UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

bQuarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Quarterly Period Ended October 1, 2011_____

OR

"Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Transition Period From ______ to ______

Commission File Number: 001-08634

Temple-Inland Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 75-1903917 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1300 MoPac Expressway South, 3rd Floor, Austin, Texas 78746 (Address of Principal Executive Offices, including Zip code)

(512) 434-5800 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable (Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. b Yes⁻⁻ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). b Yes[°] No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer "(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). "Yes b No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class Common Stock (par value \$1.00 per share)

Page 1 of 54

The Exhibit Index is page 36.

Number of common shares outstanding

as of October 1, 2011

109,635,169

| | Page |
|---|------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| | 2 |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | 3 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 5 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 18 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 30 |
| ten 5. Quantitative and Quantative Disclosures About Market Risk | 50 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 31 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 32 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 33 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 34 |
| Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 34 |
| Item 4. [Removed and Reserved] | 34 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 34 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 34 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 35 |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | Qua | dited)Third arter-End 2011 | Y | ear-End 2010 |
|--|-----|----------------------------------|----|-----------------|
| | | (In million | s) | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Current Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 47 | \$ | 28 |
| Trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$16 in 2011 and 2010 | | 492 | | 471 |
| Inventories: | | | | |
| Work in process and finished goods | | 110 | | 90 |
| Raw materials | | 224 | | 253 |
| Supplies and other | | 149 | | 142 |
| Total inventories | | 483 | | 485 |
| Deferred tax asset | | 115 | | 108 |
| Income taxes receivable | | 14 | | _ |
| Prepaid expenses and other | | 44 | | 44 |
| Total current assets | | 1,195 | | 1,136 |
| Property and Equipment | | | | |
| Land and buildings | | 723 | | 684 |
| Machinery and equipment | | 3,694 | | 3,640 |
| Construction in progress | | 66 | | 74 |
| Less allowances for depreciation | | (2,842) | | (2,771) |
| Total property and equipment | | 1,641 | | 1,627 |
| Financial Assets of Special Purpose Entities | | 2,475 | | 2,475 |
| Goodwill | | 394 | | 394 |
| Other Assets | | 262 | | 277 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 5,967 | \$ | 5,909 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 221 | \$ | 219 |
| Accrued employee compensation and benefits | | 136 | | 109 |
| Accrued interest | | 12 | | 17 |
| Accrued property taxes | | 19 | | 13 |
| Other accrued expenses | | 139 | | 134 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | — | | - |
| Current portion of pension and postretirement benefits | | 16 | | 16 |
| Total current liabilities | | 543 | | 508 |
| Long-Term Debt | | 657 | | 718 |
| Nonrecourse Financial Liabilities of Special Purpose Entities | | 2,140 | | 2,140 |
| Deferred Tax Liability | | 732 | | 700 |
| Liability for Pension Benefits | | 330 | | 308 |

| Liability for Postretirement Benefits | | 109 | 110 |
|--|------|-------|-------------|
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | | 399 | 404 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | | 4,910 | 4,888 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| Temple-Inland Inc. Shareholders' Equity | | | |
| Preferred stock — par value \$1 per share: authorized 25,000,000 shares; | none | | |
| issued | | _ | |
| Common stock — par value \$1 per share: authorized 200,000,000 shares | ; | | |
| issued 123,605,344 shares in 2011 and 2010, including shares held in the | | | |
| treasury | | 124 | 124 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 394 | 426 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | (253) | (257) |
| Retained earnings | | 1,218 | 1,220 |
| Cost of shares held in the treasury: 13,970,175 shares in 2011 and | | | |
| 15,654,157 shares in 2010 | | (518) | (584) |
| Total Temple-Inland Inc. shareholders' equity | | 965 | 929 |
| Noncontrolling Interest of Special Purpose Entities | | 92 | 92 |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | 1,057 | 1,021 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ | 5,967 | \$ 5,909 |
| | | | |

Please read the notes to consolidated financial statements.

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

| | Third Quarter | | | | | First Nine Months | | |
|---|---------------|-------|------------|---------|------------|-------------------|----|---------|
| | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 |
| | | | (Dollars i | s, exce | pt per sha | are) | | |
| NET REVENUES | \$ | 974 | \$ | 966 | \$ | 2,985 | \$ | 2,847 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | Ψ | 271 | Ψ | 200 | Ψ | 2,900 | Ψ | 2,017 |
| Cost of sales | | (854) | | (813) | | (2,599) | | (2,491) |
| Selling | | (26) | | (27) | | (84) | | (82) |
| General and administrative | | (42) | | (37) | | (142) | | (121) |
| Other operating income (expense) | | (24) | | (6) | | (40) | | (8) |
| | | (946) | | (883) | | (2,865) | | (2,702) |
| OPERATING INCOME | | 28 | | 83 | | 120 | | 145 |
| Other non-operating income (expense) | | (1) | | | | (5) | | |
| Interest income on financial assets of special purpose | | | | | | | | |
| entities | | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | | 4 |
| Interest expense on nonrecourse financial liabilities of | | | | | | | | |
| special purpose entities | | (4) | | (5) | | (13) | | (14) |
| Interest expense on debt | | (11) | | (13) | | (34) | | (39) |
| INCOME BEFORE TAXES | | 14 | | 67 | | 70 | | 96 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | | (7) | | 59 | | (29) | | 45 |
| NET INCOME | | 7 | | 126 | | 41 | | 141 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | | | | | |
| of special purpose entities | | (1) | | (1) | | | | |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO | | | | | | | | |
| TEMPLE-INLAND INC | \$ | 6 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 141 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON | | | | | | | | |
| SHARES OUTSTANDING | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | | 109.3 | | 107.9 | | 108.7 | | 107.8 |
| Diluted | | 111.7 | | 109.4 | | 110.9 | | 109.5 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 1.15 | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 1.30 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.05 | \$ | 1.13 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 1.28 |
| DIVIDENDS PER SHARE | \$ | 0.13 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 0.33 |

Please read the notes to consolidated financial statements.

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

| | | First Nine 011 (In mill | 2 | 010 |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----|-------|
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED FOR) | OPERATIONS | | | |
| Net income | | \$ 41 | \$ | 141 |
| Adjustments: | | | | |
| | Depreciation and amortization | 146 | | 145 |
| | Asset impairment charges | 6 | | 9 |
| | Loss related to purchase and retirement of | | | |
| | long-term debt | 4 | | |
| | Non-cash share-based and long-term incentive | | | |
| | compensation | 51 | | 20 |
| | Cash payment for share-based awards settled | (17) | | (17) |
| | Non-cash pension and postretirement expense | 52 | | 53 |
| | Cash contribution to pension and postretirement | | | |
| | plans | (9) | | (42) |
| | Deferred income taxes | 16 | | (37) |
| | Other | 9 | | (31) |
| Changes in: | | | | |
| | Receivables | (23) | | (56) |
| | Inventories | 1 | | (34) |
| | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 15 | | 42 |
| | Prepaid expenses and other | (16) | | 10 |
| | | 276 | | 203 |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED FOR) | INVESTING | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | (170) | | (144) |
| Sale of non-strategic a | ssets and operations | 8 | | 2 |
| Other | | (18) | | |
| | | (180) | | (142) |
| CASH PROVIDED BY (USED FOR) | FINANCING | | | |
| Payments of debt | | (54) | | |
| Borrowings under acc | ounts receivable securitization facility, net | 8 | | (5) |
| Borrowings under rev | olving credit facility, net | (19) | | 4 |
| Fees related to revolvi | ng credit facility | | | (6) |
| Fees related to special | purpose entities | 7 | | (4) |
| Changes in book over | drafts | | | (5) |
| Cash dividends paid to | o shareholders | (43) | | (35) |
| Exercise of stock optic | ons | 21 | | 4 |
| Tax benefit on share-b | | 6 | | 1 |
| | | (74) | | (46) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cas | h and cash equivalents | (3) | | 1 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivaler | - | 19 | | 16 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning | | 28 | | 36 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of per | riod | \$ 47 | \$ | 52 |

Please read the notes to consolidated financial statements.

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Temple-Inland Inc. and its subsidiaries and special purpose and variable interest entities of which it is the primary beneficiary. We account for our investment in other ventures under the equity method.

We prepare our unaudited interim financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and Securities and Exchange Commission requirements for interim financial statements. As a result, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. However, in our opinion, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. These adjustments are normal recurring accruals, except as noted. These interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year. For further information, please read the financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010.

Note 2 - Accounting Pronouncements

We have evaluated all recently issued accounting pronouncements through the filing date of these financial statements and believe that none of these accounting pronouncements will have a material effect on our earnings or financial position.

Note 3 – Merger Agreement

On September 6, 2011, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement) with International Paper Company (IP), under which IP will acquire all of our outstanding common stock for \$32.00 per share in cash. The Merger Agreement was unanimously approved by both our and IP's Board of Directors.

In connection with entering into the Merger Agreement, IP terminated its existing tender offer to acquire all our outstanding common stock for \$30.60 per share, and we amended our Rights Plan dated June 7, 2011, rendering the Rights Plan inapplicable to the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Closing of the merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including receipt of regulatory approvals and approval by our stockholders. IP has stated that the merger is currently expected to close late in the fourth quarter of 2011 or early in the first quarter of 2012, although closing may take place either earlier or later than such time period.

In connection with these matters, in first nine months 2011, we expensed \$10 million of professional fees and other costs, and we committed to minimum financial advisor fees of \$20 million, which we anticipate expensing upon completion of the merger. Also in connection with the closing of the merger, we expect to incur significant additional costs, principally related to share-based compensation and change in control agreements.

