20% to 33.33% per year on each of the anniversaries of the date of grant. The plan provides that the exercise price of an option will be determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Trust Managers on the day of grant, and to date all options have been granted at an exercise price that equals the fair market value on the date of grant. Options exercised during 2011 were exercised at prices ranging from \$30.06 to \$62.32 per option. At December 31, 2011, outstanding options and exercisable options had a weighted average remaining life of approximately 4.7 years and 3.5 years, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was approximately \$9.6 million, \$1.5 million, and \$0.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$1.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested options, which is expected to be amortized over the next three years.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes outstanding share options and exercisable options at December 31, 2011:

| Range of Exercise Prices | September 30, Outstanding Options (1) | September 30, Weighted Average Price | September 30, Exercisable Options (1) | September 30, Weighted Average Price |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | Number | | Number | |
| \$30.06-\$41.16 | 470,019 | \$ 32.06 | 176,314 | \$ 35.39 |
| \$42.90-\$45.53 | 466,060 | 44.32 | 428,797 | 44.36 |
| \$48.02-\$73.32 | 403,457 | 51.79 | 253,068 | 54.03 |
| Total options | 1,339,536 | \$ 42.27 | 858,179 | \$ 45.37 |

(1) The aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$27.0 million and \$14.7 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic values were calculated as the excess, if any, between our closing share price of \$62.24 per share on December 31, 2011 and the strike price of the underlying award.

Valuation Assumptions. Options generally have a vesting period of three to five years. We estimate the fair values of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. No new options were granted in 2011.

The following assumptions were used for options granted during each respective period:

| | September 30, Year Ended | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
| Weighted average fair value of options granted | \$11.69 | \$ 3.06 |
| Expected volatility | 35.6% | 39.2% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.6% | 3.7% |
| Expected dividend yield | 4.1% | 4.4% |
| Expected life (in years) | 7 | 9 |

Our computations of expected volatility for 2010 and 2009 are based on the historical volatility of our common shares over a time period equal to the expected life of the option and ending on the grant date. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected dividend yield on our common shares is estimated using the annual dividends paid in the prior year and the market price on the date of grant. Our computations of expected life for 2010 and 2009 are estimated based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the share-based awards.

Share Awards and Vesting. Share awards generally have a vesting period of five years. The compensation cost for share awards is based on the market value of the shares on the date of grant and is amortized over the vesting period. To estimate forfeitures, we use actual forfeiture history. At December 31, 2011, the unamortized value of previously issued unvested share awards was approximately \$27.3 million which is expected to be amortized over the next four years. The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 was approximately \$11.5 million, \$10.6 million, and \$10.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2011, there were approximately 2.6 million full value share awards available for issuance.

Total compensation cost for option and share awards charged against income was approximately \$12.3 million, \$11.7 million, and \$8.7 million for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Total capitalized compensation cost for option and share awards was approximately \$0.9 million, \$1.0 million, and \$1.7 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes activity under our share incentive plans for the three years ended December 31:

| | September 30, Options Outstanding | September 30, Weighted Average Exercise / Grant Price | September 30, Nonvested Share Awards Outstanding | September 30, Weighted Average Exercise / Grant Price |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Options and nonvested share awards outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 1,536,527 | \$ 44.96 | 520,285 | \$ 59.40 |
| 2009 Activity: | | | | |
| Granted | 489,509 | 30.06 | 329,018 | 30.11 |
| Exercised/Vested | (18,521) | 33.45 | (188,892) | 53.76 |
| Forfeited | (24,157) | 45.08 | (65,258) | 51.06 |
| Net activity | 446,831 | | 74,868 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 1,983,358 | \$ 41.39 | 595,153 | \$ 46.20 |
| 2010 Activity: | | | | |
| Granted | 55,895 | 43.94 | 372,661 | 40.05 |
| Exercised/Vested | (141,213) | 32.54 | (214,923) | 49.17 |
| Forfeited | (50,904) | 46.65 | (11,386) | 39.64 |
| Net activity | (136,222) | | 146,352 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 1,847,136 | \$ 42.37 | 741,505 | \$ 42.16 |
| 2011 Activity: | | | | |
| Granted | | | 347,084 | 57.00 |
| Exercised/Vested | (504,838) | 42.59 | (243,874) | 47.19 |
| Forfeited | (2,762) | 48.02 | (25,961) | 44.51 |
| Net activity | (507,600) | | 77,249 | |
| Total options and nonvested share awards outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 1,339,536 | \$ 42.27 | 818,754 | \$ 46.88 |

Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP). We have established an ESPP for all active employees and officers who have completed one year of continuous service. Participants may elect to purchase our common shares through payroll deductions and/or through semi-annual contributions. At the end of each six-month offering period, each participant's account balance is applied to acquire common shares at 85% of the market value, as defined, on the first or last day of the offering period, whichever price is lower. We currently use treasury shares to satisfy ESPP share requirements. Each participant must hold the shares purchased for nine months in order to receive the discount, and a participant may not purchase more than \$25,000 in value of shares during any plan year, as defined. The following table presents information related to our ESPP:

| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Shares purchased | 19,914 | 29,100 | 34,649 |
| Weighted average fair value of shares purchased | \$ 63.29 | \$ 50.70 | \$ 35.68 |
| Expense recorded (in millions) | \$ 0.3 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.4 |

In January 2012, approximately 4,721 shares were purchased under the ESPP related to the 2011 plan year.

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Rabbi Trust. We established a rabbi trust for a select group of participants in which share awards granted under the share incentive plan and salary and other cash amounts earned may be deposited. The rabbi trust is an irrevocable trust and no portion of the trust fund may be used for any purpose other than the delivery of those assets to the participants. The assets held in the rabbi trust are subject to the claims of our general creditors in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency. The rabbi trust is in use only for deferrals made prior to 2005, including bonuses related to service in 2004 but paid in 2005.

The value of the assets of the rabbi trust is consolidated into our financial statements based on GAAP. Granted share awards held by the rabbi trust are classified in equity in a manner similar to the manner in which treasury stock is accounted. Subsequent changes in the fair value of the shares are not recognized. The deferred compensation obligation is classified as an equity instrument and changes in the fair value of the amount owed to the

Table of Contents

participant are not recognized. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 2.0 million share awards were held in the rabbi trust. Additionally, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the rabbi trust held trading securities totaling approximately \$45.2 million and \$53.1 million, respectively, which represents cash deferrals made by plan participants. Market value fluctuations on these trading securities are recognized in income in accordance with GAAP and the liability due to participants is adjusted accordingly.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately \$28.7 million and \$31.4 million, respectively, was required to be paid to us by plan participants upon the withdrawal of any assets from the rabbi trust, and is included in Accounts receivable-affiliates in our consolidated financial statements.

Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan. The Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan), effective December 1, 2004, is an unfunded arrangement established and maintained primarily for the benefit of a select group of participants. Eligible participants shall commence participation in the Plan on the date the deferral election first becomes effective. We will credit to the participant's account an amount equal to the amount designated as the participant's deferral for the plan year as indicated in the participant's deferral election(s). Any modification to or termination of the Plan will not reduce a participant's right to any vested amounts already credited to his or her account. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 0.9 million and 0.7 million share awards, respectively, were held in the Plan. Additionally, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Plan held trading securities totaling approximately \$14.5 million and \$14.3 million, respectively, which represents cash deferrals made by plan participants. Market value fluctuations on these trading securities are recognized in income in accordance with GAAP and the liability due to participants is adjusted accordingly.

401(k) Savings Plan. We have a 401(k) savings plan, which is a voluntary defined contribution plan. Under the savings plan, every employee is eligible to participate, beginning on the date the employee has completed six months of continuous service with us. Each participant may make contributions to the savings plan by means of a pre-tax salary deferral, which may not be less than 1% or more than 60% of the participant's compensation. The federal tax code limits the annual amount of salary deferrals which may be made by any participant. We may make matching contributions on the participant's behalf up to a predetermined limit. The matching contribution made for the year ended December 31, 2011 was approximately \$1.8 million, and was approximately \$1.3 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. A participant's salary deferral contribution is 100% vested and nonforfeitable. A participant will become vested in our matching contributions 33% after one year of service, 67% after two years of service and 100% after three years of service. Administrative expenses under the savings plan were paid by us and were not significant for all periods presented.

12. Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents information about our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 under the fair value hierarchy discussed in Footnote 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

(in millions)

| | September 30, Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | September 30, December 31, 2011 Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | September 30, Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | September 30, Total | September 30, Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | September 30, December 31, 2010 Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | September 30, Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | September 30, Total |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------|
| Assets | | | | | | | | |
| Deferred compensation plan investments | \$ 41.0 | \$ | \$ | \$ 41.0 | \$ 46.7 | \$ | \$ | \$ 46.7 |
| Available-for-sale investment | | | | | 5.0 | | | 5.0 |
| Derivative financial instruments | | 0.1 | | 0.1 | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Derivative financial instruments | \$ | \$ 16.6 | \$ | \$ 16.6 | \$ | \$ 36.9 | \$ | \$ 36.9 |

Table of Contents

Other Fair Value Disclosures. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities, and distributions payable approximated fair value based on the short-term nature of these instruments.

In calculating the fair value of our notes receivable and notes payable, interest rates and spreads reflect current creditworthiness and market conditions available for the issuance of notes receivable and notes payable with similar terms and remaining maturities. The following table presents the carrying and estimated fair value of our notes receivable and notes payable for the years ended December 31:

| (in millions) | September 30, December 31, 2011 Carrying Value | September 30, 2011 Estimated Fair Value | September 30, December 31, 2010 Carrying Value | September 30, 2010 Estimated Fair Value |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Notes receivable affiliates | \$ | \$ | 3.2 | \$ 3.2 |
| Fixed rate notes payable (1) | 2,186.6 | 2,304.4 | 2,333.5 | 2,386.0 |
| Floating rate notes payable | 245.5 | 233.6 | 230.3 | 212.7 |

(1) December 31, 2010 includes a \$500 million term loan entered into in 2007, and a \$16.6 million construction loan entered into in 2008 which are effectively fixed by the use of interest rate swaps but evaluated for estimated fair value at the floating rate. The \$500 million term loan was repaid in June 2011. The \$16.6 million construction loan interest rate swap matured and was not extended in conjunction with the one-year extension of the loan in July 2011.

Nonrecurring Fair Value Disclosures. Nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis utilizing level 3 inputs, primarily relate to impairment of long-lived assets or investments. There were no events during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010 which required fair value adjustments of our nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities.

13. Net Change in Operating Accounts

The effect of changes in the operating accounts on cash flows from operating activities is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, Year Ended December 31, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Change in assets: | | | |
| Other assets, net | \$ 5,183 | \$ (895) | \$ 10,808 |
| Change in liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 2,026 | 2,209 | (10,511) |
| Accrued real estate taxes | (122) | (1,269) | (64) |
| Other liabilities | (17,152) | 4,188 | (172) |
| Other | 596 | 275 | 191 |
| Change in operating accounts | \$ (9,469) | \$ 4,508 | \$ 252 |

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Construction Contracts. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$180.0 million of additional anticipated expenditures on our construction projects currently under development. We expect to fund these amounts through a combination of available cash balances, cash flows generated from operations, draws on our unsecured credit facility, proceeds from property dispositions, and the use of debt and equity offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement.

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

Litigation. One of our wholly-owned subsidiaries previously acted as a general contractor for the construction of three apartment projects in Florida which were subsequently sold and converted to condominium units by unrelated third-parties. Each condominium association of those projects has asserted claims against our subsidiary alleging, in general, defective construction as a result of alleged negligence and an alleged failure to comply with building codes. On May 25, 2011, we mediated a pre-suit settlement agreement with one of the associations to resolve its alleged claims. Pursuant to this settlement agreement, we agreed to make a one-time payment to the association which was not material.

F-28

Table of Contents

The other two associations have filed suit against our subsidiary and other unrelated third parties in Florida claiming damages, in unspecified amounts, for the costs of repair arising out of the alleged defective construction as well as the recovery of incidental and consequential damages resulting from such alleged negligence. The remaining two lawsuits are in a very early stage and no significant discovery has been conducted. While we have denied liability to the remaining associations, it is not possible to determine the potential outcome nor is it possible to estimate a range of the amount of loss, if any, that would be associated with any potential adverse decision as these matters are in a very early stage and the pre-suit settlement mentioned above does not provide any basis for estimating losses, if any, in these two lawsuits.