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 4 – Employee Benefit Plans

Defined benefit and postretirement benefit expense consists of:

| | 2 | Qua 011 | ed 2010 | S | fined upple 011 | emen | | 2 | Тс 2011 | otal 2 | 2010 | Postreti Bene 2011 | efits | ent 010 |
|---------------------------------|----|------------|------------|----|-----------------------|------|------|-------|------------|-----------|------|--------------------------|-------|------------|
| Third Quarter: | | | | | | (| In m | illio | ons) | | | | | |
| Service costs – benefits earned | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| during the period | \$ | 6 | \$ 6 | \$ | | - \$ | _ | -\$ | 6 | \$ | 6 | \$ | - \$ | |
| Interest cost on projected | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| benefit obligation | | 20 | 20 | | | _ | _ | _ | 20 | | 20 | 1 | | 2 |
| Expected return on plan assets | | (19) | (19) | | | _ | _ | _ | (19) | | (19) | | - | |
| Amortization of prior service | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| costs | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 2 | | 2 | | - | |
| Amortization of actuarial net | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| loss | | 5 | 5 | | 1 | | _ | _ | 6 | | 5 | | - | |
| Benefit expense | \$ | 13 | \$ 13 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 15 | \$ | 14 | \$ 1 | \$ | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| First Nine Months: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Service costs – benefits earned | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| during the period | \$ | 19 | \$ 18 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 20 | \$ | 19 | \$ 1 | \$ | 1 |
| Interest cost on projected | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| benefit obligation | | 60 | 60 | | 1 | | 1 | | 61 | | 61 | 4 | | 5 |
| Expected return on plan assets | | (56) | (56) | | | _ | _ | _ | (56) | | (56) | | - | |
| Amortization of prior service | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| costs | | 2 | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | | 4 | | 4 | (1) | | (1) |
| Amortization of actuarial net | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| loss | | 15 | 15 | | 1 | | 1 | | 16 | | 16 | | - | |
| Benefit expense | \$ | 40 | \$ 39 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 45 | \$ | 44 | \$ 4 | \$ | 5 |

In addition, we recognized expense of \$3 million in first nine months 2011 and \$4 million in first nine months 2010 related to payments to retiring employees of lump-sum benefits from our supplemental defined benefit plan. We made \$30 million in voluntary, discretionary contributions to our qualified defined benefit plan in first nine months 2010.

Note 5 - Share-Based and Long-Term Incentive Compensation

We have shareholder approved share-based compensation plans that permit awards to key employees and non-employee directors in the form of cash-settled restricted or performance stock units, stock-settled restricted stock units, or options to purchase shares of our common stock. We also have long-term incentives for key employees in the form of fixed value awards that vest over multiple years. We generally grant awards annually in February, and we use treasury stock to fulfill awards settled in common stock and stock option exercises.

Share-based and long-term incentive compensation expense consists of:

| | | Thire | l Quart | er | First Nine Months | | | |
|---|----|-------|---------|---------|-------------------|-----|----|-----|
| | 2 | 011 | 20 | 010 | 2 | 011 | 20 | 010 |
| | | | | (In mil | lions |) | | |
| Cash-settled restricted or performance | | | | | | | | |
| stock units | \$ | 9 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 10 |
| Stock-settled restricted stock units | | 1 | | | | 3 | | 1 |
| Stock options | | 1 | | 1 | | 5 | | 5 |
| Total share-based compensation expense | | 11 | | 4 | | 48 | | 16 |
| Fixed value cash awards | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | | 4 |
| Total share-based and long-term incentive | | | | | | | | |
| compensation expense | \$ | 12 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 20 |
| - | | 12 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 20 |

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Share-based and long-term incentive compensation expense is included in:

| | Third Quarter | | | | | First Nine Months | | | |
|---|---------------|----|----|------|----|-------------------|----|-----|--|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | 011 | 20 | 010 | |
| | (In mill | | | | | illions) | | | |
| Cost of sales | \$ | 2 | \$ | | \$ | 5 | \$ | 2 | |
| Selling expense | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| General and administrative expense | | 10 | | 6 | | 45 | | 17 | |
| Total share-based and long-term incentive | | | | | | | | | |
| compensation expense | \$ | 12 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 20 | |

The fair value of awards granted to retirement-eligible employees and expensed at the date of grant was \$4 million in first nine months 2011 and \$3 million in first nine months 2010.

Unrecognized share-based and long-term incentive compensation for all awards not vested was \$37 million at third quarter-end 2011. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of two years or upon completion of the merger.

The fair value of all share-based and long-term incentive awards vested and to be settled in cash, including accrued dividends of \$2 million, was \$90 million at third quarter-end 2011, of which \$52 million is included in other current liabilities and \$38 million in long-term liabilities, and \$64 million at year-end 2010, of which \$14 million is included in other current liabilities and \$50 million in long-term liabilities.

Cash-settled restricted or performance stock units

Cash-settled restricted or performance stock units generally have a three-year term and vest after three years from the date of grant or the attainment of stated ROI-based performance goals, generally measured over a three-year period, or if there is a change of control, such as the merger. Changes in our estimate of the attainment of stated performance goals could have a significant impact on our share-based compensation expense in any one accounting period.

A summary of activity for first nine months 2011 follows:

| | Weighted | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----|-----------|---|----|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Average Grant | | | | | | | | |
| | | P | Aggregate | | | | | | |
| | Cash-Settled Value Per | | | | | Current | | | |
| | Units Share | | | | | Value | | | |
| | (In | | | | | (In | | | |
| | thousands) | | | | 1 | millions) | | | |
| Not vested beginning of year | 2,627 | \$ | 12 | 2 | | | | | |
| Granted | 447 | | 24 | ŀ | | | | | |
| Vested and settled | (651) | | 19 |) | | | | | |
| Forfeited | (6) | | 17 | 7 | | | | | |
| Not vested at third quarter-end 2011 | 2,417 | | 12 | 2 | \$ | 76 | | | |

Not vested units at third quarter-end 2011 subject to:

| Time vesting requirements | 439 | \$ 14 |
|---------------------------|-------|----------|
| Performance requirements | 1,978 | 62 |
| _ | 2,417 | \$ 76 |

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested cash-settled restricted or performance stock units was \$18 million at third quarter-end 2011 share price of \$31 per share. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of two years or upon completion of the merger. The fair value of awards settled in cash was \$17 million in first nine months 2011 and \$17 million in first nine months 2010. The fair value of units vested and to be settled in cash, including accrued dividends of \$2 million in 2011 and \$1 million in 2010, was \$57 million at third quarter-end 2011, of which \$41 million is included in other current liabilities and \$16 million in long-term liabilities; and \$40 million at year-end 2010, of which \$14 million was included in other current liabilities and \$26 million in long-term liabilities. In addition, approximately one-half of our annual director compensation is paid in the form of cash-settled restricted stock units that are deferred until retirement. The fair value of these units

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

vested and to be settled in cash included in long-term liabilities was \$20 million at third quarter-end 2011 and \$14 million at year-end 2010.

Stock-settled restricted stock units

Stock-settled restricted stock units vest after three years from the date of grant upon attainment of stated ROI-based performance goals or if there is a change of control, such as the merger. There is no accelerated vesting upon retirement for these awards.

A summary of activity for first nine months 2011 follows:

| | | V | Veighted | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Average | | | | | | | |
| | | Aggregate | | | | | | |
| | Stock-Settled | F | air Value | Current | | | | |
| | Units | F | Per Share | Value | | | | |
| | (In | | | | (In | | | |
| | thousands) | | | n | nillions) | | | |
| Not vested beginning of year | 369 | \$ | 20 | \$ | | | | |
| Granted | 241 | | 24 | | | | | |
| Vested and settled | | - | | - | | | | |
| Forfeited | | - | | - | | | | |
| Not vested at third quarter-end 2011 | 610 | \$ | 21 | \$ | 19 | | | |

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock-settled restricted stock units was \$8 million at third quarter-end 2011. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of two years or upon completion of the merger.

Stock options

Stock options have a ten-year term, generally become exercisable ratably over four years and provide for accelerated vesting upon retirement, death, disability, or if there is a change in control, such as the merger. Options are granted with an option price equal to the market value of common stock on the date of grant.

A summary of activity for first nine months 2011 follows:

| | | | | Aggregate Intrinsic |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| | | Weighted | Weighted | Value |
| | | Average | Average | (Current |
| | | Exercise | Remaining | value less |
| | | Price Per | Contractual | exercise |
| | Shares | Share | Term | price) |
| | (In | | | |
| | thousands) | | (In years) | (In millions) |
| Outstanding beginning of year | 7,416 \$ | 15 | | |
| Granted | 431 | 24 | | |
| Exercised | (1,840) | 16 | | |
| Granted | (In thousands) 7,416 \$ 431 | Price Per Share | Contractual Term | exercise price) |

| Forfeited | (37) | 16 | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|----|------|----|
| Outstanding at third | | | | |
| quarter-end 2011 | 5,970 | 16 | 6 \$ | 92 |
| | | | | |
| Exercisable at third quarter-end | | | | |
| 2011 | 4,024 | 17 | 5 \$ | 60 |

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

We estimated the fair value of our options using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and the following assumptions:

| | First Nine Months | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | | |
| Expected dividend yield | 2.2% | 3.2% | | | |
| Expected stock price volatility | 65.0% | 66.6% | | | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.3% | 3.2% | | | |
| Expected life of options (in years) | 8 | 8 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Weighted average estimated fair value of options | | | | | |
| at grant date | \$ 13.40 | \$ 10.23 | | | |

Unrecognized share-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options awards was \$6 million at third quarter-end 2011. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of three years or upon completion of the merger.

Fixed Value Cash Awards

Long-term incentive compensation expense is related to \$18 million of fixed value cash awards that were granted to employees in February and August 2009. These awards are not tied to our stock price. The fixed value cash awards generally vest over periods from three to six years and provide for accelerated or continued vesting upon retirement, death, disability, or if there is a change of control, such as the merger.

Unrecognized long-term incentive compensation expense related to fixed value cash awards was \$5 million at third quarter-end 2011. We expect to recognize this cost over a weighted average period of three years or upon completion of the merger. Accrued long-term incentive compensation at third quarter-end 2011 was \$13 million, of which \$11 million is included in other current liabilities and \$2 million in long-term liabilities. At year-end 2010, accrued long-term incentive compensation included in long-term liabilities was \$10 million.

Note 6 – Other Operating Income (Expense)

| | | Third Q | uarte | r | First Nine Months | | | |
|--|----|---------|-------|------------|-------------------|------|----|------|
| | , | 2011 | 2 | 2010 | 2 | 2011 | 20 | 10 |
| | | | | (In millio | ons) | | | |
| Equity in earnings of joint ventures | \$ | | \$ | 1 | \$ | | \$ | 3 |
| Gain (loss) on sale or retirement of | | | | | | | | |
| operating property and equipment | | (1) | | (2) | | (2) | | (4) |
| Costs and asset impairments, primarily | | | | | | | | |
| related to box plant transformation | | (10) | | (5) | | (26) | | (17) |
| Bogalusa Incident (See Note 14) | | (5) | | | | (5) | | |
| Litigation (See Note 14) | | | | | | 3 | | |
| Merger Agreement (See Note 3) | | (8) | | | | (10) | | |
| Alternative fuel mixture tax credits, net of | f | | | | | | | |
| costs | | | | | | | | 10 |
| Other operating income (expense) | \$ | (24) | \$ | (6) | \$ | (40) | \$ | (8) |

In connection with the second phase of our box plant transformation initiative, in first nine months 2011, we closed our box plants in Tampa, Florida, and Carol Stream and Northlake, Illinois, and began production at our new box plant in Aurora, Illinois. Costs related to box plant transformation in first nine months 2011, included a multi-employer pension plan withdrawal liability of \$4 million associated with our 2010 closure of our Phoenix, Arizona box plant, asset impairment charges of \$6 million, severance costs of \$2 million, and other transformation related costs of \$16 million, primarily related to duplicate and incremental costs associated with production affected by box plant transformation. We also recognized a \$2 million gain from the sale of closed facilities. In first nine months 2010, costs related to box plant transformation included asset impairment charges of \$9 million, severance and other employee costs of \$2 million, and other closure costs of \$6 million.

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Activity within our accruals for box plant transformation activities follows:

| | First | Nine |
|-------------------|---------|--------|
| | Mor | nths |
| | 20 | 11 |
| | (In mil | lions) |
| Beginning of year | \$ | |
| Additions | | 2 |
| Cash payments | | (2) |
| End of period | \$ | |

In first quarter 2010, the Internal Revenue Service clarified an uncertainty regarding whether a portion of the alternative fuel we claimed in 2009 would qualify for the alternative fuel mixture tax credit. As a result, in first nine months 2010 we reversed a related reserve of \$10 million.

Note 7 – Non-operating Income (Expense)

Other non-operating income (expense) in first nine months 2011 consisted of a \$4 million loss resulting from the purchase and retirement of \$50 million of our 7.875% Senior Notes due 2012, and an expense of \$1 million associated with the voluntary substitution of Rabobank replacing Royal Bank of Scotland PLC as a letter of credit issuer to secure the notes related to the 2007 sale of our timberland. Please read Note 10 for additional information.

Note 8 - Earnings per Share

We computed earnings per share by dividing net income by weighted average shares outstanding using the following:

| | | Third Q | uart | er | F | nths | | |
|--|-----------|---------|------|---------|--------|------|----|------|
| | 2011 2010 | | | 2010 | 2 | 011 | 2 | 2010 |
| | | | | (In mil | llions | 5) | | |
| Earnings for basic and diluted earnings | | | | | | | | |
| per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ | 7 | \$ | 126 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 141 |
| Less: Distributed and undistributed | | | | | | | | |
| amounts allocated to participating | | | | | | | | |
| securities | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| Distributed and undistributed income | | | | | | | | |
| available to Temple-Inland common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | | 7 | | 125 | | 41 | | 140 |
| Less: Net (income) loss attributable to | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interest of special purpose | | | | | | | | |
| entities | | (1) | | (1–) | | | | |
| Net income available to common | | | | | | | | |
| shareholders | \$ | 6 | \$ | 124 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 140 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - | | | | | | | | |
| basic | 1(|)9.3 |] | 107.9 | 1 | 08.7 | 1 | 07.8 |
| | | 2.4 | | 1.5 | | 2.2 | | 1.7 |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Dilutive effect of stock options and unvested stock-settled restricted stock units | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 111.7 | 109.4 | 110.9 | 109.5 |

Participating securities include unvested cash-settled restricted stock units issued to employees that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends. These units vested in first quarter 2011. There are no participating securities outstanding at third quarter-end 2011.

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Certain employees of entities spun off in 2007 participated in our employee stock option program. Following the spin-offs, these employees retained stock option rights associated with our stock. These stock options will remain a consideration in our dilutive effect of stock options until they are exercised, cancelled or expire. Information regarding options held by employees of spun-off entities follows:

| | T | ıd | | |
|--|----|-----------|----------|-------|
| | 2 | 2011 | 2 | 2010 |
| | (5 | Shares ir | n thousa | unds) |
| Options held | | 174 | | 451 |
| Options exercisable | | 174 | | 436 |
| Weighted average exercise price | \$ | 20 | \$ | 19 |
| Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) | | 4 | | 5 |

Stock options outstanding that were not included in the computation of diluted common shares outstanding because their exercise price exceeded the average market price of our common stock were as follows:

| | Third Q | uarter | First Nine | Months |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|--------|
| | 2011 2010 | | 2011 | 2010 |
| | | (Shares in | thousands) | |
| Options outstanding held by employees | | 3,628 | | 1,352 |
| Options outstanding held by employees of | | | | |
| spun-off entities | | 279 | | 279 |

Note 9 – Long-Term Debt

At third quarter-end 2011, our long-term debt was \$657 million, which included \$130 million of 7.875% Senior Notes that are due May 2012. We have classified these borrowings as long-term debt because of our intent and ability to refinance them on a long-term basis under our existing facilities.

In September 2011, we entered into amendments of our committed credit agreements so that entering into the Merger Agreement did not result in a default.

Note 10 - Financial Assets and Nonrecourse Financial Liabilities of Special Purpose Entities

In 2009, we arranged for the substitution of two banks issuing letters of credit securing the notes we received in connection with the 2007 sale of our timberland. In each case, the credit ratings of the letter of credit bank had been reduced below the required minimums. In first six months 2010, we entered into two separate three-year agreements, one with JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association and one with Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (Crédit Agricole), whereby each of these banks agrees to issue up to \$1.4 billion in irrevocable letters of credit in substitution for letters of credit issued by a bank(s) whose credit ratings get reduced below the required minimums. For each agreement, we paid an upfront fee, which is being amortized over the three-year term of the agreement, and also agreed to pay a quarterly fee on the unused commitment.

On May 20, 2011, the credit ratings of Crédit Agricole were downgraded below the required minimum to issue letters of credit to secure the notes related to the sale of our timberland. As a result, we terminated our three-year agreement

with Crédit Agricole and were refunded a pro-rata portion of the unamortized upfront commitment fee and quarterly fee on the unused commitment.

On August 11, 2011, the buyer of the timberland and we agreed to have Rabobank Nederland (Rabobank), one of the existing letter of credit banks, issue letters of credit in a voluntary substitution for Royal Bank of Scotland PLC (RBS) as a letter of credit issuer in the transaction. Accordingly, the letters of credit issuers are now Rabobank, which has issued letters of credit totaling about \$1.4 billion, and Barclays Bank plc and Société Generalé, each of which has issued letters of credit totaling about \$500 million.

In connection with this voluntary substitution of Rabobank replacing RBS, we paid fees of \$1 million to RBS and received an upfront fee of \$7 million from Rabobank. If Rabobank's long-term unsecured senior debt were no longer rated A+ by Standard & Poor's and A1 by Moody's, we have agreed to refund to Rabobank on the date of the

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

downgrade the unamortized upfront fees less about \$2 million. We will amortize the upfront fee that we received, net of legal expenses incurred on this voluntary substitution, over the term of the letter of credit agreement. The amortization credit will be included in "Interest income on financial assets of special purpose entities."

Note 11 - Shareholders' Equity

A summary of changes in total shareholders' equity follows:

| | | | | First Ni | ne Months | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | | 2011 | | | 2010 | |
| | Temple-Inl | and | | | Temple-Inland | | |
| | Inc. | | | Total | Inc. | | Total |
| | Shareholde | ers' N | oncontrolling | Shareholders' | Shareholders' | Noncontrolling | Shareholders' |
| | Equity | | Interest | Equity | Equity | Interest | Equity |
| | | | (In | millions, excep | t per share amour | nts) | |
| Beginning of | | | | | | | |
| year | \$ | 929 \$ | 92 3 | \$ 1,021 | \$ 794 | \$ 92 \$ | 886 |
| Comprehensive | | | | | | | |
| income, net of | | | | | | | |
| tax: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | 41 | | - 41 | 141 | | 141 |
| Defined benefit | | | | | | | |
| plans | | 13 | | - 13 | 15 | | 15 |
| Foreign | | | | | | | |
| currency | | | | | | | |
| translation | | (2) | | | | | |
| adjustment | | (9) | _ | - (9) |) 4 | | 4 |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| Comprehensive | | | | | | | 1.00 |
| Income | | | | 45 | | | 160 |
| Dividends paid | | | | | | | |
| on common | | | | | | | |
| stock – | | | | | | | |
| (\$0.39 per share in 2011 and | : | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| \$0.33 per share in 2010) | | (43) | | - (43 |) (35 |) | (35) |
| Share-based | | (43) | | - (43 |) (55) |) — | (33) |
| compensation, | | | | | | | |
| net of | | | | | | | |
| distributions | | 34 | | - 34 | 11 | | 11 |
| Balance at third | | J 4 | | - 34 | 11 | | 11 |
| quarter-end | | 965 \$ | 92 \$ | \$ 1,057 | \$ 930 | \$ 92 \$ | 1,022 |
| Yuanor-onu | Ψ | γ05 ψ |)2 (| μ 1,0 <i>J</i> / | ψ 750 | Ψ 72.Φ | 1,022 |

Comprehensive income (loss) was \$(3) million for third quarter 2011 and \$132 million for third quarter 2010. We issued 1,683,982 and 436,092 shares of common stock in first nine months 2011 and 2010 to employees exercising

options and for vesting of share-settled units.

On June 7, 2011, our Board of Directors adopted the Rights Plan and declared a dividend of one Right for each outstanding share of Temple-Inland common stock. On September 6, 2011, our Board of Directors amended our Rights Plan, rendering it inapplicable to the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. Read Note 3 for additional information.

Note 12 - Segment Information

We have two business segments: corrugated packaging and building products. Corrugated packaging manufactures linerboard and corrugating medium (collectively referred to as containerboard), which we convert into corrugated packaging, and lightweight gypsum facing paper. Building products manufactures a variety of building products.