We are also subject to various legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. Matters which arise out of allegations of bodily injury, property damage, and employment practices are generally covered by insurance. While the resolution of these legal proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Other Contingencies. In the ordinary course of our business, we issue letters of intent indicating a willingness to negotiate for acquisitions, dispositions, or joint ventures and also enter into arrangements contemplating various transactions. Such letters of intent and other arrangements are non-binding as to either party unless and until a definitive contract is entered into by the parties. Even if definitive contracts relating to the purchase or sale of real property are entered into, these contracts generally provide the purchaser with time to evaluate the property and conduct due diligence, during which periods the purchaser will have the ability to terminate the contracts without penalty or forfeiture of any deposit or earnest money. There can be no assurance definitive contracts will be entered into with respect to any matter covered by letters of intent or we will consummate any transaction contemplated by any definitive contract. Furthermore, due diligence periods for real property are frequently extended as needed. An acquisition or sale of real property becomes probable at the time the due diligence period expires and the definitive contract has not been terminated. We are then at risk under a real property acquisition contract, but generally only to the extent of any earnest money deposits associated with the contract, and are obligated to sell under a real property sales contract.

Lease Commitments. At December 31, 2011, we had long-term leases covering office facilities and equipment. Rental expense totaled approximately \$2.8, \$2.9, and \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Minimum annual rental commitments for the years ending December 31, 2012 through 2016 are approximately \$2.4 million, \$2.4 million, \$2.2 million, \$1.4 million, and \$0.2 million, respectively, and approximately \$0.7 million in the aggregate thereafter.

Investments in Joint Ventures. We have entered into, and may continue in the future to enter into, joint ventures or partnerships (including limited liability companies) through which we own an indirect economic interest in less than 100% of the community or land owned directly by the joint venture or partnership. Our decision whether to hold the entire interest in an apartment community or land ourselves, or to have an indirect interest in the community or land through a joint venture or partnership, is based on a variety of factors and considerations, including: (i) our projection, in some circumstances, that we will achieve higher returns on our invested capital or reduce our risk if a joint venture or partnership vehicle is used; (ii) our desire to diversify our portfolio of communities by market; (iii) our desire at times to preserve our capital resources to maintain liquidity or balance sheet strength; and (iv) the economic and tax terms required by a seller of land or of a community, who may prefer or who may require less payment if the land or community is contributed to a joint venture or partnership. Investments in joint ventures or partnerships are not limited to a specified percentage of our assets. Each joint venture or partnership agreement is individually negotiated, and our ability to operate and/or dispose of a community in our sole discretion is limited to varying degrees in our existing joint venture agreements and may be limited to varying degrees depending on the terms of future joint venture agreements.

We have discretionary investment vehicles, the Funds, to make direct and indirect investments in multifamily real estate throughout the United States, primarily through acquisitions of operating properties and certain land parcels which will be acquired by or contributed to the Funds for development. As of December 31, 2011, we had capital contributions in one of the Funds of approximately \$33.0 million; and it had a combined equity capital investment of \$165.0 million. At December 31, 2011, this Fund was closed for future investments. The remaining Fund will serve, until the earlier of (i) April 8, 2012, or (ii) such time as 90% of the Fund's committed capital is invested, as the exclusive vehicle through which we will acquire fully-developed multifamily properties, subject to certain exceptions. These exceptions include properties acquired in tax-deferred transactions, follow-on investments made with respect to prior investments, significant transactions which include the issuance of our securities, significant individual asset and portfolio acquisitions, significant merger and acquisition activities,

Table of Contents

acquisitions which are inadvisable or inappropriate for the Funds, transactions with our existing ventures, contributions or sales of properties to entities in which we remain an investor, and transactions approved by the Fund's advisory board. The Funds will not restrict our development activities and will terminate on April 8, 2018. We are currently targeting acquisitions for the Fund where value creation opportunities are present through one or more of the following: redevelopment activities, market cycle opportunities, or improved property operations. One of our wholly-owned subsidiaries is the general partner of each of the Funds, and we have committed 20% of the total equity of the remaining open Fund, up to \$37.5 million. We have received commitments to the remaining open Fund from an unaffiliated investor of \$150 million and this Fund is closed to additional investors. At December 31, 2011, our capital contribution to the remaining Fund was approximately \$23.7 million and it had a combined equity capital investment of \$118.4 million.

Employment Agreements. At December 31, 2011, we had employment agreements with nine of our senior officers, the terms of which expire at various times through August 20, 2012. Such agreements provide for minimum salary levels, as well as various incentive compensation arrangements, which are payable based on the attainment of specific goals. The agreements also provide for severance payments plus a gross-up payment if certain situations occur, such as termination without cause or a change of control. In the case of six of the agreements, the severance payment equals one times the respective current annual base salary in the case of termination without cause and 2.99 times the respective average annual base salary over the previous three fiscal years in the case of a change of control and a termination of employment or a material adverse change in the scope of their duties. In the case of one agreement, the severance payment equals one times the respective current annual base salary for termination without cause and 2.99 times the greater of current gross income or average gross income over the previous three fiscal years in the case of a change of control. In the case of the other two agreements, the severance payment generally equals 2.99 times the respective average annual compensation over the previous three fiscal years in connection with, among other things, a termination without cause or a change of control, and the officer would be entitled to receive continuation and vesting of certain benefits in the case of such termination.

15. Postretirement Benefits

We maintain a postretirement benefit for two former officers of Summit, who also serve on our Board of Trust Managers. Benefits received by these former employees include medical benefits and office space. Participants in the postretirement plan contribute to the cost of the medical benefits. Our contribution for medical benefits was limited to amounts between \$540 and \$1,260 per month per participant including dependents. We contributed approximately \$0.2 million for office space during the year ended December 31, 2011 and expect to contribute \$0.2 million for office space in 2012. For measurement purposes, a 7.8% rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care claims was assumed; the rate of increase was assumed to decrease until 2027 at which point the annual rate of increase would be 4.5% and remain at that level thereafter.

As of December 31, the status of our defined postretirement benefit plan, calculated using generally accepted actuarial principles and procedures, was as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Postretirement benefit obligation, beginning of year | \$ 2,844 | \$ 2,949 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | 193 | 174 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 609 | (65) |
| Prior service cost | 291 | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (16) | |
| Benefits paid | (220) | (214) |
| Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation, end of year | \$ 3,701 | \$ 2,844 |

The weighted average discount rate used to determine the value of accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 4.50% and 6.10%, respectively. Postretirement liabilities are recorded in other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

The following table details the components of net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31 related to postretirement benefits.

| <u>(in thousands)</u> | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Interest cost | \$ 177 | \$ 174 | \$ 181 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 16 | | |
| Total net periodic benefit cost | \$ 193 | \$ 174 | \$ 181 |

The weighted average discount rate used to determine the value of the net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 was 5.25%, 6.10% and 6.29%, respectively.