We evaluate performance based on operating income before items not included in segments and income taxes. Items not included in segments represent items managed on a company-wide basis and include corporate general and administrative expense, share-based and long-term incentive compensation, other operating and non-operating income (expense), and interest income and expense. Other operating income (expense) includes gain or loss on sale of assets, asset impairments, closure related severance costs, and unusual income and expense items. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the accounting policy notes to the financial statements. Intersegment sales are recorded at market prices. Intersegment sales and shared service expense allocations are netted in costs and expenses.

| | rrugated ckaging | uilding oducts (In n | Incl Seg | ns Not uded in gments and inations | Total |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|-------------|
| Third Quarter 2011: | | | | | |
| Revenues from external | | | | | |
| customers | \$ 808 | \$ 166 | \$ | | \$ 974 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 39 | 9 | | 1 | 49 |
| Equity income from joint ventures | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 84 | (5) | | (65)(a) | 14 |
| Capital expenditures | 37 | 3 | | 1 | 41 |
| | | | | | |
| First Nine Months 2011 or at | | | | | |
| Third Quarter-End 2011: | | | | | |
| Revenues from external | | | | | |
| customers | \$ 2,474 | \$ 511 | \$ | | \$ 2,985 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 113 | 29 | | 4 | 146 |
| Equity income from joint ventures | | | | | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 278 | (19) | | (189)(a) | 70 |
| Total assets | 2,499 | 530 | | 2,938 | 5,967 |
| Investment in equity method | | | | | |
| investees and joint ventures | 2 | 23 | | | 25 |
| Goodwill | 265 | 129 | | | 394 |
| Capital expenditures | 156 | 11 | | 3 | 170 |
| 1 1 | | | | | |
| Third Quarter 2010: | | | | | |
| Revenues from external | | | | | |
| customers | \$ 809 | \$ 157 | \$ | | \$ 966 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 37 | 10 | | 2 | 49 |
| Equity income from joint | | | | | |
| ventures | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 121 | (10) | | (44)(a) | 67 |
| Capital expenditures | 48 | 10 | | 1 | 59 |
| | | | | | |
| First Nine Months 2010 or at Third Quarter-End 2010: | | | | | |
| Revenues from external | | | | | |
| customers | \$ 2,347 | \$ 500 | \$ | | \$ 2,847 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 107 | 31 | | 7 | 145 |
| Equity income from joint | | | | | |
| ventures | | 3 | | | 3 |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 230 | (4) | | (130)(a) | 96 |
| Total assets | 2,378 | 551 | | 2,950 | 5,879 |
| | 2 | 25 | | | 27 |
| | | | | | |

| Investment in equity method investees and joint ventures | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|---|-----|
| Goodwill | 265 | 129 | | 394 |
| Capital expenditures | 121 | 18 | 5 | 144 |

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(a) Items not included in segments consist of:

| | | Third Quarter | | | | ths | | |
|---|----|---------------|----|---------|--------|-------|----|-------|
| | 2 | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 |
| | | | | (In mil | lions) | | | |
| General and administrative expense | \$ | (16) | \$ | (17) | \$ | (50) | \$ | (54) |
| Share-based and long-term incentive | | | | | | | | |
| compensation | | (12) | | (6) | | (51) | | (20) |
| Other operating income (expense) | | (23) | | (5) | | (38) | | (7) |
| Other non-operating income (expense) | | (1) | | | | (5) | | |
| Net interest income (expense) on financial | | | | | | | | |
| assets and nonrecourse financial liabilities of | | | | | | | | |
| special purpose entities | | (2) | | (3) | | (11) | | (10) |
| Interest expense on debt | | (11) | | (13) | | (34) | | (39) |
| | \$ | (65) | \$ | (44) | \$ | (189) | \$ | (130) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Other operating income (expense) applies to: | | | | | | | | |
| Corrugated packaging | \$ | (15) | \$ | (4) | \$ | (28) | \$ | (6) |
| Building products | | | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| Unallocated | | (8) | | | | (10) | | |
| | \$ | (23) | \$ | (5) | \$ | (38) | \$ | (7) |

Note 13 — Fair Values and Fair Value Measurements of Financial Instruments

Information about our fixed-rate, long-term debt that is not measured at fair value follows:

| | At Third Quarter-End 2011 | | At Year-H | End 2010 | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------|----------|---------------------|
| | Carrying | Fair | Carrying | Fair | |
| | Value | Value | Value | Value | Valuation Technique |
| | | | (In million | s) | |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | |
| Fixed-rate, long-term | | | | | Level 2 – Market |
| debt | \$ 490 | \$ 528 | \$ 540 | \$ 577 | Approach |

Differences between carrying value and fair value are primarily due to instruments that provide fixed interest rates or contain fixed interest rate elements. Inherently, such instruments are subject to fluctuations in fair value due to subsequent movements in interest rates. We excluded financial instruments from the table that are either carried at fair value or have fair values that approximate their carrying amount due to their short-term nature or variable interest rates.

Note 14 - Contingencies and Other

We are involved in various legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business and believe that adequate reserves have been established for any probable losses. Expenses related to litigation are included in operating income.

On September 9, 2010, we were one of eight containerboard producers named as defendants in a class action complaint that alleged a civil violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. The suit is captioned Kleen Products LLC v. Packaging Corp. of America (N.D. III.). The complaint alleges that the defendants, beginning in August 2005, conspired to limit the supply and thereby increase prices of containerboard products. The alleged class is all persons who purchased containerboard products directly from any defendant for use or delivery in the United States during the period August 2005 to November 2010. The complaint seeks to recover an unspecified amount of treble actual damages and attorney's fees on behalf of the purported class. Four similar complaints were filed and have been consolidated in the Northern District of Illinois. We dispute the allegations made against us and intend to defend vigorously against this litigation. However, because this action is in its preliminary stages, we are unable to predict an outcome or estimate a range of reasonably possible loss. There were no significant changes to the status of this litigation in first nine months 2011.

Three putative class action lawsuits have been commenced by purported Temple-Inland stockholders against Temple-Inland and the members of the Temple-Inland Board. Two of these lawsuits, captioned Raul v. Doyle R. Simons, et al., Case No. 6690 (filed July 22, 2011) (the "Raul Action"), and Kahn v. Temple-Inland, Inc., et al., Case

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

No. 6702 (filed July 25, 2011) (the "Kahn Action"), are pending in the Delaware Court of Chancery. Pursuant to an order dated August 5, 2011, the Raul Action and the Kahn Action were consolidated, with the consolidated action captioned as In re Temple-Inland, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, Consolidated Case No. 6702-VCP. The third putative class action lawsuit, captioned Washtenaw County Employees' Retirement System v. Doyle R. Simons, et al., Case No. D-1-GN-11-2456 (filed August 16, 2011) (the "Washtenaw Action"), is pending in the District Court of Travis County, Texas.

These lawsuits allege, among other things, that the members of the Temple-Inland Board have breached their fiduciary duties by refusing to negotiate with IP regarding its proposed acquisition of Temple-Inland, failing to solicit alternative offers and adopting the Rights Plan. The Raul Action and the Washtenaw Action also purport to assert claims derivatively on behalf of Temple-Inland. The complaints variously seek an order declaring that the Temple-Inland Board breached its fiduciary duties; enjoining the company from initiating defensive measures; and awarding costs and attorneys' fees and, in the Kahn Action, compensatory damages. These lawsuits were commenced prior to the entering into of the Merger Agreement.

A fourth putative class action lawsuit, Buxton v. Temple-Inland Inc. (filed September 14, 2011), has been filed in the consolidated action cited above. This lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the members of the Temple-Inland Board have breached their fiduciary duties by agreeing to a transaction with IP at an unfair and grossly inadequate price and that the proxy statement filed in connection with the transaction with IP is inadequate in certain respects.

We believe all the claims in these putative shareholder class actions are without merit, and we intend to defend them vigorously. Please read Note 3 for additional information.

As we recently disclosed, the Company was named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas captioned Tepper v. Temple-Inland Inc., Case 3:11-cv-02088-D (filed August 22, 2011). This lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the Company and certain of its affiliates, officers, and directors caused the failure of Guaranty Financial Group and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Guaranty Bank, and asserts various claims related to the failure. We previously disclosed that the liquidating trustee may file such a claim against us. We believe the claims made in this lawsuit are without merit, and we intend to defend them vigorously.

On Saturday, August 13, 2011, we received predictive test results at our Bogalusa, Louisiana paper mill indicating that Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) limits for permitted discharge from the wastewater treatment pond into the Pearl River would be exceeded after an upset condition in an evaporator at the mill and confirmed reports of a fish kill on the Pearl River (the "Bogalusa Incident"). We promptly initiated a full mill shut down, notified the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) of the situation and took corrective actions to restore the water quality of the river. On September 2, 2011, we restarted our Bogalusa mill operations upon receiving approval from the LDEQ. We incurred \$5 million in costs related to these clean-up activities and it is likely that we will incur additional costs related to this incident. The LDEQ and the Mississippi DEQ have each given a notice of intent to levy penalties. The U.S. Attorney's Office in New Orleans has issued a grand jury subpoena and EPA and various state agencies have initiated investigations into the Bogalusa Incident. At this early stage in these proceedings, we are not able to estimate any potential fines or penalties that may be levied against us in connection with the Bogalusa Incident. We do not expect that any such fines or penalties will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows, but they may be significant to our results or cash flows in any one accounting period.

We have been named as a defendant in the following civil lawsuits related to the Bogalusa Incident:

- Evans v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 17, 2011)
- State of Louisiana v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 17, 2011)
 - Martin v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 17, 2011)
- Pearl River Basin Land & Dev. v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 18, 2011)

TEMPLE-INLAND INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

• Williams v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 29, 2011)

• Stogner v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed September 6, 2011)

• Jones v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed September 23, 2011)

Prestenbach v. TIN Inc. (Circuit Court of Hancock Co., MS, filed October 11, 2011)

Other than the case brought by the State of Louisiana and the case brought in Mississippi, these civil cases have been removed and consolidated in an action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana along with a case originally filed in that court styled McGehee v. TIN Inc. (filed September 20, 2011). Additional lawsuits may be filed in connection with the Bogalusa Incident following the date of this report. At this early stage, we are not able to estimate any potential loss from these proceedings. However, we believe most of the claims have no merit, and we do not expect that any losses in these cases will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows.

In first nine months 2011, we reversed \$3 million in litigation reserves related to alleged violations of the California on duty meal break laws. This reversal was based on the settlement of existing cases, a review of our operational practices, and an examination of the statute of limitations.

We do not believe that the outcome of any of these matters should have a significant adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows. It is possible however that charges related to these matters could be significant to our results or cash flows in any one accounting period.

Note 15 – Subsequent Event

On November 4, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.13 per share payable on December 15, 2011.

17

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements are identified by their use of terms and phrases such as "believe," "anticipate," "could," "estimate," "likely," "intend," "may," "plan," "expect," and s expressions, including references to assumptions. These statements reflect management's current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks and uncertainties. A variety of factors and uncertainties could cause our actual results to differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors and uncertainties that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- general economic, market, or business conditions
- the opportunities (or lack thereof) that may be presented to us and that we may pursue
- future events related to our Merger Agreement with International Paper Company (IP), under which IP will acquire all outstanding shares of our common stock
- fluctuations in costs and expenses including the costs of raw materials, purchased energy, and freight
 - changes in interest rates
 - demand for new housing
- accuracy of accounting assumptions related to impaired assets, pension and postretirement costs, contingency reserves, and income taxes
 - competitive actions by other companies
 - changes in laws or regulations
 - our ability to execute certain strategic and business improvement initiatives
 - changes in actual or forecasted cash flows
 - future sales volumes
 - significant increases in the costs of certain commodities
 - timely implementation of price increases
 - successful execution of cost saving strategies
 - changes in tax laws
 - integration risks associated with recent acquisitions

- changes in weighted average shares for diluted EPS
 - increases in transportation costs
- other factors, many of which are beyond our control

Our actual results, performance, or achievement probably will differ from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements, and accordingly, we can give no assurances that any of the events anticipated by the forward-looking statements will transpire or occur, or if any of them do so, what impact they will have on our results of operations or financial condition. In view of these uncertainties, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we expressly disclaim any obligation to publicly revise any forward-looking statements contained in this report to reflect the occurrence of events after the date of this report.

Non-GAAP Financial Measure

Return on investment (ROI) is an important internal measure for us because it is a key component of our evaluation of overall performance and the performance of our business segments. Studies have shown that there is a direct correlation between shareholder value and ROI and that shareholder value is created when ROI exceeds the cost of capital. ROI allows us to evaluate our performance on a consistent basis as the amount we earn relative to the amount invested in our business segments. A significant portion of senior management's compensation is based on achieving ROI targets.

In evaluating overall performance, we define ROI as total segment operating income, less general and administrative expenses and share-based and long-term incentive compensation not included in segments, divided by total assets, less certain assets and certain current liabilities. We do not believe there is a comparable GAAP financial measure to our definition of ROI. The reconciliation of our ROI calculation to amounts reported under GAAP is included in a later section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Despite its importance to us, ROI is a non-GAAP financial measure that has no standardized definition and as a result may not be comparable with other companies' measures using the same or similar terms. Also there may be limits in the usefulness of ROI to investors. As a result, we encourage you to read our consolidated financial statements in their entirety and not to rely on any single financial measure.

Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Estimates

In first nine months 2011, there were no changes in our critical accounting estimates from those we disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010.

New Accounting Pronouncements

We have evaluated all the recently issued accounting pronouncements through the filing date of these financial statements and believe that none of these recent accounting pronouncements will have a material effect on our earnings or financial position.

Box Plant Transformation II

In February 2010, we announced Box Plant Transformation II, the second phase of our initiative to lower the cost structure of our box plant system through improved asset utilization. Box Plant Transformation II includes installing state-of-the-art equipment that also improves our ability to serve higher margin segments of the market. We anticipate completing Box Plant Transformation II in 2012, resulting in the closure of up to 12 box plants and the elimination of as many as 900 employee positions. The capital investment for Box Plant Transformation II is estimated to be about \$250 million, which we will likely fund from operations or borrowings under our committed credit agreements.

The initial steps in effecting Box Plant Transformation II in 2010 were closing our Santa Fe Springs, California sheet plant and our Phoenix, Arizona; Evansville, Indiana; and Scranton, Pennsylvania box plants. In first nine months 2011, we completed the closures of our Tampa, Florida and Carol Stream and Northlake, Illinois box plants, and began production at our new box plant in Aurora, Illinois. In first nine months 2011, we recognized non-cash asset impairment charges of \$6 million, severance and other employee costs of \$2 million for about 268 employees, net gains of \$2 million associated with sale of closed facilities, a multi-employer pension plan withdrawal liability of \$4 million associated with the 2010 closure of our Phoenix, Arizona box plant, and other transformation related costs of \$16 million, primarily related to duplicate and incremental costs associated with production affected by box plant transformation. As we continue to refine and implement Box Plant Transformation II, it is likely we will incur additional asset impairments, severance and other costs, which could be significant.

Merger Agreement

On September 6, 2011, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement) with International Paper Company (IP), under which IP will acquire all of our outstanding common stock for \$32.00 per share in cash. The Merger Agreement was unanimously approved by both our and IP's Board of Directors.

In connection with entering into the Merger Agreement, IP terminated its existing tender offer to acquire all our outstanding common stock for \$30.60 per share, and we amended our Rights Plan dated June 7, 2011, rendering the Rights Plan inapplicable to the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

Closing of the merger is subject to customary closing conditions, including receipt of regulatory approvals and approval by our stockholders. IP has stated that the merger is currently expected to close late in fourth quarter 2011 or early in first quarter 2012, although closing may take place either earlier or later than such time period.

In connection with these matters, in first nine months 2011, we expensed \$10 million of professional fees and other costs, and we committed to minimum financial advisor fees of \$20 million, which we anticipate expensing upon completion of the merger. Also in connection with the closing of the merger, we expect to incur significant additional costs, principally related to share-based compensation and change in control agreements.

Results of Operations for Third Quarter and First Nine Months 2011 and 2010

Summary

We manage our operations through two business segments: corrugated packaging and building products. A summary of the results of operations by business segment follows:

| Third Quarter First Nine Months | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | 2 | 2011 | - | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | 4 | 2011 | | | | ccept per share) | | | |
| Revenues | | | (III III | 1110115, C | леері | per sna | C) | | |
| Corrugated packaging | \$ | 808 | \$ | 809 | \$1 | 2,474 | \$ | 2,347 | |
| Building products | Ψ | 166 | Ψ | 157 | Ψ | 511 | φ | 500 | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 974 | \$ | 966 | \$2 | 2,985 | \$ | 2,847 | |
| Segment operating income | Ŷ | 27. | Ŷ | 200 | Ψ. | _,,, | Ŧ | _, | |
| Corrugated packaging | \$ | 84 | \$ | 121 | \$ | 278 | \$ | 230 | |
| Building products | | (5) | | (10) | | (19) | | (4) | |
| Total segment operating income | | 79 | | 111 | | 259 | | 226 | |
| Items not included in segments | | | | | | | | | |
| General and administrative expense | | (16) | | (17) | | (50) | | (54) | |
| Share-based and long-term incentive | | | | | | | | | |
| compensation | | (12) | | (6) | | (51) | | (20) | |
| Other operating income (expense) | | (23) | | (5) | | (38) |) (7) | | |
| Other non-operating income (expense) | | (1) | | | | (5) | | | |
| Net interest income (expense) on financial | | | | | | | | | |
| assets and nonrecourse financial liabilities of | | | | | | | | | |
| special purpose entities | | (2) | | (3) | | (11) | | (10) | |
| Interest expense on debt | | (11) | | (13) | | (34) | | (39) | |
| Income before taxes | | 14 | | 67 | | 70 | | 96 | |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | | (7) | | 59 | | (29) | | 45 | |
| Net income | | 7 | | 126 | | 41 | | 141 | |
| Net (income) loss attributable to | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling interest of special purpose | | | | | | | | | |
| entities | | (1) | | (1) | | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Temple-Inland Inc. | \$ | 6 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 141 | |
| | | 00 0 | | | | | | 1050 | |
| Average basic shares outstanding | | 09.3 | | 107.9 | | 108.7 | | 107.8 | |
| Average diluted shares outstanding | I | 11.7 | | 109.4 | | 110.9 | | 109.5 | |
| Earnings per basic share | ¢ | 0.05 | ¢ | 1.15 | ¢ | 0.38 | ¢ | 1.30 | |
| Earnings per diluted share | | 0.05 | | 1.13 | | 0.38 | | 1.28 | |
| Lamings per unucu share | φ | 0.05 | ф | 1.15 | φ | 0.57 | φ | 1.20 | |
| ROI, annualized | | | | | | 7.7% | | 7.9% | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

In first nine months 2011, significant items affecting net income included:

- In corrugated packaging, slightly higher box prices and benefits from box plant transformation were partially offset by losses associated with the previously disclosed operational upset at the Bogalusa paper mill and higher input costs compared with first nine months 2010. In building products, higher lumber and particleboard volumes were more than offset by lower lumber prices, lower gypsum volumes, and higher input costs compared with first nine months 2010.
- Share-based and long-term incentive compensation increased \$31 million compared with first nine months 2010, primarily due to the effect of the higher market price of our common stock on our cash-settled awards.

- Other operating income (expense) include a \$26 million charge primarily related to Box Plant Transformation II, \$5 million in cleanup costs related to the Bogalusa Incident, a \$3 million credit due to the reversal of a litigation reserve related to alleged violations of the California on duty meal break laws, and \$10 million in costs related to IP's original tender offer and the Merger Agreement.
- We recognized a loss of \$4 million related to the purchase and retirement of \$50 million of our 7.875% Senior Notes due 2012.

In first nine months 2010, significant items affecting net income included:

- We experienced slightly higher prices and slightly lower total shipments for our corrugated packaging products compared with first nine months 2009. We also experienced higher prices for lumber and MDF, lower prices for gypsum wallboard and particleboard, and higher volumes for gypsum wallboard and lumber, and lower volumes for particleboard.
- A significant increase in input costs, principally recycled fiber, wood fiber and freight, more than offset our continuing initiatives to lower costs, improve asset utilization, and increase operating efficiencies.
- Share-based and long-term incentive compensation decreased \$19 million compared with first nine months 2009 primarily due to the effect of the lower market price of our common stock on our cash-settled awards.
- Other operating income (expense) included a \$17 million charge primarily associated with asset impairments, severance and other costs related to Box Plant Transformation II and a \$10 million benefit related to alternative fuel mixture tax credits.
- We recognized a tax benefit of \$83 million related to cellulosic biofuel producer credits and one-time income tax expense of \$3 million related to the impact of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act on the Medicare Part D retiree drug subsidy program.

Our operations are affected to varying degrees by supply and demand factors and other economic conditions including changes in energy costs, interest rates, new housing starts, home repair and remodeling activities, and the strength of the U.S. dollar. Given the commodity nature of our manufactured products, we have little control over market pricing or market demand.

Corrugated Packaging

We manufacture linerboard, corrugating medium, and white-top linerboard (collectively referred to as containerboard) that we convert into corrugated packaging. Our corrugated packaging segment revenues are principally derived from the sale of corrugated packaging products and, to a lesser degree, from the sale of containerboard and lightweight gypsum facing paper (collectively referred to as paperboard).

A summary of our corrugated packaging results follows:

| | Thire | d Quarter | First Nin | e Months | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 808 | \$ 809 | \$ 2,474 | \$ 2,347 | | |
| Costs and expenses | (724) | (688) | (2,196) | (2,117) | | |

| Edgar F | -iling: | TEMPI | LE INL/ | and in | C - Foi | rm 10-Q | | |
|---------|---------|---------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | \$ | 84 | \$ | 121 | \$ | 278 | \$ | 230 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 17.3% | | 15.2% |
| | Edgar F | Edgar Filing: \$ | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | \$ 84 \$ 121 \$ 278 \$ |

Our corrugated packaging results for third quarter and first nine months 2011 were negatively impacted by losses associated with an operational upset at our Bogalusa paper mill in August 2011. An upset condition in an evaporator led to a full mill shut down and corrective actions to restore the water quality of the Pearl River. We estimate total mill downtime resulting from this incident to be about 54,000 tons. In addition, we incurred \$5 million in costs associated with the cleanup of the Pearl River, which are not included in segment results.