The following table details the change in plan assets for the years ended December 31 related to postretirement benefits.

| <u>(in thousands)</u> | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Fair value of plan assets at end of prior year | \$ | \$ |
| Employer contributions | 220 | 214 |
| Benefits paid | (220) | (214) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ | \$ |

The following table details the amounts recognized in our accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at December 31 related to postretirement benefits.

| <u>(in thousands)</u> | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, beginning of year | \$ 201 | \$ 136 |
| Prior service cost arising during period | (291) | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 16 | |
| Net actuarial gain (loss) arising during period | (609) | 65 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), end of year | \$ (683) | \$ 201 |

During 2012, we expect amortization of prior service cost from other comprehensive income (loss) and recognized as benefit cost to be consistent with 2011.

The benefits expected to be paid in each of the next five fiscal years, and in the aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter, are as follows:

| <u>(in thousands)</u> | September 30, Estimated Benefit Payment |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Year Beginning January 1 | |
| 2012 | \$ 228 |
| 2013 | 233 |
| 2014 | 239 |
| 2015 | 244 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2016 | 245 |
| 2017-2021 | 1,273 |
| Total | \$ 2,462 |

The estimated benefit payments are based on assumptions about future events. Actual benefit payments may vary significantly from these estimates.

A 1% increase or decrease in assumed health care cost trend rates has no significant effect on the interest cost component of net periodic postretirement benefit costs. A 1% increase or decrease in assumed health care cost trend rates would increase or decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation by approximately \$0.1 million.

F-31

Table of Contents**16. Noncontrolling Interests**

The following table summarizes the effect of changes in our ownership interest in subsidiaries on the equity attributable to common shareholders for each of the years ended December 31:

| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | \$ 49,379 | \$ 23,216 | \$ (50,800) |
| Transfers from the noncontrolling interests: | | | |
| Increase in equity for conversion of operating partnership units | 592 | 3,528 | 3,761 |
| Increase in equity from purchase of noncontrolling interests | | | 647 |
| Change in common equity and net transfers from noncontrolling interests | \$ 49,971 | \$ 26,744 | \$ (46,392) |

17. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data, which has been adjusted for discontinued operations as discussed in Note 7, Property Acquisitions, Discontinued Operations, Assets Held for Sale and Impairments, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, is as follows:

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | September 30, First | September 30, Second | September 30, Third | September 30, Fourth | September 30, Total(a) |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2011: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 158,865 | \$ 162,750 | \$ 166,541 | \$ 167,712 | \$ 655,868 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders | 7,286 | (16,597) | 11,840 | 46,850 | 49,379 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders per share basic | 0.10 | (0.23)(b) | 0.16 | 0.63(c) | 0.67 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders per share diluted | 0.10 | (0.23)(b) | 0.16 | 0.62(c) | 0.66 |
| 2010: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 147,218 | \$ 149,049 | \$ 152,037 | \$ 153,146 | \$ 601,450 |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders | 2,285 | 2,134 | 1,650 | 17,147 | 23,216 |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders per share basic | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.24(d) | 0.33 |
| Net income attributable to common shareholders per share diluted | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.24(d) | 0.33 |

(a) Net income per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of quarterly net income (loss) per share amounts may not equal the total computed for the year.

(b) Includes a \$29,791 loss, or \$0.41 basic and diluted per share, impact related to a loss on discontinuation of a hedging relationship.

(c) Includes a \$24,621, or \$0.33 basic and diluted per share, impact related to the gain on sale of discontinued operations.

(d) Includes a \$9,614, or \$0.14 basic and \$0.13 diluted per share, impact related to the gain on sale of discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Camden Property Trust**Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation****As of December 31, 2011****(in thousands)**

| | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 |
|----------------------|--|--|--------------|--|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | Total | | Year of | |
| | Building/ Construction in Progress & Land | Cost Subsequent to Acquisition/ Construction | Improvements | Building/ Construction in Progress & Land | Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Accumulated Depreciation | Encumbrances | Completion/ Acquisition |
| Current communities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camden Ashburn Farm | \$ 4,835 | \$ 22,604 | \$ 730 | \$ 4,835 | \$ 23,334 | \$ 28,169 | \$ 5,079 | \$ 23,090 | \$ | 2005 |
| Camden Aventura | 12,185 | 47,616 | 3,908 | 12,185 | 51,524 | 63,709 | 10,911 | 52,798 | 34,145 | 2005 |
| Camden Ballantyne | 4,503 | 30,250 | 3,020 | 4,503 | 33,270 | 37,773 | 6,998 | 30,775 | 26,025 | 2005 |
| Camden Bay | 7,450 | 63,283 | 5,371 | 7,450 | 68,654 | 76,104 | 22,815 | 53,289 | | 1998/2002 |
| Camden Bay Pointe | 1,296 | 10,394 | 6,042 | 1,296 | 16,436 | 17,732 | 10,600 | 7,132 | | 1997 |
| Camden Bayside | 3,726 | 28,689 | 13,525 | 3,726 | 42,214 | 45,940 | 23,480 | 22,460 | | 1997 |
| Camden Baytown | 520 | 13,071 | 2,026 | 520 | 15,097 | 15,617 | 6,337 | 9,280 | | 1999 |
| Camden Bel Air | 3,594 | 31,221 | 4,731 | 3,594 | 35,952 | 39,546 | 17,975 | 21,571 | | 1998 |
| Camden Breakers | 1,055 | 13,024 | 3,802 | 1,055 | 16,826 | 17,881 | 8,395 | 9,486 | | 1996 |
| Camden Breeze | 2,894 | 15,828 | 3,579 | 2,894 | 19,407 | 22,301 | 9,452 | 12,849 | | 1998 |
| Camden Brickell | 14,621 | 57,031 | 3,586 | 14,621 | 60,617 | 75,238 | 13,415 | 61,823 | | 2005 |
| Camden Brookwood | 7,174 | 31,984 | 1,907 | 7,174 | 33,891 | 41,065 | 7,665 | 33,400 | 22,624 | 2005 |
| Camden Buckingham | 2,704 | 21,251 | 2,677 | 2,704 | 23,928 | 26,632 | 10,452 | 16,180 | | 1997 |
| Camden Caley | 2,047 | 17,445 | 1,577 | 2,047 | 19,022 | 21,069 | 7,385 | 13,684 | 15,352 | 2000 |
| Camden Canyon | 1,802 | 11,666 | 4,556 | 1,802 | 16,222 | 18,024 | 7,800 | 10,224 | | 1998 |
| Camden Cedar Hills | 2,684 | 20,931 | 15 | 2,684 | 20,946 | 23,630 | 3,445 | 20,185 | | 2008 |
| Camden Centennial | 3,123 | 13,051 | 2,851 | 3,123 | 15,902 | 19,025 | 7,589 | 11,436 | | 1995 |
| Camden Centre | 172 | 1,166 | 255 | 172 | 1,421 | 1,593 | 733 | 860 | | 1998 |
| Camden Centreport | 1,613 | 12,644 | 1,827 | 1,613 | 14,471 | 16,084 | 6,486 | 9,598 | | 1997 |
| Camden Cimarron | 2,231 | 14,092 | 2,811 | 2,231 | 16,903 | 19,134 | 8,630 | 10,504 | | 1997 |
| Camden Citrus Park | 1,144 | 6,045 | 3,846 | 1,144 | 9,891 | 11,035 | 6,274 | 4,761 | | 1997 |
| Camden City Centre | 4,976 | 44,735 | 146 | 4,976 | 44,881 | 49,857 | 7,861 | 41,996 | 33,795 | 2007 |
| Camden Clearbrook | 2,384 | 44,017 | 98 | 2,384 | 44,115 | 46,499 | 7,875 | 38,624 | | 2007 |
| Camden Club | 4,453 | 29,811 | 7,100 | 4,453 | 36,911 | 41,364 | 21,164 | 20,200 | | 1998 |
| Camden College Park | 16,409 | 91,503 | 39 | 16,409 | 91,542 | 107,951 | 3,209 | 104,742 | | 2008 |
| Camden Commons | 2,476 | 20,073 | 5,023 | 2,476 | 25,096 | 27,572 | 14,332 | 13,240 | | 1998 |
| Camden Copper Ridge | 1,204 | 9,180 | 4,824 | 1,204 | 14,004 | 15,208 | 9,450 | 5,758 | | 1993 |
| Camden Copper Square | 4,825 | 23,672 | 2,434 | 4,825 | 26,106 | 30,931 | 10,133 | 20,798 | | 2000 |
| Camden Cotton Mills | 4,246 | 19,147 | 2,059 | 4,246 | 21,206 | 25,452 | 4,783 | 20,669 | | 2005 |
| Camden Cove | 1,382 | 6,266 | 1,397 | 1,382 | 7,663 | 9,045 | 4,199 | 4,846 | | 1998 |
| Camden Creek | 1,494 | 12,483 | 5,532 | 1,494 | 18,015 | 19,509 | 13,157 | 6,352 | | 1993 |
| Camden Crest | 4,412 | 33,366 | 1,724 | 4,412 | 35,090 | 39,502 | 7,669 | 31,833 | | 2005 |
| Camden Crown Valley | 9,381 | 54,210 | 2,006 | 9,381 | 56,216 | 65,597 | 18,672 | 46,925 | | 2001 |
| Camden Deerfield | 4,895 | 21,922 | 1,248 | 4,895 | 23,170 | 28,065 | 5,359 | 22,706 | 19,220 | 2005 |
| Camden Del Mar | 4,404 | 35,264 | 13,123 | 4,404 | 48,387 | 52,791 | 23,180 | 29,611 | | 1998 |
| Camden Dilworth | 516 | 16,633 | 129 | 516 | 16,762 | 17,278 | 3,403 | 13,875 | 13,073 | 2006 |
| Camden Doral | 10,260 | 40,416 | 1,109 | 10,260 | 41,525 | 51,785 | 8,877 | 42,908 | 26,825 | 2005 |
| Camden Doral Villas | 6,476 | 25,543 | 1,507 | 6,476 | 27,050 | 33,526 | 6,055 | 27,471 | | 2005 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|------|
| Camden Dulles Station | 10,807 | 61,548 | 111 | 10,807 | 61,659 | 72,466 | 8,169 | 64,297 | | 2008 |
| Camden Dunwoody | 5,290 | 23,642 | 1,767 | 5,290 | 25,409 | 30,699 | 5,665 | 25,034 | 21,168 | 2005 |
| Camden Fair Lakes | 15,515 | 104,223 | 3,100 | 15,515 | 107,323 | 122,838 | 22,104 | 100,734 | | 2005 |