Fluctuations in corrugated packaging pricing (which includes freight and is net of discounts) and shipments are set forth below:

| | Third Quarter 2011 | First Nine Months 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | versus | versus |
| | Third Quarter 2010 | First Nine Months 2010 |
| | Increase | (Decrease) |
| Corrugated packaging | | |
| Average prices | % | 5% |
| Shipments, average week | 1% | —% |
| Industry shipments, average week(a) | % | —% |
| | | |
| Paperboard | | |
| Average prices | % | 8% |
| Shipments, in thousand tons | (17) | |

(a) Source: Fibre Box Association

Compared with second quarter 2011, average corrugated packaging prices were down one percent, and average shipments were down three percent. For the same period, average paperboard prices were down one percent, and shipments were down 6,000 tons.

Costs and expenses were up four percent in first nine months 2011 when compared with first nine months 2010, and down three percent in third quarter 2011 compared with second quarter 2011. The increase in costs in first nine months 2011was primarily the result of higher prices for recycled fiber, freight, and chemicals. In addition, first nine months 2011 costs included \$3 million of additional pension expense resulting from lump-sum payments from our supplemental defined benefit plan for employees who retired in second quarter 2011.

Fluctuations in our significant cost and expense components included:

| | Third Quarter 2011 | | | First Nine Months 201 | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | ver | sus | | versus | | | |
| | Third Qua | arter 2010 | | First Nine | Months 2010 | | |
| | | | Increase/(Decrease) | | | | |
| | | | (In millions) | | | | |
| Wood fiber | \$ | (5) | | \$ | (8) | | |
| Recycled fiber | | 28 | | | 48 | | |
| Energy, principally natural gas | | 2 | | | | | |
| Freight | | 12 | | | 35 | | |
| Chemicals | | 4 | | | 17 | | |
| Depreciation | | 2 | | | 6 | | |

The costs of wood, pulp and recycled fiber; energy; freight; and chemicals fluctuate based on the market prices we pay for these commodities. It is likely that these costs will continue to fluctuate for the remainder of 2011.

Information about our converting facilities and mills follows:

| Third Q | Juarter | First Nine Months | | | |
|---------|---------|-------------------|------|--|--|
| 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | | |

| Number of converting facilities (at | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| quarter-end) | 57 | 60 | 57 | 60 |
| Corrugated packaging shipments, in | | | | |
| thousand tons | 821 | 815 | 2,508 | 2,499 |
| Paperboard production, in thousand tons | 1,005 | 1,036 | 3,049 | 3,023 |
| Percent containerboard production used | | | | |
| internally | 92% | 90% | 92% | 92% |
| Percent total fiber requirements sourced | | | | |
| from recycled fiber | 45% | 42% | 43% | 42% |

We also incurred costs of \$23 million in first nine months 2011, primarily related to box plant transformation, net of a \$3 million credit related to release of a litigation reserve, which are not included in segment results. Please read Box Plant Transformation II.

Building Products

We manufacture lumber, gypsum wallboard, particleboard, medium density fiberboard (MDF), and fiberboard. Our building products segment revenues are principally derived from sales of these products. We also own a 50 percent interest in Del-Tin Fiber LLC, a joint venture that produces MDF at a facility in El Dorado, Arkansas.

A summary of our building products results follows:

| | Third Quarter | | | | First Nine Months | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----|-------|-------------------|--------|----|--------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ | 166 | \$ | 157 | \$ | 511 | \$ | 500 |
| Costs and expenses | | (171) | | (167) | | (530) | | (504) |
| Segment operating income (loss) | \$ | (5) | \$ | (10) | \$ | (19) | \$ | (4) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Segment ROI | | | | | | (5.3)% | | (1.1)% |

Fluctuations in product pricing (which includes freight and is net of discounts) and shipments are set forth below:

| | Third Quarter 2011 versus Third Quarter 2010 | First Nine Months 2011 versus First Nine Months 2010 |
|-------------------|--|--|
| | Increase | /(Decrease) |
| Lumber: | | |
| Average prices | 1% | (12)% |
| Shipments | 4% | 11% |
| Gypsum wallboard: | | |
| Average prices | 7% | 3% |
| Shipments | (22)% | (8)% |
| Particleboard: | | |
| Average prices | 5% | 3% |
| Shipments | 26% | 14% |
| MDF: | | |
| Average prices | 2% | 5% |
| Shipments | —% | (5)% |

Compared with second quarter 2011, average prices were down one percent for lumber, up three percent for gypsum, up two percent for particleboard, and down one percent for MDF. Shipments were down two percent for lumber, down ten percent for gypsum, down four percent for particleboard, and down three percent for MDF.

Costs and expenses were up five percent in first nine months 2011 compared with first nine months 2010, and down four percent in third quarter 2011 compared with second quarter 2011. First nine months 2010 costs included \$3 million of additional pension expense resulting from lump-sum payments from our supplemental defined benefit plan for employees who retired in second quarter 2010. The increase in costs in first nine months 2011 compared with first nine months 2010 is primarily attributable to higher production volumes for all products except gypsum wallboard,

and higher input costs.

Fluctuations in our significant cost and expense components included:

| | | | Increase/(Decrease (In millions) | First Nine Months 2011 versus First Nine Months 2010 e) | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|-------------------------------------|--|----|--|
| Wood fiber | \$ | (1) | · · · · · · | \$ | 7 | |
| Energy, principally natural gas | | | | | 1 | |
| Chemicals | | 5 | | | 11 | |
| Freight | | 2 | | | 11 | |

The costs of our fiber, energy, chemicals, and freight fluctuate based on the market prices we pay for these commodities. It is likely that these costs will continue to fluctuate for the remainder of 2011.

In recent years we have periodically reduced our production to match our supply with the demand for our products.

Items Not Included in Segments

Items not included in segments are income and expenses that are managed on a company-wide basis and include corporate general and administrative expense, share-based and long-term incentive compensation, other operating and non-operating income (expense), and interest income and expense.

Our share-based and long-term incentive compensation fluctuates because a significant portion of our share-based awards are cash settled and are affected by changes in the market price of our common stock. Share-based and long-term incentive compensation expense increased \$31 million in first nine months 2011 compared with first nine months 2010 primarily due to the increase in the market price of our common stock at third quarter-end 2011 compared with the market price at the end of the prior year. In addition, a significant portion of our share-based awards vest based on the attainment of stated ROI-based performance goals, generally measured over a three-year period. Changes in our estimate of the attainment of these goals could have a significant impact on our share-based compensation expense in any one accounting period. Please read Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In connection with the implementation of Box Plant Transformation II, we incurred \$26 million of charges in first nine months 2011. Please read Box Plant Transformation II. Other operating income (expense) also includes a \$5 million charge related to the Bogalusa Incident, and a \$3 million credit due to the reversal of a litigation reserve related to alleged violations of the California on duty meal break laws. This reversal was based on the settlement of existing cases, a review of our operational practices, and an examination of the statute of limitations. In addition, we expensed \$10 million in professional fees and other costs in connection with IP's original tender offer and the Merger Agreement.

Other non-operating income (expense) for first nine months 2011 consists of a loss of \$4 million resulting from the purchase and retirement of \$50 million of our 7.875% Senior Notes due 2012, and an expense of \$1 million associated with voluntary substitution of Rabobank replacing Royal Bank of Scotland PLC as a letter of credit issuer related to the 2007 sale of our timberland.

Net interest income (expense) on financial assets and nonrecourse liabilities of special purpose entities relates to the activities of the special purpose entities created to effect the sale of our timberland in October 2007 and their subsequent nonrecourse borrowings in December 2007. At third quarter-end 2011 and 2010, the interest rate on our financial assets was 0.31 percent and 0.51 percent and the interest rate on our nonrecourse financial liabilities was

0.78 percent and 1.00 percent. These interest rates are variable and are based on different indices and, therefore, may not always reflect the same spread. The change in net interest income (expense) in first nine months 2011 compared with first nine months 2010 is due to slightly lower interest rate spread and amortization of costs related to two separate agreements (Stand-by Agreements) executed in first quarter 2010, one with JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association and one with Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank (Crédit Agricole). The Stand-by Agreements commit each of these banks to issue up to \$1.4 billion in irrevocable letters of credit in substitution for letters of credit issued by a bank(s) whose credit ratings get reduced below the required minimums.

On May 20, 2011, the credit ratings of Crédit Agricole were downgraded below the required minimum to issue letters of credit to secure the notes related to the sale of our timberland. As a result, we terminated the Stand-by Agreement with Crédit Agricole and were refunded a pro-rata portion of the unamortized upfront commitment fee and quarterly fee on the unused commitment.

On August 11, 2011, the buyer of the timberland and we agreed to have Rabobank Nederland (Rabobank), one of the existing letter of credit banks, issue letters of credit in a voluntary substitution for Royal Bank of Scotland PLC (RBS) as a letter of credit issuer in the transaction. Accordingly, the letters of credit issuers are now Rabobank, which has issued letters of credit totaling about \$1.4 billion, and Barclays Bank plc and Société Generalé, each of which has issued letters of credit totaling about \$500 million.

In connection with this voluntary substitution of Rabobank replacing RBS, we incurred fees of \$1 million paid to RBS and received an upfront fee of \$7 million from Rabobank. If Rabobank's long-term unsecured senior debt were no longer rated A+ by Standard & Poor's and A1 by Moody's, we have agreed to refund to Rabobank on the date of the downgrade the unamortized upfront fees less about \$2 million. We will amortize the upfront fee that we received, net of legal expenses incurred on this voluntary substitution, over the term of the letter of credit agreement. This amortization credit will be included in the income statement line item "Interest income on financial assets of special purpose entities."

The reduction in interest expense on debt in first nine months 2011 compared with first nine months 2010 was primarily due to the purchase and retirement of \$16 million of 7.875% Senior Notes due 2012 in fourth quarter 2010 and \$50 million of these notes in first quarter 2011. On May 27, 2011, Moody's Investor Services, Inc. (Moody's) upgraded our long-term debt rating to Baa3, which resulted in a decrease of 25 basis points in the interest rates on our Senior Notes due 2016 and Senior Notes due 2018 and ten basis points in fees on our committed credit agreements.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 50 percent in third quarter 2011 and 41 percent in first nine months 2011, compared with 36 percent in third quarter 2010 and first nine months 2010 after excluding the tax benefit of \$83 million related to cellulosic biofuel producer credits and the impact of a one-time income tax charge of \$3 million related to Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act on the Medicare Part D retiree drug subsidy program. Differences between the effective tax rate and the statutory rate are due to state income taxes, nondeductible items, the domestic production activities deduction, and deferred taxes on unremitted foreign income.

Average Shares Outstanding

The increase in average shares outstanding in third quarter 2011 and first nine months 2011 was due to shares issued to employees exercising options. The increase in average diluted shares outstanding in third quarter 2011 and first nine months 2011 was due to the increase in the dilutive effect of stock options as a result of our higher share price.

Capital Resources and Liquidity for First Nine Months 2011

Sources and Uses of Cash

We operate in cyclical industries and our operating cash flows vary accordingly. Our principal operating cash requirements are for compensation, wood and recycled fiber, energy, interest, and taxes. Working capital is subject to cyclical operating needs, the timing of collection of receivables and the payment of payables and expenses and, to a lesser extent, to seasonal fluctuations in our operations.

| | First Nine Months | | | nths |
|--|-------------------|-------|----------|---------|
| | | 2011 | | 2010 |
| | | (In n | nillions |) |
| Cash received from: | | | | |
| Operations | | 299 | | 241(a) |
| Working capital | | (23) | | (38)(b) |
| Cash received from operations | | 276 | | 203 |
| Sale of non-strategic assets and other | | 8 | | 2 |
| Exercise of stock options and related tax benefits | | 27 | | 5 |
| Total sources | | 311 | | 210 |
| | | | | |
| Cash used to: | | | | |
| Reduce borrowings, net | | (65) | | (1) |
| Return to shareholders through dividends | | (43) | | (35) |
| Reinvest in the business through: | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | | (170) | | (144) |
| Other | | (11) | | (15) |
| Total uses | | (289) | | (195) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | (3) | | 1 |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 19 | \$ | 16 |

(a) Includes \$30 million of voluntary, discretionary contributions to our qualified defined benefit plan.
 (b) Includes \$14 million of alternative fuel mixture tax credits that were accrued at year-end 2009.

Our cash from operations in first nine months 2011 increased compared with first nine months 2010, primarily due to higher non-cash share-based and long-term incentive compensation, lower cash contributions to our defined benefit plan, and lower working capital needs after adjusting for the \$14 million of alternative fuel mixture credits received in first quarter 2010 that were accrued at year-end 2009.

We issued 1,683,982 and 436,092 shares of common stock in first nine months 2011 and 2010 to employees exercising options and for vesting of share-settled units. We paid cash dividends to shareholders of \$0.39 per share in first nine months 2011 and \$0.33 per share in first nine months 2010. On November 4, 2011, our Board of Directors declared a regular dividend of \$0.13 per share payable on December 15, 2011.

Our increase in capital expenditures in first nine months 2011 compared with first nine months 2010, was primarily related to Box Plant Transformation II. Capital expenditures are expected to approximate \$225 million to \$235 million in 2011, a significant portion of which is related to Box Plant Transformation II.

Liquidity

Credit Agreements

Our sources of short-term funding are our operating cash flows and borrowings under our committed credit agreements and accounts receivable securitization facility. At third quarter-end 2011, we had a total of \$763 million in unused borrowing capacity under our committed credit agreements and accounts receivable securitization facility.

Committed Credit Agreements Accounts Total Receivable Securitization

| | | Facility | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------|--------|--|--|
| | (In millions) | | | | |
| Committed | \$ 685 | \$ 250 | \$ 935 | | |
| Less: Borrowings and commitments | (11) | (161) | (172) | | |
| Unused borrowing capacity at third | | | | | |
| quarter-end 2011 | \$ 674 | \$ 89 | \$ 763 | | |

Our committed credit agreements total \$685 million and include a \$600 million revolving credit facility that matures in June 2014 and \$85 million of other committed credit agreements that mature from 2013 to 2014. In September 2011, we entered into amendments of our committed credit agreements so that entering into the Merger Agreement did not result in a default. At third quarter-end 2011, we had \$5 million of letter of credit

usage under our revolving credit facility and \$6 million of borrowings outstanding under our other committed credit agreements.

Our accounts receivable securitization facility expires in 2013. At third quarter-end 2011, our borrowing base for this facility, which is determined by the level of our trade receivables, was \$250 million, the maximum committed amount of the facility.

Our unused borrowing capacity in third quarter 2011 ranged from a high of \$763 million to a low of \$705 million. The fluctuating unused capacity results primarily from activity on our accounts receivable securitization facility. This facility is used primarily to fund our operating cash needs, which fluctuate due to timing of collection of receivables, payment of payables and expenses, capital expenditures, and dividends, and to a lesser extent, to seasonal fluctuations in our operations. In addition, on May 27, 2011, Moody's upgraded our long-term debt rating to Baa3, which allowed us in June 2011 to terminate \$11 million of letters of credit issued under our revolving credit facility. We currently anticipate funding the maturity of \$130 million of 7.875% Senior Notes due May 2012 with borrowings under our existing credit agreements.

Our debt agreements, accounts receivable securitization facility, and credit agreements contain terms, conditions, and financial covenants customary for such agreements, including minimum levels of interest coverage and limitations on leverage. We are currently in compliance with these covenants and do not currently anticipate any change in circumstances that would impair our ability to continue to comply with these covenants.

We currently have on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) a shelf registration statement that provides us the flexibility to issue equity, debt, or other types of securities through one or more methods of distribution. We have not issued any securities pursuant to this shelf registration statement, which was filed in December 2008 and will expire in December 2011. Although we do not have any current commitments or intentions to sell any securities prior to the completion of our transaction with IP, we believe it is prudent to file a new shelf registration statement in December so that we maintain the flexibility to access capital markets should the need arise. The terms of any future offering would be established at the time of such offering and be subject to market conditions.

We believe that our unused borrowing capacity along with our existing cash and cash equivalents and expected cash flows from operations will provide us sufficient funds to meet our operating needs for the foreseeable future. In light of the current conditions in financial markets, we closely monitor the banks in our credit facilities. To date, we have experienced no difficulty in borrowing under the facilities and have not received any indications that any of the participating banks would not be able to honor their commitments under these facilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At third quarter-end 2011, there were no significant changes in off-balance sheet arrangements from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010.

Pension and Postretirement Matters

Due to credit balances we have accumulated from our voluntary, discretionary contributions in prior years, we have no funding requirements under ERISA in 2011.

Energy

Energy costs were \$223 million in first nine months 2011 compared with \$222 million in first nine months 2010. Our energy costs fluctuate based on the market prices we pay for these commodities and on the amount and mix of fuels we may use. We continue to reduce our dependency on natural gas. We hedge very little of our energy needs. It is likely that these costs will continue to fluctuate for the remainder of 2011.

Litigation, Contingencies, and Related Matters

We are involved in various legal proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of doing business, and we believe that adequate reserves have been established for any probable losses. Since we filed our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010, there have been no material developments in pending legal proceedings other than as disclosed in Part II, Item 1 of this report.

Calculation of Non-GAAP Financial Measure

| First Nine Months 2011 | Con | solidated | Pac | rrugated ckaging n millions) | | uilding oducts |
|--|-----|-----------|-----|------------------------------------|----|-------------------|
| Return: | | | | | | |
| Segment operating income determined in | | | | | | |
| accordance with GAAP | \$ | 259 | \$ | 278 | \$ | (19) |
| Items not included in segments: | | | | | | |
| General and administrative expense | | (50) | | N/A | | N/A |
| Share-based and long-term incentive | | | | | | |
| compensation | | (51) | | N/A | | N/A |
| | \$ | 158 | \$ | 278 | \$ | (19) |
| | | | | | | |
| Investment: | | | | | | |
| Beginning of year total assets or segment assets | ¢ | 5 000 | ¢ | 0.475 | ¢ | 520 |
| determined in accordance with GAAP | \$ | 5,909 | \$ | 2,475 | \$ | 532 |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities (excluding current portion of long term debt) | | (509) | | (220) | | (52) |
| long-term debt) | | (508) | | (330) N/A | | (53) |
| Financial assets of special purpose entities Municipal bonds related to capital leases included | | (2,475) | | N/A | | N/A |
| in other assets | | (188) | | N/A | | N/A |
| in other assets | \$ | 2,738 | \$ | 2,145 | \$ | 479 |
| | ψ | 2,750 | ψ | 2,143 | ψ | 473 |
| ROI, annualized | | 7.7% | | 17.3% | | (5.3)% |
| First Nine Months 2010 | | | | | | |
| Return: | | | | | | |
| Segment operating income determined in | | | | | | |
| accordance with GAAP | \$ | 226 | \$ | 230 | \$ | (4) |
| Items not included in segments: | Ψ | 220 | Ψ | 230 | Ψ | (+) |
| General and administrative expense | | (54) | | N/A | | N/A |
| Share-based and long-term incentive | | | | 1 1/1 1 | | 1 1/1 1 |
| compensation | | (20) | | N/A | | N/A |
| | \$ | 152 | \$ | 230 | \$ | (4) |
| | | | | | | . , |
| Investment: | | | | | | |
| Beginning of year total assets or segment assets | | | | | | |
| determined in accordance with GAAP | \$ | 5,709 | \$ | 2,295 | \$ | 545 |
| Adjustments: | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities (excluding current portion of | | | | | | |
| long-term debt) | | (471) | | (276) | | (44) |
| Financial assets of special purpose entities | | (2,475) | | N/A | | N/A |
| Municipal bonds related to capital leases included | | | | | | |
| in other assets | * | (188) | | N/A | | N/A |
| | \$ | 2,575 | \$ | 2,019 | \$ | 501 |

| Edgar Filing: TEMPLE INLAND INC - Form 10-Q | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 7.9% | 15.2% | (1.1)% | | | | | |
| ROI annualized is not necessarily indicative of the ROI that may be expected for the entire year. | | | | | | | |
| | 7.9% | 7.9% 15.2% | | | | | |