S-1

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Camden Property Trust

Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

As of December 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | Total Cost, Net of | | | Year of |
| | Land | Building/ | Construction | Land | Building/ | Total | Accumulated | Depreciation | Incumbrances | Completion/ |
| | Improvements | Construction | Cost Subsequent | Improvements | Construction | Depreciation | Depreciation | Depreciation | Acquisition/ | Acquisition |
| | | in Progress & Acquisition/ | to Acquisition/ | | in Progress & Acquisition/ | | | | | |
| Camden Fairfax Corner | \$ 8,484 | \$ 72,953 | \$ 212 | \$ 8,484 | \$ 73,165 | \$ 81,649 | \$ 14,428 | \$ 67,221 | \$ | 2006 |
| Camden Fairview | 1,283 | 7,223 | 1,411 | 1,283 | 8,634 | 9,917 | 2,220 | 7,697 | | 2005 |
| Camden Fairways | 3,969 | 15,543 | 8,621 | 3,969 | 24,164 | 28,133 | 12,909 | 15,224 | | 1998 |
| Camden Falls Grove | 9,408 | 43,647 | 923 | 9,408 | 44,570 | 53,978 | 9,508 | 44,470 | | 2005 |
| Camden Farmers Market | 17,341 | 74,193 | 3,706 | 17,341 | 77,899 | 95,240 | 25,036 | 70,204 | 50,711 | 2001/2005 |
| Camden Forest | 970 | 7,209 | 2,521 | 970 | 9,730 | 10,700 | 5,720 | 4,980 | | 1997 |
| Camden Foxcroft | 1,408 | 7,919 | 2,472 | 1,408 | 10,391 | 11,799 | 2,736 | 9,063 | 9,040 | 2005 |
| Camden Gaines Ranch | 5,094 | 37,100 | 2,593 | 5,094 | 39,693 | 44,787 | 8,055 | 36,732 | | 2005 |
| Camden Gardens | 1,500 | 6,137 | 2,892 | 1,500 | 9,029 | 10,529 | 6,310 | 4,219 | | 1994 |
| Camden Glen Lakes | 2,157 | 16,339 | 13,076 | 2,157 | 29,415 | 31,572 | 22,952 | 8,620 | | 1993 |
| Camden Governor's Village | 3,669 | 20,508 | 1,486 | 3,669 | 21,994 | 25,663 | 5,084 | 20,579 | 13,004 | 2005 |
| Camden Grand Parc | 7,688 | 35,900 | 669 | 7,688 | 36,569 | 44,257 | 7,715 | 36,542 | | 2005 |
| Camden Grandview | 7,570 | 33,859 | 3,410 | 7,570 | 37,269 | 44,839 | 8,396 | 36,443 | | 2005 |
| Camden Greenway | 16,916 | 43,933 | 4,901 | 16,916 | 48,834 | 65,750 | 20,160 | 45,590 | 52,359 | 1999 |
| Camden Habersham | 1,004 | 10,283 | 2,941 | 1,004 | 13,224 | 14,228 | 8,254 | 5,974 | | 1997 |
| Camden Harbor View | 16,079 | 127,459 | 2,038 | 16,079 | 129,497 | 145,576 | 33,131 | 112,445 | 92,716 | 2003 |
| Camden Highlands Ridge | 2,612 | 34,726 | 3,813 | 2,612 | 38,539 | 41,151 | 15,216 | 25,935 | | 1996 |
| Camden Hills | 853 | 7,834 | 1,351 | 853 | 9,185 | 10,038 | 4,863 | 5,175 | | 1998 |
| Camden Hunter's Creek | 4,156 | 20,925 | 1,088 | 4,156 | 22,013 | 26,169 | 5,013 | 21,156 | | 2005 |
| Camden Huntingdon | 2,289 | 17,393 | 2,958 | 2,289 | 20,351 | 22,640 | 10,779 | 11,861 | | 1995 |
| Camden Interlocken | 5,293 | 31,612 | 4,124 | 5,293 | 35,736 | 41,029 | 14,171 | 26,858 | 27,431 | 1999 |
| Camden Lago Vista | 3,497 | 29,623 | 437 | 3,497 | 30,060 | 33,557 | 7,481 | 26,076 | | 2005 |
| Camden Lake Pine | 5,746 | 31,714 | 2,763 | 5,746 | 34,477 | 40,223 | 7,897 | 32,326 | 26,212 | 2005 |
| Camden Lakes | 3,106 | 22,746 | 10,630 | 3,106 | 33,376 | 36,482 | 22,085 | 14,397 | | 1997 |
| Camden Lakeside | 1,171 | 7,395 | 4,027 | 1,171 | 11,422 | 12,593 | 7,093 | 5,500 | | 1997 |
| Camden Lakeway | 3,915 | 34,129 | 4,449 | 3,915 | 38,578 | 42,493 | 16,637 | 25,856 | 29,267 | 1997 |
| Camden Landings | 1,045 | 6,434 | 3,786 | 1,045 | 10,220 | 11,265 | 6,649 | 4,616 | | 1997 |
| Camden Landsdowne | 15,502 | 102,267 | 2,343 | 15,502 | 104,610 | 120,112 | 22,634 | 97,478 | | 2005 |
| Camden Largo Town Center | 8,411 | 44,163 | 1,301 | 8,411 | 45,464 | 53,875 | 9,390 | 44,485 | | 2005 |
| Camden Las Olas | 12,395 | 79,518 | 1,678 | 12,395 | 81,196 | 93,591 | 17,690 | 75,901 | | 2005 |
| Camden Laurel Ridge | 915 | 4,338 | 2,436 | 915 | 6,774 | 7,689 | 4,510 | 3,179 | | 1994 |
| Camden Lee Vista | 4,350 | 34,643 | 3,463 | 4,350 | 38,106 | 42,456 | 13,881 | 28,575 | | 2000 |
| Camden Legacy | 4,068 | 26,612 | 4,423 | 4,068 | 31,035 | 35,103 | 14,713 | 20,390 | | 1998 |
| Camden Legacy Creek | 2,052 | 12,896 | 2,267 | 2,052 | 15,163 | 17,215 | 7,117 | 10,098 | | 1997 |
| Camden Legacy Park | 2,560 | 15,449 | 2,840 | 2,560 | 18,289 | 20,849 | 8,281 | 12,568 | 13,866 | 1997 |
| Camden Legends | 1,370 | 6,382 | 917 | 1,370 | 7,299 | 8,669 | 3,507 | 5,162 | | 1998 |
| Camden Live Oaks | 6,428 | 39,127 | 13,373 | 6,428 | 52,500 | 58,928 | 26,984 | 31,944 | | 1998 |
| Camden Main & Jamboree | 17,363 | 75,387 | 20 | 17,363 | 75,407 | 92,770 | 3,602 | 89,168 | 52,130 | 2008 |
| Camden Manor Park | 2,535 | 47,159 | 349 | 2,535 | 47,508 | 50,043 | 10,225 | 39,818 | 29,675 | 2006 |
| Camden Martinique | 28,401 | 51,861 | 10,747 | 28,401 | 62,608 | 91,009 | 26,928 | 64,081 | 39,061 | 1998 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Camden Midtown | 4,583 | 18,026 | 3,273 | 4,583 | 21,299 | 25,882 | 8,776 | 17,106 | 28,058 | 1999 |
| Camden Midtown Atlanta | 6,196 | 33,828 | 2,107 | 6,196 | 35,935 | 42,131 | 8,335 | 33,796 | 20,565 | 2005 |
| Camden Miramar | | 31,655 | 6,430 | | 38,085 | 38,085 | 13,906 | 24,179 | | 1994-2011 |
| Camden Monument Place | 9,030 | 54,089 | 47 | 9,030 | 54,136 | 63,166 | 9,101 | 54,065 | | 2007 |

S-2

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Camden Property Trust**Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation**