29

STATISTICAL AND OTHER DATA

Revenues and unit sales, excluding joint venture operations, follows:

| | Third Quarter | | | | First Nine Months | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------|-----------------------|------|-------------------|-------|----|-------|
| | | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2010 |
| | | | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | |
| Corrugated Packaging | | | | | | | | |
| Corrugated packaging | \$ | 751 | \$ | 746 | \$ | 2,311 | \$ | 2,195 |
| Paperboard (a) | | 57 | | 63 | | 163 | | 152 |
| | \$ | 808 | \$ | 809 | \$ | 2,474 | \$ | 2,347 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Building Products | | | | | | | | |
| Lumber | \$ | 53 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 167 | \$ | 172 |
| Gypsum wallboard | | 34 | | 42 | | 109 | | 115 |
| Particleboard | | 40 | | 30 | | 122 | | 104 |
| Medium density fiberboard | | 18 | | 17 | | 56 | | 56 |
| Fiberboard | | 7 | | 7 | | 20 | | 23 |
| Other | | 14 | | 10 | | 37 | | 30 |
| | \$ | 166 | \$ | 157 | \$ | 511 | \$ | 500 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Unit sales | | | | | | | | |
| Corrugated Packaging | | | | | | | | |
| Corrugated packaging, thousands of | | | | | | | | |
| tons | | 821 | | 815 | | 2,508 | | 2,499 |
| Paperboard, thousands of tons (a) | | 103 | | 120 | | 306 | | 306 |
| | | 924 | | 935 | | 2,814 | | 2,805 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Building Products | | | | | | | | |
| Lumber, million board feet | | 205 | | 197 | | 621 | | 560 |
| Gypsum wallboard, million square feet | | 270 | | 347 | | 897 | | 979 |
| Particleboard, million square feet | | 112 | | 89 | | 348 | | 306 |
| Medium density fiberboard, million | | | | | | | | |
| square feet | | 30 | | 30 | | 96 | | 101 |
| Fiberboard, million square feet | | 33 | | 37 | | 100 | | 116 |

(a) Paperboard includes linerboard, corrugating medium, white-top linerboard, and light-weight gypsum facing paper.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

Our interest rate exposure is primarily related to our variable-rate, long-term debt and to the financial assets and nonrecourse financial liabilities of special purpose entities. This exposure is the result of changes in interest rates and also the use of different base rates and the timing of the quarterly interest rate resets on the financial assets and

nonrecourse financial liabilities of special purpose entities.

In first nine months 2011, there were no significant changes in interest rate risk from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010.

Foreign Currency Risk

In first nine months 2011, there were no significant changes in foreign currency risk from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010.

30

Commodity Price Risk

In first nine months 2011, there were no significant changes in commodity price risk from that disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing, and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act and are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Since we filed our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010, there have been no material developments in pending legal proceedings other than as set forth below.

Guaranty Financial Group

We were named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas captioned Tepper v. Temple-Inland Inc., Case 3:11-cv-02088-D (filed August 22, 2011). This lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the company and certain of its affiliates, officers, and directors caused the failure of Guaranty Financial Group and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Guaranty Bank, and asserts various claims related to the failure. We previously disclosed that the liquidating trustee may file such a claim against us. We believe the claims made in this lawsuit are without merit, and we intend to defend them vigorously.

Bogalusa Incident

On Saturday, August 13, 2011, we received predictive test results at our Bogalusa, Louisiana paper mill indicating that Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) limits for permitted discharge from the wastewater treatment pond into the Pearl River would be exceeded after an upset condition in an evaporator at the mill and confirmed reports of a fish kill on the Pearl River (the "Bogalusa Incident"). We promptly initiated a full mill shut down, notified the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) of the situation and began taking corrective actions to restore the water quality of the river. The LDEQ and the Mississippi DEQ have each given a notice of intent to levy penalties. The U.S. Attorney's Office in New Orleans has issued a grand jury subpoena and EPA and various state agencies have initiated investigations into the Bogalusa Incident. At this early stage in these proceedings, we are not able to estimate any potential fines or penalties that may be levied against us in connection with the Bogalusa Incident. We do not expect that any such fines or penalties will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows, but they may be significant to our results or cash flows in any one accounting period.

We have been named as a defendant in the following civil lawsuits related to the Bogalusa Incident:

- Evans v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 17, 2011)
- State of Louisiana v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 17, 2011)
 - Martin v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 17, 2011)
- Pearl River Basin Land & Dev. v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 18, 2011)
 - Williams v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed August 29, 2011)
 - Stogner v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed September 6, 2011)
 - Jones v. TIN Inc. (22nd Judicial District Court of Louisiana, filed September 23, 2011)

Prestenbach v. TIN Inc. (Circuit Court of Hancock Co., MS, filed October 11, 2011)

Other than the case brought by the State of Louisiana and the case brought in Mississippi, these civil cases have been removed and consolidated in an action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana along with a case originally filed in that court styled McGehee v. TIN Inc. (filed September 20, 2011). Additional lawsuits may be filed in connection with the Bogalusa Incident following the date of this report. At this early stage in these proceedings, we are not able to estimate any potential loss from these proceedings. However, we believe most of the claims have no merit, and we do not expect that any losses in these cases will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, long-term results of operations, or cash flows.

Shareholder Actions

Three putative class action lawsuits have been commenced by purported Temple-Inland stockholders against Temple-Inland and the members of the Temple-Inland Board. Two of these lawsuits, captioned Raul v. Doyle R. Simons, et al., Case No. 6690 (filed July 22, 2011) (the "Raul Action"), and Kahn v. Temple-Inland, Inc., et al., Case No. 6702 (filed July 25, 2011) (the "Kahn Action"), are pending in the Delaware Court of Chancery. Pursuant to an order dated August 5, 2011, the Raul Action and the Kahn Action were consolidated, with the consolidated action

captioned as In re Temple-Inland, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, Consolidated Case No. 6702-VCP. The third putative class action lawsuit, captioned Washtenaw County Employees' Retirement System v. Doyle R. Simons, et al., Case No. D-1-GN-11-2456 (filed August 16, 2011) (the "Washtenaw Action"), is pending in the District Court of Travis County, Texas.

These lawsuits allege, among other things, that the members of the Temple-Inland Board have breached their fiduciary duties by refusing to negotiate with IP regarding its proposed acquisition of Temple-Inland, failing to solicit alternative offers and adopting the Rights Agreement. The Raul Action and the Washtenaw Action also purport to assert claims derivatively on behalf of Temple-Inland. The complaints variously seek an order declaring that the Temple-Inland Board breached its fiduciary duties; enjoining the company from initiating defensive measures; and awarding costs and attorneys' fees and, in the Kahn Action, compensatory damages. These lawsuits were commenced prior to the entering into of the Merger Agreement.

A fourth putative class action lawsuit, Buxton v. Temple-Inland Inc. (filed September 14, 2011), has been filed in the consolidated action cited above. This lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the members of the Temple-Inland Board have breached their fiduciary duties by agreeing to a transaction with IP at an unfair and grossly inadequate price and that the proxy statement filed in connection with the transaction with IP is inadequate in certain respects.

We believe the claims made in all these putative shareholder class actions are without merit, and we intend to defend them vigorously.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 2010, except as set forth below:

We are subject to risks relating to an Agreement and Plan of Merger.

On September 6, 2011, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement) with International Paper Company (IP), under which IP will acquire all of our outstanding common stock for \$32.00 per share in cash. The Merger Agreement was unanimously approved by the Board of Directors of both Temple-Inland and IP.

In connection with this Merger Agreement, we face certain risks, uncertainties, and other factors, including, among others:

- the effect of the announcement of the merger on Temple-Inland's business relationships, operating results and business generally;
 - the retention of certain key employees by Temple-Inland;
- the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the Merger Agreement;
- the satisfaction of the conditions to the closing of the merger, including obtaining the required antitrust approval and our stockholder approval, and

• Temple-Inland's and IP's ability to meet expectations regarding the timing and closing of the merger.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (a)

| | | | | Maximum |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | | | | Number |
| | | | | of Shares That |
| | | | Total Number of | May Yet be |
| | | | Shares Purchased | Purchased |
| | Total Number of | Average | as Part of Publicly | Under the |
| | Shares | Price Paid | Announced Plans | Plans |
| Period | Purchased | per Share | or Programs | or Programs |
| Month 1 (7/1/2011 – | | | | |
| 7/31/2011) | 3,670(b) | \$ 30.68 | — | 6,650,000 |
| Month 2 (8/1/2011 – | | | | |
| 8/31/2011) | 1,150(b) | 26.18 | | 6,650,000 |
| Month 3 (9/1/2011 – | | | | |
| 9/30/2011) | 56,205(b) | 31.01 | — | 6,650,000 |
| Total | 61,025 | \$ 30.89 | _ | |

(a) On August 4, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 6,000,000 shares of our common stock. We have purchased 4,350,000 shares under this authorization, which has no expiration date. On February 2, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to an additional 5,000,000 shares of our common stock, increasing the maximum number of shares yet to be purchased under our repurchase plans to 6,650,000 shares. We have no plans or programs that expired during the period covered by the table above and no plans or programs that we intend to terminate prior to expiration or under which we no longer intend to make further purchases.

(b) Represents shares purchased from employees to pay taxes related to the exercise of stock options.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities None.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Item 5. Other Information None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits.

| 10.1 | - Amendment No. 1 dated August 11, 2011 to Loan Agreement, dated |
|------|---|
| | December 3, 2007, by and among TIN Land Financing, LLC, Citibank, |
| | N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and the other Lenders |
| | named therein |
| 10.2 | – Amendment No. 1 dated August 11, 2011 to Loan Agreement, dated |

 O.2 – Amendment No. 1 dated August 11, 2011 to Loan Agreement, dated December 3, 2007, by and among TIN Timber Financing, LLC, Citibank, N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and the other Lenders

| | named therein |
|-------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.1 | The following materials from Temple-Inland's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 1, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. |
| | |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

| | TEMPLE-INLA (Registrant) | AND INC. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Dated: November 7, 2011 | By: | /s/ Randall D. Levy Name: Randall D. Levy Title: Chief Financial Officer |
| | By: | /s/ Troy L. Hester Name: Troy L. Hester Title: Corporate Controller and Principal Accounting Officer |

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit No. | Description | Page No. |
|-------------|---|----------|
| 10.1 | Amendment No. 1 dated August 11, 2011 to Loan Agreement, dated December 3, 2007, by and among TIN Land Financing, LLC, Citibank, N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and the other Lenders named therein | 137 |
| 10.2 | Amendment No. 1 dated August 11, 2011 to Loan Agreement, dated December 3, 2007, by and among TIN Timber Financing, LLC, Citibank, N.A., Citicorp North America, Inc., as Agent, and the other Lenders named therein | 143 |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 49 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 51 |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 53 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | 54 |
| 101.1 | The following materials from Temple-Inland's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 1, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | |

36