As of December 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--------------|--|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | Total | | | Year of | |
| | Building/ Construction in Progress & Land Improvements | Cost Subsequent to Acquisition/ Construction | Construction | Building/ Construction in Progress & Land Improvements | Total | Depreciation | Depreciation | Encumbrance | Acquisition | Completion/ | |
| Camden Oak Crest | \$ 2,078 | \$ 20,941 | \$ 1,051 | \$ 2,078 | \$ 21,992 | \$ 24,070 | \$ 7,061 | \$ 17,009 | \$ 17,309 | 2003 | |
| Camden Old Creek | 20,360 | 71,777 | 203 | 20,360 | 71,980 | 92,340 | 12,622 | 79,718 | | 2007 | |
| Camden Orange Court | 5,319 | 40,733 | 63 | 5,319 | 40,796 | 46,115 | 5,907 | 40,208 | | 2008 | |
| Camden Overlook | 4,591 | 25,563 | 2,606 | 4,591 | 28,169 | 32,760 | 6,707 | 26,053 | 19,546 | 2005 | |
| Camden Palisades | 8,406 | 31,497 | 7,080 | 8,406 | 38,577 | 46,983 | 18,074 | 28,909 | | 1998 | |
| Camden Park Commons | 1,146 | 11,311 | 1,916 | 1,146 | 13,227 | 14,373 | 6,351 | 8,022 | | 1997 | |
| Camden Peachtree City | 6,536 | 29,063 | 1,637 | 6,536 | 30,700 | 37,236 | 7,203 | 30,033 | | 2005 | |
| Camden Pinehurst | 3,380 | 14,807 | 6,568 | 3,380 | 21,375 | 24,755 | 19,715 | 5,040 | | 1997 | |
| Camden Pinnacle | 1,640 | 12,287 | 2,508 | 1,640 | 14,795 | 16,435 | 7,195 | 9,240 | | 1994 | |
| Camden Plantation | 6,299 | 77,964 | 4,270 | 6,299 | 82,234 | 88,533 | 17,357 | 71,176 | | 2005 | |
| Camden Plaza | 7,204 | 31,044 | 95 | 7,204 | 31,139 | 38,343 | 1,170 | 37,173 | 22,214 | 2007 | |
| Camden Pointe | 2,058 | 14,879 | 2,274 | 2,058 | 17,153 | 19,211 | 7,836 | 11,375 | | 1998 | |
| Camden Portofino | 9,867 | 38,702 | 2,300 | 9,867 | 41,002 | 50,869 | 8,701 | 42,168 | | 2005 | |
| Camden Potomac Yard | 16,498 | 88,317 | 51 | 16,498 | 88,368 | 104,866 | 13,075 | 91,791 | | 2008 | |
| Camden Preserve | 1,206 | 17,982 | 2,536 | 1,206 | 20,518 | 21,724 | 8,411 | 13,313 | | 1997 | |
| Camden Providence Lakes | 2,020 | 14,855 | 4,723 | 2,020 | 19,578 | 21,598 | 6,740 | 14,858 | | 2002 | |
| Camden Renaissance | 4,144 | 39,987 | 3,763 | 4,144 | 43,750 | 47,894 | 16,949 | 30,945 | | 1997 | |
| Camden Reserve | 3,910 | 20,027 | 7,438 | 3,910 | 27,465 | 31,375 | 15,300 | 16,075 | | 1997 | |
| Camden Reunion Park | 3,302 | 18,457 | 1,661 | 3,302 | 20,118 | 23,420 | 4,680 | 18,740 | 19,961 | 2005 | |
| Camden Ridgecrest | 1,008 | 12,720 | 2,528 | 1,008 | 15,248 | 16,256 | 7,970 | 8,286 | | 1995 | |
| Camden River | 5,386 | 24,025 | 2,863 | 5,386 | 26,888 | 32,274 | 6,344 | 25,930 | 21,614 | 2005 | |
| Camden Roosevelt | 11,470 | 45,785 | 481 | 11,470 | 46,266 | 57,736 | 10,103 | 47,633 | | 2005 | |
| Camden Royal Oaks | 1,055 | 20,046 | 160 | 1,055 | 20,206 | 21,261 | 4,780 | 16,481 | | 2006 | |
| Camden Royal Palms | 2,147 | 38,339 | 788 | 2,147 | 39,127 | 41,274 | 6,065 | 35,209 | | 2007 | |
| Camden Russett | 13,460 | 61,837 | 2,101 | 13,460 | 63,938 | 77,398 | 13,632 | 63,766 | 45,063 | 2005 | |
| Camden San Paloma | 6,480 | 23,045 | 3,139 | 6,480 | 26,184 | 32,664 | 8,237 | 24,427 | | 2002 | |
| Camden Sea Palms | 4,336 | 9,930 | 2,157 | 4,336 | 12,087 | 16,423 | 5,679 | 10,744 | | 1998 | |
| Camden Sedgebrook | 5,266 | 29,211 | 2,049 | 5,266 | 31,260 | 36,526 | 6,930 | 29,596 | 21,306 | 2005 | |
| Camden Shiloh | 4,181 | 18,798 | 1,089 | 4,181 | 19,887 | 24,068 | 4,817 | 19,251 | 10,576 | 2005 | |
| Camden Sierra at Otay | 10,585 | 49,781 | 1,436 | 10,585 | 51,217 | 61,802 | 13,779 | 48,023 | | 2003 | |
| Camden Silo Creek | 9,707 | 45,144 | 734 | 9,707 | 45,878 | 55,585 | 9,716 | 45,869 | | 2005 | |
| Camden Simsbury | 1,152 | 6,499 | 994 | 1,152 | 7,493 | 8,645 | 1,607 | 7,038 | | 2005 | |
| Camden South End Square | 6,625 | 29,175 | 1,438 | 6,625 | 30,613 | 37,238 | 6,913 | 30,325 | | 2005 | |
| Camden Springs | 1,520 | 8,300 | 3,791 | 1,520 | 12,091 | 13,611 | 9,444 | 4,167 | | 1994 | |
| Camden St. Clair | 7,526 | 27,486 | 2,252 | 7,526 | 29,738 | 37,264 | 6,567 | 30,697 | 21,646 | 2005 | |
| Camden Steeplechase | 1,089 | 5,190 | 4,621 | 1,089 | 9,811 | 10,900 | 7,431 | 3,469 | | 1994 | |
| Camden Stockbridge | 5,071 | 22,693 | 1,183 | 5,071 | 23,876 | 28,947 | 5,746 | 23,201 | 14,332 | 2005 | |
| Camden Stonebridge | 1,016 | 7,137 | 2,527 | 1,016 | 9,664 | 10,680 | 5,582 | 5,098 | | 1993 | |
| Camden Stonecrest | 3,954 | 22,021 | 2,117 | 3,954 | 24,138 | 28,092 | 5,289 | 22,803 | 16,920 | 2005 | |
| Camden Stoneleigh | 3,498 | 31,285 | 1,670 | 3,498 | 32,955 | 36,453 | 6,276 | 30,177 | | 2006 | |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|------|
| Camden Summerfield | 14,659 | 48,404 | 141 | 14,659 | 48,545 | 63,204 | 7,486 | 55,718 | | 2008 |
| Camden Sweetwater | 4,395 | 19,664 | 1,381 | 4,395 | 21,045 | 25,440 | 5,054 | 20,386 | | 2005 |
| Camden Touchstone | 1,203 | 6,772 | 1,928 | 1,203 | 8,700 | 9,903 | 2,411 | 7,492 | | 2005 |
| Camden Travis Street | 1,780 | 29,104 | 44 | 1,780 | 29,148 | 30,928 | 2,852 | 28,076 | 31,476 | 2010 |

S-3

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Camden Property Trust**Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation**

As of December 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | Total Cost, | | | Year of |
| | Building/ | | | Building/ | | | Total Cost, | | | Completion/ |
| | Construction in | | | Construction in | | | Net of | | | Acquisition |
| | Progress & | | | Progress & | | | Accumulated | | | |
| | Land | Improvement | Construction | Land | Improvements | Total | Depreciation | Depreciation | Encumbrances | |
| | | | to Acquisition/ | | | | | | | |
| Camden Tuscany | \$ 3,330 | \$ 36,466 | \$ 698 | \$ 3,330 | \$ 37,164 | \$ 40,494 | \$ 9,859 | \$ 30,635 | \$ | 2003 |
| Camden Valley Park | 3,096 | 14,667 | 11,947 | 3,096 | 26,614 | 29,710 | 19,016 | 10,694 | | 1994 |
| Camden Valleybrook | 7,340 | 39,139 | 1,322 | 7,340 | 40,461 | 47,801 | 9,010 | 38,791 | | 2005 |
| Camden Vanderbilt | 16,076 | 44,918 | 12,642 | 16,076 | 57,560 | 73,636 | 28,224 | 45,412 | 73,165 | 1994/1997 |
| Camden Vineyards | 4,367 | 28,494 | 1,085 | 4,367 | 29,579 | 33,946 | 8,965 | 24,981 | | 2002 |
| Camden Vintage | 3,641 | 19,255 | 4,334 | 3,641 | 23,589 | 27,230 | 12,328 | 14,902 | | 1998 |
| Camden Westshore | 1,734 | 10,819 | 5,869 | 1,734 | 16,688 | 18,422 | 9,845 | 8,577 | | 1997 |
| Camden Westview | 1,031 | 7,932 | 4,172 | 1,031 | 12,104 | 13,135 | 8,224 | 4,911 | | 1993 |
| Camden Westwood | 4,567 | 25,519 | 1,417 | 4,567 | 26,936 | 31,503 | 6,042 | 25,461 | 19,907 | 2005 |
| Camden Whispering Oaks | 1,188 | 26,242 | 70 | 1,188 | 26,312 | 27,500 | 4,162 | 23,338 | | 2008 |
| Camden Woods | 2,693 | 19,930 | 8,012 | 2,693 | 27,942 | 30,635 | 16,448 | 14,187 | | 1999 |
| Camden World Gateway | 5,785 | 51,821 | 1,598 | 5,785 | 53,419 | 59,204 | 10,856 | 48,348 | | 2005 |
| Total Current communities(1): | 754,941 | 4,270,862 | 427,951 | 754,941 | 4,698,813 | 5,453,754 | 1,432,053 | 4,021,701 | 1,051,357 | |

(1) Current communities may include costs included in properties under development on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2011.

S-4

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Camden Property Trust**Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation**

As of December 31, 2011

(in thousands)

| | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | |
|---|-------------------|--|---|-------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----|
| | Initial Cost | | | Total Cost | | | Total Cost, Net of | | | Year of | |
| | Land | Building/Construction in Progress & Improvements | Cost Subsequent to Acquisition/Construction | Land | Building/Construction in Progress & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Accumulated Depreciation | Encumbrance | Completion/Acquisition | |
| Lease-up & Construction communities: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camden LaVina | \$ 12,907 | \$ 41,852 | \$ | \$ 12,907 | \$ 41,852 | \$ 54,759 | \$ | 511 | \$ 54,248 | \$ | N/A |
| Camden Summerfield II | 4,459 | 19,840 | | 4,459 | 19,840 | 24,299 | | 150 | 24,149 | | N/A |
| Total Lease-up construction communities (2): | 17,366 | 61,692 | | 17,366 | 61,692 | 79,058 | | 661 | 78,397 | | |
| Communities under construction: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Camden City Centre II | | 10,148 | | | 10,148 | 10,148 | | | 10,148 | | N/A |
| Camden Montague | | 13,364 | | | 13,364 | 13,364 | 2 | | 13,362 | | N/A |
| Camden NOMA | | 39,022 | | | 39,022 | 39,022 | 2 | | 39,020 | | N/A |
| Camden Royal Oaks II | | 11,085 | | | 11,085 | 11,085 | 13 | | 11,072 | | N/A |
| Camden Town Square | | 28,653 | | | 28,653 | 28,653 | | | 28,653 | | N/A |
| Camden Westchase Park | | 29,590 | | | 29,590 | 29,590 | 1 | | 29,589 | | N/A |
| Total Construction communities (2): | | 131,862 | | | 131,862 | 131,862 | | 18 | 131,844 | | |
| Total Development Pipeline and Land Holdings (2) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | | 151,576 | | | 151,576 | 151,576 | 67 | | 151,509 | | N/A |
| | | 3,290 | | | 3,290 | 3,290 | | | 3,290 | | N/A |
| | \$ 17,366 | \$ 348,420 | | 17,366 | \$ 348,420 | \$ 365,786 | | \$ 746 | \$ 365,040 | | |
| TOTAL | \$ 772,307 | \$ 4,619,282 | \$ 427,951 | \$ 772,307 | \$ 5,047,233 | \$ 5,819,540 | \$ 1,432,799 | \$ 4,386,741 | \$ 1,051,357 | | |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-K

- (2) *Lease-up/development communities may include costs included under buildings and improvements on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2011.*

S-5

Table of Contents

Schedule III

Camden Property Trust**Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation****As of December 31, 2011****(in thousands)****The changes in total real estate assets for the years ended December 31:**

| | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 5,647,677 | \$ 5,461,626 | \$ 5,455,834 |
| Additions during period: | | | |
| Acquisition | | 238,885 | |
| Development | 180,028 | 21,798 | 36,495 |
| Improvements | 61,037 | 44,405 | 35,377 |
| Classification from held for sale | | | 9,518 |
| Deductions during period: | | | |
| Cost of real estate sold contributed to joint venture | (12,578) | (119,037) | (3,345) |
| Cost of real estate sold other | (32,673) | | |
| Impairment | | | (72,253) |
| Classification to held for sale | (23,951) | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 5,819,540 | \$ 5,647,677 | \$ 5,461,626 |

The changes in accumulated depreciation for the years ended December 31:

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Balance, Beginning of period | \$ 1,292,924 | \$ 1,149,056 | \$ 981,049 |
| Depreciation | 171,009 | 166,867 | 170,480 |
| Real Estate sold | (18,877) | (22,999) | (2,473) |
| Transferred to held for sale | (12,257) | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,432,799 | \$ 1,292,924 | \$ 1,149,056 |

The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2011 was \$5.0 billion.